

# ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## COMMUNICATION

# Metal-free Lewis Acid Mediated Dehydrocoupling of Phosphines and Concurrent Hydrogenation†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Roman Dobrovetsky<sup>a</sup>, Katsuhiko Takeuchi<sup>a</sup> and Douglas W. Stephan<sup>a,b</sup>Received 00th January 2012,  
Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


**Abstract:** The stoichiometric reaction of trityl cation with two equivalents of Ph<sub>2</sub>PH affords the phosphine stabilized phosphonium salt [Ph<sub>2</sub>(H)PPH<sub>2</sub>][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] via hydride abstraction, while catalytic amounts of B(*p*-HC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> effects catalytic phosphine dehydrocoupling with the liberation of H<sub>2</sub>. This reaction is accelerated by the presence of olefin or imine, effecting concurrent hydrogenation.

Phosphorus coordination chemistry is dominated by the donor behaviour of trivalent, tricoordinate phosphines. However, very recently the Lewis acidity of P(V) phosphonium cations has garnered much attention as these species can be employed in a variety of catalytic reactions.<sup>1</sup> In a related sense, the donor-acceptor properties of low-coordinate phosphonium cations, R<sub>2</sub>P<sup>+</sup> has also drawn attention in recent years.<sup>2</sup> These species provide an interesting isolobal analogy to carbenes.<sup>3</sup> Phosphonium cations are typically readily prepared by halide abstraction or displacement from a suitable precursor using either weakly-coordinating anion or a suitable Lewis base.<sup>2b, 4, 5</sup>

P-H bond activation typically involves treatment with a strong base resulting in proton abstraction and generation of a phosphide anion<sup>6</sup> although Wright and coworkers have described the stannocene, mediated dehydrocoupling of a range of primary phosphines. However, the similarities of the Pauling electronegativities of hydrogen and phosphorus (2.20 and 2.19, respectively),<sup>7</sup> suggest that it should also be possible to generate a hydride and phosphonium cation from a phosphine. Nonetheless, attempts to abstract hydride<sup>8</sup> with trityl borate to generate a phosphonium cation failed.<sup>9</sup> Herein, we report the first hydride abstraction from secondary and primary arylphosphines by the concurrent action of a Lewis acid and excess phosphine, affording a route to a phosphine-stabilized phosphonium salt. Moreover, this reactivity is extended to effect the catalytic dehydrocoupling of


phosphines and even concurrent transfer hydrogenation using the Lewis acid as a catalyst.

The reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>PH with [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] in 2:1 ratio in C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Br for 3 hours at 130 °C afforded Ph<sub>3</sub>CH and [Ph<sub>2</sub>(H)PPH<sub>2</sub>][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] (**1**) [Eq. (1)]. The concurrent formation of Ph<sub>3</sub>CH was clearly indicated by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal at 5.4 ppm. Compound **1** was separated and isolated as oil and its formulation confirmed by the observation of the two signals in <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum, a doublet at 4.5 ppm (*J*(PH) = 417 Hz) and singlet at δ = -25.4 ppm. Interestingly, this stands in contrast to a previous report of a 1:1 reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>PH with [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] which afforded the adduct [Ph<sub>2</sub>(H)PCPh<sub>3</sub>][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>].<sup>9</sup>





This prompted further efforts to employ Lewis acids to effect P-P dehydrocoupling. To that end, the Lewis acid B(*p*-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> **2**<sup>10</sup> was employed as the common electrophilic borane. B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is known to undergo reaction with phosphines to give *para*-attack products of the form R<sub>2</sub>P(H)(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>)BF(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.<sup>11</sup> In an initial stoichiometric reaction **2** was added to two equivalents of Ph<sub>2</sub>PH and heated to 130 °C. This resulted in slow formation of (Ph<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub> as evidenced by the <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal -15 ppm. The concurrent formation of H<sub>2</sub> was evident from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR peak at 4.5 ppm. Under catalytic conditions, reaction of 10 mol % of the borane **2** with Ph<sub>2</sub>PH was heated to 130 °C for 12 h in a closed vessel. This afforded a 38% conversion to (Ph<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub> **3**. Prolonged heating of the reaction mixture did not increase conversion, however, removal of H<sub>2</sub> from the reaction vessel, furthered conversion to 54%. Subsequent and continuous removal of H<sub>2</sub> led to quantitative formation of **3**. This observation infers that the Lewis acid mediated hydrogenation of the biphosphine regenerates

$\text{Ph}_2\text{PH}$ . While the reduction of P-P bonds has been previously reported,<sup>12</sup> the present result is the first to describe the reverse reaction, namely the Lewis acid mediated dehydrocoupling of phosphines.




**Scheme 1.** Catalytic dehydrocoupling of phosphines

Analogous dehydrocoupling of (*p*-tol)<sub>2</sub>PH at 130 °C for 120 h proceeds in a similar fashion to give ((*p*-tol)<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub> **4** in 80% while PhPH<sub>2</sub> undergo dehydrocoupling to give (PhP)<sub>5</sub> **5** in 98% yield (Scheme 1). Interestingly, the sterically demanding phosphines (*o*-tol)<sub>2</sub>PH or Mes<sub>2</sub>PH groups did not lead to P-P coupling, rather only the phosphine-borane adducts were observed. Similarly efforts to dehydrocouple secondary alkylphosphines gave only the phosphine-borane adducts. These latter observations indicated the steric and electronic limits for this Lewis acid mediated P-P dehydrocoupling.



**Figure 2.** LUMO of (*p*-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub>B(PHR)<sub>2</sub> (isovalue = 0.033)

Interestingly, the present dehydrocoupling reactions can also be exploited to effect transfer hydrogenation of organic unsaturates. Moreover, the presence of a hydrogen atom acceptor serves to accelerate the dehydrocoupling reactions. Thus, reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>PH in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of 1-phenyl-1-trimethylsilyloxyethylene or *N*-benzylidene-*tert*-butylamine and a catalytic amount of B(*p*-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> at 130 °C results in the complete transformation to (Ph<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub> and hydrogenation of the organic species after 30 hours and 38 hours, respectively [Eq. (2 and 3)].



**Scheme 2.** Proposed mechanism of R<sub>2</sub>PH dehydrocoupling.

A radical mechanism for this dehydrocoupling was excluded as performance of the reaction in the presence of the radical trap reagent cyclohexadiene,<sup>13</sup> showed no formation of benzene; rather only **3** was formed. Thus, an ionic-polar mechanism is proposed in which both hydride and proton originate from R<sub>2</sub>PH. Coordination of a secondary phosphine to borane as in the adduct (Ph<sub>2</sub>PH)B(*p*-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> presumably generates an electrophilic P center due to low lying but unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) formed at P centre<sup>1b, 1e</sup> prompting nucleophilic attack by free Ph<sub>2</sub>PH. This view is supported by DFT calculations of the molecular orbitals of the adduct (Ph<sub>2</sub>PH)B(*p*-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub> performed at WB97XD/def2TZV level of theory.<sup>14</sup> The LUMO is concentrated on the B and P centers. Attack by Ph<sub>2</sub>PH at the boron center would result in replacement of one phosphine by the other. On the other hand, attack at phosphorus center generates the proposed pentacoordinate P center. The transient five coordinate phosphorus atom transfers hydride to borane generating [Ph<sub>2</sub>(H)PPPPh<sub>2</sub>][HB(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub>]. This salt can either evolve H<sub>2</sub> or sequentially deliver proton and hydride to an organic unsaturate (Scheme 2). Hydrogenation of olefin or imine is thought to proceed in a manner similar to FLP reductions however in the present case [Ph<sub>2</sub>P-P(H)Ph<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> is the proton source and the anion [(HC<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>BH]<sup>-</sup> is the source of hydride. Calculations employing the conductor-like polarizable continuum solvation model (CPCM)<sup>15</sup> in bromobenzene were carried out. The reaction of **1** with **6** is slightly exothermic with ΔH = -5.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and ΔG = 0.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The subsequent generation of the intermediate salt [Ph<sub>2</sub>(H)PPPPh<sub>2</sub>][HB(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>H)<sub>3</sub>] is slightly endothermic and endergonic with ΔH = 1.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and ΔG = 15.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> consistent with the thermal conditions required for dehydrocoupling.

To put this reactivity in context P-P dehydrocoupling is typically achieved by either stoichiometric or catalytic processes.<sup>16</sup> Würtz

type reduction of the phosphine halides, or dehydrohalogenation of  $R_2PX$  and  $R_2PH$  are well established.<sup>17</sup> A variety of other stoichiometric methods have also been described.<sup>18</sup> Metal catalyzed dehydrocoupling of phosphines has also been demonstrated employing Ti,<sup>19</sup> Zr<sup>20</sup> and Rh<sup>21</sup>-based catalysts. Wright and coworkers<sup>16c, 16f</sup> have described the stannocene, mediated dehydrocoupling of a range of primary phosphines, providing the first main-group mediated P-P dehydrocoupling. Very recently a radical route to phosphine dehydrocoupling was described employing 1,1-azobis[cyclohexane-1-carbonitrile] (VAZO<sup>®</sup>88) as the initiator.<sup>22</sup> Thus the present work illustrates the first examples of metal-free, Lewis acid catalysed phosphine dehydrocoupling. It is also interesting to note that we have previously reported the reverse reaction that is the hydrogenation of P-P bonds. With the exception of frustrated Lewis pairs, the  $P_2R_4$  and  $R_2PH$  is a very rare case where both the incorporation and release of  $H_2$  are catalysed by main group species.

In conclusion hydride abstraction from phosphines by Lewis acids is reported leading to phosphine stabilized phosphonium cation. This chemistry can be employed to effect the catalytic dehydrocoupling of phosphines by the borane  $B(p-C_6F_4H)_3$ , a reaction that is accelerated in the presence of a hydrogen acceptor. In this fashion, this effects simultaneous metal-free hydrogenation catalysis. We are continuing to study and develop new strategies for metal-free catalysis.

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto  
80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3H6 (Canada)  
E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca  
Homepage: <http://www.chem.utoronto.ca/staff/DSTEPHAN>

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

† The authors gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the NSERC of Canada and DWS acknowledges the award of a Canada Research Chair.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- (a) M. Terada and M. Kouchi, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 401-409; (b) T. W. Hudnall, Y. M. Kim, M. W. P. Bebbington, D. Bourissou and F. P. Gabbaï, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10890-10891; (c) O. Sereda, S. Tabassum and R. Wilhelm, in *Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, ed. B. List, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 86-117; (d) T. Werner, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, 2009, **351**, 1469-1481; (e) C. B. Caputo, L. J. Hounjet, R. Dobrovetsky and D. W. Stephan, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1374-1377; (f) M. Perez, C. B. Caputo, R. Dobrovetsky and D. W. Stephan, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2014, **111**, 10917-10921; (g) M. Perez, L. J. Hounjet, C. B. Caputo, R. Dobrovetsky and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18308-18310.
- (a) A. H. Cowley and R. A. Kemp, *Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **85**, 367-382; (b) D. Gudat, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **163**, 71-106; (c) D. Gudat, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 1307-1316; (d) N. Burford and P. J. Ragoon, *Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 4307-4315; (e) N. Burford and R. Paul, in *Modern Aspects of Main Group Chemistry*, Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, pp. 280-292; (f) J. M. Slattery, C. Fish, M. Green, T. N. Hooper, J. C. Jeffery, R. J. Kilby, J. M. Lynam, J. E. McGrady, D. A. Pantazis, C. A. Russell and C. E. Willans, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 6967-6974; (g) J. M. Slattery and S. Hussein, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 1808-1815.
- (a) M. Driess and H. Grützmacher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1996, **35**, 828-856; (b) A. J. Arduengo, *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 1999, **32**, 913-921; (c) D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F. P. Gabbaï and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **100**, 39-92.
- M. B. Abrams, B. L. Scott and R. T. Baker, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 4944-4956.
- (a) H. Nakazawa, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **611**, 349-363; (b) N. J. Hardman, M. B. Abrams, M. A. Pribisko, T. M. