CrystEngComm

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

Glycine homopeptides: the effect of the chain length on the crystal structure and solid state reactivity†

Aaron J. Smith, Farukh I. Ali and Dmitriy V. Soldatov*

Department of Chemistry, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd. E., Guelph, ON, N1G 2W1, Canada. Email: dsoldato@uoguelph.ca; Fax: (+1) 519-766-1499; Tel: (+1) 519-824-4120, x53548

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Crystal structure data in CIF format for 4G and 5G (CCDC 993137 and 993138). Representative FTIR spectra of volatile products released during TGA experiments of γ 1G, α 2G, β 3G, 4G, 5G and 2G(p-h).

Table of contents entry and graphics:

A series of linear oligoglycines has been studied to reveal the trends in their crystal structure, molecular conformation and thermally induced reactions.

Abstract

A series of linear oligoglycines has been studied using X-ray diffraction, thermal analysis complemented with simultaneous FTIR spectroscopy, gas chromatography – mass spectrometry analysis, and ${}^{1}H / {}^{13}C$ NMR. The new and previously reported data are rationalized to reveal the effect of the chain size on the crystal structure, molecular conformation and thermal stability of the oligopeptides in the solid state, as well as thermally induced transformations (pyrolysis) in the solid state and the gaseous phase. Tetraglycine (4G) and pentaglycine (5G) form triclinic crystals, *P*-1, where they adopt a zwitterionic form and fully extended chain conformation. The antiparallel β sheet arrangement is similar to that in β-triglycine (β3G) and α -diglycine (α 2G) but differs from the Form I of polyglycine (polyG). The stability sequence α 2G < β 3G < 4G < 5G has been attributed to the stabilizing environment in the solid phase. For all studied glycines, the thermal degradation is a kinetic process complicated by multiple parallel pathways and sensitivity to the experimental conditions. These pathways include defragmentation reactions (mainly deamination and decarboxylation) and condensation reactions (formation of new peptide bonds), the latter yielding cyclo-diglycine (c2G, or 2,5-diketopiperazine or DKP) as a major product. Further pyrolysis results in polymerization (solid samples) or further fragmentation (gaseous phase). The overall cyclization reaction α 2G(solid) = c2G(solid) + H₂O(gas) is endothermic with $\Delta H = 63.7(4)$ kJ/mol at 492 K. The standard enthalpy of the reaction α 2G(solid) = c2G(solid) + H₂O(liquid) was estimated to be $\Delta H^{298} \approx 18$ kJ/mol meaning the reaction is thermodynamically unfavorable under ambient conditions. Finally, the cyclization reaction mechanisms are discussed for the whole series studied.

Introduction

A new found interest in short peptides has stemmed from the idea of utilizing these unique molecules in the design of template matrices for solid state reactions. Solid state organic synthesis (also known as "solvent-free synthesis") has emerged as a new domain of synthetic organic chemistry**¹** and to date, almost all main types of organic reactions have been conducted in the solid state.**2,3** The main advantages of such synthetic methods – simplicity and economy (solvent-free, often yield a single product without a need for separation), high (usually quantitative) yields, as well as specificity of the product (including stereospecificity) – resonate well with the principles of Green Chemistry.**⁴** However the placement of reactant molecules in a desired mutual arrangement within the solid state is a challenging task preventing wide spread adaptation. One approach to resolve this issue is based on the co-crystallization of the reactant with suitable template molecules as demonstrated previously in some

Page 3 of 29 CrystEngComm

oligo- and polymerization reactions.**5,6** Peptides may be used to build these key template matrices as they readily form inclusion compounds and co-crystals with various organics.**⁷** Peptides are advantageous over other molecules due to the high degree of predictability of their crystal structure motifs and potential for modification simply by changing the side groups on the peptide backbone.**⁸** Short peptides are also non-toxic and ecofriendly and therefore the solids they form would be a suitable media to host "green" synthetic reactions.

The utilization of the peptide matrix in solid state synthesis requires a comprehensive knowledge of the molecular versus crystal structure relationship among peptides of different length and type, as well as the pathways of their solid state transformations induced by external stimuli, and the stability limits of the overall solids. These key solid state characteristics have not been sufficiently and systematically studied in their mutual relation when it comes to peptides. For example, most studies on the solid state reactivity are limited to specific, pharmaceutically relevant peptides and proteins and pay minimal attention to their crystal structure.**9-11**

Fig. 1 Linear glycines in zwitterionic form: general formula and torsion angles describing molecular conformation.

This work focuses on a series of linear glycine homopeptides (Fig. 1), their crystal structure, molecular conformation and solid state stability, as well as their thermally induced transformations in the solid versus gaseous phase. Since glycine is the simplest amino acid, oligoglycines are frequently used as models in experimental and theoretical studies on amide linkages and conformational preferences in peptides and proteins**12-23** as well as the degradation pathways of these important biomolecules.**24-27** Most of these studies refer to the molecules in vacuum or solution. Experimental solid state data are available only either for the smallest members of the series (1G, 2G and 3G) or the polypeptide (polyG). The medium-size oligomers are scarcely studied due to their low solubility and other limitations.**²⁸** This study rationalizes, based on both newly obtained and previously reported data, several trends that occur in the series. In particular, we show how the chain length of the peptide affects the resulting crystal structure, as well as stability and thermally induced chemical transformations. Further, we compare the properties and behavior of the peptide molecules in the solid phase with the same molecules under other conditions.

Experimental section

General

All glycine oligomers were obtained from Chem-Impex (assays >99%) and used without further purification. The polymorphic forms of all previously studied glycines were determined using PXRD: γ1G,**²⁹** α2G**³⁰** and β3G**³¹**. These polymorphs are the most stable forms of the compounds at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

Single crystals of 4G and 5G were obtained by crystallization from water**³²**. The room temperature solubility of glycine oligomers in water decreases dramatically with the number of residues: 201.10, 72.35, 5.25 and 1.50 mg/ml for 2G, 3G, 4G and 5G, respectively.**³³** Due to this fact large volumes (>15 mL) of saturated solutions of 4G and 5G were prepared at \sim 90 $^{\circ}$ C and then were allowed to simultaneously evaporate and cool down to room temperature during two or three days, until <5% of the original volume remained. The crystals grew on the walls of the vial as extremely thin sheets aggregated into clusters. Samples suitable for XRD analysis were obtained after several (4G) or numerous (5G) crystallization attempts.

"Pre-heated" 2G, abbreviated here as "2G(p-h)", was prepared by heating α 2G (270 mg, 2.0 mmol) isothermally at 250° C in a ventilation oven for 30 min. The mass loss of 18.3% was significantly higher than 13.6% calculated for the escape of water associated with the condensation reaction. The recovered sample was black in color due to a minor black fraction insoluble in water and DMSO. The soluble fraction revealed the presence of c2G (cyclo-diglycine) as the main product (>60% of the whole sample) as attested by ¹H NMR (the singlet of two NH protons at 8.04 ppm and doublet of four CH₂ protons at 3.72 ppm, $J = 3.2$ Hz) and ¹³C NMR (a signal from two C=O at 166.95 ppm and two CH₂ at 44.77 ppm). The presence of c2G as a dominant product was also confirmed by PXRD analysis of the recovered sample as its powder pattern corresponded to the crystal structure of c2G reported previously.**³⁴** Finally, a crystal was selected from the sample (thin needle, 0.2 mm) and a single crystal XRD test (unit cell only) also confirmed c2G.³⁴ Another product of the soluble fraction (\sim 25%) was not identified.³⁵ Heating 2G for 30 min at 280°C resulted in similar results with a greater amount of

Page 5 of 29 **CrystEngComm**

insoluble fraction, while heating at 200°C showed no reaction (PXRD pattern of $\alpha 2G^{30}$); therefore only the product prepared at 250° C was used in further experiments.

