CrystEngComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received ooth January 2012,

Accepted ooth January 2012 DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Active site engineering in UiO-66 type Metal Organic Frameworks by intentional creation of defects: A theoretical rationalization

Matthias Vandichel,^{*a*,*} Julianna Hajek,^{*a*} Frederik Vermoortele,^{*b*} Michel Waroquier,^{*a*} Dirk E. De Vos,^{*b*} Veronique Van Speybroeck^{*a*,*}

The catalytic activity of the Zr-benzenedicarboxylate (Zr-BDC) UiO-66 can be drastically increased if some BDC-linkers are missing, as this removes the full coordination of the framework metal ions. As a result, metal centers become more accessible and thus more active for Lewis acid catalysed reactions. Addition of modulators (MDL) to the synthesis mixture can create more linker deficiencies (Vermoortele et al., J Am Chem Soc, 2013, 135, 11465) and leads to a significant increase of the catalytic activity due to the creation of a larger number of open sites. In this paper, we rationalize the function of the modulators under real synthesis conditions by the construction of free energy diagrams. The UiO-66 type materials form a very appropriate test case as the effect of addition of modulators HCl and trifluoroacetate (TFA) has been intensively investigated experimentally for the synthesis process and post-synthetic thermal activation. In synthesis conditions, direct removal of BDC linkers requires a high free energy but replacement of such linker by one or more TFA species might occur especially at high TFA:BDC ratios in the reaction mixture. Post-synthesis activation procedures at higher temperatures lead to a substantial removal of the species coordinated to the Zr-bricks creating open metal sites. A mechanistic pathway is presented for the dehydroxylation process of the hexanuclear Zr-cluster. For the citronellal cyclization, we show that the presence of some residual TFA in the structure may lead to faster reactions in complete agreement with experiment. Hirshfeld-e partial charges for the Zr-ions have been computed to investigate their sensitivity to substituent effects; a strong correlation with the experimental Hammett parameters, and with the rates of the citronellal cyclization is found. The theoretical rationalization may serve as a basis for detailed active site engineering studies.

ARTICLE

Introduction

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are part of the class of hybrid materials. They are porous crystals, composed of interconnecting inorganic and organic moieties.¹⁻⁴ Due to their unparalleled porosity and because of the similarity with zeolites and other porous catalysts, MOFs were initially assumed to have a potential as catalysts for a wide range of reactions.⁵⁻¹¹ Stability issues (thermal, chemical and mechanical) severely limit the possible industrial applications of many MOFs. The UiO-66 type materials display high thermal stability and retain their crystal structure in relatively extreme conditions. They fulfil the high requirements needed to be useful in commercial applications.¹²⁻¹⁴ They are typically composed of inorganic [Zr₆O₄(OH)₄]¹²⁺ bricks and terephthalate linkers forming a coordinatively saturated framework with eightfold coordination of Zr. Surprisingly, the UiO-materials showed catalytic activity,¹⁵ which was ascribed to missing linkers in the structure.^{16, 17} Similarly, missing terephthalate linkers were also needed to explain the catalytic activity of the vanadium MOF MIL-47 for the epoxidation of cyclohexene.^{18, 19} An increase of the observed catalytic activity is in most cases due to the creation of a larger number of active sites, or due to modulating effects resulting from functionalization of the linkers. It has been demonstrated that UiO-66 materials synthesized from terephthalates substituted with electron withdrawing groups are significantly more active.¹⁷ Many other isoreticular UiOmaterials have been made with different organic linkers,13, 14, 20-²⁷ making use of different protocols including a post synthetic functionalization,^{26, 28-32} post-synthetic substitution of Zr⁴⁺cations,^{33, 34} impregnation with metal nanoparticles,³⁵⁻³⁹ etc. This shows the great versatility of UiO-66 type materials, with many possible industrial catalytic applications ahead.

As missing linkers create open Zr-sites which are accessible for catalytic transformations, procedures were developed to increase these structural defects within the UiO-66 type materials by varying the modulator concentration,⁴⁰⁻⁴² synthesis time⁴⁰ and synthesis temperature⁴³. Vermoortele et al.⁴¹ demonstrated how a correct use of monocarboxylic modulators, such as trifluoro acetic acid (TFA) in combination with hydrochloric acid (HCl), leads to more active sites within the UiO-66 after a post synthesis treatment under vacuum at elevated temperature.41 The main conclusions can be summarized: (i) TFA does not affect the crystallinity nor the crystal size; (ii) TFA increases the activity for several Lewis acid catalysed reactions; (iii) thermal treatment of the material leads not only to dehydroxylation of the Zr-bricks, but also to post-synthetic removal of TFA, creating more open metal sites; (iv) a combined use of TFA and HCl during the synthesis even increases the activity of the TFA modulated material.

UiO-66 material is an optimal test case for a theoretical study in an attempt to elucidate the underlying mechanism of modulation, as the material shows the unusual phenomenon to exhibit a significant amount of missing linker defects, as

RSCPublishing

reported by many other authors. Wu et al. have shown that the amount of missing linkers can be tuned systematically by increasing the concentration of the acetic acid modulator and the synthesis time.⁴⁰ In contrast to what has been observed in the case of TFA, other modulators such as acetic and benzoic acid increase the crystallinity and particle size of the UiO-66.⁴⁴ Recently, replacing BDC by benzene-1,3,5-tricarboyxlic acid (BTC) in a synthesis using monocarboxylic acids resulted in structures with even larger pore sizes.^{45, 46} Especially MOF-808⁴⁵ has great opportunities in catalysis, e.g. after a thermal activation, all Zr-sites become accessible for catalytic transformations.

The role of addition of HCl to the reaction mixture forms an interesting item in the whole synthesis process too, but its influence is not straightforward and strongly dependent on the other synthesis conditions. It greatly accelerates the synthesis of UiO-66 materials without loss of crystallinity or porosity.^{47, 48} However, the crystallization is slower than upon addition of water, due to the protonation of the linker in strongly acidic conditions.⁴⁸

The effect of the synthesis temperature and linker concentration on the thermal stability and defectivity of UiO-66 has also been investigated by the Oslo group.⁴³ They prepared an almost defect free material when the synthesis temperature is increased to 220 °C together with an increased linker concentration using a BDC:Zr molar ratio of 2.1.

In the search for MOFs containing correlated defect nanoregions allowing a controlled design of complex active sites for targeted catalysis, the recent work of Cliffe et al. is truly inspiring.49 They replaced Zr by Hf to fabricate UiO-66(Hf),⁵⁰ belonging to the same isoreticular family, and found that correlations between cluster defects - i.e. missing Hf₆O₄(OH)₄(BDC)₁₂ parts in the framework - can in fact be introduced and controlled, offering a lot of new perspectives. However, this new MOF falls outside the scope of the present study and will not be investigated. Defect engineering in MOFs becomes more and more actual and not restricted to UiOmaterial. Defect-engineered variants of $[Ru_3(btc)_2Cl_{1,5}]$ have been recently synthesized with compounds multifunctional properties ranging from hydrogenation to oxidation and Lewis acid catalysis.⁵¹ In the same spirit of engineering of structural defects, we refer to the work of Farrusseng and coworkers.⁵²

Molecular modelling can serve as a complementary tool to find a plausible explanation for the above mentioned features. One of the main objectives of this paper is to gain a greater understanding of the chemical transformations of the UiO-66 type materials during the synthesis and post-synthesis processes under real operating conditions. To the best of our knowledge such theoretical calculations have not yet been performed up to now. They open a lot of perspectives in particular when the applied methodology turns out to be successful in reproducing the main features occurring in the synthesis and post-synthesis processes of UiO-66 when tuning the various synthesis degrees

of freedom. The thus obtained knowledge can be regarded as a breakthrough toward active site engineering in MOFs by intentional creation of defects.

