ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Highly Reliable Switching via Phase Transition using Hydrogen Peroxide in Homogeneous and Multi-layered GaZnOx-based Resistive Random Access Memory

Sung Pyo Park, Doo Hyun Yoon, Young Jun Tak, Heesoo Lee and Hyun Jae Kim*

⁵*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX* **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

Here, we propose an effective method for improving the resistive switching characteristics of solution-processed gallium-doped zinc oxide (GaZnO^x) resistive random access ¹⁰**memory (RRAM) devices using hydrogen peroxide. Our results imply that solution processed GaZnO^x RRAM devices could be one of the candidates for the development of low cost RRAM.**

Conventional nonvolatile flash memory has been extensively 15 studied in recent years due to its low fabrication cost and density comparable to that of 2X-nm generations. However, flash memory still suffers from limitations such as low endurance, low write speed, and high voltage requirements for write operations.¹ In addition, the downscaling of flash memory is expected to reach

- ²⁰physical limits in near future due to retention degradation. When tunnelling oxide thickness is less than 10 nm, stored charge of floating gate easily escape because of defects in the tunnelling oxide, formed by repeated write/erase operations or direct tunnelling current.² Hence, resistive random access memory
- ²⁵(RRAM) based on reversible resistive switching (RS) behaviour has been extensively studied for use in next-generation nonvolatile data-storage devices.²⁻⁵ Many candidate materials have been reported for RRAM devices, including transition metal oxides (TMOs), perovskites such as $Pr_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$ and $SrZrO_3$,
- 30 amorphous silicon, organic and inorganic materials.³⁻⁹ Among these materials, TMOs are a promising candidate as they exhibit reliable and stable switching, improved high resistance state to low resistance state (HRS/LRS) ratios, high endurance, and complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) process
- 35 compatibility.^{10,11} In particular, zinc oxide (ZnO) based TMOs have been actively investigated since they are considered to be environmentally friendly,¹² exhibit a large HRS/LRS ratio, are transparent and flexible, and raw materials are available in natural abundance.^{13,14} Gallium-doped zinc oxide $(GaZnO_x)$ has shown
- 40 particular promise recently for its low cost and thermal stability.¹⁵ GaZnO_x can be fabricated using various techniques including atomic layer deposition,¹⁶ pulse laser deposition,¹⁷ sputtering,¹⁸ and a solution process.¹⁹ The solution process has a number of advantages, including the simplicity of the process, easy control
- 45 of substances, low cost, and selective deposition.²⁰ Among the solution process technologies, however, spin coating method requires repeated processing to achieve optimized active layer

thickness, which may result in interface barriers between active layers that affect the RS characteristics of the RRAM device. ⁵⁰Also, solution processed TMOs contain intrinsic oxygen

- vacancies, denoted (V_O) , which may be shown through a filament model to form a conduction path in storage media.²¹ Among switching mechanism models, the widely used nanoscale conductive V_O filament model shows applicability to an extensive
- ⁵⁵range of materials and compares well to experimental measurements 21 ; use of this model has demonstrated that control of oxygen species (oxygen and V_O) is necessary for improving RS characteristics. Also, reducing the number of V_O filaments assists in lowering the RESET current and raising resistance.
- ⁶⁰Many studies have been undertaken to ameliorate these problems via doping, post-treatment, and development of multi-layer structures.^{2, 22, 23} In this paper, we propose an effective method for controlling V_0 concentrations, and investigate the phenomenon of phase transition using hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) in solution
- 65 processed GaZnO_x RRAM devices with a homogeneous multilayered (HML) structure. Aluminum and heavily doped p-type $silicon$ ($p⁺Si$) were used for the top and bottom electrodes, respectively; these are conventional materials in microelectronics systems, and serve as a good integration platform. Lastly, we ⁷⁰studied the correlation between switching behavior and material phase of GaZnO_x by varying the deposition location of H_2O_2 treated GaZnO_x: pristine, top (top treatment), middle (middle treatment), and bottom (bottom treatment). Detailed experimental conditions and step are provided in electronic supplementary ⁷⁵information. Figure 1 shows the atomic percentage with depth for each H_2O_2 -treated GaZnO_x thin film according to deposition location: pristine $1(a)$, top $1(b)$, middle $1(c)$, and bottom deposition 1(d), analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). XPS spectra were obtained using an Al monochromated 80 X-ray source (Al Kα line: 1486.6 eV). The etch rate of the XPS depth analysis was 0.15 nm/s using ionic Ar at 1 keV. We observed that atomic percentages of Ga and Zn fluctuate periodically in pristine $GaZnO_x$ film with increasing etch time, as shown in Figure 1(a). These results suggest that the Ga and Zn ss composition in multi-layered pristine $GaZnO_x$ thin film is segregated at the interfaces during the pre-annealing process, since the film was formed with five repeated processes to optimize the thickness (four times for each pristine $GaZnO_x$ sub-

