ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

COMMUNICATION

Improved one-pot multienzyme (OPME) systems for synthesizing UDPuronic acids and glucuronides†

Musleh M. Muthana, Jingyao Qu, Mengyang Xue, Timofey Klyuchnik, Alex Siu, Yanhong Li, Lei Zhang, ad Hai Yu, Lei Li, Peng G. Wang, and Xi Chen*a

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Arabidopsis thaliana glucuronokinase (AtGlcAK) was cloned and shown to be able to use various uronic acids as substrates to produce the corresponding uronic acid-1-phosphates. 10 AtGlcAK or Bifidobacterium infantis galactokinase (BiGalK) was used with a UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase, an inorganic pyrophosphatase, with or without a glycosyltransferase for highly efficient synthesis of UDP-uronic acids and glucuronides. These improved cost-effective one-pot 15 multienzyme (OPME) systems avoid the use of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)-cofactor in dehydrogenasedependent UDP-glucuronic acid production processes and can be broadly applied for synthesizing various glucuronic acid-containing molecules.

20 Uronic acids such as D-glucuronic acid (D-GlcA), D-galacturonic acid (D-GalA), D-mannuronic acid (D-ManA), L-iduronic acid (L-IdoA), L-guluronic acid (L-GulA), etc. are common residues in important glycoconjugates and polysaccharides. For example, D-GlcA is an essential component in glycosaminoglycans 25 (GAGS) such as hyaluronan, chondroitin sulfate, dermatan sulfate, heparan sulfate, and heparin. Epimerization of D-GlcA to L-IdoA at the polysaccharide level is an important postglycosylational modification in heparan/heparin sulfate and dermatan sulfate. Human UDP-glucuronosyltransferases 30 catalyze the addition of D-GlcA to non-polar toxic compounds (so called glucuronidation) as one of detoxification mechanisms.² D-GlcA or modified D-GlcA (e.g. 4-O-methylated D-GlcA) is a component of plant-produced hemicelluloses such as glucuronoxylan³⁻⁸ as well as xanthan and gellan produced by 35 Gram-negative bacteria. 9, 10 D-GalA or modified D-GalA (e.g. D-GalA methyl ester) is a component in plant cell wall pectins such as homogalacturonans and rhamnogalacturonans 11-13 as well as in the capsular polysaccharide of cyanobacteria and bacteria and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of Gram-negative bacteria. 12, 14 D-40 ManA and L-GulA are the building blocks of alginates produced by brown algae¹⁵ and bacteria. 16 Similar to the formation of L-

In nature, the key enzymes that catalyze the synthesis of 45 uronic acid-containing structures are uronosyltransferases (UATs). They use uridine 5'-phosphate (UDP)- or guanosine 5'diphosphate (GDP)-activated uronic acids, such as UDP-GlcA,

IdoA from D-GlcA, L-GulA is produced from D-ManA at the

UDP-GalA, or GDP-ManA, as donor substrates. UDP-GlcA is commonly synthesized from UDP-glucose (UDP-Glc) by an 50 NAD⁺-dependent oxidation process catalyzed by a UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (Ugd). 17, 18 Alternatively, Arabidopsis thaliana UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase (AtUSP) has been shown to catalyze the synthesis of UDP-GlcA, UDP-Glc, UDP-galactose, UDP-xylose, and UDP-L-arabinose directly from the respective 55 sugar-1-phosphates. 19, 20 Synthesizing UDP-GlcA directly from GlcA-1-P by AtUSP avoids the use of expensive cofactor NAD⁺ required by Ugd and is a more effective approach. AtUSP has also been used in a OPME system for small-scale synthesis of UDP activated Gal, Glc, 6-deoxy-Gal, and 4-N₃-Gal and for 60 preparative-scale synthesis of dUDP-Glc and dTDP-Glc.²¹ Nevertheless, AtUSP has not been used in preparative-scale synthesis of UDP-uronic acids. On the other hand, tritium labelled UDP-GalA has been synthesized from UDP-GlcA using a UDP-GlcA 4-epimerse-catalyzed reaction.²² A more direct 65 approach for synthesizing UDP-GalA from GalA-1-phosphate has been achieved in a preparative scale using Pisum sativum sprout sugar-pyrophosphorylase. 12 GDP-ManA has been synthesized from GDP-mannose using a GDP-mannose dehydrogenase from algae Ectocarpus siliculosus. 23 UDP-IdoA is 70 not naturally produced, but is a potential substrate for glycosyltransferase-catalyzed synthesis of heparan sulfate (HS) or heparin and has been chemically synthesized.²⁴

Scheme 1. A one-pot multienzyme (OPME) strategy for 75 chemoenzymatic synthesis of uronosides. GlyK, glycokinase; USP, UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase; PmPpA, Pasteurella multocida inorganic pyrophosphatase. 25 UAT, uronosyltransferase. D-GlcA (1), GlcA-1-P (5), and UDP-GlcA (9): $R^1 = R^3 = OH$, $R^2 = R^4 = R^6 =$ \dot{H} , $\dot{R}^5 = \dot{CO}_2\dot{H}$; \dot{D} -GalA (2), GalA-1- \dot{P} (6), and UDP-GalA (10): $\dot{R}^1 =$ $R^4 = OH$, $R^2 = R^3 = R^6 = H$, $R^5 = CO_2H$; D-ManA (3), ManA-1-P (7), and UDP-ManA (11): $R^2 = R^3 = OH$, $R^1 = R^4 = R^6 = H$, $R^5 = CO_2H$; L-IdoA (4), IdoA-1-P (8), and UDP-IdoA (12): $R^1 = R^3 = OH$, $R^{\frac{5}{2}} = R^{\frac{1}{2}} = R^{\frac{1}$ $R^4 = R^5 = H, R^6 = CO_2H.$

alginate polymer level catalyzed by a C5-epimerase.

ChemComm Page 2 of 4

Motivated by synthetic challenges of uronic acid-containing structures, we sought to develop an efficient multienzvme (OPME) chemoenzymatic approach synthesizing uronosides (e.g. heparosan oligosaccharides and 5 derivatives). As shown in Scheme 1, the idea is to chemically synthesize diverse uronic acids (UA) which can be activated by a suitable monosaccharide-1-phosphate kinase (glycokinase or GlyK) to produce uronic acid-1-phophates (UA-1-P). With a suitable UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase (USP), various UDP-10 uronic acids (UDP-UA) can be obtained and used as donor substrates for uronosyltransferases (UATs) for the synthesis of uronosides. An inorganic pyrophosphatase from Pasteurella multocida (PmPpA)²⁵ can be included to break down the pyrophosphate formed in the USP-catalyzed reaction to drive the 15 reaction towards the formation of UDP-UA. Ideally, the multiple enzymes involved can be mixed together in one-pot with all substrates needed for the production of desired uronosides without isolating intermediates.

To test the idea, D-ManA (3) and L-IdoA (4) were chemically 20 synthesized (see Supporting Information) and used together with commercially available D-GlcA (1) and D-GalA (2) as potential substrates for glycokinases. Bifidobacterium galactokinase (BiGalK) was shown previously to be able to catalyze the conversion of D-GalA (2) to GalA-1-phosphate (6).²⁶ 25 However, its activity towards the formation of other uronic acid-1-phosphates was not determined. On the other hand, Arabidopsis thaliana glucuronokinase (AtGlcAK) was shown to catalyze the formation of GlcA-1-phosphate (5) efficiently but D-GalA (2) was reported not a suitable substrate.²⁷ We cloned AtGlcAK (see 30 Supporting Information) and it was tested with BiGalK for their activities in using D-GlcA (1), D-GalA (2), D-ManA (3), and L-IdoA (4) as substrates for preparative-scale synthesis of the corresponding sugar-1-phosphates (5-7) (Table 1) and L-IdoA-1phosphate (8).

To our delight, AtGlcAK not only showed high efficiency in catalyzing the synthesis of GlcA-1-P (5, quantitative yield), but also was highly active in producing ManA-1-P (7, 95%) and was able to catalyze the synthesis of GalA-1-P (6) although with a lower yield (31%). In comparison, BiGalK was able to catalyze 40 the synthesis of GalA-1-P (6) in a high yield (92%) but did not tolerate D-GlcA (1) or D-ManA (3) as substrates. L-IdoA (4) was not a substrate for either AtGlcAK or BiGalK. Therefore, L-IdoA-1-phosphate (8) was not obtained.