All modified synthesis procedures result in many missing linkers, as expressed in the larger pore size distribution, elemental analysis or TGA.^{16, 17, 41, 47, 53}

An in-depth theoretical rationalization of the mechanisms governing the defect creation is very challenging and various aspects need to be taken into account. A first issue concerns the defect creation during synthesis and how it can be tuned by adding modulators (TFA, HCl, H₂O) to the reaction mixture in specific reaction conditions, such as temperature and concentrations of the different ingredients. A second issue deals with thermal activation during a post-synthetic process and the removal of different compounds, such as intra-framework bound water and TFA. These processes are very competitive and will be subjected to a thorough investigation. Further, a lot of attention is drawn to the dehydroxylation of the inorganic brick. In this work a dehydroxylation pathway will be proposed, which is not straightforward and which results from a rather complex two-step procedure. First, molecular dynamics techniques have been applied to explore the free energy surface and to identify plausible pathways. In a second step, the detected intermediate species are further investigated in combination with the nudged elastic band^{54, 55} (NEB) method to reveal the minimum energy pathways between them. The NEB method was previously also used on MOFs to investigate the structural transformations of COMOC-2.56

Finally, different engineered active site models are studied for catalysis and attempts are made to correlate partial Hirshfeld-e ⁵⁷ charges of the exposed Zr-sites with the catalytic activity.

In the remainder, we focus on the computational results rather than on the methodology. We refer to the Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for further details and a summary of all investigated species and links between them at synthesis and activation conditions (**Figure S4** and **S5**).[†]

Results and discussion

A. Defect creation during synthesis of UiO-66

A.1 Replacement of linkers by trifluoroacetate (TFA), chloride or hydroxide.

In UiO-66 synthesis, 1,4-benzenedicarboxylates (BDCs) are typically incorporated as organic linkers. In a defect free cubic Zr-terephthalate UiO-66 material, each Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ octahedron is surrounded by 12 terephthalate linkers, resulting in large octahedral and small tetrahedral cages. However, under certain synthesis conditions, linker deficiencies may arise and active materials for catalysis were found to have on average only 8 carboxylates surrounding the cluster.⁴¹ In literature,^{16, 41} in depth discussions with experimental rationalizations were devoted to the question whether linker removal occurs in an ordered way. These deficiencies can vary between a complete loss of a terephthalate linker to the replacement of BDC by one of the modulators present in the reaction mixture. These species may coordinate on the inorganic [Zr₆O₄(OH)₄]¹²⁺ brick. Herein, we present a free energy discussion of various plausible structures and it is anticipated that some structures have a larger probability to occur than others. For the theoretical calculations we assume that beside the BDC linkers also TFA and HCl modulators and H_2O are available in the reaction mixture. If we concentrate on one linker deficiency per unit cell, many combinations are possible. For the sake of transparency in the discussion, each possible synthesis product is represented by a shorthand notation, as outlined in **Table 1**. We calculated the free energy of formation for all of the possible structures with respect to the defect-free reference state A (X(BDC), **Figure 1**). To guarantee mass balance, a pool of isolated molecules is introduced, taking up the removed linker/substituent and withdrawing those molecules from the pool needed to form the new structures. In the calculations all isolated pool molecules are treated in the gas phase.

Table 1: Summary of the notation of the different structures formed during synthesis and post-synthesis treatment. In the Y-structures Cl⁻ is incorporated into the inorganic part of the framework. For convenience the notation RCOO⁻ is introduced representing half a BDC²⁻ linker, and TFA in the formula should be read as CF₃COO⁻.

	shorthand	unit cell formula	changes with respect to reference structure
А	X(BDC)	$\left\langle \text{Zr}_{6}\text{O}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{4}$	reference structure
В	X(TFA)	$\langle ZrO_{1}(OH)_{1}(RCOO)_{1}(TFA) \rangle$	BDC \rightarrow TFA
		$\langle Zr_{O}(OH), (RCOO), \rangle$	
		$\langle ZrO_{1}(OH)_{1}(RCOO)_{10} \rangle$	
		(
С	X(2TFA)	$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{11}(\mathrm{TFA})\right\rangle_{2}$	BDC → 2TFA
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr_{6}O_{4}(OH)_{4}(RCOO)_{12}} \right\rangle_{2}$	
D	X(2H ₂ O)	$\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{11}(OH) \rangle_2$	BDC \rightarrow 2H ₂ O
		$\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{12} \rangle_2$	
E	X(2HCl)	$\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{11}(\mathrm{Cl}) \rangle_{2}$	BDC \rightarrow 2HCl
		$\left\langle \text{Zr}_{6}\text{O}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{2}$	
F	$X^{H_2O\uparrow}(BDC)$	$\left< Zr_6O_5(OH)_2(RCOO)_{12} \right>$	removal of one H ₂ O
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{3}$	Hom one Zig-brick
G	X(0)	$\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{11} \rangle_{2}$	removal of one BDC linker
		$\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \rangle_{2}$	miker
Н	$X^{H_2O\uparrow}(TFA)$	$\langle \text{Zr}_6\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_2(\text{RCOO})_{11}(\text{TFA}) \rangle$	removal of one H ₂ O from one Zr ₄ -brick and
		$\langle \text{Zr}_{6}\text{O}_{5}(\text{OH})_{3}(\text{RCOO})_{11} \rangle$	BDC →TFA
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{2}$	
Ι	$X^{2H_2O\uparrow}(BDC)$	$\langle \text{Zr}_6 \text{O}_6(\text{RCOO})_{12} \rangle$	removal of two H ₂ O from one Zr _c -brick
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{3}$	nom one En ₆ onek
J	$X^{2H_2O\uparrow}(TFA)$	$\langle Zr_6O_6(RCOO)_{11}(TFA) \rangle$	removal of two H ₂ O from one Zrbrick and
		$\langle Zr_6O_5(OH)_3(RCOO)_{11} \rangle$	BDC \rightarrow TFA
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12}\right\rangle_{2}$	
K	$X^{H_2O\uparrow}(0)$	$\left< \text{Zr}_{6}\text{O}_{6}(\text{OH}) \left(\text{RCOO}\right)_{11} \right>$	removal of one H ₂ O from one Zreprick and
		$\langle \text{Zr}_6 \text{O}_5(\text{OH})_3(\text{RCOO})_{11} \rangle$	removal of one BDC
		$\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{12} \rangle_2$	linker
L	Y(BDC)	$\langle Zr_6O_4Cl(OH)_3(RCOO)_{12} \rangle_2$	incorporation of Cl in two Zre-bricks of the
		$\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \rangle_{2}$	reference structure (OH →Cl ⁻ in two bricks)
М	Y(2H ₂ O)	$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\overline{\mathrm{O}_{4}\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{11}(\mathrm{OH})} \right\rangle_{2}$	rearrangement of
		$\left\langle Zr_{6}O_{4}(OH)_{4}(RCOO)_{12}\right\rangle _{2}$	incorporating Cl ⁻ into the cluster
Ν	X(TFA,HCl)	$\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{11}(TFA) \rangle$	BDC→TFA and HCl
		$\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{11}(Cl) \rangle$	
		$\left\langle \mathrm{Zr}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{12} \right\rangle_{2}$	
0	$Y^{2H_2O\uparrow \ 2HCl\downarrow}$	$\langle \text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4\text{Cl}(\text{OH})_3(\text{RCOO})_{11}(\text{Cl}) \rangle_2$	incorporation of Cl ⁻ in
	(2HCl)	$\left< Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{12} \right>_2$	reference structure and
			replacement of two
			by Cl ⁻