layer and once for H_2O_2 -embedded GaZn O_x). This segregation

Fig. 1 XPS depth profiles to observe composition variations according to deposition location of H_2O_2 -treated GaZnO_x thin films for (a) pristine, (b) top treatment, (c) middle treatment, and (d) bottom treatment (inset image 5 is the schematic of deposition location of H₂O₂-treated GaZnO_x thin film)

may derive from doping inefficiencies or from the maximum solubility of Ga.²⁴ The segregated phase at the interface can affect formation and rupture of V_O filaments, which degrades the electrical characteristics of a RRAM device. The top-treated

- 10 GaZnO_x thin film, however, showed smooth atomic Ga and Zn percentages, as shown in Figure 1(b). These results indicate the effect of H_2O_2 on HML GaZn O_x thin films. XPS depth profiles were also measured for the other deposition locations (middle and bottom). Figure 1(c) and 1(d) clearly shows smooth Ga and Zn
- 15 atomic percentages, blended by the H_2O_2 treatment. This implies that atomic arrangement varies for $GaZnO_x$ treated with $H₂O₂$ when compared with pristine $GaZnO_x$ thin film. Figure 2(a) and 2(b) show the XPS depth spectra for Ga $2p_{3/2}$ and Zn $2p_{3/2}$ peaks, for both H_2O_2 -treated GaZnO_x and the non-treated GaZnO_x
- 20 region in the middle-treated $GaZnO_x$ thin film. Each spectrum was deconvoluted using a Gaussian distribution after correcting for background. The Ga $2p_{3/2}$ and Zn $2p_{3/2}$ spectra were centered at 1118.77 eV and 1022.21 eV respectively, corresponding to GaO and ZnO.^{25, 26} As shown in Figure 2(a) and 2(b), the Ga $2p_{3/2}$
- 25 and Zn $2p_{3/2}$ peaks shift to higher binding energy in the H_2O_2 treated $GaZnO_x$ region, suggesting that the $GaO-ZnO$ film formed not just as a physical mixture with two distinct GaO and ZnO phases, but that an enhanced oxidation state was found in the $GaZnO_x$ system. Based on the above results, the effects of
- H_2O_2 treatment on GaZnO_x film can be clearly seen. Oxygen radical diffusion by H_2O_2 dissociation may affect the GaZnO_x phase during pre-annealing. These results are consistent with the study by Tsai et al., 27 who assumed that the phase of ZnO film can be changed from polycrystalline to amorphous-like by
- 35 penetration of dissociated oxygen radicals. Figure 2(c) shows the phase transition and blended arrangement of Ga and Zn due to H_2O_2 treatment schematically, after 300°C pre-annealing. To verify the phase transition and crystallinity of H_2O_2 -treated GaZnO_x films by oxygen radical diffusion, we compared H_2O_2 -

Fig. 2 XPS results for (a) Ga $2p_{3/2}$ and (b) Zn $2p_{3/2}$ peaks for middletreated $GaZnO_x$ thin film (c) schematic of phase transition