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer. The samples (~2 mg) were dissolved in DMSO-d6 (1 mL; D 99.9%, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc). The data were analyzed using the TopSpin 3.1 software.

Compound	4G	5G
Empirical formula	$C_8H_{14}N_4O_5$	$C_{10}H_{17}N_5O_6$
M	246.2	303.3
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	$P-1$	$P-1$
a(A)	4.8042(2)	4.7995(3)
b(A)	14.8701(5)	14.9108(15)
c(A)	15.6243(5)	17.6905(19)
α (°)	67.284(3)	90.203(8)
β ⁽⁰)	85.298(3)	94.866(7)
γ ⁽⁰)	88.190(3)	91.976(7)
$V(A^3)$	1026.14(7)	1260.7(2)
Z	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$
$D_{\rm calc}$ (g/cm ³)	1.594	1.598
T(K)	295	295
Crystal color and habit	White sheet	White sheet
Crystal size (mm)	$0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.03$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.01$
Reflections collected	19837	17005
Unique reflections $(Rint)$	4181 (0.030)	4425 (0.063)
Refined parameters	309	381
R1 / wR2 (data with $I > 2\sigma_I$)	0.039/0.110	0.086 / 0.235
Residual min/max (e/A^3)	$-0.23 / +0.26$	$-0.31 / +0.66$

Table 1 Summary of crystallographic data

X-Ray diffraction (XRD)

CrystEngComm Page 6 of 29

All experiments were conducted at room temperature on a SuperNova Agilent single crystal diffractometer equipped with a microfocus Cu K_{α} (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation source and Atlas CCD detector. The data were processed using CrysAlisPro software.**³⁶**

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) experiments were performed with powder microsamples enclosed in 0.3 mm capillary tubes (Hampton Research) which were mounted on a goniometer head. Four frames were collected from different angular positions of the goniometer using ϕ-scan to cover 2θ range of 5- 60^o. Points of the powder pattern were generated from the original images with the step of 0.02° in 2 θ , with each of the point representing readings from 200 to 2000 pixels.

Single crystals of 4G and 5G were mounted on the tip of a glass fiber. Diffraction intensity data were collected using ω -scan to the maximum 2 θ angle of 150.6° and 133.2° (resolution of 0.80 and 0.84 Å) for 4G and 5G, respectively, with the redundancy factor \sim 4 and completeness >99%. The unit cell parameters were refined using the entire data sets. The structures were solved (direct methods) and refined (full-matrix least-squares on F^2) using SIR-92³⁷ and SHELXL-97.³⁸ Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined isotropically as riding, with U_{iso} values set to $1.2U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom. Three hydrogen atoms were located near each terminal nitrogen atom in a tetrahedral geometry implying the zwitterionic form in all cases. This form was also evident from the system of hydrogen bonds present. The distances and angles in the NH3 groups then were fixed but the groups were allowed to rotate around the N-C bond. Detailed analysis of the structures and preparation of graphics were carried out using WinGX**³⁹** and Olex.**⁴⁰** Crystal data and experimental parameters are summarized in Table 1. For further details see Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI).

Thermal analysis (DSC, TGA) and infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR)

The differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were conducted on a DSC Q-2000 and TGA Q-5000 IR analyzers, respectively (TA Instruments). The experiments were controlled using Q Advantage software and analyzed using Universal Analysis software associated with the instruments.

The DSC measurements were conducted with linear heating $(5^{\circ}/\text{min})$ in the 30-500 $^{\circ}$ C range under the flow of nitrogen (50 mL/min). The samples of α 2G and 2G(p-h) of various size (1.5 to 10.5 mg) were

Page 7 of 29 CrystEngComm

non-hermetically closed into Tzero aluminum pans. For the enthalpy measurements, the instrument was calibrated with indium before each experiment and two independent determinations were conducted, with 2.65 and 10.45 mg samples of α 2G.

The TGA measurements were conducted with \sim 10 mg samples placed in 100 μ L platinum pans and heated linearly from 30 to 800^oC in the flow of nitrogen. Two sets of the heating rate – N₂ flow rate were used: $5^{\circ}/\text{min} - 25$ mL/min and $20^{\circ}/\text{min} - 100$ mL/min. The second set was used when the TGA measurements were accompanied by the FTIR analysis of the released volatiles. For this purpose, the TGA furnace outlet was connected to Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 FTIR spectrometer through a stainless steel transfer line $(2 \text{ mm internal diameter}, \text{ coated with fused silica})$ heated to 220° C and a TGA-FTIR accessory with 23 mL gas cell and 100 mm beam path length heated to 250° C. The FTIR spectra were continuously collected in parallel with a TGA experiment at 8 cm^{-1} resolution, 8 scans per spectrum, and temporal resolution of 5.43 sec. The FTIR experiments were controlled and analyzed using OMNIC Specta software.

Gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

The samples were analyzed on an HP-5890 Series II gas chromatograph coupled to an HP-5971A mass selective detector. Hot aqueous solutions of the studied peptides were prepared immediately prior to the injection, with the concentration of 2.5 mg/mL for 5G and 5 mg/mL for all other compounds. About 8 μ L sample was injected in a split mode when injector was set to 300 $^{\circ}$ C (Restek 2 mm Gooseneck Splitless liner). A DB-5 ms column from Agilent was used (ID 0.25 mm, length 30 m, film depth 1 μ m). The oven was programmed at 250°C isothermally for 15.2 min. Purge valve was opened at 0.5 min at an 8 mL/min flow rate for 15 minutes, where helium (Grade 5, Linde) was used as a carrier gas. Solvent delay was set to 2.5 min. The detector, fitted with electron impact ionization source, was used at 300° C. Total ion chromatograms were acquired scanning for $30-400$ a.m.u. Compounds were identified and characterized by comparison of their retention times and mass spectra with mass spectral database (G1033A NIST/EPA/NIH-Library) associated with the instrument software.

Results and discussion

Crystal structures of 4G and 5G

Both 4G and 5G are triclinic, space group *P*-1. The centrosymmetry is possible due to the lack of a chiral center in glycine. In both structures, there are two crystallographically independent molecules

CrystEngComm Page 8 of 29

(labeled A and B), both in a zwitterionic form and almost ideal fully extended chain conformation (Figs. 2 and 3; Table 2). The molecules assembly into a flat antiparallel β sheet banded arrangement, with N-H...O=C hydrogen bonds between amide groups of the adjacent molecules (Figs. 2 and 3) as well as weak C_{α} -H...O=C hydrogen bonds. The β sheet bands are linked into infinite layers through charge-assisted $+N-H...O^-$ hydrogen bonds on the edges of the bands, between the terminal amine and carboxyl groups. Also, the same type of hydrogen bonds cross-links the layers into a 3D framework. A more detailed comparison of the two crystal structures and the structures of other glycine homopeptides is provided in the following sections.

Fig. 2 Antiparallel β sheet arrangement of molecules in the crystal of 4G: ORTEP drawing and labeling scheme. The molecules in the sequence BBAA... form a flat band where the next neighbor is antiparallel and only the fourth neighbor is related by a translation.