Page 4 of 11



Figure 1: Different linker replacements X(L) which take place during synthesis of UiO-66 with BDC, TFA, HCl and H₂O in the reaction mixture. Free energy differences in kJ/mol are reported with respect to the reference configuration A during synthesis conditions (T = 130 °C, p = 1 bar). A pool with isolated linkers and modulators in gas phase is added to guarantee mass balance in the equilibrium reactions. The results were obtained in a periodic calculation with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

All possible synthesis products X(L) are visualized in Figure 1 with indication of the free energy differences at synthesis conditions of T=130 °C and p=1 bar. A complete removal of a terephthalate linker (structure G) requires 300 kJ/mol and is unlikely to occur. However the formation of several other defect structures requires a lower free energy. The presence of TFA as modulator yields structures which are energetically reachable. The formation of structure C with two TFA groups coordinated at the metal in the space left by removal of a BDC linker only requires 85.9 kJ/mol. The probability of formation of such a structure can be significantly increased by enlarging

the ratio TFA/BDC in the reaction mixture. This is exactly what is observed experimentally.⁴¹ Note that no solvent effects were included in our calculations, especially for structures with open Zr-sites inclusion of explicit solvent molecules would most probably result in an additional stabilization of these structures with respect to X(BDC). However, solvent effects will not qualitatively change the results displayed in Figure 1. Remark that a description of solvent effects is not straightforward as the synthesis mixture consists of many different species, which are able to coordinate with open metal-sites.

Despite the fact that the fully saturated structure A (X(BDC)) is by far the thermodynamically most stable structure, it is not excluded that also other linker deficiencies

can occur, and that their probability grows with increasing excess of H₂O, HCl, TFA or any other modulator with respect to the dicarboxylate linker.41 In principle, this excess - in combination with well-chosen synthesis parameters such as

temperature ⁴³ and synthesis time ⁵⁸ – may lead to defect sites which are displayed in Figure 1.

Some defect structures are less probable. The incorporation of hydroxyl groups requires a free energy of 240.2 kJ/mol at synthesis conditions.

The decomposition of the free energy into enthalpic and entropic contributions can shed insight into the underlying reasons why some defect deficiencies occur with larger probability than others (see Table 2). The enthalpic penalty of 393.1 kJ/mol corresponding to a removal of a terephthalate linker $(C \rightarrow G)$ is hardly compensated by the entropy gain. This large value can also be interpreted as a large stabilization induced by the coordination of two carboxyl groups. Starting from structure G (X(0)) with four uncoordinated Zr-sites, any coordination with the metal yields extra stabilization which is clearly visible in Figure 1. This stabilization can vary a lot, but it is significantly larger when all open Zr-sites are coordinated. This is best illustrated with the double TFA incorporation showing a reaction enthalpy of -385.8 kJ/mol (with respect to G (X(0)) which is of the same order as restoring the defect site with a terephthalate linker. The difference between X(BDC) and X(2TFA) lies mainly in the entropic contribution which is much higher in the latter (compare +171.7 kJ/mol and +92.6 kJ/mol (relative with respect to X(0) (Table 2)). In reality the enthalpy barriers may be somewhat reduced as all isolated molecules which are removed are treated in the gas phase here but under circumstances of synthesis will also be stabilized to some extent by the molecular environment of the reaction mixture. In any case the breakage of the inorganic-organic linkage is the main source for the large enthalpy penalties observed here.

Beside trifluoroacetate incorporation, chloride and hydroxide incorporation, starting from HCl and water respectively, were also considered. Incorporation of chloride (structure E (X(2HCl)) is clearly favoured over water incorporation (structure D ($X(2H_2O)$), with reaction free energy differences of -160.5 and -60.3 kJ/mol respectively, but a combined structure X(TFA,HCl) yields an even larger stabilization energy (-182.2 kJ/mol).

Summarizing, while linker deficiencies arise during the synthesis, their formation is certainly not thermodynamically preferred. However the introduction of modulators such as TFA considerably reduces the free energy barrier for formation of linker deficiencies. It may be anticipated that defect formation

is a kinetic process which is also highly dependent on synthesis temperature, synthesis time and modulator concentrations. TFA is a modulator which competes best with the BDC linkers, which is evidenced by the energy calculations, and which is also observed experimentally when increasing the TFA:BDC ratio in the synthesis.⁴¹ Similarly, chloride incorporation becomes more likely at high HCl/TFA ratios.

Table 2: Free energies and their enthalpic and entropic contributions for the formation of defect structures with respect to the defect-free reference site A (X(BDC)) at synthesis conditions (T = 130 °C, p = 1 bar). All energies in kJ/mol. The results were obtained with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

		ΔG	ΔH	$-T.\Delta S$
Α	X(BDC)	0.0	0.0	0.0
С	X(2TFA)	85.9	6.9	79.1
Ν	X(TFA,HCl)	118.3	68.3	49.9
Е	X(2HCl)	140.0	117.9	22.1
В	X(TFA)	190.6	199.0	-8.4
D	X(2H ₂ O)	240.2	215.9	24.3
G	X(0)	300.5	393.1	-92.6

A.2 Incorporation of chlorine in the inorganic $\mathrm{Zr}_6\mathrm{O}_4(\mathrm{OH})_4$ bricks

Chlorides in the reaction mixture, either arising from ZrCl₄ or from added HCl, can be incorporated in the framework in two ways: (i) the Cl⁻ anions can peripherically coordinate to the $[Zr_6O_4(OH)_4]^{12+}$ cluster by replacing a BDC linker (structure X(2HCl); see section A.1), and (ii) the Cl⁻ anion can be incorporated into the cluster itself by replacing OH⁻ groups (structures Y(BDC) and Y(2HCl)) (Figure 2). The latter modification has very recently been proposed by Lillerud et al. 53 who detected Cl⁻ residues within some of their synthesized UiO-66 materials, originating from the Zr-precursor ZrCl₄. They postulated that the chloride might substitute the μ_3 -OH groups on the cluster (structure L (Y(BDC)) in Figure 2a). This is indeed possible, as Cl⁻ is present in the ZrCl₄ and ZrOCl₂ precursors that are typically used, or can be added in the form of HCl.^{47, 48} If HCl is added during the synthesis, Cl⁻ anions were also found in the materials by elemental analysis.47

