

# ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

# The sequential continuous-flow hydrothermal synthesis of molybdenum disulphide.

Peter W. Dunne, Alexis S. Munn, Chris L. Starkey and Edward H. Lester\*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x



Molybdenum disulphide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) has been widely used as a catalyst and high temperature lubricant. It has been heavily researched recently as a graphene analogue and member of the so-called inorganic fullerenes. Here we report the first continuous flow hydrothermal synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub>. With fast reaction times and flexibility the continuous flow hydrothermal system allowed MoS<sub>2</sub> to be produced in a stepwise fashion, offering an insight into the mechanism involved. It has been found that the synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> proceeded via the sulphidation of molybdate anions to thiomolybdate species, which are transformed to amorphous MoS<sub>3</sub> by acidification in flow, before further hydrothermal treatment decomposes this amorphous precursor to tangled MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets.

Molybdenum disulphide, MoS<sub>2</sub>, has come under intense scrutiny in recent years. While it has long been of interest for its catalytic properties<sup>1</sup> and applications in high temperature lubrication, it is its position as a graphene analogue<sup>2</sup> and member of the so-called “inorganic fullerenes”<sup>3-5</sup> and its potential in intercalation chemistry and battery materials<sup>6-8</sup> which have driven much of the recent research activity. Great strides have been made in the production of few-layer 2-dimensional nanosheets of MoS<sub>2</sub> through vapour deposition techniques and exfoliation from crystalline MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>9, 10</sup> Inorganic fullerene-like MoS<sub>2</sub> structures have been synthesised by various methods, including vapour phase reactions<sup>5</sup> and post-synthetic annealing of solution processed core-shell precursors.<sup>3</sup> Nanostructured and hierarchical MoS<sub>2</sub> materials for catalytic and electrochemical applications have also been produced by vapour deposition methods,<sup>11</sup> as well as hydrothermal processes, though the hydrothermal routes typically require a post-synthetic annealing step to induce crystallisation.<sup>8, 12</sup> Hydrothermal routes to molybdenum sulphide are becoming more and more common as the potential of hydrothermal synthesis to produce wide-ranging products with size, shape and composition control has gained broader acceptance. Despite this, hydrothermal methods do suffer some drawbacks. Chief among these is the difficulty in monitoring and understanding reactions which are occurring in sealed vessels under high temperatures and pressures (indeed, hydrothermal reactions have been, unfairly, referred to as a “magic hat” from which various products are pulled<sup>13</sup>). While extensive

synchrotron studies have permitted researchers to examine reactions *in-situ* under such harsh conditions, our understanding of hydrothermal processes still relies largely on a combination of chemical knowledge and systematic investigations of reaction conditions. Continuous-flow hydrothermal synthesis (CFHS) was developed initially for the rapid production of metal oxide nanoparticles by mixing precursors with a preheated supercritical water or reagent stream,<sup>14</sup> CFHS is significantly faster (< 5 s) than conventional batch hydrothermal processes. This allows many variables to be examined through reaction and reactor design in order to enhance our understanding of the processes and mechanisms involved in these otherwise impenetrable systems. As a continuous-flow process CFHS is also inherently scalable to industrially viable levels (lithium iron phosphate for example is produced in this way at thousands of tons per year by Hanwha Chemicals).

We have previously reported on the continuous flow hydrothermal synthesis of a range of metal sulphides, wherein the size and shape of many of the materials could be controlled by varying reaction temperatures and how the reagents were mixed in flow.<sup>15</sup> Here we extend this work to the synthesis of molybdenum disulphide tangled nanosheets through a series of reactions carried out in flow. The rapid nature of the CFHS technique, coupled with a modular reactor design, has allowed us to elucidate and control the steps involved in the hydrothermal production of molybdenum disulphide by a continuous, scalable process.