Fig. 3 Grazing incidence X-ray diffraction patterns of $GaZnO_x$ thin films 45 with and without H_2O_2

treated $GaZnO_x$ film to pristine $GaZnO_x$ film using grazing incidence x-ray diffraction (GIXRD), with a 3-kW sealed X-ray tube Cu Kα ($λ = 1.541$ Å), as shown in Figure 3. For the pristine GaZnO_x film, XRD analysis revealed no diffraction peaks, 50 implying the amorphous phase. However, the H_2O_2 -treated GaZn O_x film was found to be in the c-axis oriented polycrystalline phase, with the highest peak observed at 34.48°,²⁸ indicating a GaZnO_x phase transition instigated by H_2O_2 treatment. Overall, the H_2O_2 -induced phase transition correlates ⁵⁵well with the results of the previous study in terms of oxygen radical diffusion.²⁷ We analyzed the electrical characteristics of all devices to investigate the effect of H_2O_2 treatment on HML $GaZnO_x$ RRAM devices. Figure 4 shows the current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of the devices according to deposition location. ⁶⁰All devices show clear BRS characteristics. This bi-stable switching is due to the formation and rupture of V_O filaments.²¹ The bottom electrode was grounded, and a bias voltage bias (positive and negative) was applied to the top electrode for switching the device. When a sweep voltage ranging from zero to 65 positive was applied to the top electrode of the pristine $GaZnO_x$ device, a sudden decrease in resistance from HRS to LRS (defined as the SET process) was observed at about +2.43 V. As the applied voltage swept from positive to zero, LRS was maintained. Sweeping from zero to negative, an increase in

Fig. 4 I-V characteristics of the HML structure RRAM devices for (a) pristine (b) top treatment (c) middle treatment, and (d) bottom treatment

Fig. 5 Comparison of endurance characteristics and SET/RESET voltage distribution of the HML structure RRAM devices

LRS to HRS (defined as the RESET process) was observed at about -2.19 V. As the applied voltage swept from negative to 10 zero, HRS was maintained. Current was limited to 7 mA during the high-to-low and low-to-high resistance switching processes to avoid permanent damage to the devices. The pristine $GaZnO_x$ based device exhibited poor BRS characteristics as shown in Figure 4(a). There are two possible explanations; the first is the 15 generation of excess intrinsic V_0 in solution processed GaZnO_x

- thin film. Since the formation and rupture of conduction filaments (CFs) is attributed to V_O , appropriate control of V_O in TMOsbased RRAM is a necessity. Therefore, excess intrinsic V_0 induces poor switching characteristics. The second explanation is
- $_{20}$ that nanocrystalline grain boundaries in pristine GaZnO_x cause non-uniform switching characteristics. Grain boundaries are known to be a preferential site for V_O filament formation, it is therefore commonly accepted that excess electrical conduction paths might form due to the high number of grain boundaries in
- 25 nanocrystalline GaZnO_x thin film.²⁹ Also, random nucleation and growth of CFs along grain boundaries makes their formation difficult to control, which is a significant impediment to device performance improvement. In contrast, top-, middle-, and bottom-treated $GaZnO_x$ devices exhibited an improvement in the

30 switching window (of the order of $\sim 10^2$) as shown in Figure 4(b), $4(c)$, $4(d)$, respectively. For bottom-treated GaZnO_x devices,

Fig. 6 The conduction mechanism schematic for the BRS in middletreated GaZnOx RRAM device

³⁵however, HRS and LRS were shifted toward the low current level and showed a multi-step SET voltage (Figure 4(d)). This phenomenon is attributed to the $GaZnO_x/Si$ interface at the bottom electrode, where a native oxide forms because of the strong oxidation potential of H_2O_2 and large free energy of 40 formation.³⁰⁻³² The formation of native oxide at the GaZnO_x/Si interface interrupts generation of uniform CFs and impedes current flow. To compare the electrical stability of devices, we carried out endurance tests at a reading voltage of 0.4 V and plotted SET/RESET distribution during 100 switching cycles, as ⁴⁵shown in Figure 5. With the exception of the pristine device, all devices showed enhanced endurance characteristics up to 100 cycles. However, resistance fluctuations were observed in top and bottom treated $GaZnO_x$ devices, possibly due to the amorphized $GaZnO_x$ phase transition and formation of native oxide at the ⁵⁰bottom electrode. Also, The SET and RESET voltages for the top-treated $GaZnO_x$ device were higher than for the middletreated sample, since H_2O_2 treatment increases the metal-oxide bond in $GaZnO_x$ and causes a material phase change from polycrystalline to amorphous. These results are consistent with ⁵⁵middle-treated samples. In contrast, the middle-treated device exhibited a highly a uniform SET/RESET distribution compared with other devices. Overall, the middle-treated $GaZnO_x$ device showed better BRS characteristics, including SET/RESET distribution, endurance, and switching window, amongst the four ⁶⁰comparison groups. Figure 6 shows a feasible BRS conduction mechanism for the middle-treated $GaZnO_x$ device. The schematic is based on characteristics resulting from the rupture and formation of conductive V_0 filaments at the interfaces between

non-treated $GaZnO_x$ and H_2O_2 -treated $GaZnO_x$, caused by a redox reaction. When the applied voltage reaches the positive forming voltage to create a conduction path, oxygen ions attracted from the amorphous region move toward the top Al

- 5 electrode and the V_O simultaneously move downward. Compared with the non-treated $GaZnO_x$ layer, higher densities of lattice and interstitial oxygen ions exist in H_2O_2 -treated GaZnO_x, hence uniform CFs were formed in the H_2O_2 -treated region. Thus, asymmetrically shaped CFs with weak CF offshoots were formed
- 10 at the interface, causing the device to switch to the LRS. When a negative bias is applied to the top Al electrode, the repelled oxygen ions from the non-treated polycrystalline region move to the polycrystalline/amorphous interface, where they induce local Joule heating and oxidation. The narrow size of the asymmetric
- ¹⁵CFs at the interface induces intense local Joule heating, resulting in switching to HRS. This localized interface RS reduces the problem of escaping oxygen ions from electrodes in the middletreated device.³³ Hence, the middle-treated device showed superb RS characteristics compared with other devices, with improved
- ²⁰switching parameters such as SET/RESET distribution, endurance and on/off ratio.

Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the phase transition of solutionbased HML GaZnO_x RRAM devices using H_2O_2 to improve RS

- 25 characteristics such as SET/RESET uniformity, endurance, and switching window. Significant variations in I–V characteristics were observed, depending on the deposition location of H_2O_2 treated $GaZnO_x$. The pristine $GaZnO_x$ based device exhibited poor BRS characteristics such as large variation in switching
- 30 voltage, narrow switching window, and poor reproducibility during repeated switching cycles. In contrast, the H_2O_2 -treated RRAM devices showed improved BRS characteristics. In particular, the middle-treated device exhibited a high LRS/HRS ratio (of the order of $\sim 10^2$), stable endurance, and narrow
- ³⁵dispersion of SET/RESET switching voltage. These results suggest that H_2O_2 -treated GaZn O_x solution both assisted physical mixing in the segregated material system, and changed the material phase from polycrystalline to amorphous in pristine $GaZnO_x$ layers. Furthermore, $H₂O₂$ effectively smooths interfaces
- 40 via diffusion of oxygen radicals dissociated from H_2O_2 in solution-based HML GaZnO_x RRAM devices. Solution based HML GaZnO_x RRAM devices using H_2O_2 therefore enable the realization of low-cost portable electronics.

Notes and references

- ⁴⁵*School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Yonsei University, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-749, Republic of Korea. Fax: 82-2-2123-8123; Tel: 82-2-2123-5865; E-mail: hjk3@yonsei.ac.kr*
- † This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of
- ⁵⁰Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) [No. 2011- 0028819].

