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Ionothermal effects on low-dimensionality uranyl compounds using task specific ionic liquids

P. A. Smith and P. C. Burns

Ionothermal methods were employed in the synthesis of two novel uranyl coordination compounds: \([\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{N}_2][\text{UO}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}]\) (1) and \(\text{UO}_2\text{HAsO}_4\text{(NC}_3\text{H}_7\text{O}_2)\) (2), using pyridinium and betainium class ionic liquids. Results show novel ionic liquid incorporation and uranyl structural topologies, providing insight into the development of low-dimensionality uranyl complexes beyond traditional hydrothermal methods.

Introduction

Our understanding of the solid-state chemistry of \((\text{UO}_2)^{2+}\) uranyl compounds has benefited greatly from crystallographic studies of several hundred mineral and synthetic compounds over the past two decades. Structural hierarchies have emerged that probe the topologies of structural units and the roles of interstitial constituents, and that serve to highlight the importance of H\(_2\)O and H-bonding in many of these compounds.\(^{[1]}\) Uranyl minerals usually contain OH\(^-\) and/or H\(_2\)O because they form in relatively low-temperature moist geochemical environments. Hydrothermal methods, precipitation from aqueous solution at room temperature, and diffusion of nutrients into silica hydrogels have most commonly been used to prepare novel uranyl compounds, which almost invariably incorporate H\(_2\)O as well. Synthesis at high temperatures in the absence of water, either from melts or flux-based systems, result in anhydrous compounds that often depart significantly from topological trends typical of lower-temperature compounds.\(^{[2,3]}\) Water in uranyl minerals and synthetic compounds usually occurs in interstitial complexes, and because of the compliant nature of its associated H-bond networks, relationships between the topologies of the structural units and the interstitial complexes remain obscure. We seek to gain better insight into such relationships by synthesis of uranyl compounds at relatively low temperature in the absence of excess water by ionothermal reactions.\(^{[4]}\)

Ionic liquids (ILs) are a versatile class of salts that melt below 100 °C. There are many different classes of ionic liquids and a plethora of conceivable IL combinations. By varying the means of functionalization, one can produce Task Specific ionic liquids (TSILs). These functionalized ILs lend themselves favorably as a non-innocent solvent to a wide array of potentially specific uses, such as alternative electrolytes in electrochemical applications, as green solvents, as solvent in catalytic applications and biocatalysis, and as templating agents for inorganic applications ranging from synthesizing nanomaterials to zeolites.\(^{[5]-[11]}\)

Traditional ionic liquid systems are typified by their use of poorly coordinating anions (i.e. BF\(_4^-,\) PF\(_6^-,\) and TF\(_2\)N\(^-\)), and as such are typically poor solvents for use with metal oxides and salts.\(^{[12,13]}\) Introduction of coordinating anions, typically via carboxyl functionalization, provides a means of greatly increasing uranyl oxide and uranyl salt solubilities. Traditional IL systems are classified as either protic or aprotic, with the former distinguished from the latter by a lack of charge permanency at the cation center; in particular, the cation usually exists in some equilibrium with the neutral species. The use of either, or even a dication species composed of both protic and aprotic centers, provides an even greater deal of chemical flexibility and means to meet charge-balancing constraints in coordination chemistry.\(^{[14]}\)

The majority of uranyl-IL systems studied over the past couple of decades have focused on low dimensionality species: monomeric and dimeric, mainly because of relevance to separations technologies,\(^{[6,7,15-19,20-24]}\) while many natural systems and synthetic compounds tend towards formation of higher dimensional sheet topologies.\(^{[1]}\) Less prevalent are structures consisting of infinite chains of cation-centered polyhedra, typically composed of uranyl centers bridged by tetrahedral linkages.\(^{[1,20,25]}\) Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of a novel monomeric species incorporating both, fully intact, ionic liquid moieties, with ligation by the anion \([\text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{N}_2]^-,\) \([\text{UO}_2\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}]\) (1). We also report a compound that contains a zigzag chain of uranyl square bipyramids with a novel topology, \(\text{UO}_2\text{HAsO}_4\text{(NC}_3\text{H}_7\text{O}_2)\) (2). These structures provide additional insight into the chemistry of the uranyl cation in protic-TSIL media.

Experimental

Synthetic procedures:

\(\text{UO}_2\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (MV Laboratories, Lot #s P705UA1, IB155963), \(\text{UO}_2\text{(CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{)}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (MV Laboratories, Lot #s W105UA1), \(\text{MgSO}_4\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (Fisher Scientific 99.9%), \(\text{MgCl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (Fisher Scientific 99%), \(\text{As}_2\text{O}_3 \) (Aldrich 90%), \(\text{Li}_2\text{O}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (Alfa Aesar 99%), Betaine HCl (Alfa Aesar 99%), \(\text{Li}[(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_2)\text{N}]^- \) (Alfa Aesar 98%) and pyridinium \([\text{CH}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{SO}_3]\) (Alfa Aesar 98%) were...
used as received. UO₂(CF₃SO₃)₂ was prepared in-house by reaction of UO₂ with triflic acid. The ionic liquid betainium bifurffidime ([HBet] [T₂F₇]) was prepared according to the method in the literature. Ionothermal reactions were performed in 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel reaction vessels heated in mechanical ovens.

While isotopically depleted U was used in these experiments, precautions for handling radioactive materials should be followed.

[C₅H₁₀N₂][UO₂(C₅H₅-SO₃)₄(H₂O)] (1) was obtained by addition of 0.0439 g uranyl acetate, 0.0010 g magnesium chloride, and 0.3980 g pyridinium para-toluenesulphonate (PPTS) in a 1 : 0.05 : 16 molar ratio, respectively, along with a few drops of water to aid in solvation of PPTS into a test tube. Single crystals were retrieved after several weeks from slow evaporation. Yellow rectangular prisms of 1 were found in moderate yield (40% on the basis of uranium). Compound 1 was also synthesized using ionothermal methods (120 °C for 4 days); however, this method yielded significant decomposition products and a lower yield of 1 (10% on the basis of uranium).

UO₂(HAsO₄)(NC₅H₇O₃) (2) was obtained by addition of 2 mL of 0.1 M uranyl triflate, 0.0800 g arsenic pentoxide, and 0.0412 g lithium nitrate hydrate in a 2 : 3.5 : 5 molar ratio, respectively, along with a few drops of water to aid in solvation of PPTS into a test tube. Single crystals were retrieved after several weeks from slow evaporation. Small greenish-yellow rectangular prisms of LiUO₂(AsO₄) 4H₂O(27) were produced in low yield (25% on the basis of uranium) and light-yellow needles of 2 were produced in a moderate yield (47% on the basis of uranium).

Alkali and alkali earth salts were added to the reactions to provide an alternative/competitive charge balance species for crystallization in lieu of the organic species, as was the case for the minor product LiUO₂(AsO₄) 4H₂O in the synthesis of 2, and to promote crystallization by increasing the solution ionic strength of the solutions.

Crystallographic Studies

Single crystals of 1 and 2 were selected, mounted on a cryoloop using viscous oil, and aligned on a Bruker three-circle X-ray diffractometer with an ApexII CCD area detector, using a graphite monochromated MoKα microfocus sealed tube. A sphere of diffraction data was collected for each compound with 0.5° frame widths for 1 and 0.25° for 2 in ω and frame count times of 25 and 20 seconds per frame for 1 and 2, respectively.

The Bruker APEX II software package was used to determine and refine unit-cell parameters using least-squares techniques and for data integration and corrections for background, Lorentz, and polarization effects. SADABS was used to correct for absorption.

Structure solutions and refinements were done using intrinsic phasing and the remaining atomic positions were located in difference-Fourier maps calculated subsequent to refinement of partial-structure models, using the Bruker SHELXTL software package. The final refinements included anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen associated with coordinated water O15 in 1 and coordinating hydroxyl O8 in 2 were neither located in difference-Fourier maps nor placed in calculated positions, and were therefore not included in the final refinement models. The OLEX2 software package was used in tandem with SHELXL for modeling disorder and hydrogen position refinements. OLEX2 and CrystalMaker software packages were used to graphically model compounds.

Data collection parameters and crystallographic details are listed in Table 1 and additional details are in the Supporting Information.

| Table 1 Crystallographic data and refinement parameters |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Formula                              | [NC₅H₁₀][UO₂(C₅H₅-SO₃)₄(H₂O)] | UO₂(HAsO₄)(NC₅H₇O₃) |
| CCDC                                 | 985829           | 985828          |
| Formula mass                         | 1333.00          | 521.11          |
| Crystal system                       | Monoclinic       | Monoclinic      |
| Space group                          | P2₁/c            | P2₁/c           |
| a (Å)                                | 12.392(2)        | 7.167(2)        |
| b (Å)                                | 36.745(4)        | 14.740(3)       |
| c (Å)                                | 10.246(1)        | 11.157(3)       |
| β(°)                                 | 112.190(1)       | 96.747(4)       |
| V (Å³)                               | 4319.7(9)        | 1167.6(4)       |
| Z                                    | 4                | 4               |
| λ(Å)                                 | 0.7073           | 0.71073         |
| μ (mm⁻¹)                             | 4.020            | 16.740          |
| µ (°) range                          | 1.11 to 26.37    | 2.30 to 26.37   |
| Dₙ (g cm⁻³)                          | 1.739            | 2.993           |
| S                                    | 1.023            | 1.080           |
| R(F) for F² > 2σ(F²)                 | 0.0328           | 0.0166          |
| R₁(F) for F² > 2σ(F²)                 | 0.0734           | 0.0381          |

Spectroscopic Studies

Absorption spectra were obtained for neat crystalline samples at room temperature using a Crata Technologies UV–vis–near-IR (NIR) microspectrophotometer. The absorption data were collected over the range of 250-1200 nm.

X-ray fluorescence spectra were obtained for neat crystalline samples on carbon tape at room temperature, under vacuum, using an EDAX Orbis microXRF. Spectra were collected using 60 sec. collection times at 20 kV.

Infrared spectra were obtained for neat crystalline samples on a SensIR Technology IlluminatIR FT-IR microspectrometer. Samples were collected using a diamond ATR objective at room temperature with a beam aperture of 100 µm, over the range 650-4000 cm⁻¹.

Results

Crystal structures

The structural unit of 1 consists of a uranyl pentagonal bipyramid (Fig. 1). The two axial U–O “yl” bond lengths are 1.748(3) and 1.755(3) Å, and the O–U–O angle is 177.3(2)°. Four para-toluenesulphonate ligands, ligating through vertex sharing sulfonate polyhedra, and an H₂O group coordinate the uranyl ion in the equatorial plane of the resulting pentagonal bipyramid. The U-Oeq bond length ranges from 2.351(4) to 2.396(3) Å for the PTS ligands,
and is 2.493(3) Å for the water group. Whereas the anion constituent of the ionic liquid coordinates the uranyl center, the pyridinium cations provide for charge balance and form hydrogen bonding contacts with unbound sulfonate O atoms (2.004 and 2.069 Å). Likewise, the coordinated water also stabilizes the structure through hydrogen bonds that are accepted by sulfonate O atoms, as assigned between heteroatoms (O–O-S: 2.751 to 2.997 Å).

**Figure 1:** Thermal Ellipsoid plot showing the asymmetric unit of 1 (A) and extended structure view showing H-bonding interactions (B). For clarity, only pyridinium hydrogens involved in H-bonding are shown in B.

![Thermal Ellipsoid plot](image1)

The structural unit of 2 consists of uranyl tetragonal bipyramids that are connected into a zigzag chain through corner-sharing with arsenate polyhedra through three equatorial sites, with a terminally coordinating betaine ligand at the fourth site (Fig. 2). The U-O bond lengths within the uranyl ion are 1.781(3) and 1.786(3) Å, and the O-U-O bond angle is 178.5(1)°. Isotropic modelling of the bridging arsenate O atoms gave U-O distances of 2.252(3) to 2.331(3) Å in the equatorial plane of the tetragonal bipyramid. The As-O bond lengths of bridging O atoms range from 1.70(3) to 1.73(3) Å. The presence of the non-bridging As-O bond length of 1.721(3) Å, and similar

**Figure 2:** Thermal Ellipsoid plot of the asymmetric unit (A), Mixed Polyhedra-Ball and Stick extended zigzag chain structure with hydrogens excluded (B), Alternate chain view along the y-axis with betaine hydrogens shown (C), and packing diagram (D) of compound 2.

![Thermal Ellipsoid plot](image2)
bond lengths for the carboxyl O atoms of the betaine 1.237(5) (non-bridging) and 1.279(5) Å (bridging), allows for designation of a non-bridging arsenate hydroxyl group. Therefore, a hydrogen bonding contact may be assigned (2.613 Å) between heteroatoms of the non-bridging oxygen, As-O(8) and the non-bridging O(4) of the carboxyl moiety. This gives a neutral zigzag uranyl-arsenate chain ligated by the neutral betaine zwitterion. Additional interatomic distances can be found in Table 2, while hydrogen bonding distances for the two compounds are located in Table 3.

### Table 2
Select interatomic distances (Å)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 1</th>
<th>Compound 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-O₁</td>
<td>U-O₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₁₄</td>
<td>U-O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₁₄</td>
<td>U-O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₂</td>
<td>U-O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₃</td>
<td>U-O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₅</td>
<td>U-O₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₆</td>
<td>U-O₇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₇</td>
<td>U-O₈</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O₁₅</td>
<td>As-O₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-O Coord. (Avg.)</td>
<td>As-O₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-O UnCoord. (Avg.)</td>
<td>As-O₇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-C (Avg.)</td>
<td>C-O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Cpyr. (Avg.)</td>
<td>C-O₄</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(I) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2  (I) x-1, y, z

### Table 3
Hydrogen bonding distances (Å)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound 1</th>
<th>Compound 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N₁-H₁⋯O₁₁</td>
<td>As-O₅H·O₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂-H₂⋯O₈</td>
<td>2.613(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁₁-H₁⋯O₁₂</td>
<td>2.751(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁₃-H⋯O₆</td>
<td>2.884(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₁₅-H⋯O₈</td>
<td>2.997(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UV-vis-NIR Spectroscopy

The UV-vis-NIR spectrum for each compound displays the characteristic fine structure of the vibronically coupled uranyl absorption bands, centered around 413 – 425 nm. Also present are the characteristic broad LMCT bands centered around 300-330 nm. Individual spectra are provided in the Supporting Information.

X-ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy

Elemental analysis for elements heavier than Na was performed and confirmed the crystallographically determined ratios of uranium and sulfur (I) or arsenic (2). Full energy dispersive spectra are provided in the Supporting Information.

ATR-FTIR Spectroscopy

Infrared spectra confirmed the presence of U(VI) by revealing the characteristic $\nu_3$ antisymmetric vibrational mode of the uranyl ion (933 and 901 cm$^{-1}$ respectively). Individual spectra are provided in the Supporting Information.

The infrared spectrum of 1 (Fig. 3) contains absorption bands: 676s, 707w, 745m, 814m, 849w, 875w, 933m, 991s, 1027m, 1101s, 1125s, 1153m, 1180m, 1239m, 1379w, 1427w, 1455w, 1483w, 1535w, 1606w, 1636w, 1707w, 2882w, 3072m,br, 3175w, confirming the presence of pyridinium and para-toluenesulfonate moieties in addition to the aforementioned uranyl stretching mode. The infrared spectrum of 2 (Fig. 4) contains absorption bands: 697m, 760s, 801s, 834s, 866s, 901m, 928m, 955w, 989w, 1129w, 1209w, 1241w, 1315s, 1328m, 1401m, 1423m, 1442m, 1459m, 1477m, 1494m, 1634m, 1641m, 1980w, 2344m, 2359m, 2849m,br, 2992w, 3044w, 3061w, confirming the presence of pyridinium and arsenate with a sharp As-O-M vibrational band at 760 cm$^{-1}$, strong HAsO$_4$ symmetric stretching bands at 801 and 834 cm$^{-1}$, an antisymmetric band at 866 cm$^{-1}$, and hydroxyl stretches at 1634 and 1641 cm$^{-1}$. Assignment of the antisymmetric uranyl stretching vibration remains ambiguous for this spectrum due to strong overlapping bands between HAsO$_4$ and betaine in the 800-1000 cm$^{-1}$ region.

Figure 3: UV-vis-NIR spectra of 1 and 2.
Figure 4: Infrared spectra of 1 and 2 over the range of 650 to 4000 cm⁻¹.

Discussion

The use of task specific ionic liquids as both solvent and reagent provides a unique avenue for probing uranyl chemistry in the absence of excess water. It is our goal to utilize TSILs in our investigations of the interplay between coordinating ligands, charge-balancing species, and how the TSILs affect overall dimensionality as compared to conventional hydrothermal methods.

Whereas examples are known of monomeric uranyl species coordinated by the O-donor ligand of a solvating ionic liquid, compound 1 is of interest in that the coordinating species is anionic. Typically coordination occurs via deprotonated carboxyl group(s) or similar species, yielding a neutral ligand, or by moiety degradation to yield an anionic ligand. Also present in the compound is the intact cationic moiety, which provides charge balance and hydrogen bonding contacts for structural stability. To our knowledge, this compound is the first uranyl compound that has incorporated both, fully intact, IL moieties, wherein the anion is coordinating PTS and there is charge-balancing pyridinium.

Compound 2, of higher dimensionality, is essentially an auxiliary product of LiUO₂AsO₄·4H₂O[27] terminated by the neutral betaine zwitterion, thus preventing the structural unit from extending into a corrugated sheet topology as occurs in LiUO₂AsO₄·4H₂O. Instead, the terminal betaine promotes the formation of the zigzag chain.

The uranyl coordination in 2 is tetragonal bipyramidal with three coordinating monodentate arsenate tetrahedra. Each arsenate is coordinated to three uranyl centers: two provide the linkages that form the linear component of the chain, and one is normal to the chain direction. The non-bridging arsenate oxygen is designated as hydroxyl in lieu of protonation at the betainium on the basis of bond length measurements (As–O₃ is 0.050 Å longer than As–O₅bondingavg and the 0.042 Å shorter double bond of the carbonyl C₅–O₃ over the elongated bridging C₅–O₅) and the Ka’s of arsenate (K₃ = 10⁻¹⁵.5) and betainium (K₅ = 10⁻¹¹.5). The hydroxyl projects outward from the chain, perpendicular to the chain direction, and forms a hydrogen bond with the uncoordinated oxygen on the betaine carboxyl group.

Amino acids and their derivatives have been used to great effect in the past to coordinate uranyl species.[12,16,20,25] The main applications have been in separations and solution studies. As such, little is known about how these species behave in more complex systems or in the solid state. Deprotonation of betainium to form the betaine zwitterion is consistent with typical ionothermal reaction products in the literature. Note that the carboxyl group is monodentate. The strong hydrogen bonding interactions, uranyl’s high affinity towards arsenates, and steric considerations associated with the betaine moiety, directed the formation of the tetragonal bipyramid instead of the more common pentagonal or hexagonal bipyramid species.

The structural motif in 2 appears to be novel within uranyl topologies, owing to the tetragonal bipyramidal arrangement of the uranyl ion.

The effect of ionothermal methods as compared to slow evaporation of ILs is notable here. Slow evaporation of the IL resulted in a higher product yield of 1, as a consequence of lack of reaction below 100 °C and partial thermal decomposition at temperatures approaching the melting point of the ionic liquid at 120 °C by ionothermal methods. Conversely, compound 2 was synthesized using ionothermal methods, whereas changing the synthesis method to slow evaporation yields the uranyl arsenate chain structure UO₂(H₂AsO₄)₂(H₂O)₆[36] Lack of thermal energy allows for phase separation and exclusion of betaine from the reaction.

Conclusions

In this study we report the synthesis of two novel uranyl coordination compounds derived from syntheses using...
betainium and pyridinium class ionic liquids. This work demonstrates the importance of further developing the understanding of uranyl chemistry outside of conventional hydrothermal methods, as it further illustrates the importance of probing H-bonding interactions and their roles in directing/stabilizing the various uranyl topologies. Valuable insight has been gained into synthetic strategies for promoting desired complexities, and future research will build on these foundations for the development of a better toolbox of synthetic techniques for engineering novel actinyl compounds.
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