Fig. 3 Antiparallel β sheet arrangement of molecules in the crystal of 5G: ORTEP drawing and labeling scheme. The molecules in the sequence BBAA... form a flat band where the next neighbor is antiparallel and only the fourth neighbor is related by a translation.

Molecular conformations

The conformational behavior of glycine oligomers is of special interest. As the only unsubstituted amino acid, glycine is an important contributor to the conformational flexibility of peptide fragments in proteins. Collagen and silk fibroin are among many examples of highly flexible glycine-rich polymers occurring naturally. Conformationally flexible pentaglycine bridges cross-linking peptidoglycan layers in Staphylococcus aureus' cell walls are responsible for the walls high stability, as well as surface protein attachment.**43-45** In the intact bacterium cells, the pentaglycine bridges predominantly adopt a compact, coil conformation**⁴⁶** which easily rearranges into the extended conformation in response to a temperature change leading to swelling of the cell walls.**⁴⁷** There is a slow exchange between the two conformations at 0° C with the compact to extended form ratio of approximately 9:1.⁴⁸

There are a number of computational studies in the literature comparing different conformations of oligoglycines and their analogs. For an isolated oligopeptide, some studies support the extended form as the most stable,**18,41,49,50** while others suggest a different conformation type. **13,51** Computations in

CrystEngComm Page 10 of 29

solution environment do not support the extended conformation as energetically favorable.**13,52** Finally, experimental studies suggest the dominance of helical^{20,23,53} or compact cyclic²¹ forms in aqueous solutions.

	φ_{12}	Ψ ₁₂	φ_{23}	Ψ ₂₃	φ_{34}	Ψ 34	φ_{45}	Ψ 45	[Ref.]
α 2G	$-152.4 -155.1$								Crystal $\lceil^{30}\rceil$
2G	(145.3) (156.8)								Single molecule, calculated (c) \lceil ⁴¹]
β 3G, mol.A			-149.9 $+177.6$ -171.5 $+172.8$						Crystal \lceil ³¹]
β 3G, mol.B	-161.8		-165.9 $+174.5$ $+172.8$						Crystal $\binom{31}{ }$
3G			(148.5) (177.7) (172.8) (173.1)						Single molecule, calculated (c) \lceil ⁴¹]
4G, mol.A -149.3 $+178.1$ -171.2 $+173.7$ -177.4						-172.3			Crystal, this work
4G, mol.B -159.2 -165.2 $+172.0$					-175.6 $+172.8$	-174.6			Crystal, this work
4G			(169.2) (170.4) (175.3) (178.9) (170.6) (176.1)						Single molecule, calculated (c) \lceil ⁴¹]
5G, mol.A -158.9 -163.9 +171.4 -175.0 +173.0 -176.0							$+177.3$ $+174.2$		Crystal, this work
5G, mol.B +148.9 -178.7 +172.2 -172.6 +174.6 -175.1							$+172.8$ -173.7		Crystal, this work
I-polyG, chain 1		-149.9 $+146.5$							Crystal structure model $\binom{42}{ }$
$I-polyG$, chain 2	$+149.9 -146.5$								Crystal structure model $\binom{42}{ }$

Table 2 Torsion angles (^o) in some glycine homopeptides with a β sheet structure.^{(a),(b)}

(a) φ_{ii} and ψ_{ii} are the torsion angles (as defined in Fig. 1) between i and j residues. For example, for the molecule A in 4G (Fig. 2) φ_{12} is N1A-C1A-C2A-N2A and ψ_{12} is C2A-N2A-C3A-C4A.

(b) Standard (ϕ,ψ) angle sets: (180,180) fully extended chain; (-142,145) antiparallel-chain pleated β sheet; (-119,113) parallel-chain pleated β sheet; (-47,-57) right-handed α helix; (-49,-26) right-handed 310 helix. Simultaneous change of both signs produces a mirrored conformation.

(c) Only absolute values reported.**⁴¹**

In this context, it is very surprising that the 4G and 5G peptides in the crystal structures reported in this work adopt almost ideal fully extended conformation (Table 2). This is also true for the β polymorph of 3G.**³¹** There is a reasonably good agreement of the observed conformations with those calculated by Ivanova et al.**⁴¹** In contrast, the proposed conformation of polyG (Form I) corresponds to a classical

Page 11 of 29 CrystEngComm

antiparallel β sheet (Table 2).⁴² (Another polymorph of polyG, Form II, contains a helical conformation.**⁵⁴**) Moreover, the low molecular weight fraction of polyG may have a unique structure with the molecular conformation drastically different from both fully extended and antiparallel β types.**⁵⁵** These facts may suggest that although the same structural organization is observed in the β3G $-4G - 5G$ series, these molecules are not long enough to observe the formation of a structure similar to that of polyG. A possible explanation for the difference between oligoglycines studied in this work and polyG is a high concentration of charge-bearing amine and carboxyl terminal groups in the former. These groups set additional requirements on the crystal structure due to the necessity of optimal charge distribution within the crystal as well as the formation of numerous energetically favorable chargeassisted hydrogen bonds.

Solid state structure trends in glycine homopeptides

Polymorphism: 1G forms three polymorphs²⁹ of which γ 1G is the most thermodynamically stable at ambient conditions.⁵⁶ 2G forms three polymorphs,⁵⁷ of which α 2G seems to be the most stable. No report of γ2G has appeared since 1931**⁵⁷** and the full structure remains unknown. The crystal structure of β2G**⁵⁸** has H-bonded dimers and does not resemble any of the classical protein structure types. α2G is the most stable polymorph at $105^{\circ}C^{58}$ and, most likely, at ambient conditions. It has a monoclinic structure with one molecule in the asymmetric unit.**³⁰** This structure is related to the family of antiparallel β sheet structures reported and discussed in this work (Table 3). 3G was isolated in two polymorphic forms⁵⁹ but no report of α 3G has appeared since 1931 and the structure remains unknown. β3G, which is apparently the stable form of 3G, crystallizes in a triclinic structure with two independent molecules (A and B, see Table 2). The structure is an antiparallel β sheet type. 4G and 5G crystallized and studied in this work always grew as a single polymorph (as attested by PXRD tests). Higher glycine oligomers, to the best of our knowledge, have not been characterized for their crystal structure. PolyG is known to exist as two polymorphs (Forms I and II) of which Form II is trigonal, *P*31, with the molecules having a helical conformation.**⁵⁴** Form I is actually two polymorphs, both of the β sheet type.**⁵⁵** The relative stability of the Forms I and II is not known and would be difficult to determine experimentally due to the polymeric nature of the compound.