The incorporation of chloride is theoretically quite feasible, as the Gibbs free energy (ΔG) for the reaction A + 2HCl \rightarrow L + 2H₂O amounts to -3.4 kJ/mol at 130 °C (Figure 2a). Pure energetically Y(BDC) is even more stable than the standard defect-free X(BDC) structure, but there is an entropic penalty which compensates the enthalpic release of 53.8 kJ/mol at a temperature of about 157 °C, and which will become dominant at higher synthesis temperatures. In such conditions, Clincorporation is no longer favoured, which is in perfect agreement with the procedure to make a pure Cl-free UiO-66 at 220 °C applied by Shearer et al.43; as for this UiO-66 no chlorine was found after 2 DMF washes, Cl- was not incorporated in the inorganic brick during synthesis. This is in sharp contrast with the same material, which has been synthesized at 100 °C by the same authors, but where the Cl could not been washed out by DMF (see Table S4 of ref. 43).



Figure 2a-b: Free energy differences (green) with respect to the reference X(BDC) structure in (a) and X(2HCl) in (b) for the incorporation of Cl⁻ in the frame during synthesis (T = 130°C, p = 1 bar). The enthalpic (blue) and entropic (orange) contributions are also given. The energies are given in units of kJ/mol. The results were obtained with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

On the other hand, Cl⁻ can also compensate linker deficiencies, as in structure E (X(2HCl)). In principle one can consider a migration of a chloride ion from a position outside the cluster (extra-framework Cl⁻) to a position inside the cluster, such as the transformation X(2HCl) \rightarrow Y(2H₂O) visualized in Figure 2b. On basis of energetic considerations the incorporation of Cl in the cluster is however highly inhibited as the Gibbs free energy difference amounts to +140.9 kJ/mol. This is not in contradiction with experimental findings. Indeed, one can easily expect that the chlorine that is only coordinated with the Zr-bricks is easier washed away by DMF as been observed in the synthesis of UiO-66 at 100 °C by the Oslo group.⁴³ Extraframework Cl⁻ will also be easily removed by a post-synthesis treatment (Table 3), but this will be discussed in the next session. In Figure 2b another route for incorporation of Clwithin the inorganic brick during synthesis at 130 °C is presented, but the formed structure O is also unlikely to be formed ($\Delta G = 58.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$).

Concluding, Cl⁻ incorporation within the inorganic $[Zr_6O_4(OH)_4]^{12+}$ brick, with formation of $[Zr_6O_4Cl(OH)_3]^{12+}$ is expected to happen at low synthesis temperatures, which was also observed experimentally.⁴³

B. Thermal activation or post synthesis activation

In the previous subsection, the most probable synthesis products have been discussed with a variety of defect structures at synthesis conditions of the material (T = 130 °C, p = 1 bar). For use of the materials as catalysts, the as-synthesized materials are activated by a post-synthesis procedure to create open metal sites. The processes during thermal activation are discussed in the two following sections. A complete overview

B.1 Creation of active, uncoordinated Zr-sites by thermal removal of coordinating species.

Vermoortele et al. ⁴¹ have shown that thermal activation of the trifluoroacetate (TFA) substituted UiO-66 leads not only to dehydroxylation of the hexanuclear $[Zr_6O_4(OH)_4]^{12+}$ cluster but also to post-synthetic removal of the TFA groups. These processes result in a more open framework with a large number of open sites, and consequently a higher catalytic activity for Lewis acid catalyzed reactions. In this paper, the post-synthesis activation treatment was modelled in the conditions T=320 °C and $p=10^{-3}$ mbar starting from the structures displayed in **Figure 1 (Table 3)**.

The higher temperature with respect to the synthesis conditions results in defect formation processes that are more entropy driven. This could be anticipated from the entropy contributions in the formation of the various defect structures in the previous section (Table 2). The fully coordinated UiO-66 structure X(BDC) will still not undergo a deterephthalation (direct removal of terephthalic acid or BDC) as the free reaction energy of 189.2 kJ/mol (Table 3) is too high. This process will not occur during the synthesis or the post-synthesis treatment without the destruction of the linker.¹⁶ Additionally, theremoval of TFA from all structures in which TFA is coordinated to the zirconium is very probable, in view of the low or even generally negative free energies for all these processes (see Table 3). This is in sharp contrast with the BDC removal from structure X(BDC): 189.2 kJ/mol versus -1.4 kJ/mol for the removal of the two coordinated TFA molecules in X(2TFA). This feature is highly remarkable as the two structures show similar large enthalpy stabilization effects at synthesis conditions (Figure 1). It is the entropy term which at 320 °C becomes sufficiently large to compensate the enthalpic stabilization (Table 3).

Another phenomenon becomes important at thermal activation conditions: dehydroxylation of the material becomes competitive with TFA withdrawal. To illustrate this, we start from the formed X(TFA) structure and investigate the free energy change associated with water or TFA removal at post-synthetic conditions (**Figure 3**). A partial dehydroxylation is thermodynamically even more favourable (free energy difference of -55.6 kJ/mol. Such partial dehydroxylation. Both steps are in competition, in view of reaction free energies of the order of -25 kJ/mol (see **Figure S.5** of the ESI).

It should be noted that in case of UiO-66-NO₂, not all TFA molecules could be removed by a post synthesis treatment,⁴¹ by the simple reason that the temperature needed to remove TFA just coincides with the decomposition temperature of the material.



Figure 3: Water versus TFA removal from structure X(TFA) at post-synthesis activation conditions (T=320 °C and $p=10^{-3}$ mbar). Free energy differences (green), enthalpic (blue) and entropic (orange) contributions are also given. The results were obtained with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

Table 3: Creation of defect sites at activation conditions (T = 320 °C, p = 10^{-3} mbar) from all synthesis structures displayed in **Figure 1**. The results were obtained with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

	$\Delta G_{\rm r}$	$\Delta H_{\rm r}$	$-T.\Delta S_r$
$X(BDC) \rightarrow X(0) + BDC$	189.2	390.4	-201.2
$X(TFA) \rightarrow X(0) + TFA$	2.6	191.3	-188.7
$X(2TFA) \rightarrow X(0)+2TFA$	-1.4	380.3	-381.7
$X(2H_2O) \rightarrow X(0) + 2H_2O$	-130.0	171.5	-301.5
$X(2HCl) \rightarrow X(0) + 2HCl$	-29.3	272.3	-301.6
$X(TFA,HCl) \rightarrow X(TFA) + HCl$	-23.0	129.2	-152.2
$X(TFA,HCl) \rightarrow X(0) + HCl +$	-20.4	320.5	-340.8
TFA			

If linker deficiencies coordinated with hydroxide or chloride ions at the periphery of the cluster are present in the framework after synthesis (e.g. structures X(2HCl) or X(2H₂O)), a thermal activation will remove all these molecules with reaction free energy differences of -65.0 kJ/mol (per H₂O molecule) and -14.7 kJ/mol (per HCl molecule) (**Table 3**).

B.2 Mechanism of the dehydroxylation processes of inorganic $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ bricks.

In the lowest energy configuration, hydrogen atoms of hydroxyl groups are expected to be ordered in a tetrahedral fashion in the inorganic bricks (**Figure S.1**). To unravel the dehydroxylation processes and the intermediate structures involved, we applied a two-step procedure. First, we explored the free energy surface by performing an NPT simulation of our model system at a pressure of 10^{-9} bar with already one terephthalate linker missing in the structure (for further computational details, see ESI). The temperature was increased step by step (100 K per 2 ps) to enhance the dehydroxylation process. At a certain temperature (1273 K), we observed the simultaneous decoordination of a μ_3 -OH and one of three neighbouring terephthalates. Based on this simulation, a decoordinated state

CrystEngComm

was constructed for the small model system (with two Zr-bricks in a unit cell), and further optimized (structure **18** as given in the ESI). With one missing BDC linker, the formula becomes $\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{12}Zr_6O_6(OH)_2(RCOO)_{10} \rangle$. The two Zrbricks are displayed in **Figure 5**. We investigate the dehydroxylation of brick **1** as initial unperturbed structure **0** (**Figure 4**).



Figure 4: Electronic energy profile for the first dehydroxylation reaction. The relevant atoms leading to the final removal of water are encircled. The linkers, which are not involved in the dehydroxylation, are not displayed. The electronic energies were obtained from an energy refinement (on all the images) with the PBE-D3(BJ) functional, employing an energy cut off of 400 eV.

Inspired by this decoordinated state observed during the NPT simulations, we performed a series of nudged elastic band (NEB) simulations^{54, 55} between the initial structure **0** and end structure 27 in order to fully construct the electronic profile associated with the dehydroxylation along pathway 1. The resulting profile is given in Figure 4. A maximum is encountered at structure 7, which corresponds to a structure in which one carboxylic group is partially decoordinated from the Zr atom and in which the hydroxyl group is also detached from the Zr atom. After that some intermediate structures are observed where the linker coordinates to the same Zr atom (structure 12) and afterwards coordinates back to the two Zr sites (structure 20). Structure 20 is an energetic minimum on the potential energy surface and at that moment the hydroxyl group is in the right position to allow the coordination of the linker with two Zr atoms.

The released hydroxyl will react further by abstracting a proton of another available μ_3 -OH group (structure 23), followed by the release of a water molecule (structure 27).

The process of dehydroxylation is also schematically shown in **Figure 5**. After water removal, the unit cell formula contains two inorganic bricks with each two hydroxyl groups (formula: $<Zr_6O_5(OH)_2(RCOO)_{12}Zr_6O_6(OH)_2(RCOO)_{10}>$. This structure can undergo two additional dehydroxylation reactions (pathways 2 and 3, see **Figure 5**) The second dehydroxylation of the $[Zr_6O_4(OH)_4]^{12+}$ brick results to a $[Zr_6O_6]^{12+}$ brick with two neighbouring oxygen defects. One Zr-atom is then sixfold



Figure 5: In the top of the figure the structure of the modelled UiO-66 crystal is displayed with indication of the unit cell. Zrbrick 1 with formula $\langle Zr_6O_4(OH)_4(RCOO)_{12} \rangle$ is intact with no missing linker, while brick $2 \langle Zr_6O_6(OH)_2(RCOO)_{10} \rangle$ has one missing terephthalate linker. Dehydroxylation processes can start from brick 1 and from brick 2. Coordination numbers of the different Zr-atoms in the brick are given (encircled). Oxygen vacancies displayed as grey squares.

coordinated, one is eightfold coordinated and four sevenfold; on the average this also results in a sevenfold Zr-coordination as demonstrated by EXAFS measurements.¹⁶ In the work of Cavka et al.,¹² the second dehydroxylation of a fully coordinated structure yields a $[Zr_6O_6]^{12+}$ brick in which each Zr should be threefold coordinated by an oxo-oxygen atom. The $[Zr_6O_6]^{12+}$ brick is thus assumed to have opposite oxygen defects. This looks at first glance in contradiction with **Figure 5**. However, in case of neighboring oxygen defects, the dehydroxylated cluster can undergo an oxygen shift to form the brick with two opposite oxygen defects.

Some comments have to be made regarding the dehydroxylation process. First, in case of a higher number of missing linkers, no dehydroxylation can take place. The dehydroxylation pathways would also change if linker deficiencies are present; as is schematically shown in the bottom of **Figure 5**.

C. Linker deficiencies and their role on the catalytic activity

The catalytic activity of the UiO-66 material has already been investigated by the authors in a combined experimental theoretical work. 17, 41 The citronellal cyclization was taken as a model reaction, as both activity and selectivity for the isopulegol isomers were strongly dependent on the Lewis acidity of the active site. However, there are many factors governing the experimentally measured activity, making a direct comparison with theory very challenging. The activity depends on (i) the number of active sites that effectively take part in the reaction, and on (ii) the Lewis acid strength, intrinsically responsible for the reaction rate of a single event. This excludes a direct quantitative comparison of the measured citronellal conversion with theory. What theory should in principle be able to reproduce, is the relative increase/decrease of the observed conversion by electronic effects. We demonstrated that some specific functionalizations of the linkers of the UiO-66 material can significantly increase the catalytic activity for the citronellal cyclization. For example, nitro-substituted UiO-66 (UiO-66-NO₂) resulted in an experimental 56-fold increase of the reaction rate, which could be qualitatively reproduced by theoretical modelling.¹⁷ Hereto, extended cluster models have been systematically applied, and despite their inadequacy to fully take the environment into account, they have shown their merits in the description of single reaction events, and in the qualitative prediction of the reaction rate constants. To obtain preliminary insight into the modulation effects of TFA, HCl,... on the reactivity, an extended cluster approach was also used in this paper. For reference purposes we choose to model the reaction rate constant for the citronellal cyclization which has been thoroughly tested experimentally.

We examined the role of the linker deficiencies with traces of TFA coordinated to the metal site. In a recent work of the authors ⁴¹ it is found that the addition of small quantities of TFA to the synthesis already significantly increases the activity, although a maximum activity is obtained when adding 20 equiv of TFA. This is a serious indication that the increase of activity is due to the creation of a larger number of open sites rather than to a modified acid strength. To verify this, we constructed extended clusters with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (see **Figure S.7**), in which we varied the TFA position to evaluate whether TFA has a stronger influence if it is in the immediate surroundings of the active Zr-site. Two terephthalate linkers, closest to the active site are maintained in the cluster. The other linkers are replaced by formate groups. One of them is replaced by TFA.

Despite the assumptions made in the model space, the results are able to give a first impression on the extent to which the citronellal cyclization activity varies between the UiO-66 and

UiO-66/TFA models (and UiO-66-NO₂ and UiO-66-NO₂/TFA models respectively). All kinetic data are summarized in **Table 4**. The apparent kinetic data are based on gas phase reactants while the intrinsic data are determined from the adsorbed reactants. We also rely on the concerted character of the citronellal cyclization on Lewis acid catalysts, as was proposed in earlier studies. ^{17, 59}

Table 4. Kinetic data for the citronellal cyclization to isopulegol at 373 K (clusters in **Figure S.6**). Free energy, enthalpy and entropy contributions in the adsorbed state (ads) and in the transition state (\ddagger) (kJ/mol). The rate constants (k_{373}^{fwd}) are given in units of m³.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹ for the apparent kinetics and in s⁻¹ for the intrinsic kinetics. The relative rates versus the non-modulated UiO-66 material are also given in brackets.

Pre-TS	ΔG_{373}^{ads}	ΔH_{373}^{ads}	$-T.\Delta S_{373}^{ads}$		
UiO-66*	-15.7	-88.6	72.9		
UiO-66/TFA1	-19.4	-93.0	73.6		
UiO-66/TFA2	-18.7	-92.2	73.5		
UiO-66-NO ₂ *	-23.7	-104	80.4		
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA1	-30.3	-109.6	79.3		
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA2	-26.6	-107.5	80.8		
apparent	$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{373}$	$\Delta H_{373}^{\ddagger}$	$-T.\Delta S_{373}^{\ddagger}$	$k_{373}^{ m fwd}$	
UiO-66*	56.4	-44.2	100.6	3.03E+03 (1.0)	
UiO-66/TFA1	51.5	-49.2	100.6	1.49E+04 (4.9)	
UiO-66/TFA2	52.0	-49.1	101.1	1.24E+04 (4.1)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ *	37.4	-67.8	105.2	1.40E+06 (463.3)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA1	32.8	-72.8	105.5	6.18E+06 (2039.1)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA2	32.1	-71.6	103.7	7.79E+06 (2572.1)	
intrinsic	$\Delta G_{373}^{\ddagger}$	$\Delta H_{373}^{\ddagger}$	$-T.\Delta S_{373}^{\dagger}$	k_{373}^{fwd}	
UiO-66*	72.1	44.4	27.8	6.15E+02 (1.0)	
UiO-66/TFA1	70.9	43.8	27.0	9.28E+02 (1.5)	
UiO-66/TFA2	70.7	43.1	27.6	9.72E+02 (1.6)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ *	61.1	36.4	24.7	2.17E+04 (35.4)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA1	63.1	36.8	26.3	1.13E+04 (18.4)	
UiO-66-NO ₂ /TFA2	58.7	35.8	22.9	4.68E+04 (76.2)	
*data taken from ref. ¹⁷					

The presence of a TFA group in the cluster model results in a stronger adsorption enthalpy of the reactant which generally leads to more stable adsorption free energies for the reactant, transition and product states relative to the clusters without TFA insertion. On average, the TFA group does not change the intrinsic or apparent entropy contribution to the free energy.

Relative to the UiO-66 model, the apparent rate acceleration of the modified models is systematically higher than the intrinsic rate acceleration. The electronic modulation effect of the nitro linker functionalization is even more pronounced with the presence of the TFA modulator. This theoretical result confirms what has been observed experimentally ⁴¹, that the more open pore structure and the larger number of open sites, resulting from a combined use of TFA and HCl during the synthesis, strengthen the electronic effect of the nitro group. Free energy profiles are displayed in **Figure 6**, clearly showing the order of activity based on the apparent barriers: UiO-66 < UiO-66/TFA < UiO-66-NO₂ < UiO-66-NO₂/TFA.

Note that the employed extended cluster model attains his limits in these applications, and that more expensive periodic calculations could give more insight in the influence of the

CrystEngComm

environment. For reactions taking place on adjacent Zr-sites, such as the aldol condensation ¹⁵ and the Oppenauer oxidation ¹⁷ cluster models are no longer sufficient.



Figure 6. Free-energy profiles, calculated at 373 K, for citronellal cyclization to isopulegol. Results for UiO-66 and UiO-66-NO₂ were adopted from ref.¹⁷

In an attempt to elucidate the different electronic modulation patterns induced by the substituents, we investigated the charge on the open Zr-site of the different cluster models in relation with the activation enthalpy for the citronellal cyclization. Of the several charge population schemes, we prefer to choose the recent Hirshfeld-e partitioning scheme⁵⁷ as it combines electrostatic potential accuracy with transferability. For a series of functionalized UiO-66-X materials some of the present authors have previously found a linear free energy relationship (LFER) between activity in Lewis-acid catalysed reactions and the experimental Hammett constant $\sigma_m^{\ \ 60}$ as a measure of the electronic nature of the ligands.¹⁷ In this work, we aimed at finding a theoretical criterion which correlated well with the experimental Hammett constants. Within this scope we calculated the Hirshfeld-e charges of the metal in ten different cluster models (Figure S.10) and plotted them versus $\sigma_m,\,\sigma_p$ and an average combination of them (σ_{avg} =log(10^($\sigma_p)$ + $10^{(\sigma_m)}$, as substituents can either be in ortho or meta position with respect to the active Zr-site. For all three Hammett constants, a rather good correlation with the Hirshfeld-e charges was found ($R^2 > 0.85$). Figure 7 displays the correlation diagram belonging to σ_{avg} , which shows the best agreement. This clear correlation suggests that Zr Hirshfeld-e charges may be regarded as a valid alternative to predict a qualitative indication of the catalytic activity of hypothetical UiO-66-X variants. From the data reported here (Figure 7), we estimate that UiO-66's with CN or CF₃ substituent groups will have a catalytic activity that is comparable to that of UiO-66-NO₂.



Figure 7. Hirshfeld-e charge of Zr versus an average Hammett constant σ_{avg} . The cluster models are shown in Figure S.10.

Conclusions

In idealized non-defective UiO-66 type materials the inorganic $[Zr_6O_4(OH)_4]^{12+}$ bricks are fully coordinated with benzenedicarboxylate (BDC) linkers and the Zr sites are thus inaccessible for catalytic activity. This activity can be switched on if linker deficiencies can be introduced in the material, creating structural coordinatively unsaturated Lewis acid sites. Modulation approaches during the synthesis are experimentally the most prominent and efficient techniques to tune the synthesized product, as the experimentalist freely disposes of a lot of parameters, such as synthesis time, synthesis temperature, nature of the modulators (MDL) and their concentration. In this paper, an attempt is made to elucidate the processes taking place during the synthesis, in particularly the role of modulating species tri-fluoroacetic acid (TFA) modulator, HCl and H₂O in the creation and stabilization of linker deficiencies with varying synthesis conditions, and afterwards during post-synthesis activation treatments with removal of linkers, dehydroxylation, etc. whereby open accessible active Zr-sites are created for catalysis. Most of the experimental findings, observed after synthesis and post-synthesis procedures, done by various experimental groups, have been confirmed by theory on basis of pure energetic grounds. To the best of our knowledge this is the first theoretical study where the formation of linker deficiencies in various synthesis conditions has been investigated in such a structural way.

An increase of the catalytic activity of the UiO-66 material and its variants can be due to a larger number of missing linkers in the structure, or due to electronic effects induced by incorporating electron-withdrawing groups on the terephthalate linkers. Experimentally, it is not always trivial to discriminate between these two features, making theoretical calculations very challenging and complementary to the experiment. The two features can even be combined, to form the best performing catalyst. In this context, the calculations reveal the UiO-66-NO₂ compound, prepared using HCl and TFA in the reaction mixture, as the most active catalyst. This fully confirms what experimentally has been observed. Other variants, which are expected to perform equally well, have also been suggested, but should be confirmed by experiment.

We summarize the most relevant features related to the synthesis of UiO-66 and its variants, and valid for both

experiment as theory: the end product is very sensitive to the synthesis conditions;

(i) the coordination of two TFA groups instead of a standard BDC linker is quite favoured and its prevalence increases with increasing TFA:BDC concentration in the reaction mixture;

(ii) adding HCl to the synthesis reaction mixture promotes the occurrence of a larger variety of linker deficiencies (2HCl, TFA/HCl) and thus a larger number of defects;

(iii) the addition of HCl at low synthesis temperatures (< $150 \circ C$) enables the incorporation of Cl anions into the

cluster replacing hydroxyl groups;

(iv) thermal activation removes most of the coordinating MDL species, creating more open Zr-sites;

(v) thermal activation also promotes dehydroxylation or water removal, and is competitive with removal of a coordinating TFA

(vi) functionalization of the linkers can significantly affect the Lewis acid strength of the metal and thus the reaction rate constant.

Further, we proposed a pathway for the first dehydroxylation of the inner $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$ bricks at activation conditions. A decoordination of a terephthalate linker precedes the formation of a released hydroxyl group, that then undergoes a protonation with another hydroxyl group to split off water.

We also tested the activity for the citronellal cyclization towards isopulegol over the different variants of UiO-66 catalysts. At low activation temperatures, TFA traces remain in the framework and hence might have an extra electronic effect on the catalytic activity. We indeed found some slight enhancement effects in the reaction rate constant. In addition, a remarkable correlation could be established between the activation enthalpies and the Hirshfeld-e charges of the active Zr-site, implying that we can use these charges as a predictive tool to estimate the activity of UiO-variants with other substituents of the functionalized linkers.

The success of these theoretical calculations has revealed new complementary insights into the synthesis process, and opens a lot of perspectives in guiding experimentalists in the design of optimal catalysts.

Acknowledgements

M.V. acknowledges funding from the Scientific Research-Foundation Flanders (FWO) for a postdoctoral fellowship. J.H., V.V.S. and D.D.V thank the FWO for funding (project number 3G048612). V.V.S., D.D.V. and M.W. acknowledge BELSPO in the frame of IAP-PAI P7/05. V.V.S. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme [FP7(2007--2013) ERC grant agreement number 240483]. The computational resources and services used in this work were provided by VSC (Flemish Supercomputer Center), funded by the Hercules foundation and the Flemish Government – department EWI.

Notes and references

^a Center for Molecular Modeling, Universiteit Gent, Technologiepark
 903, B-9052 Zwijnaarde, Matthias.Vandichel@Ugent.be

^b Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, Universiteit Leuven, Arenbergpark 23, B-3001 Leuven (Belgium)

^{\dagger} Remark that within the fully saturated inorganic brick $Zr_6O_4(OH)_4$, there are two possibilities of hydrogen orientation (cfr. Figure S1 and

S2). In all our calculations, we have opted for the tetrahedral orientation given in **Figure S1**, because this arrangement corresponds with the lowest energy configuration.^{40, 53} In the presented reaction schemes, BDC is replaced into two RCOO groups. When using the abbreviations TFA and BDC, we mostly refer to the protonated – i.e. charge neutral – molecules. Footnotes should appear here. These might include comments relevant to but not central to the matter under discussion, limited experimental and spectral data, and crystallographic data.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1. G. Férey, Chem Soc Rev, 2008, 37, 191-214.
- J. L. C. Rowsell and O. M. Yaghi, *Micropor Mesopor Mat*, 2004, 73, 3-14.
- S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew Chem Int Edit*, 2004, 43, 2334-2375.
- O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, *Nature*, 2003, 423, 705-714.
- D. Farrusseng, S. Aguado and C. Pinel, *Angew Chem Int Edit*, 2009, 48, 7502-7513.
- J. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *Chem Soc Rev*, 2009, **38**, 1450-1459.
- 7. L. Ma, C. Abney and W. Lin, Chem Soc Rev, 2009, 38, 1248-1256.
- A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *Catal Sci Technol*, 2011, 1, 856-867.
- A. Dhakshinamoorthy and H. Garcia, *Chem Soc Rev*, 2012, 41, 5262-5284.
- M. Yoon, R. Srirambalaji and K. Kim, *Chem Rev*, 2012, **112**, 1196-1231.
- A. Corma, H. Garcia and F. X. L. I. Xamena, *Chem Rev*, 2010, **110**, 4606-4655.
- J. H. Cavka, S. Jakobsen, U. Olsbye, N. Guillou, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga and K. P. Lillerud, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2008, **130**, 13850-13851.
- V. Guillerm, S. Gross, C. Serre, T. Devic, M. Bauer and G. Ferey, Chem Commun, 2010, 46, 767-769.
- M. Kandiah, M. H. Nilsen, S. Usseglio, S. Jakobsen, U. Olsbye, M. Tilset, C. Larabi, E. A. Quadrelli, F. Bonino and K. P. Lillerud, *Chem Mater*, 2010, **22**, 6632-6640.
- F. Vermoortele, R. Ameloot, A. Vimont, C. Serre and D. De Vos, *Chem Commun*, 2011, 47, 1521-1523.
- L. Valenzano, B. Civalleri, S. Chavan, S. Bordiga, M. H. Nilsen, S. Jakobsen, K. P. Lillerud and C. Lamberti, *Chem Mater*, 2011, 23, 1700-1718.
- F. Vermoortele, M. Vandichel, B. Van de Voorde, R. Ameloot, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck and D. E. De Vos, *Angew Chem Int Edit*, 2012, **51**, 4887-4890.
- K. Leus, M. Vandichel, Y. Y. Liu, I. Muylaert, J. Musschoot, S. Pyl, H. Vrielinck, F. Callens, G. B. Marin, C. Detavernier, P. V. Wiper, Y. Z. Khimyak, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck and P. Van der Voort, *J Catal*, 2012, **285**, 196-207.
- M. Vandichel, S. Biswas, K. Leus, J. Paier, J. Sauer, T. Verstraelen, P. Van Der Voort, M. Waroquier and V. Van Speybroeck, *ChemPlusChem*, 2014, **79**, 1183-1197.
- 20. S. Biswas and P. Van der Voort, Eur J Inorg Chem, 2013, 2154-2160.
- 21. Y. T. Huang, W. P. Qin, Z. Li and Y. W. Li, *Dalton T*, 2012, **41**, 9283-9285.
- M. L. Foo, S. Horike, T. Fukushima, Y. Hijikata, Y. Kubota, M. Takata and S. Kitagawa, *Dalton T*, 2012, **41**, 13791-13794.