The obtained GlcA-1-P (5), GalA-1-P (6), and ManA-1-P (7) 45 were used as potential substrates for two UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylases (USPs), including Bifidobacterium longum USP (BLUSP) which showed activity in using glucose-1-P, galactose-1-P, mannose-1-P, and their derivatives in synthesizing the corresponding UDP-sugars, 28 and a USP cloned from 50 Arabidopsis thaliana (AtUSP)¹⁹ (see Supporting Information). By mass spectrometry analysis, GlcA-1-P (5) was confirmed to be a substrate for BLUSP, but GalA-1-P (6) and ManA-1-P (7) were not. As shown in Table 2, UDP-GlcA (9) was successfully synthesized in 80% yield using a one-pot three-enzyme (OP3E) 55 system containing AtGlcAK, BLUSP, and PmPpA. When BLUSP was replaced by AtUSP in the OP3E system, UDP-GlcA was produced in 57% yield. AtUSP was also shown to be active towards both GalA-1-P (6) and ManA-1-P (7) to produce their

corresponding UDP-uronic acids as determined by mass 60 spectrometry. Nevertheless, its use with AtGlcAK and PmPpA in one pot for the synthesis of UDP-ManA (11) was unsuccessful, which may be caused by the low activity of AtUSP towards ManA-1-P. The AtUSP was successfully used together with BiGalK and PmPpA in one pot for the synthesis of UDP-GalA 65 (10) in 39% yield.

Table 1. Preparative-scale (20-80 mg) syntheses of uronic acid-1-phosphates catalyzed by AtGlcAK or BiGalK. ND, not detected. Isolated yields are shown.

		Yield (%)		
Substrate	Product	AtGlcAK	BiGalK	
HO CON OH OH GICA (1)	H H G (5)	Quantitative	ND	
HOCO ₂ - HOOH OH GalA (2)	HO CO ₂ - HO — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	31	92	
HO OH ManA (3)	O2C OH HO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	95	ND	

Table 2. Preparative-scale (34–151 mg) one-pot three-enzyme 70 (OP3E) synthesis of UDP-uronic acids. -, not tested. ND, not detected. Isolated yields are shown.

	Product	OP3E Yield (%)			
Substrate		AtGlcAK		BiGalK	
		BLUSP	AtUSP	BLUSP	AtUSP
GlcA (1)	HO HO OUDP UDP-GICA (9)	80	57	-	ı
GalA (2)	HO CO2- HO HO OUDP UDP-GalA (10)	_	-	ND	39

Scheme 2. Sequential OPME synthesis of heparosan disaccharide (18), trisaccharide (19), and tetrasaccharide (20). Enzymes used: 75 NahK. *N*-acetylhexosamine-1-phosphate kinase; Pasteurella multocida N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase; PmPpA, Pasteurella multocida inorganic pyrophosphatase; PmHS2, Pasteurella multocida heparosan synthase 2; AtGlcAK, Arabidopsis thaliana glucuronokinase; 80 BLUSP, Bifidobacterium longum UDP-sugar pyrophosphorylase.

Compared to the OPME approach reported previously for synthesizing UDP-GlcA from glucose-1-P using Escherichia coli

glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase (EcGalU) and *Pasteurella multocida* UDP-glucose dehydrogenase (PmUgd), ¹⁸ the newly established OPME system containing AtGlcAK, BLUSP or AtUSP, and PmPpA avoids the use of expensive ⁵ NAD⁺ cofactor and represents a direct and improved system.

The OPME UDP-GlcA generation system shown here can be readily used with a glucuronyltransferase for highly efficient synthesis of glucuronides. An example was shown for Pasteurella multocida heparosan synthase 2 (PmHS2)²⁹⁻³¹-10 catalyzed synthesis of heparosan trisaccharide (15) from disaccharide (14) where D-GlcA activation and transfer were achieved in a quantitative yield by a one-pot four-enzyme (OP4E) system containing AtGlcAK, BLUSP, PmPpA, and PmHS2 (Scheme 2). BLUSP was utilized in this OP4E reaction because it 15 offered better yield than AtUSP in the preparative-scale synthesis of UDP-GlcA and its optimal pH range $(5.0-6.5)^{28}$ was closer to that of PmHS2 (5.0-7.0)²⁹ than AtUSP (8.0-9.0)¹⁹. Both disaccharide (13) and tetrasaccharide (16) were obtained using a OP4E N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) activation and transfer 20 system containing an N-acetylhexosamine-1-phosphate kinase (NahK),³² Pasteurella multocida N-acetylglucosamine-1phosphate uridylyltransferase (PmGlmU). 18, 33 PmPpA, and PmHS2.^{18, 29} A longer glucuronide (trisaccharide 15) was shown a better acceptor for PmHS2 than monosaccharide 13. Heparosan 25 disaccharide 14 and tetrasaccharide 16 were obtained in 81% and quantitative yields, respectively. Overall, the sequential OPME reaction (Scheme 2) produced heparosan tetrasaccharide from a simple monosaccharide acceptor (13) and monosaccharides (as precursors for glycosyltransferase sugar 30 nucleotide donors) with high efficiency (81% yield).

In conclusion, we have identified AtGlcAK as a promiscuous sugar-1-phosphate kinase that can use D-GlcA, D-GalA, and D-ManA for synthesizing the corresponding sugar-1-phosphates. Furthermore, BLUSP has been proven to be more promiscuous 35 than what has been characterized previously. It can use GlcA-1-P as the substrate for the synthesis of UDP-GlcA. A direct and improved OPME system containing AtGlcAK with BLUSP or AtUSP in the presence of PmPpA has been developed for the synthesis of UDP-GlcA from a simple free monosaccharide D-40 GlcA. In addition, a OPME system containing BiGalK, AtUSP, and PmPpA has been established for the synthesis of UDP-GalA from D-GalA. Furthermore, a highly efficient OPME GlcAactivation and transfer system containing AtGlcAK, BLUSP, PmPpA, and PmHS2 has been developed which can be used with 45 a OPME GlcNAc-activation and transfer system containing NahK, PmGlmU, PmPpA, and PmHS2 for sequential high-yield production of heparosan oligosaccharides and derivatives. The direct and improved OPME GalA-activation and transfer system avoids the use of NAD⁺, the cofactor required by the UDP-Glc 50 dehydrogenase-dependent process, and can be used for enzymatic or chemoenzymatic synthesis of other glucuronides.

This work was supported by NIH grant R01GM094523. M.M.M thanks UC-Davis and USDE for GAANN Fellowship.

Notes and references

- 55 a Department of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA. E-mail: xiichen@ucdavis.edu; Fax: +1 530-754-8995; Tel:+1 530-754-6037
 - b Department of Chemistry, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA
- 60 C National Glycoengineering Research Center, College of Life Sciences, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China