Trends in the β *sheet structures:* The comparison of structures of the antiparallel β sheet type is presented in Table 3. $α2G$ shows some resemblance to the higher oligomers and may be regarded as a simplified prototype in the series. β3G, 4G and 5G have a remarkably similar crystal structure which

CrystEngComm Page 12 of 29

may extend to the higher oligomers not studied yet. In all the three structures, there are two molecules (A and B) arranged in an antiparallel β sheet band as illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3. The band is very flat due to the fully extended molecular conformation of both A and B molecules and the H-bonds connecting neighboring molecules. The sequence of molecules in the band is AA'B'B..., where the two adjacent molecules of the same kind are related by an inversion center (e.g. A and A') while only the fourth neighbor is related to the initial by a translation. PolyG, Form I, may exist in at least two variations**42,55** of which the most stable (for high molecular weight) has two enantiomorphic chains as H-bonded neighbors in the β sheet, resulting in the AA'... sequence.**⁶⁰**

Table 3 reveals crystal packing similarities throughout the whole series, in spite of differences in the unit cell symmetry and dimensions. The maximum van der Waals size of the molecule changes by an increment of 3.5 to 3.7 Å per residue, as expected for an extended conformation. The low value of 3.5 Å for polyG is consistent with the chain conformation not being fully extended. The repeat distance in the chain-axis direction grows stepwise, with smaller steps from even to odd number of residues (2.62 Å from 2G to 3G; 2.07 Å from 4G to 5G) and greater otherwise (3.58 Å from 3G to 4G). The average distance between H-bonded molecules in the β sheet band falls into the narrow range of 4.8-5.1 Å defined by intermolecular H-bonding.

A very characteristic feature throughout the whole series is the distance between β sheet layers of 3.2- 3.4 Å. It is defined by the interlayer van der Waals contacts (cf. C...C van der Waals contact of 3.4 Å). The distance accounts for the strongest (or second strongest) peak on the simulated powder diffractograms of the peptides which should be a signature in all β sheet glycines. For example, it appears as a strong peak at 3.40 \AA^{42} or 3.45 \AA^{54} on the powder diffractogram of polyG, Form I, while there is no such a peak for polyG, Form II (helical structure).⁵⁴ The peak is absent for 3G*HCl⁶¹ or c6G*0.5H2O (cyclo-hexaglycine hemihydrate)**⁶²** as none of these structures have β sheet layers present. The powder patterns of antiparallel β sheet polyalanines all show the strongest peak corresponding to the interlayer distance of 5.1 Å, a substantial increase due to the methyl group of alanine.**⁶³** One should note there are some variations within the narrow 3.2-3.4 Å range. As a consequence of not fully extended conformation in polyG, adjacent molecules in the β sheet band come slightly closer (4.77 Å) causing some increase in the interlayer distance (3.38 Å). As expected, the density of ideal structure grows with the number of residues, as the peptide covalent links effectively replace van der Waals contacts in the crystal.

Page 13 of 29 **CrystEngComm**

	α 2G ³⁰	β 3G ³¹	4G ^(a)	$5G^{(a)}$	$PolyG^{(b)}$
Max van der Waals size of the molecule in the crystal (A)	9.9	13.5(A); 13.6 (B)	17.2 (A and B)	20.8 (A); 20.7 (B)	3.52 per monomer
Repeat distance in the chain-axis direction (\AA)	9.42	24.07 (two molecules)	15.62 $(=c)$	$17.69 (=c)$	7.04 $(=b;$ two monomers)
Order of molecules in the β sheet band ^(c)	AA'	AA'B'B	AA'B'B	AA'B'B	AA'
Direction of the β sheet band in the crystal	[0 1 0] (along b)	[013]	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	[3 1 0]	[1 0 0] (along a)
Average distance between adjacent molecules in the β sheet band (\AA)	4.78	5.11	5.09	5.09	4.77 $(=a/2)$
Crystal planes defining the orientation of the β sheet layers	$(20\ 2)$	$(2\bar{3}\bar{1})$	(130)	$(1\ 3\ 2)$	(0 0 1)
Distance between adjacent β sheet layers (A)	d_{20} $\bar{2}$ = 3.21 ^(d)	$d_2 \bar{3} \bar{1} =$ 3.29 ^(e)	$d_{130} = 3.31^{(e)}$	$d_1 \bar{3} \bar{2} =$ 3.32 ^(e)	$d_{001} = 3.38^{(e)}$
Calculated density ^(f) (g/cm^3)	1.516	1.572	1.594	1.598	1.67

Table 3 Comparison of the β-sheet-like structures of solid oligoglycines and polyG.

(a) Data of this work.

(b) Form I; monoclinic cell $(P2₁/c)$ with $a = 9.54$, $b = 7.044$, $c = 3.67$ Å and $\beta = 113^{\circ}$; antiparallel rippled β sheet model used.**⁴²**

(c) A and B are two crystallographically independent molecules, while A and A' are enantiomorths (related by an inversion center) and therefore antiparallel.

(d) One of two most intense peaks on the simulated powder diffractogram.

(e) The most intense peak on the simulated powder diffractogram.

(f) Room temperature for all the structures.

Stability trends in solid glycine homopeptides

Thermal stability of glycine oligomers under nitrogen atmosphere may be quantified using the onset temperatures of the initial mass loss on TGA curves (Fig. 4 and Table 4). As shown in the next section, the process associated with the initial mass loss is irreversible and therefore the onset temperatures are

CrystEngComm Page 14 of 29

related to the kinetic stability of the compounds. Due to the kinetic nature of the process, the onset temperature depends on the heating rate; therefore only relative stability is discussed here.

Fig. 4 TGA thermograms of studied glycines (stable polymorphs). The onset temperatures are listed in Table 4. Five bars on the right show calculated mass losses for the release of one mole of water for each of the five compounds. Purge: N_2 ; heating rate: $5^{\circ}/\text{min}$.

Table 4 Onset temperatures (^oC) of the initial mass loss of studied glycines subjected to TGA (average of three determinations).

	γ] $(i$	α 2G	B _{3G}	40 i	5G
Heating rate $5^{\circ}/\text{min}$	$221(2)^{(a)}$		$216(1)$ $231(1)$ $250(1)$		289(1)
Heating rate $20^{\circ}/\text{min}$	$244(2)^{(a)}$		$226(1)$ $237(2)$ $262(2)$		292(2)

(a) The value is derived from the tangent at the maximum rate mass loss but the gradual onset may indicate a delay due to an induction period (see text).

One can clearly see that the stability limit increases with the chain length in the series of β sheet structures as α 2G < β 3G < 4G < 5G. The main factor seems to be the stabilization environment in the solid phase. The peptide molecule must acquire a certain degree of freedom in order for any decomposition reaction to take place. Each additional residue adds two extra H-bonds as well as additional van der Waals contacts restricting the molecular motion. Another possible factor is the stability of the molecule itself. Although according to calculations the energy of the peptide bond does not depend significantly on the number of residues for a neutral oligoglycine in extended conformation,**⁵¹** in the studied solids the peptides exist in zwitterionic form, with two charges located

Page 15 of 29 CrystEngComm

on the opposite ends of the molecule. It is known that the zwitterionic form of amino acids and peptides is less stable for an isolated molecule, but becomes stabilized in solution or the crystalline state. For example, from both experimental^{64,65} and theoretical⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ studies glycine is not a zwitterion in the gaseous phase but is zwitterionic in any of its three crystalline forms.**²⁹** Better separation of charges in the fully extended conformation of the studied oligoglycines may reduce the charge density and additionally stabilize longer-chain zwitterions. Finally, as shown in the next section, the principal decomposition pathways differ for the glycines of different chain length. In particular, the cyclization reaction for 1G cannot occur in a single step that may explain why the thermogram of γ1G shows a more gradual onset, with the decomposition process delayed due to an induction period.