Our initial efforts to produce MoS<sub>2</sub> in a continuous flow system mirrored our earlier work on metal sulphide nanomaterials.<sup>15</sup> Using the counter-current continuous flow reactor developed at Nottingham, and described in detail elsewhere,<sup>15, 16</sup> an aqueous stream of ammonium heptamolybdate, at 0.05 M concentration flowing at 10 mL/min, was mixed with an aqueous stream of thiourea, at 0.1 M concentration, which had been flowed through a pre-heater at 20 mL/min at temperatures between 250 °C and 400 °C. A schematic of this reactor and the UV-vis spectra of the products obtained at various temperatures are shown in Figure 1. No solids were obtained from this configuration at any temperature; however the solutions collected at the outlet of the reactor are deep orange to red in colour, indicative of sulphidation of the molybdate anions.<sup>17</sup> The UV-vis spectra show an increasing level of sulphidation with increasing reaction temperature, consistent with the step-wise mechanism:




**Fig.1.** Schematic of the counter-current reactor (a), photograph (b) and UV-vis spectra (c) of the thiomolybdate species formed by the reaction of molybdate with thiourea.

It is worth noting that even at the highest pre-heater temperature of 400 °C the absorption maximum at 396 nm due to MoOS<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> persists. This can be ascribed to the much slower rate of reaction for the final sulphidation reaction, relative to the preceding steps, however it has been shown previously that lowering the pH is an effective method of enhancing the rate of this reaction.<sup>18</sup>

In addition to speeding up reaction (4), acidification is known to precipitate the tetrathiomolybdate anion as amorphous MoS<sub>3</sub>, itself a common precursor to MoS<sub>2</sub>. Addition of small amounts of nitric acid to the obtained red solutions resulted in the precipitation of a dark brown solid (and gave a colourless supernatant) consistent with MoS<sub>3</sub>. The reaction of MoS<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> with acid to yield MoS<sub>3</sub> involves reduction of the molybdenum. Despite the apparent formula, MoS<sub>3</sub> most likely consists of MoS<sub>6</sub> octahedra with the sulphurs of the shared faces forming disulphide units, giving a formula of Mo<sup>IV</sup>(S<sup>2-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup>), the exact structure and oxidation state remains slightly contentious. In order to produce MoS<sub>3</sub> with our fast continuous hydrothermal process, it was necessary to perform this acidification step in flow. An additional flow inlet to the reactor downstream of the initial mixing point was added to provide an acidic environment. Nitric acid creates too oxidising an atmosphere at the elevated temperatures employed, while the stainless steel construction of the reactor prohibits the use of hydrochloric acid as a reducing acid. As such acetic acid was chosen as a non-oxidising acid compatible with the stainless steel reactor. This reactor arrangement is shown in Figure 2, along with the XRD pattern and TEM images of the brown solid obtained.


The XRD pattern of the product obtained from this reaction (recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance using Cu K<sub>α</sub> radiation, λ = 1.5415 Å) is highly amorphous showing only a very broad peak centred at 14 °2θ and diffuse scattering at lower d-spacing. TEM images show the product consists of large micron-sized amorphous chunks. High resolution imaging reveals a highly disordered structure, seemingly comprised of tangled chain segments, consistent with the structural model proposed by Hibble *et al.*<sup>19</sup> on the basis of RMC modelling of neutron diffraction data. Some small isolated regions of the sample also exhibited a layered structure close to that expected of MoS<sub>2</sub>, with interplanar spacings of 6.9 Å, suggesting that at the elevated temperatures within the reactor some partial transformation of MoS<sub>3</sub> to MoS<sub>2</sub> has occurred, as has previously been suggested based on *in-situ* EXAFS observations on the decomposition of tetrathiomolybdate to MoS<sub>2</sub>.<sup>20</sup>



**Fig. 2.** Reactor for the production of MoS<sub>3</sub> by acidification of *in-situ* generated thiomolybdates (a), and the XRD pattern (b) and HRTEM images (c-f) of the amorphous product (inset shows a possible structure as proposed by Hibble *et al.*).