- ^d Current address: Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA
- † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental 65 details, NMR and HRMS data. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
 - 1. H. Yu and X. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 865-872.
- A. Rowland, J. O. Miners and P. I. Mackenzie, *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2013, 45, 1121–1132.
- 3. F. Peng, J. Bian, P. Peng, H. Xiao, J. L. Ren, F. Xu and R. C. Sun, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2012, **60**, 4039–4047.
- 4. Y. Habibi and M. R. Vignon, Carbohydr. Res., 2005, 340, 1431-
- 5. B. Ray, C. Loutelier-Bourhis, C. Lange, E. Condamine, A. Driouich
- and P. Lerouge, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2004, **339**, 201–208. 6. A. Jacobs, M. Palm, G. Zacchi and O. Dahlman, *Carbohydrate*
- research, 2003, **338**, 1869–1876.
 7. P. Capek and M. Matulova, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2013, **59**, 396–
- P. Capek and M. Matulova, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2013, 59, 396–401.
- 8. B. R. Urbanowicz, M. J. Pena, S. Ratnaparkhe, U. Avci, J. Backe, H. F. Steet, M. Foston, H. Li, M. A. O'Neill, A. J. Ragauskas, A. G. Darvill, C. Wyman, H. J. Gilbert and W. S. York, *Proc. Natl. Aca. Sci. U. S. Am.*, 2012, **109**, 14253–14258.
- L. Ielpi, R. O. Couso and M. A. Dankert, J. Bacteriol., 1993, 175, 2490–2500.
- L. O. Martins and I. Sa-Correia, Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem., 1991, 14, 357–364.
 - 11. T. Ishii and T. Matsunaga, Phytochem., 2001, 57, 969-974.
- T. Ohashi, N. Cramer, T. Ishimizu and S. Hase, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2006, 352, 182–187.
- 90 13. F. Senechal, C. Wattier, C. Rusterucci and J. Pelloux, J. Exp. Bot., 2014, 65, 5125–5160.
- 14. I. S. Roberts, Ann. Rev. Microbiol., 1996, 50, 285-315.
- P. Nyvall, E. Corre, C. Boisset, T. Barbeyron, S. Rousvoal, D. Scornet, B. Kloareg and C. Boyen, *Plant Physiol.*, 2003, 133, 726–725
- G. Skj»k-Bræk, H. Grasdalen and B. Larsen, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1986, 154, 239–250.
- E. J. Toone, E. S. Simon and G. M. Whitesides, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, 56, 5603–5606.
- 00 18. Y. Chen, Y. Li, H. Yu, G. Sugiarto, V. Thon, J. Hwang, L. Ding, L. Hie and X. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 2013, 52, 11852–11856.
- L. A. Litterer, J. A. Schnurr, K. L. Plaisance, K. K. Storey, J. W. Gronwald and D. A. Somers, *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 2006, 44, 171–180
- 05 20. T. Kotake, S. Hojo, D. Yamaguchi, T. Aohara, T. Konishi and Y. Tsumuraya, Biosci., Biotechnol. Biochem., 2007, 71, 761–771.
 - J. Liu, Y. Zou, W. Guan, Y. Zhai, M. Xue, L. Jin, X. Zhao, J. Dong, W. Wang, J. Shen, P. G. Wang and M. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, 23, 3764–3768.
- 110 22. A. Orellana and D. Mohnen, Anal. Biochem., 1999, 272, 224-231.
 - R. Tenhaken, E. Voglas, J. M. Cock, V. Neu and C. G. Huber, J. Biol. Chem., 2011, 286, 16707–16715.
 - M. Weïwer, T. Sherwood, D. E. Green, M. Chen, P. L. DeAngelis, J. Liu and R. J. Linhardt, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 7631–7637.
- 115 25. K. Lau, V. Thon, H. Yu, L. Ding, Y. Chen, M. M. Muthana, D. Wong, R. Huang and X. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 6066–6068.
 - L. Li, Y. Liu, W. Wang, J. Cheng, W. Zhao and P. Wang, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2012, 355, 35–39.
- 20 27. A. M. Pieslinger, M. C. Hoepflinger and R. Tenhaken, J. Biol. Chem., 2010, 285, 2902–2910.
- M. M. Muthana, J. Qu, Y. Li, L. Zhang, H. Yu, L. Ding, H. Malekan and X. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 2728–2730.
- 29. Y. Li, H. Yu, V. Thon, Y. Chen, M. M. Muthana, J. Qu, L. Hie and S. Chen, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2014, **98**, 1127–1134.
- A. Chavaroche, J. Springer, F. Kooy, C. Boeriu and G. Eggink, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2010, 85, 1881–1891.
- A. E. Sismey-Ragatz, D. E. Green, N. J. Otto, M. Rejzek, R. A. Field and P. L. DeAngelis, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, 282, 28321–28327.
- 130 32. Y. Li, H. Yu, Y. Chen, K. Lau, L. Cai, H. Cao, V. K. Tiwari, J. Qu, V. Thon, P. G. Wang and X. Chen, *Molecules*, 2011, 16, 6396–6407.
- 33. Y. Chen, V. Thon, Y. Li, H. Yu, L. Ding, K. Lau, J. Qu, L. Hie and X. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 10815–10817.

135

Efficient one-pot multienzyme (OPME) systems were established for the synthesis of UDP-GlcA, UDP-GalA, and glucuronides from simple monosaccharides.