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Solvent-assisted Construction of Diverse Mg-TDC Coordination Polymers

Ying Song, ab Mei-Ling Feng, a Zhao-Feng Wu ab and Xiao-Ying Huang a

Upon alteration of selected solvents, the reactions of 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid (H₂TDC) and Mg(NO₃)₂•6H₂O afforded four diverse coordination polymers, namely Mg₂(TDC)(EG)₂•0.5EG (1), Mg(TDC)(DMSO) (2), (Me₂NH₂)[(Mg₂(TDC)₂)(Ac)]•1.5DMA•0.5H₂O (3), and [Mg₃(TDC)₂(DMF)₂(EtOH)(H₂O)₂]•DMF (4) (EG = ethylene glycol, DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide, Ac = acetate anion, DMA = N,N'-dimethylacetamide, DMF = N,N'-dimethylformamide, EtOH = ethanol). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses indicated that compounds 1-3 possessed a three-dimensional (3D) network while 4 adopted a two-dimensional (2D) layered structure. Noticeably, the coordinated solvent molecules adopt distinct coordination modes which play a vital role in constructing the Mg-TDC structures. In 1, the solvent EG molecules as bidentate bridging ligand help interconnecting the Mg-TDC layers to a 3D framework. Whereas the solvent DMSO molecules in 2 and the Ac anions generated from decomposition of DMA in 3 are coordinated in a μ₂-fashion and (k²-k²)-μ₃ mode, respectively, leading to infinite chains as secondary building units. In the layered structure of 4, the collaboration of coordinated DMF, EtOH and H₂O molecules assists in inducing the noncentrosymmetric structure. The compounds were fully characterized by PXRD, TGA, EA, IR and the luminescent properties of 1-3 and the second-harmonic generation (SHG) property of 4 were studied. 3 emitted bright green light upon the excitation of 365 nm UV light. While 4 displayed sound SHG response.

Introduction

The modular nature and crystallinity of coordination polymers (CPs) facilitate the research of their structures at a molecular level.1 Due to the wide choice of metal nodes and organic linkers, various CPs with diverse structures and properties have been synthesized and investigated.2 The majority of CPs is focused on those based on the d-block, p-block and rare earth metal ions, whereas the CPs based on s-block metal ions such as Mg2+ were less explored.3 In fact, the Mg2+ has many outstanding merits for constructing CPs; for instance, it has light molecular mass and diverse coordination modes, and it is eco-friendly and abundant in the earth’s crust. Recently, the Mg-CPs have shown some excellent properties that are superior to those of the CPs based on other metal ions;4 for example, a Mg₂(DOT) (DOT = 2,5-dioxidoterephthalate) CP shows excellent CO₂ adsorption capacity outperforming its isomers based on Zn(II), Co(II) and Ni(II) ions,5 and it can sufficiently capture CO₂ from CH₄ which is essential for natural gas purification.6

The solvent used in the synthesis of CPs is a crucial parameter which governs reaction kinetics and thermodynamics during the coordination process.7 The solvent molecule can act as a guest being encapsulated in structural lattice to affect the structural construction indirectly,6 or as a ligand that has a significant impact on secondary building unit (SBU) assembly and even on structural dimensionality7 and framework topology.5 In addition, some acyl-amide solvents such as DMF, DMA and DEF (N,N'-diethyl-formamide) may undergo in-situ decomposition during the reaction process to produce small ions which may also serve as a coordinated ligand and/or charge-compensating agent in the resulting structure.9 Though the Mg(II) ion has similar coordination nature with first-row transition metal ions such as Co(II) and Zn(II), its coordination bonds are of relatively more ionic nature.3b Indeed, the Mg(II) ion is easily coordinated by polar solvent molecules with oxygen donors. As a result, the variation of solvent molecules may exert a significant effect on the formation of different Mg-CPs.3b,10

In this work, we attempted to exploit the different roles of solvent molecules in the construction of Mg-CPs. By reacting Mg(NO₃)₂•6H₂O with 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid (H₂TDC) in the selected solvents, we isolated four Mg-TDC CPs with varied structures, namely Mg₂(TDC)(EG)₂•0.5EG (1), Mg(TDC)(DMSO) (2), (Me₂NH₂)[(Mg₂(TDC)₂)(Ac)]•1.5DMA•0.5H₂O (3), and
The reaction of a mixture of 0.261 g Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.257 g 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid, 3 mL ethylene glycol and 3 mL methyl cyanide resulted in brown bypymmetric crystals; yield 0.135 g, 46%. Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₁₅H₂₂O₁₄S₂Mg₂: C, 37.59%; H, 3.89%; Found: C, 39.89%; H, 3.10%.

Mg(TDC)(DMSO) (2). The reaction of a mixture of 0.261 g Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.174 g 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid, 4 mL dimethyl sulfoxide and 2 mL methanol resulted in colorless block-like crystals; yield 0.150 g, 55%. Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₁₅H₂₂O₁₄S₂Mg₂: C, 35.25%; H, 2.96%; Found: C, 35.09%; H, 3.15%.

(Mg₂NH₃)[(Mg₂(TDC)₃(ACe)]·1.5DMA·0.5H₂O (3). A mixture of 0.101 g Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.067 g 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid and 4 mL N, N'-dimethylacetamide was heated at 150 °C for 3 days follow by programmed cooling in a rate of 0.67 °C/min to 30 °C. Light-yellow quadrangular crystals were resulted in; yield 0.210 g, 42%. Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₂₂H₂₉₅N₂₂O₁₃S₂Mg₂: C, 41.69%; H, 4.69%; N, 5.52%; Found: C, 41.63%; H, 5.25%; N, 6.03%.

[Mg₃(TDC)₂(EG)₈·0.5EG] (1). The reaction of a mixture of 0.251 g Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 0.257 g 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid, 3 mL ethylene glycol and 3 mL methyl cyanide resulted in brown bypymmetric crystals; yield 0.135 g, 46%. Elemental analysis (%): calc. for C₁₅H₂₂O₁₄S₂Mg₂: C, 37.59%; H, 3.89%; Found: C, 39.89%; H, 3.10%.

Experimental

Materials and physical measurements

All analytical grade chemicals employed in this study were commercially available and used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded in the angular range of 2θ = 3-65° on a Miniflex II diffractometer using CuKα radiation. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out with a NETZSCH STA 449F3 unit at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under a nitrogen atmosphere. The TG-MS was carried out on a STA449C-QMS403C. Elemental analyses (EA) for C, H, N were performed on a German Elementary Vario EL III instrument. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were taken on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrometer in the 4000-400 cm⁻¹ region by using KBr pellets. The fluorescence spectra were recorded using an Edinburgh FLS920 fluorescence spectrophotometer at room temperature. The powder Second-Harmonic Generation (SHG) response was measured on polycrystalline samples by using the experimental method adapted from that reported by Kurtz and Perry. The 1064 nm radiation generated by a Q-switched Nd:YAG solid-state laser was used as the fundamental frequency light. The sample was ground and then pressed into a disk with diameter of 8 mm which was then put between glass microscope slides and secured with tape in a 1 mm thick aluminum holder. The second harmonic signals were compared with that of standard nonlinear optical material potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP) = KH₂PO₄ to determine the relative SHG efficiency of 4.

Syntheses of compounds 1-4

All the four compounds were synthesized by solvothermal methods. The reaction conditions for obtaining compounds 1, 2 and 3 are similar to each other with alteration of the solvents used. Typically, a mixture of Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 2,5-thiophenedicarboxylic acid and solvent was sealed in a stainless steel reactor with a 20 (or 28) mL Teflon liner and heated in the oven at 150 °C for 3 days, followed by cooling to room temperature. The resulting crystals of 1-4 were selected by hand and washed with anhydrous ethanol followed by drying in the air. The yields were calculated based on Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O.

Fig. 1 Photographs of crystals of 1-4 under an optical microscope.

Single-crystal structure determination

Suitable single crystals of compounds 1-4 were carefully selected under an optical microscope and glued to thin glass fibres. The photographs of selected crystals for compounds 1-4.
and are illustrated in Fig. 1. Data collections were performed on an Oxford Xcalibur Eos diffractometer with graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) for 1 and 4 at room temperature and on a SuperNova CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated CuKα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) for 2 and 3 at 100 K, respectively. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ by using the program package SHELX-97. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The positions of hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically with assigned isotropic thermal parameters, and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms before the final cycles of least-squares refinements. In 1, the C and H atoms on the half bridging EG molecule and one of the terminal EG molecules were disordered over two positions with refined S.O.F. ratios of 0.787(16)/0.213(16) and 0.537(9)/0.463(9), respectively. Whereas in 2, the S, C and H atoms of the four unique DMSO molecules were all disordered over two positions with refined S.O.F. ratios of 0.866(4)/0.134(4), 0.737(5)/0.263(5), 0.867(4)/0.133(4) and 0.766(5)/0.234(5), respectively. For compound 3, the guest solvent molecules and cations were highly disordered, thus the PLATON/SQUEEZE\textsuperscript{13} was employed to calculate the contributions to the diffraction from the solvent region and thereby produced a set of solvent-free diffraction intensities. The final formula of 3 was calculated from the SQUEEZE results combined with elemental analysis data and TGA-MS data (Fig. S1). In 4 the terminal DMF molecule was disordered over two positions with refined S.O.F. ratio of 0.659(9)/0.341(9), and the half of free DMF molecule was disordered with S.O.F. of 0.5 (the O9 atom rides on a mirror plane). The soft restraints of DFIX, SIMU, SADI, ISOR, DELU were applied on the disordered atoms to keep their geometries and atomic displacement parameters reasonable. The empirical formulae of 1, 2, and 4 were confirmed by the thermo-gravimetric analyses (TGA) and element analyses (EA) results. Details of crystallographic data and structural refinement parameters are summarized in Table 1. CCDC nos. 1032293 (1), 1032294 (2), 1032295 (3) and 1032296 (4) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

### Results and discussion

#### Syntheses

The effects of temperature and solvents on the syntheses of four compounds have been explored. The various reactions for the preparation of compounds 1-4 are listed in Table S1. The experiments showed that compound 1 could be obtained at 150–160 °C in a mixed solvent of EG and acetonitrile. In addition to EG, an auxiliary solvent with low boiling point (such as acetonitrile, acetone and methanol) was indispensable to obtain the crystals of 1 which might help in decreasing the viscosity of the reaction solution. While 2 could be isolated at 140–150 °C in a mixed solvent of DMSO and methanol; at a higher temperature of 170 °C, the DMSO decomposed and the crystals of MgSO$_4$ were identified. Noticeably, at 150 °C 2 could always be obtained in DMSO no matter whether the auxiliary solvent was presented or not. The auxiliary solvents could be polar ones such as DMF, ethanol, acetonitrile, and nonpolar ones such as benzene. However, it was evident that the presence of auxiliary solvents such as methanol can help increasing the yield of 2 greatly. To our knowledge, the acetamide-type solvents like DMF and DMA may decompose to produce HCOO$^-$ (CH$_3$COO$^-$) and [Me$_2$NH$_2$]$^+$ with or without

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Crystallographic data for 1-4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flack parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρcalcd/g cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured refls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent refls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R$_1$ (I&gt;2σ(I))$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR$_2$ (I&gt;2σ(I))$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] R$_1$ = Σ∥F$_o$∥ - |F$_c$| Σ∥F$_o$∥. [b] wR$_2$ = Σ[w(F$_o^2$-F$_c^2$)$^2$] / Σ[w(F$_o^2$)]$^{1/2}$.
the existence of H$_2$O above a specific temperature (about 100 °C or higher) under solvothermal conditions and this was further confirmed by the syntheses of 3 and 4. 3 could be obtained in DMA at 120 ~ 150 °C; at 150 °C the presence of a micro amount of H$_2$O and methanol did not affect the formation of 3. As for 4, it could be obtained at 100 °C in a mixed solvent of DMF, ethanol and H$_2$O, but when the temperature was increased to 120 °C and above the DMF decomposed and a well-known Mg-formate, namely (Me$_2$NH)$_2$[Mg(HCOO)$_3$] was obtained.$^{14}$ Since the H$_2$O existed in 4, our experiments showed that crystals of 4 could not be isolated without water in the solvent system.

Crystal structure descriptions

Mg$_2$(TDC)$_2$(EG)$_{1.5}$·0.5EG (1). Compound 1 belongs to the $P-1$ space group. The structure features a 3D framework constructed by bridging solvent EG molecules and two-connected Mg$^{2+}$ nodes interconnecting Mg-TDC layers, Fig. 2. The asymmetric unit contains one formula unit, including one and two halves of Mg$^{2+}$ ions (each located in an inversion center), two TDC$^{2-}$ ligands, two terminal coordinated EG molecules, half of an EG molecule as bridging ligand and half of a free EG molecule (each with an inversion center passing through the middle of C-C bond). All the Mg$^{2+}$ ions adopt octahedral geometry, Fig. S2a. The Mg1 located in an inversion center is coordinated by six oxygen atoms from six TDC$^{2-}$ ligands. Whereas the coordination sphere of Mg2 includes five oxygen donors from four TDC$^{2-}$ ligands and one oxygen atom from an EG molecule that acts as a bridging ligand linking to another Mg2 ion. The Mg3 also lies in an inversion center; its coordination mode is quite special in that it is surrounded by two oxygen atoms from two TDC$^{2-}$ ligands in the apical positions and four oxygen atoms from four terminal EG molecules in the equatorial plane. Thus, the Mg3 actually can be regarded as a two-connected node, Fig. S3a. The four distinct EG molecules existing in the structure can be denoted as EG1, EG2, EG3 and EG4, corresponding to the EG molecules that act as bridging ligands (EG1), terminal ligands (EG2 and EG3) and free guest molecules (EG4), Fig. S4.

There are two independent TDC$^{2-}$ ligands denoted as L-I and L-II, respectively. The L-I connects to four Mg$^{2+}$ ions (Mg1, Mg2, Mg2, Mg3) in a $(k^1\cdot k^1\cdot \mu_2)-(k^1\cdot k^1\cdot \mu_2)-\mu_4$ mode, whereas the L-II links to four Mg$^{2+}$ ions (Mg1, Mg2, Mg1, Mg2) in a $(k^1\cdot k^1\cdot \mu_2)-(k^1\cdot k^1\cdot \mu_2)-\mu_4$ mode, Fig. S3b. As a result, a tri-nuclear [Mg$_3$] unit is evident as SBU in a Mg2-Mg1-Mg2 sequence. Then each [Mg$_3$] unit is interlinked to other four same [Mg$_3$] units by TDC$^{2-}$ ligands in a “double-bridge” mode to give rise to a 2D layer along the ac plane, Fig. 2a; the linking modes among the SBUs are further demonstrated as a simplified net in Fig. 2b.
Then, the adjacent layers are interlinked to a 3D framework by EG1 molecules that coordinate to two Mg2 ions from two adjacent layers and Mg3 ions that bind to two carboxylic O3 atoms of L-I from two adjacent layers, Fig. 2c. The EG2 and EG3 molecules are located in the inter-layered spaces and the EG4 molecules are filled in the voids along the c axis, Fig. 2d. Interestingly, the EG molecules play different roles simultaneously in constructing the structure of 1, that is, as guest molecules (EG4), terminal ligands (EG2 and EG3), and bridging ligands (EG1). In the reported CPs, there are several examples in which the EG molecules act as bridging ligands in the structure where the roles of them can be sorted to two types: 1) to take part in forming SBUs, exemplified by the formation of 1D chains in [Co3(BDC)3(EG)4]15 and [Na3NiPr(μ3-ClO4)(μ2-EG)-(μ4-ptc)2(H2O)6]n 16 2) to help increasing structural dimensionality, exemplified by [{Mn(C12H12O4)2}3(C2H2O2)2(H2O)2]C8H2NO2 17 in which the EG molecules interlink 1D Mn-L chains to 2D layers, and [Cd(HBTC)(EG)8(H2O)]18 in which they act as pillars to link 2D layers of Cd-L to 3D framework. The latter is further illustrated in 1.

Mg(TDC)(DMSO) (2). The structure of 2 belongs to the P-1 space group and features a 3D network constructed by TDC2- ligands and DMSO molecules interlinking Mg2+ ions, Fig. 3. The asymmetric unit contains four formula units though the four independent Mg2+ TDC2- and DMSO all adopt similar coordination modes. This may probably be due to the difference in the disordering degree of DMSO molecules. The four unique Mg2+ ions are all six-coordinated (Fig. S2b) by four TDC2- ligands in the equatorial plane and two DMSO molecules in the apical positions, which differ slightly in Mg-O carboxylate bond lengths and O-Mg-O angles from each other, Fig. S5a. While the four unique TDC2- ligands all adopt the common (k1-k1- μ2)- (k1-k1- μ2)- μ4 mode, Fig. S5b. Similarly, the four independent DMSO molecules all bind to two Mg2+ ions in a μ2-fashion, Fig. 3a.

Along the b axis, adjacent Mg2+ ions are interconnected by two (COO)- groups from TDC2- ligands in a “bi-bridge” mode and one DMSO molecule in a μ2-fashion, respectively, to form a 1D infinite chain, Fig. 3b. In the chain, the four unique Mg2+ ions are periodically ordered to form a Mg4-Mg3-Mg2-Mg1-Mg4-Mg3-Mg2-Mg1 array. Then, each chain is interlinked to other four chains by TDC2- ligands to give rise to a 3D framework, in which the rhombic tunnels along the b axis are formed filled by the S, C, H atoms of the bridging DMSO molecules, Fig. 3c. Similarly, the DMSO molecules also act as bridging ligands in [Mn(DTDC)(μ2-DMSO)]19 and

Fig. 3 (a) The linking mode of DMSO molecules with Mg2+ ions in 2. (b) The [Mg(COO)2-DMSO]n infinite chain in 2. (c) View of the 3D structure of 2. All the H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 4 (a) The linking mode of Ac anions with Mg2+ ions in 3. (b) The Mg-COO-Ac chain in 3 showing the sequence of Mg2+ centers. (c) View of the 3D framework of 3 along the c axis. [Me2NH3]+ cations, DMA and H2O molecules in the channels are not shown for clarity. (d) The topology of 3. All the H atoms are omitted for clarity.
[Cr(p-BDC)(μ₂-DMSO)]^{20} \text{ For other solvent molecules playing the similar role, there is also a Mg-based CP, namely Mg(ndc)(μ₂-nmp)·0.5H₂O (nmp = 1-methyl-2-pyridolinone).}^{10} (Me₂NH₂)₂[(Mg₂(TDC)₂(Ac)]·1.5DMA·0.5H₂O (3). Compound 3 belongs to the P4̅_2/mmc space group. It features a 3D anionic open framework fabricated by TDC²⁻ ligands and Ac⁻ anions linking Mg²⁺ ions, with [Me₂NH₂]⁺ as counter ions located in the tunnels along the c axis, Fig. 4. Its asymmetric unit contains half of the formula unit including two halves of Mg²⁺ ions, two halves of TDC²⁻ ligands and half of Ac⁻ anion, each of which is located in an inversion center. Both the Mg²⁺ ions adopt an octahedral configuration, Fig. S2c. The Mg1 is coordinated by four TDC²⁻ ligands in the equatorial plane and two Ac⁻ anions in the apical positions, while the Mg2 is connected by four TDC²⁻ and one Ac⁻ anion in a chelating mode, Fig. S6a. The TDC²⁻ ligands all adopt a (k²-1-k²₂)-μ₂ coordination mode, Fig. S6b. The Ac⁻ anion was produced by the decomposition of DMA solvent during the reaction.²¹ It adopts a (k²-1-k²₂) coordination mode connecting three Mg²⁺ ions by chelating to Mg2 and linking to two Mg1 in both sides to form a [Mg₃(Ac)] unit, Fig. 4a.

Along the c axis, the Ac⁻ anions together with TDC²⁻ ligands connect the Mg1 and Mg2 ions to form a chain-like SBU characteristic of Mg1-Mg2-Mg1-Mg2 array, Fig. 4b. Each chain is interlinked to other four chains by TDC²⁻ ligands along the a and b axes. As a result, a 3D anionic framework is formed with large tunnels along the c axis in which the [(Me₂NH₂)]⁺ cations, the free DMA molecules and H₂O molecules are located, Fig. 4c. Note that the structure of 3 is iso-structural with a Mn-based CP, namely (Me₂NH₂)₂[(Mg₂(TDC)₂(Ac)].²² The coordination mode of Ac⁻ anion in 3 can also be found in other compounds.²³ Taking the [Mg₃(Ac)] unit as a 4-connected node and TDC²⁻ ligand as a bridging linker, the framework of 3 could be simplified to a 4-connected uni-nodal net with a crb topology, Fig. 4d, and the Schlafli symbol is {4; 6^5}.

[Mg₄(TDC)₂(DMF)₂(EOH)(H₂O)₂]·DMF (4). Compound 4 crystallizes in the noncentrosymmetric Cm space group featuring a 2D layered structure, Fig. 5. The asymmetric unit contains half of the formula unit including two halves of Mg²⁺ ions (each located in an inversion center), one TDC²⁻ ligand, one terminal coordinated DMF molecule, half of free DMF molecule, half of terminal EtOH molecule, half of terminal coordinated H₂O molecule and half of μ₂-coordinated H₂O molecule; the free DMF molecule and the terminal EtOH molecule are positional disordered by a mirror, and the O atom of the two halves unique H₂O molecules are located in a mirror.

![Fig. 5](image-url) (a) The bi-nuclear [Mg₄(COO)₄(μ₂-H₂O)] SBU in 4. (b) A single layer of 4. (c) View of the 3D supramolecular structure of 4 with the three layers in different colors highlighting the “head to tail” packing mode of adjacent layers. (d) The intra-SBU and inter-layer H-bonding interactions between two SBUs from adjacent layers in 4.
Both of Mg\(^{2+}\) centers adopt an octahedral geometry, Fig. S2d. Mg1 is occupied by four TDC\(^2\) ligands in the equatorial plane, one EtOH molecule and one \(\mu_2\)-H\(_2\)O molecule in the apical positions. Whereas Mg2 is coordinated by two TDC\(^2\) ligands, two DMF molecules in the equatorial plane, one terminal and one \(\mu_2\)-H\(_2\)O molecules in the apical positions, Fig. S7a. The TDC\(^2\) ligand presents a common \((k^1-k^1-\mu_2)-(k^1-\mu_1)-\mu_3\)
mode with one carboxylic oxygen atom uncoordinated, Fig. S7b.

The Mg1 and Mg2 are connected by four TDC\(^2\) ligands and one \(\mu_2\)-H\(_2\)O to form a 4-connected bi-nuclear unit (Fig. 5a) which is quite different from the familiar paddle-wheel type Zn(COO)\(_4\) unit in MOF-5.\(^{24}\) In the unit, two carboxylate groups (O1, O2) of two TDC\(^2\) ligands link Mg1 and Mg2 in a bi-bridge mode, while the other two carboxylate groups in another two ligands only bind to Mg1 (each with O4) in a mono-dentate mode with the uncoordinated O3 atoms forming strong H-bonds (O5-H5⋯O3 = 2.604(3) Å, \(\angle O5-H5-O3 = 153.2^\circ\)) with the \(\mu_2\)-H\(_2\)O molecule. While the left coordination sites of two Mg\(^{2+}\) ions of the unit are occupied by solvent molecules (with EtOH molecule binding to Mg1, and H\(_2\)O and DMF molecules binding to Mg2, respectively). This dimer can be viewed as the secondary building unit (SBU) of 4. In the bc plane, each SBU is interlinked to another four ones by TDC\(^2\) ligand to form a layer with rhombic grids, Fig. 5b and Fig. S8. Then, upon the H-bonding interactions among the terminal H\(_2\)O (O6) molecules in one layer and the carboxylate oxygen (O4) atoms in the other layer (O6-H6⋯O4 = 2.797(4) Å, \(\angle O6-H6-O4 = 145.3^\circ\), Fig. 5c), these layers accumulate in the same direction, that is, in a “head to tail” fashion, to give rise to a 3D supramolecular structure, Fig. 5d. The solvent molecules are located in the spaces between and within layers, Fig. S10.

Generally, to ensure a layered structure without an inversion center, two conditions must be satisfied including the NCS nature of a single layer and packing of these layers in a way without an inversion center.\(^{25}\) Lin et al. proved that for rhombic grids, the noncentrosymmetric metal coordinating centers could guarantee the NCS nature of the grids.\(^{26}\) Thrillingly, the \(\mu_2\)-H\(_2\)O-containing SBU in 4 has a \(C_2\) symmetry which absolutely rejects an inversion center. Accordingly, each layer of 4 is unsymmetrical. In comparison, the typical “paddle-wheel” shape [Mg\(_2\)(COO)\(_4\)] SBU usually has a higher symmetry with an inversion center,\(^{25}\) Fig. 6.

With a translation operation along the c axis, these layers accumulate to give the NCS structure of 4.

**Scheme 1** Multi-roles of the solvent molecules in the construction of structures 1-4. The data in the lower right corner: Oscilloscope traces of SHG signals of compound 4 and KDP (marker) in the particle size of 210–300 μm.
We presume the reasons why these layers prefer this packing mode as follows: i). the steric hindrance stemming from coordinated solvent molecules (DMF and H$_2$O in one side and ethanol in the other) is quite different in both sides of the layer which makes their packing prefer a “head to tail” fashion to maximally fill the void space within each layer, Fig. S10. There are reported layered structures with noncentrosymmetric layers featuring similar rhombic grids as that in 4, but these layers have similar steric hindrance in both sides thus a “head to head” packing mode upon an inversion center results in centrosymmetric structures.  

ii). this packing mode also facilitates the formation of inter-layer H-bonding interactions to further stabilize the whole structure. In a word, the multiple coordinated solvent molecules play vital roles in the symmetry-breaking process of forming 4.

In the titled structures, Mg$^{2+}$ ions all adopt the characteristic octahedral geometry, Fig. S2. The Mg-O$_{\text{carboxylate}}$ bond distances of four structures varies from 1.995(2) to 2.182(2) Å for 1, 2.020(3) to 2.063(3) Å for 2, 2.0227(10) to 2.081(1) Å for 3 and 2.044(3) to 2.139(3) Å for 4, all of which fall in the normal range of literature values.  

The outstanding feature of these structures is that the coordinated solvent molecules as important structural components promote formation of the diverse structures, as summarized in Scheme 1.

**PXRD patterns and thermal stability analyses**

The phase purity of compounds 1-4 was confirmed by PXRD characterizations (Fig. 7a) and elemental analyses. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on the pure powder samples of 1-4 from 30 to 650 °C, Fig. 7b. The multi-step weight losses of 1 from room temperature to 385 °C corresponded to the losses of free and terminal coordinated EG molecules and those as bridging ligands (about 32.6%, calc. 32.4%). 2 was stable up to 300 °C; the sharp weight loss from 300 to 400 °C corresponded to the release of μ$_2$-DMSO molecules (about 28.7%, calc. 28.6%) which necessarily brought about the collapse of the framework. The open framework of 3 began to release free DMA molecules at room temperature followed by the escape of CH$_3$COOH molecules from the major framework, which inevitably caused the decomposition of the whole structure. In the temperature range of 90 °C to 390 °C, 4 orderly released terminal EtOH molecules, terminal H$_2$O molecules, and free and terminal DMF molecules (weight loss: 43.1%, calc. 43.6%). All the four complexes are unstable at ambient conditions probably because of the strong interaction between Mg$^{2+}$ ions in the frameworks with water molecules in the air which may cause the collapse of the framework.

**Optical properties**

Photoluminescence (PL) properties of compounds 1-3 in the solid state were investigated at room temperature. Crystals of 3 emits bright green light centred at 475 nm (monitored at $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ = 415 nm), Fig. 8, which is near the white light section convinced by the CIE chromaticity diagram, Fig. S11. While 1 and 2 show relatively weak emission with peaks centred at 480 and 455 nm ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ = 407 nm and 375 nm), respectively, Fig. 8a. Monitored at 300 nm excitation wavelength, the free H$_2$TDC ligand features a blue light emission with a narrow peak centred at 350 nm, and the emission can be attributed to the $\pi^* \rightarrow n$ transition within the ligand.  

Compared with the emission spectra of H$_2$TDC ligand, a bathochromic shift of the luminescence is observed in the three compounds. Considering the electronic configuration of Mg$^{2+}$, the red-shift of emission may be derived from the increase of the ligand conjugation degree after coordinated with Mg$^{2+}$ ions.

Nonlinear optics (NLO) possess practical importance due to its applications in telecommunications, optical storage, information processing and so on. One of the most common NLO behaviours is second-harmonic generation (SHG), in which a NLO material can amplify light signal by doubling the frequency. NLO properties require that the crystal structure belongs to the noncentrosymmetric space group. Considering the acentric nature of the structure of 4, the SHG properties of 4 was investigated on the powder samples by using the Kurtz–Perry method. An approximate estimation was carried out on a pulsed Q-switched Nd:YAG laser at a wavelength of 1064 nm. The intensity of the green light ($\lambda$ = 532 nm) produced by the
crystal powder of 4 is about 0.5 times that of a KDP (KH₂PO₄) marker, which is sound among other SHG materials. Fig. S12.
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Four Mg-TDC CPs have been isolated solvothermally in which the solvent molecules play vital roles in constructing the diverse structures.