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b0000000x/

- ⁵⁵1 S. Tehrani, J.M. Slaughter, E. Chen, M. Durlam, J. Shi and M. DeHerrera, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, 1999, 35, 2814.
	- 2 D. H. Yoon, S. J. Kim, J. Jung, H. S. Lim and H. J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, 22, 17568.
- 3 B. Sun, W. Zhao, L. Wei, H. Li and P. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 13142.
- 4 M. J. Rozenberg, I. H. Inoue, and M. J. Sa´nchez, *Phy. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, 92, 178302.
- 5 M. C. Wu, W. Y. Jang, C. H. Lin and T. Y. Tseng, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, 27, 065010.
- ⁶⁵6 B. M. Köpe, M. Tendulkar, S. G. Park, H. D. Lee and Y. Nishi, *Nanotechnology*, 2011, 22, 254029.
	- 7 S. H. Jo , K.-H. Kim, W. Lu, *Nano Lett.*, 2008, 9, 496.
- 8 C. -J. Jung, J. -H. Wu, and G. -S. Liou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 4335.
- ⁷⁰9 F. Pan, S. Gao, C. Chen, C. Song, and F. Zeng, *Mater. Sci. Eng. R*, 2014, 83, 1-59.
	- 10 W. Y. Chang, Y. C. Lai, T. B. Wu, S. F. Wang, F. Chen, and M. J. Tsai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, 92, 022110.
- 11 H. Lv, X. Xu, H. Liu, R. Liu, Q. Liu, W. Banerjee, H. Sun, S. Long, L. Li, and M. Liu, *Sci Rep*, 2015, 5, 7764.
- 12 F. Tamaddon, M. A. Amrollahi, L. Sharafat, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 2005, 46, 7841.
- 13 Y. C. Yang, F. Pan, Q. Liu, M. Liu, and F. Zeng, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, 9, 1636.
- ⁸⁰14 Z. Q. Wang, H. Y. Xu, X. H. Li, X. T. Zhang, Y. X. Liu, and Y. C. Liu, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2011, 32, 1442.
	- 15 B. D. Ahn, S. H. Oh, H. J. Kim, M. H. Jung, and Y. G. Ko, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, 91, 252109.
- 16 S. J. Lim, S, j, Kwon, H. Kim, and J. S. Park, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, 85 91, 183517.
	- 17 H. Frenzel, A. Lajn, M. Brandt, H. von Wenckstern, G. Biehne, H. Hochmuth, M. Lorenz, and M. Grundmann, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, 92, 192108.
	- 18 Y. J. Tak, D. H. Yoon, S. Yoon, U. H. Choi, M. M. Sabri, B. D. Ahn, ⁹⁰and H. J. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 6399.
- 19 C. H. Kim, Y. S. Rim, and H. J. Kim, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, 5, 6108.
- 20 S. J. Heo, D. H. Yoon, T. S. Jung, and H. J. Kim, *J. Inf. Disp.*, 2013, 14, 79.
- ⁹⁵21 N. Xu, L. Liu, X. Sun, X. Liu, D. Han, Y. Wang, R. Han, J. Kang, and Bin Yu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, 92, 232112.
	- 22 D. H. Yoon, Y. J. Tak, S. P. Park, J. Jung, H. Lee, and H. J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 6148.
- 23 Y. Bai, H. Wu, Y. Zhang, M. Wu, J. Zhang, N. Deng, H. Qian and J. ¹⁰⁰Yu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, 102, 173503.
- 24 J. A. Sans, G. M. Criado, J. P. Porres, J. F. S. Royo, and A. Segura, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, 91, 221904.
- 25 T. Das, C. Mahata, C. K. Maiti, E. Miranda, G. Sutradhar, and P. K. Bose, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, 98, 022901.
- ¹⁰⁵26 J. L. Lan, S. J. Cherng, Y. H. Yang, Q. Zhang, S. Subramaniyan, F. S. Ohuchi, S. A. Jenekhe and G. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. A.*, 2014, 2, 9361.
	- 27 C. H. Tsai, W. C. Wang, F. L. Jenq, C. C. Liu, C. I. Hung, and M. P. Houng, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2008, 104, 053521.
	- 28 W. J. Park, H. S. Shin, B. D. Ahn, G. H. Kim, S. M. Lee, K. H. Kim and H. J. Kim, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, 93, 083508.
	- 29 M. Lanza, K. Zhang, M. Porti, M. Nafrı´a, Z. Y. Shen, L. F. Liu, J. F. Kang, D. Gilmer and G. Bersuker, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, 100, 123508.

- 31 R. Waser, R. Dittmann, G. Staikov, and K. Szot, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 2632.
- 32 Y. T. Chen, T. C. Chang, H. K. Peng, H. C. Tseng, J. J. Huang, J. B. Yang, A. K. Chu, T. F. Young, and S. M. Sze, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, 120 102, 252902.
	- 33 D. Acharyya, A. Hazra, P. Bhattacharyya, *Microelectron Reliab.*, 2014, 54, 541.

³⁰ J. M. Kwon, J. Jung, Y. S. Rim, D. L. Kim, and H. J. Kim, *ACS Appl.* ¹¹⁵*Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, 6, 3371.