 S. Devautour-Vinot, G. Maurin, C. Serre, P. Horcajada, D. P. da Cunha, V. Guillerm, E. D. Costa, F. Taulelle and C. Martineau, *Chem Mater*, 2012, 24, 2168-2177.

CrystEngComm

- C. Zlotea, D. Phanon, M. Mazaj, D. Heurtaux, V. Guillerm, C. Serre, P. Horcajada, T. Devic, E. Magnier, F. Cuevas, G. Ferey, P. L. Llewellyn and M. Latroche, *Dalton T*, 2011, 40, 4879-4881.
- Q. Y. Yang, A. D. Wiersum, P. L. Llewellyn, V. Guillerm, C. Serred and G. Maurin, *Chem Commun*, 2011, 47, 9603-9605.
- 26. M. Kim, S. J. Garibay and S. M. Cohen, *Inorg Chem*, 2011, **50**, 729-731.
- G. Wissmann, A. Schaate, S. Lilienthal, I. Bremer, A. M. Schneider and P. Behrens, *Micropor Mesopor Mat*, 2012, **152**, 64-70.
- 28. M. Kim and S. M. Cohen, Crystengcomm, 2012, 14, 4096-4104.
- G. E. Cmarik, M. Kim, S. M. Cohen and K. S. Walton, *Langmuir*, 2012, 28, 15606-15613.
- W. Morris, C. J. Doonan and O. M. Yaghi, *Inorg Chem*, 2011, 50, 6853-6855.
- M. Kandiah, S. Usseglio, S. Svelle, U. Olsbye, K. P. Lillerud and M. Tilset, *J Mater Chem*, 2010, 20, 9848-9851.
- S. Chavan, J. G. Vitillo, M. J. Uddin, F. Bonino, C. Lamberti, E. Groppo, K. P. Lillerud and S. Bordiga, *Chem Mater*, 2010, 22, 4602-4611.
- 33. M. Kim, J. F. Cahill, H. H. Fei, K. A. Prather and S. M. Cohen, J Am Chem Soc, 2012, 134, 18082-18088.
- C. H. Lau, R. Babarao and M. R. Hill, Chem Commun, 2013, 49, 3634-3636.
- Z. Guo, C. Xiao, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, L. Zhou, T. W. Goh, X. Li, D. Tesfagaber, A. Thiel and W. Huang, *Acs Catal*, 2014, 4, 1340-1348.
- L. J. Shen, W. M. Wu, R. W. Liang, R. Lin and L. Wu, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 9374-9382.
- L. B. Vilhelmsen and D. S. Sholl, J Phys Chem Lett, 2012, 3, 3702-3706.
- R. Kardanpour, S. Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, M. Moghadam, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, A. R. Khosropour and F. Zadehahmadi, *J Organomet Chem*, 2014, **761**, 127-133.
- J. Zhu, P. C. Wang and M. Lu, *Appl Catal a-Gen*, 2014, 477, 125-131.
- H. Wu, Y. S. Chua, V. Krungleviciute, M. Tyagi, P. Chen, T. Yildirim and W. Zhou, J Am Chem Soc, 2013, 135, 10525-10532.
- F. Vermoortele, B. Bueken, G. Le Bars, B. Van de Voorde, M. Vandichel, K. Houthoofd, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck, C. Kirschhock and D. E. De Vos, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2013, **135**, 11465-11468.
- P. Xydias, I. Spanopoulos, E. Klontzas, G. E. Froudakis and P. N. Trikalitis, *Inorg Chem*, 2014, 53, 679-681.
- G. C. Shearer, S. Chavan, J. Ethiraj, J. G. Vitillo, S. Svelle, U. Olsbye, C. Lamberti, S. Bordiga and K. P. Lillerud, *Chem Mater*, 2014, 26, 4068-4071.
- 44. A. Schaate, P. Roy, A. Godt, J. Lippke, F. Waltz, M. Wiebcke and P. Behrens, *Chem-Eur J*, 2011, **17**, 6643-6651.
- H. Furukawa, F. Gandara, Y. B. Zhang, J. C. Jiang, W. L. Queen, M. R. Hudson and O. M. Yaghi, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2014, **136**, 4369-4381.
- W. B. Liang, H. Chevreau, F. Ragon, P. D. Southon, V. K. Peterson and D. M. D'Alessandro, *Crystengcomm*, 2014, 16, 6530-6533.
- 47. M. J. Katz, Z. J. Brown, Y. J. Colon, P. W. Siu, K. A. Scheidt, R. Q. Snurr, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, *Chem Commun*, 2013, 49, 9449-9451.

- F. Ragon, P. Horcajada, H. Chevreau, Y. K. Hwang, U. H. Lee, S. R. Miller, T. Devic, J. S. Chang and C. Serre, *Inorg Chem*, 2014, 53, 2491-2500.
- M. J. Cliffe, W. Wan, X. Zou, P. A. Chater, A. K. Kleppe, M. G. Tucker, H. Wilhelm, N. P. Funnell, F. X. Coudert and A. L. Goodwin, *Nat Commun*, 2014, 5, 4176.
- S. Jakobsen, D. Gianolio, D. S. Wragg, M. H. Nilsen, H. Emerich, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, U. Olsbye, M. Tilset and K. P. Lillerud, *Phys Rev B*, 2012, 86.
- O. Kozachuk, I. Luz, F. X. L. I. Xamena, H. Noei, M. Kauer, H. B. Albada, E. D. Bloch, B. Marler, Y. M. Wang, M. Muhler and R. A. Fischer, *Angew Chem Int Edit*, 2014, **53**, 7058-7062.
- U. Ravon, M. Savonnet, S. Aguado, M. E. Domine, E. Janneau and D. Farrusseng, *Micropor Mesopor Mat*, 2010, **129**, 319-329.
- G. C. Shearer, S. Forselv, S. Chavan, S. Bordiga, K. Mathisen, M. Bjorgen, S. Svelle and K. P. Lillerud, *Top Catal*, 2013, 56, 770-782.
- 54. G. Mills, H. Jonsson and G. K. Schenter, *Surf Sci*, 1995, **324**, 305-337.
- G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga and H. Jónsson, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2000, **113**, 9901-9904.
- 56. Y. Y. Liu, S. Couck, M. Vandichel, M. Grzywa, K. Leus, S. Biswas, D. Vollmer, J. Gascon, F. Kapteijn, J. F. M. Denayer, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck and P. Van der Voort, *Inorg Chem*, 2013, **52**, 113-120.
- T. Verstraelen, P. W. Ayers, V. Van Speybroeck and M. Waroquier, Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation, 2013, 9, 2221-2225.
- 58. H. Wu, T. Yildirim and W. Zhou, J Phys Chem Lett, 2013, 4, 925-930.
- M. Vandichel, F. Vermoortele, S. Cottenie, D. E. De Vos, M. Waroquier and V. Van Speybroeck, *J Catal*, 2013, 305, 118-129.
- 60. C. Hansch, A. Leo and R. W. Taft, Chem Rev, 1991, 91, 165-195.