In order to fully transform the precipitated MoS<sub>3</sub> to MoS<sub>2</sub> additional heating is required. Conventionally this is achieved by calcination under an inert atmosphere, post-synthesis. In order to transform the MoS<sub>3</sub> to MoS<sub>2</sub> in flow it was necessary to increase the residence time of the reactor such that the MoS<sub>3</sub> would be maintained at sufficient temperatures long enough to decompose

to MoS<sub>2</sub>. This was achieved by incorporating an additional heating unit between the reactor outlet and the cooling system, affording an additional residence time of ~ 30 s at a set temperature of 250 °C, as shown in Figure 3a. The XRD pattern of the black solid obtained from this reactor reveals it to be crystalline MoS<sub>2</sub>, obtained at near quantitative yields. TEM images show the MoS<sub>2</sub> is present as large (1 – 2 μm) masses of tangled nanosheets. HRTEM images taken at the edges of these curled nanosheets show them to be as little as 10 nm in thickness, typically between 10 and 15 layers of MoS<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. 3.** The final reactor design for the production of MoS<sub>2</sub> by further hydrothermal treatment of MoS<sub>3</sub> (a), and the XRD pattern (b) and HRTEM images (c-f) of the crystalline MoS<sub>2</sub> product.

This work represents the first successful synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> by the scalable continuous-flow hydrothermal method. Further, the rapid nature of the CFHS method has allowed various stages of the synthesis process to be observed by isolation of intermediates at different stages of reaction, such that a general mechanism can be proposed:



This research demonstrates that continuous-flow hydrothermal reactor technology can be employed as a flexible technique,

which can be adapted to provide new avenues towards industrially relevant and important materials, while at the same time offering insights into the chemical mechanisms involved

## Notes and references

\* Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK.

The authors wish to thank Dr. Mike Fay and the Nottingham Nanotechnology and Nanoscience Centre for the use of and assistance with their HRTEM facilities, and Mr. Mike Wallis and the School of Pharmacy for access to the UV-vis spectrometer. This work is funded through the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013), grant agreement no. FP7-NMP4-LA-2012-280983, the SHYMAN project.

- P. Grange and X. Vanhaeren, *Catal. Today*, 1997, **36**, 375-391.
- H. S. S. Ramakrishna Matte, A. Gomathi, A. K. Manna, D. J. Late, R. Datta, S. K. Pati and C. N. R. Rao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4059-4062.
- E. Blanco, D. Uzio, G. Berhault and P. Afanasiev, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 3325-3331.
- Y. Feldman, G. L. Frey, M. Homyonfer, V. Lyakhovitskaya, L. Margulis, H. Cohen, G. Hodes, J. L. Hutchison and R. Tenne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 5362-5367.
- X.-L. Li, J.-P. Ge and Y.-D. Li, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 6163-6171.
- M. Pumera, Z. Sofer and A. Ambrosi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 8981-8987.
- P. Sun, W. Zhang, X. Hu, L. Yuan and Y. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 3498-3504.
- S. Hu, W. Chen, J. Zhou, F. Yin, E. Uchaker, Q. Zhang and G. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 7862-7872.
- J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. O'Neill, S. D. Bergin, P. J. King, U. Khan, K. Young, A. Gaucher, S. De, R. J. Smith, I. V. Shvets, S. K. Arora, G. Stanton, H.-Y. Kim, K. Lee, G. T. Kim, G. S. Duesberg, T. Hallam, J. J. Boland, J. J. Wang, J. F. Donegan, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, A. Shmeliov, R. J. Nicholls, J. M. Perkins, E. M. Grievson, K. Theuwissen, D. W. McComb, P. D. Nellist and V. Nicolosi, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 568-571.
- W. Yin, L. Yan, J. Yu, G. Tian, L. Zhou, X. Zheng, X. Zhang, Y. Yong, J. Li, Z. Gu and Y. Zhao, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 6922-6933.
- X. Wang, H. Feng, Y. Wu and L. Jiao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 5304-5307.
- Chen and R. Fan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 802-805.
- P. Afanasiev, *Comptes Rendus Chimie*, 2008, **11**, 159-182.
- T. Adschiri, K. Kanazawa and K. Arai, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1992, **75**, 1019-1022.
- P. W. Dunne, C. L. Starkey, M. Gimeno-Fabra and E. H. Lester, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 2406-2418.
- WO 2005077505 A2, 2005.
- Stuart H. Laurie, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **2000**, 2443-2450.
- B. E. Erickson and G. R. Helz, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2000, **64**, 1149-1158.
- S. J. Hibble and G. B. Wood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **126**, 959-965.
- R. I. Walton, A. J. Dent and S. J. Hibble, *Chem. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 3737-3745.