Pyrolysis of glycine homopeptides in the solid state

In order to examine the pathways of thermal decomposition under nitrogen (pyrolysis), the TGA experiments with simultaneous FTIR analysis of released volatiles were performed. The Gram-Schmidt curves showing total IR absorbance vs. temperature are shown in Fig. 5. Representative spectra are given in the Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI). In all cases, the decomposition proceeds in several overlapped steps, with the same set of species produced: H_2O , NH_3 , CO_2 , $HNCO$, CO and HCN. The formation of these volatiles has been observed and explained for 1G and 2G.**27,69-74** Samples recovered at 600 or 800^oC were black glassy residues which is consistent with the formation of partially decomposed polymeric matter.

The pyrolysis of the studied compounds (Fig. 5) shows similarity in the sequence the volatile products are released although the temperatures of the major steps vary. At the decomposition onset, two types of processes occur. The first type is defragmentation reactions, specifically deamination and decarboxylation (i.e. loss of NH₃ and CO₂) and possibly dehydrazination (the IR spectrum of hydrazine H_2N-NH_2 is almost identical to that of NH_3). These reactions should be followed by polymerization of remained less volatile fragments. The second type is the condensation reaction with the release of H_2O and formation of new peptide bonds. The intermolecular condensation can lead to higher linear peptides while intramolecular condensation to cyclic oligopeptides of which the major product is the cyclo-diglycine, c2G, also known as 2,5-diketopiperazine (DKP).

Fig. 5 Gram-Schmidt total IR absorbance vs. temperature for gaseous species evolved in TGA experiments (purge: N_2 , 100 mL/min; heating rate: 20^o/min).

At the temperatures above 350°C the major volatiles released are NH₃, CO₂, HNCO and CO while H₂O is not significant. The formation of NH_3 and CO_2 is still due to the defragmentation of linear peptides, through the stripping the amino and carboxyl terminals. Some of these terminals were present in the original molecules and survived the initial condensation step, while others could form in the reaction of the original molecules with c2G (e.g. $1G + c2G = 3G^{26,75}$). The condensation reaction is not noticeable here, probably because the concentration of pairs of amino and carboxyl terminals in close proximity to each other drops dramatically after the initial step. The release of HNCO and CO results from thermal decomposition of c2G (Fig. 6).^{27,76,77}

Finally, at the highest temperatures some amounts of HCN are observed. This is also a product of c2G decomposition (Fig. 6). Although c2G produced at lower temperatures is too volatile to remain in the TGA crucible, some amounts of c2G can form through depolymerization reaction of higher oligopeptides**24-27,77-80** (see the last section). The formation of HCN over HNCO is caused by the higher temperature, as demonstrated in the literature.**⁷⁷**

Fig. 6 Thermally induced decomposition pathways of c2G. The (a) and (b) defragmentation routes of β-lactam are the low- and high-temperature routes, respectively.**⁷⁷** Molecular masses are shown in square brackets.

The differences in the decomposition temperature profiles along the series (Figs. 4 and 5) can be explained assuming the formation of c2G is the main process at the very beginning which in turn could accelerate other decomposition routes. The formation of c2G from 2G is the easiest as it requires the formation of only one peptide bond and the only other product is the $H₂O$ molecule. In contrast, two peptide bonds should form in order to yield c2G from 1G, and so the onset is the slowest in the series. For higher oligomers the onset temperature gradually increases with the chain length. This increase is due to the dependancy of the depolymerization mechanism on the mobility of the molecules in the solid.

In order to confirm these conclusions, a sample of α 2G was pre-heated in a ventilation oven at 250^oC and the product referred here as $2G(p-h)$ was characterized and further studied. The NMR and XRD analyses confirmed c2G as the main component of 2G(p-h) but the observed mass loss implied other reactions with a release of volatiles as well (see Experimental section for details). A sample of 2G(p-h) was subjected to a TGA-FTIR experiment under similar conditions (Fig. 5). It is clear that although

CrystEngComm Page 18 of 29

some condensation still occurs for $2G(p-h)$, it is unlikely to be the cyclization of $2G$ but rather depolymerization of longer chains, as the first peak is positioned similar to that of 5G.

Pyrolysis in the gaseous phase and comparison

In order to compare the thermally induced transformations in the solid and gaseous phases, the same set of glycines was subjected to GC-MS analysis. At the injector site, a very small amount $(\sim 8 \mu L)$ of diluted peptide is instantly heated to 300° C allowing a reasonable assumption that all transformations induced at this temperature occur in the gaseous phase.

The gas chromatograms (Fig. 7) reveal only one peak. Although the intensity of the peak varies, the retention time and mass spectrum are practically identical in all cases. The mass spectra unequivocally indicate the formation of c2G from all the studied glycine homopeptides at 300° C in the gaseous phase. The absence of other peaks on the chromatograms is because other products that can form in the injector reaction space are either too light (e.g. H₂O) or too heavy (e.g. higher oligomers), so that their retention times either too short or very long. In other words, c2G is the only product in the middle molecular mass range formed from the glycines gasified at 300°C. One could see that the intensity of the c2G peak is maximal for 2G(p-h), somewhat lower for 2G, 3G and 4G, relatively low for 5G and very low for 1G. This observation is consistent with the easiness of c2G formation from different molecules in the series. In particular, the 2G(p-h) sample already contains c2G, while the formation of c2G from 1G is difficult being more than a one-step reaction.

Fig. 6 shows the major decomposition pathways of the c2G molecule leading to species observed in the mass spectra. The three major products are α -lactam, β-lactam and 4-imidazolidinone (3-, 4- and 5membered rings respectively). The formation of these cyclic molecules and their decomposition produces smaller species: HNCO, H_2CNH , H_2CCO , H_2CCH_2 , CO , H_2 and HCN. Some of these species appear on the FTIR spectra of volatiles produced in TGA of solid glycines (Fig. 5): HNCO and CO on moderate heating and HCN at high temperatures, while other species either do not form from the solid samples or quickly polymerize.

Fig. 7 Gas chromatograms of the studied compounds and mass spectra corresponding to the major peak at ~4.3 min. The weight amounts of injected compounds are the same except for 5G which is half the amount (see Experimental section).

In general, one can see both similarities and differences in the pyrolysis of the glycine homopeptides in the solid state and gaseous phase. In both cases a number of parallel reactions occur, with TGA-FTIR and GS-MS being complementary in detecting these reactions and species produced. A similar set of final volatile products forms from the solid and gaseous glycines and c2G forms on early stages in both cases.

At the same time, there are evident differences that must be mentioned here. First, the pyrolysis of solid glycines produces polymeric materials due to high concentration of reactive species formed. In the gaseous phase, fragmentation is more likely. Consequently, a number of reactive species seen in the GC-MS experiments do not show in TGA-FTIR but polymeric residues are recovered instead. Second, the same reaction (such as the formation of c2G) in the solid state could be easier conducted and scaled. In the gaseous phase, only small amounts may be reacted and very big amounts of solvent and energy are required. These considerations bring forth the idea of using the solid state pyrolysis as a possible synthetic method for c2G and other DKPs as discussed in the next section.

Formation of the cyclic dipeptide and cyclization mechanisms

The formation of cyclo-diglycine (c2G, or DKP) in the course of thermal treatment of the studied glycines is of special interest as it may suggest a general synthetic procedure for cyclic dipeptides (DKPs). These molecules attracted attention due to a wide spectrum of their biological activity and the use in medicinal chemistry.**81-84** On the other hand, the food industries interest in DKPs is due to their contribution to flavors, such as the bitter taste of roasted coffee,**⁸⁵** meats,**⁸⁶** beer**⁸⁷** and chocolate.**⁸⁸**

The formation of c2G, the simplest DKP, was observed in all thermal experiments of this work. However the reaction is almost always accompanied by various decomposition processes including the degradation of c2G itself. Therefore a better understanding of the reaction mechanism and thermodynamics are essential in the development of future solid state synthetic methods of DKPs.

Fig. 8 DSC thermograms of 2G(p-h) and α2G (sample mass: 1.47 and 2.65 mg, respectively).

Thermodynamics: The enthalpy of the cyclization reaction (1) was measured in DSC experiments:

$$
\alpha 2G_{solid} = c2G_{solid} + H_2O_{gas}
$$

\n
$$
\Delta H = 482(3) \text{ J/g} = 63.7(4) \text{ kJ/mol (at 492 K)}
$$
\n(1)

The DSC thermograms of α 2G and 2G(p-h) are compared in Fig. 8. The only difference between the intact and pre-heated samples is the presence of an endotherm with the onset temperature of 219° C due to the cyclization reaction (1). The complex endotherm at $280-330^{\circ}$ C, almost identical for both samples, corresponds to the sublimation of the cyclic form with evident partial degradation. The absence of any other effects on the α 2G thermogram below 280^oC was surprising indicating the cyclization reaction was the only process in this range.**⁸⁹** This observation made it possible to accurately measure the enthalpy of the reaction (1). The non-hermetic seal of the DSC capsules allowed for vaporization of water released during the reaction but prevented early sublimation by maintaining sufficient vapor pressure of c2G over the sample. Therefore the enthalpy of 63.7(4) kJ/mol can be assigned to the pure reaction (1) at 219° C. In order to estimate the standard enthalpy of the reaction, the vaporization enthalpy of water at 220° C of 33.468 kJ/mol⁹⁰ should be subtracted as well as the energy to heat water to 219^oC, 14.7 kJ/mol (taking heat capacity of water 4.21 J/g K = 75.9 J /mol K⁹⁰). The contribution due to heating the solids may be assumed to be -2.5 kJ/mol (the heat capacities reported for 2G and c2G at 298 K are 149⁹¹ and 136 J/mol K,⁹² respectively). With this assumption, the standard enthalpy of the reaction (2) is

$$
\alpha 2G_{\text{solid}} = c 2G_{\text{solid}} + H_2 O_{\text{liquid}}
$$

$$
\Delta H^{298} \approx 18 \text{ kJ/mol}
$$
 (2)

As one can see, the cyclization reaction is thermodynamically unfavorable under ambient conditions (the entropy term is expected to be small) but becomes possible at elevated temperatures due to growing entropy term.

Kinetics: Aside from standard synthetic methods,**⁹³** the formation of DKPs was observed in the mass spectrometry experiments on dipeptides,⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ pyrolyzed oligopeptides⁷⁶ and thermally degraded proteins,⁹⁷ upon sublimation of dipeptides,^{98,99} from thermally^{100,101} or microwave¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ treated solutions and in few cases in the solid state.**¹⁰⁵** In all cases the process involves cyclization through intramolecular aminolysis that can proceed in different pathways. The type of the leaving group, amino acid residue, pH and temperature are the factors that greatly affect the mechanism and rate of the reaction in solution.**¹⁰⁰** The most common mechanism observed for short peptides is a nucleophilic addition of the terminal amino group to the carbonyl moiety of the next residue in the peptide sequence. It outcomes in a tetrahedral intermediate followed by proton transfer from the amine nitrogen onto carbonyl oxygen. The resultant intermediate decomposes after the proton transfer to the leaving group.**26,75,106,107**

Fig. 9 Thermally induced intramolecular cyclization of oligoglycines through a tetrahedral intermediate.

This mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 9 for the oligoglycines of this work. The tetrahedral intermediate is a cyclic zwitterion which splits into c2G and HR, where the leaving group HR is H_2O for 2G or a shortened glycine chain for higher oligoglycines. The former case can be referred to as condensation, while the latter as depolymerization. Aside from the reactions in solution, the tetrahedral intermediate mechanism was proposed for mass spectrometry experiments,⁹⁵ pyrolysis of a melt,⁹⁷ or solid state

Page 23 of 29 CrystEngComm

pyrolysis of short peptides.**78,79** In contrast, the thermally-induced intramolecular cyclization of solid aspartame (Asp-Phe-OCH₃) follows the S_N1 mechanism. The first step involves the release of OCH₃ leaving group to form acyl cation, followed by the attack of the terminal amino group on the carbonyl to close the ring.**¹⁰⁸** Finally other, less common mechanisms were proposed.**¹⁰⁹** One reason why the tetrahedral intermediate mechanism (Fig. 9) is believed to take place in this study is because it agrees with all experimental observations and explains the similarity of the decomposition process for the whole series. Another reason is that the hydroxyl ion is not a good leaving group making the S_N1 mechanism very unlikely.

As described in the crystal structure part, the peptide solids of this work have their molecules in the zwitterionic form and fully extended conformation packed in a β sheet arrangement. For intramolecular aminolysis, the peptide molecule should be in its canonical rather than zwitterionic form. Further complications include restricted motion of the molecule and the necessity to break a number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds as the reaction proceeds in the solid state. These considerations imply a multi-step process much more complicated than would appear from Fig. 9. The thermal energy appears to be essential in generating a neutral peptide molecule, breaking hydrogen bonds and disrupting the crystal packing in order for the molecule to fold and close the cycle. Although more detailed studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism further, it is relevant to mention here a remarkable flexibility observed previously in crystalline peptides.**110-114** Significant local distortions of the crystal structure and possibly concerted mechanisms may be expected. The estimated activation energies for cyclization of aspartame and aspartyl-phenylalanine of 268(8) and 242(8) kJ/mol respectively**¹¹⁵** may illustrate the kinetic requirements for the reactions to occur in the solid state, the values exceeding the cyclization enthalphy determined in this work by about one order of magnitude.

Conclusion

This work reveals the effect of the chain size on the properties of solid peptides made of glycine residues, including their crystal structure, conformation, spatial arrangement and non-valent interactions of the peptide molecules in the crystal, as well as thermal stability and chemical changes in the solid state induced by heating.

The antiparallel β sheet structure with the molecules in zwitterionic form and fully extended conformation is the most stable crystalline form for lower glycine oligomers although up to three

CrystEngComm Page 24 of 29

polymorphs may be isolated. For polyglycine the crystal structure and the molecular conformation differ, but one of the polymorphs (Form I), likely the most stable, is still a β sheet structure. In spite of dissimilar unit cell parameters, the crystal packing motifs are very similar throughout the series.

The thermal stability of crystalline oligoglycines increases with the chain length due to the stabilization environment of the molecules in the crystal and other factors. The decomposition onset is subtle and involves a number of possible pathways which depend, to a lesser extent, on the peptide chain length but, to a much greater extent, on the experimental conditions. The two types of reactions at the onset are defragmentation and condensation. These two reactions take place both in the solid state and the gas phase but polymerization of fragments dominates on a later stage for solid state samples only.

A major condensation product in thermal treatment of all oligoglycines is cyclo-diglycine (DKP). This formation occurs as intramolecular cyclization from diglycine and a depolymerization reaction from higher oligomers. From diglycine, the reaction proceeds quickly and DKP may be obtained as a pure product but the reaction is too sensitive to experimental conditions and difficult to scale. The solid state synthesis of DKP could be a synthetically important reaction if conducted without side products. More studies are needed in order to understand the effect of various factors on the cyclization reaction and to prevent undesired degradation processes which occur in parallel. In particular, the mechanism of the cyclization in the solid state appears to be complex and needs to be understood. The outcome of such studies could be the development of a general synthetic procedure for various DKPs.

Finally, similar studies on other peptide series would be useful to verify how the trends observed in our study apply to solid peptides of various compositions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation (MRI) and Department of Chemistry at the University of Guelph for funding the X-ray diffraction facility, and The National Science and Engineering Research Council Canada for the thermoanalytical instrumentation. DVS is grateful to Prof. W. Gabryelski (U. of Guelph) for a useful discussion. The assistance of M. Ignacio in some of the PXRD tests is appreciated.

Notes and references

Page 25 of 29 **CrystEngComm**

- 1 G.M.J. Schmidt, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1971, **27**, 647-678.
- 2 K. Tanaka and F. Toda, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1025-1074.
- 3 G. Kaupp, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2005, **254**, 95-183.
- 4 P.T. Anastas and J.C. Warner, *Green chemistry: theory and practice*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- 5 L.R. MacGillivray, G.S. Papaefstathiou, T. Friščić, D.B. Varshney and T.D. Hamilton, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2004, **248**, 201-221.
- 6 J.W. Lauher, F.W. Fowler and N.S. Goroff, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1215-1229.
- 7 D.V. Soldatov, in *Nanoporous Materials*, ed. A. Sayari and M. Jaroniec, World Scientific, New Jersey, 2008, pp. 213-224.
- 8 C.H. Görbitz, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2010, **66**, 84-93.
- 9 M.C. Lai and E.M. Topp, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 1999, **88**, 489-499.
- 10 S. Jacob, A.A. Shirwaikar, K.K. Srinivasan, J. Alex, S.L. Prabu, R. Mahalaxmi and R. Kumar, *Ind. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2006, **68**, 154-163.
- 11 J. Patel, R. Kothari, R. Tunga, N.M. Ritter and B.S. Tunga, *BioProcess Int.*, 2011, **9**, Issue 1, 20- 31.
- 12 R.A. Scott and H.A. Scheraga, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1966, **45**, 2091-2101.
- 13 C. Alemán and J.J. Perez, *J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Design*, 1993, **7**, 241-250.
- 14 T.V. Chalikian, A.P. Sarvazyan, T. Funck and K.J. Breslauer, *Biopolymers*, 1994, **34**, 541-553.
- 15 C. Alemán, R. Roca, F.J. Luque and M. Orozco, *Proteins*, 1997, **28**, 83-93.
- 16 R. Improta, V. Barone, K.N. Kudin and G.E. Scuseria, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **114**, 2541-2549.
- 17 G. Nandini and D.N. Sathyanarayana, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2003, **107**, 11391-11400.
- 18 A. Chatterjee, L. Zhao, L. Zhang, D. Pradhan, X. Zhou and K.T. Leung, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 105104 (1-6).
- 19 R. Wu and T.B. McMahon, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 8767-8775.
- 20 S. Bykov and S. Asher, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 6636-6641.
- 21 S.A. Allison, H. Pei, U. Twahir, H. Wu and H. Cottet, *J. Sep. Sci.*, 2010, **33**, 2430-2438.
- 22 A.M. Ferrari, B. Civalleri and R. Dovesi, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2010, **31**, 1777-1784.
- 23 S.V. Bykov and S.A. Asher, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **1**, 269-271.
- 24 Y.-C. Oh, C.-K. Shu and C.-T. Ho, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1991, **39**, 1553-1554.
- 25 V.A. Basiuk and J. Douda, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2000, **55**, 235-246.
- 26 J. Bujdák and M. Rode, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2004, **10**, 731-737.
- 27 J. Li, Z. Wang, X. Yang, L. Hu, Y. Liu and C. Wang, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2007, **80**, 247-253.
- 28 The oligomers dissolve only in highly polar solvents, easily become ionic in acidic or basic media and gradually degrade with time.
- 29 E.V. Boldyreva, T.N. Drebushchak and E.S. Shutova, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 2003, **218**, 366-376.

CrystEngComm Page 26 of 29

- 30 S.A. Moggach, D.R. Allan, S. Parsons and L. Sawyer, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2006, **62**, 310-320.
- 31 T. Srikrishnan, N. Winiewicz and R. Parthasarathy, *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.*, 1982, **19**, 103-113.
- 32 The solubility in other solvents, such as methanol, ethanol or their mixtures with water, was too low.
- 33 J. Lu, X.-J. Wang, X. Yang and C.-B. Ching, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 2006, **51**, 1593-1596.
- 34 R. Degeilh and R.E. Marsh, *Acta Crystallogr.,* 1959, **12**, 1007-1014.
- ¹H NMR: triplet at 1.19 ppm, singlet at 2.01 ppm and quartet at 4.04 ppm (approx. intensity ratio 2:1.5:1). PXRD: $2\theta = 20.46$, 21.28 and 25.85^o.
- 36 *Xcalibur CCD System, CrysAlisPro Software, Version 1.171.35.8*, 2011, Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, UK.
- 37 A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo and A. Gualardi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1993, **26**, 343- 350.
- 38 G.M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-97, Program for refinement of crystal structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 39 L.J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**, 837-838.
- 40 O.V. Dolomanov, L.J. Bourhis, R.J. Gildea, J.A.K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339-341.
- 41 B.B. Ivanova, T. Kolev and S.Y. Zareva, *Biopolymers*, 2006, **82**, 587-596.
- 42 B. Lotz, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1974, **87**, 169-180.
- 43 O. Schneewind, A. Fowler and K.F. Faull, *Science*, 1995, **268**, 103-106.
- 44 X. Zhou and L. Cegelski, *Biochemistry*, 2012, **51**, 8143-8153.
- 45 A.P.A. Hendrickx, J.M. Budzik, S.-Y. Oh and O. Schneewind, *Nature Rev. Microbiol.*, 2011, **9**, 166-176.
- 46 A. Lapidot and C.S. Irving, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1977, **74**, 1988-1992.
- 47 A. Lapidot and C.S. Irving, *Biochemistry*, 1979, **9**, 1788-1796.
- 48 G. Tong, Y. Pan, H. Dong, R. Pryor, G.E. Wilson and J. Schaefer, *Biochemistry*, 1997, **36**, 9859- 9866.
- 49 F.A. Momany, R.F. McGuire, J.F. Yan and H.A. Scheraga, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1971, **75**, 2286-2297.
- 50 L.L. Shipman and R.E. Christoffersen, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1972, **69**, 3301-3304.
- 51 P. Chaudhuri and S. Canuto, *J. Mol. Struct. Theochem*, 2008, **849**, 25-32.
- 52 R. Importa, V. Barone, K.N. Kudin and G.E. Scuseria, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **114**, 2541-2549.
- 53 S. Ohnishi, H. Kamikubo, M. Onitsuka, M. Kataoka and D. Shortle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16338-16344.
- 54 F.H.C. Crick and A. Rich, *Nature*, 1955, **176**, 780-781.
- 55 A.V. Kajava, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **55**, 436-442.

Page 27 of 29 **CrystEngComm**

- 56 E.V. Boldyreva, V.A. Drebushchak, T.N. Drebushchak, I.E. Paukov, Y.A. Kovalevskaya and E.S. Shutova, *J. Thermal Anal. Calorim.*, 2003, **73**, 409-418.
- 57 J.D. Bernal, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 1931, **78**, 363-369.
- 58 E.W. Hughes and W.J. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1949, **71**, 2618-2623.
- 59 F.V. Lenel, *Z. Kristallogr.*, 1931, **81**, 224-229.
- 60 F. Colonna-Cesari, S. Premilat and B. Lotz, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1974, **87**, 181-191.
- 61 V. Lalitha and E. Subramanian, *Cryst. Struct. Comm.*, 1982, **11**, 561-564.
- 62 I.L. Karle and J. Karle, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1963, **16**, 969-975.
- 63 T. Asakura, M. Okonogi, K. Horiguchi, A. Aoki, H. Saitô, D.P. Knight and M.P. Williamson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1212-1215.
- 64 R.D. Suenram and F.J. Lovas, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 1980, **72**, 372-382.
- 65 M.J. Locke and R.T. McIver, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 4226-4232.
- 66 Y. Ding and K. Krogh-Jespersen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1992, **199**, 261-266.
- 67 D. Yu, D.A. Armstrong and A. Rauk, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1992, **70**, 1762-1772.
- 68 J.H. Jensen and M.S. Gordon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 8159-8170.
- 69 P.G. Simmonds, E.E. Medley, M.A. Ratcliff and G.P. Shulman, *Anal. Chem.*, 1972, **44**, 2060- 2066.
- 70 K.J. Voorhees, F. Basile, M.B. Beverly, C. Abbas-Hawks, A. Hendricker, R.B. Cody and T.L. Hadfield, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 1997, **40-41**, 111-134.
- 71 N. Gallois, J. Templier and S. Derenne, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2007, **80**, 216-230.
- 72 V.Y. Yablokov, I.L. Smel'tsova, I.A. Zelyaev and S.V. Mitrofanova, *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.*, 2009, **79**, 1704-1706.
- 73 S.-S. Choi and J.-E. Ko, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2010, **89**, 74-86.
- 74 S.C. Moldoveanu, *Pyrolysis of Organic Molecules with Applications to Health and Environmental Issues, Chapter 18*, in *Techniques and Instrumentation in Analytical Chemistry*, Vol. 28, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2010.
- 75 N. Nagayama, O. Takaoka, K. Inomata and Y. Yamagata, *Origins Life Evol. Biosphere*, 1990, **2**0, 249-257.
- 76 G. Chiavari and G.C. Galletti, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 1992, **24**, 123-137.
- 77 K.-M. Hansson, J. Samuelsson, L.-E. Åmand and C. Tullin, *Fuel*, 2003, **82**, 2163-2172.
- 78 K.J. Voorhees, W. Zhang, A.D. Hendricker and B. Murugaverl, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 1994, **30**, 1-16.
- 79 K.-M. Hansson, L.-E. Åmand, A. Habermann and F. Winter, *Fuel*, 2003, **82**, 653-660.
- 80 K.-M. Hansson, J. Samuelsson, C. Tullin and L.-E. Åmand, *Combust. Flame*, 2004, **137**, 265- 277.
- 81 C. Prasad, *Peptides*, 1995, **16**, 151-164.
- 82 M.B. Martins and I. Carvalho, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 9923–9932.
- 83 A.D. Borthwick, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 3641-3716.
- 84 Y. Wang, P. Wang, H. Ma and W. Zhu, *Expert Opin. Ther. Patents*, 2013, **23**, 1415-1433.
- 85 M. Ginz and U.H. Engelhardt, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2000, **48**, 3528-3532.
- 86 M.Z. Chen, M.L. Dewis, K. Kraut, D. Merritt, L. Reiber, L. Trinnaman and N.C. Da Costa, *J. Food Sci.*, 2009, **74**, C100-C105.
- 87 M. Gautschi, J.P. Schmid, T.L. Peppard, T.P. Ryan, R.M. Tuorto and X. Yang, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1997, **45**, 3183-3189.
- 88 T. Stark and T. Hofmann, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2005, **53**, 7222-7231.
- 89 As shown in this study, a number of reactions may be induced upon thermal treatment of oligoglycines. In most experiments cyclization is accompanied by side degradation reactions which are difficult to prevent. The observation of cyclization as a single process in DSC experiments may be attributed to the small size and purity of the samples, as well as the dynamic nature of this technique.
- 90 *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 88th edition*, ed. D.R. Lide, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2007-2008, p. 6-4.
- 91 V.G. Badelin, O.V. Kulikov, V.S. Vatagin, E. Udzig, A. Zielenkiewicz, W. Zielenkiewicz and G.A. Krestov, *Thermochim. Acta*, 1990, **169**, 81-93.
- 92 G. Barone and R. Puliti, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 1999, **57**, 119-132.
- 93 E. Giralt, R. Eritja, J. Josa, C. Kuklinski and E. Pedroso, *Synthesis*, 1985, 181-184.
- 94 H.J. Svec and G.A. Junk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 2278-2282.
- 95 I. Lüderwald, M. Przybylski, H. Ringsdorf and D. Silberhorn, *Z. Naturforsch.*, 1978, **33b**, 805- 808.
- 96 A.S. Noguerola, B. Murugaverl and K.J. Voorhees, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 1992, **3**, 750- 756.
- 97 M. Langhammer, I. Lüderwald and A. Simons, *Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem.*, 1986, **324**, 5-8.
- 98 D. Gross and G. Grodsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1955, **77**, 1678-1680.
- 99 V.A. Basiuk and T.Y. Gromovoy, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Comm.*, 1994, **59**, 461-466.
- 100 P.M. Fisher, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2003, **9**, 9-35.
- 101 P. Gomez, N. Vale and R. Moreira, *Molecules*, 2007, **12**, 2484-2506.
- 102 L. Pérez-Picaso, J. Escalante, H.F. Olivo and M.Y. Rios, *Molecules*, 2009, **14**, 2836-2849.
- 103 M. Jainta, M. Nieger and S. Bräse, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 5418-5424.
- 104 Nonappa, K. Ahonen, M. Lahtinen and E. Kolehmainen, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 1203-1209.
- 105 S.-Y. Lin and S.-L. Wang, *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*, 2012, **64**, 461-478.
- 106 N.F. Sepetov, M.A. Krymsky, M.V. Ovchinnikov, Z.D. Bespalova, O.L. Isakova, M. Soucek and M. Lebl, *Pept. Res.*, 1991, **4**, 308-313.
- 107 P. Xia, C. Wang and C. Qi, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2013, **31**, 813-818.
- 108 Y.-D. Cheng and S.-Y. Lin, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2000, **48**, 631-635.

Page 29 of 29 **CrystEngComm**

- 109 J. Templier, N. Gallois and S. Derenne, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis*, 2013, **104**, 684-694.
- 110 C.H. Görbitz, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci.*, 2002, **58**, 849-854.
- 111 M. Akazome, A. Hirabayashi, K. Takaoka, S. Nomura and K. Ogura, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 1107-1113.
- 112 D.V. Soldatov, I.L. Moudrakovski, E.V. Grachev and J.A. Ripmeester, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 6737-6744.
- 113 T.J. Burchell, D.V. Soldatov, G.D. Enright and J.A. Ripmeester, *CrystEngComm*, 2007, **9**, 922- 929.
- 114 R. Anedda, D.V. Soldatov, I.L. Moudrakovski, M. Casu and J.A. Ripmeester, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2908-2920.
- 115 S.S. Leung and D.J.W. Grant, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 1997, **86**, 64-71.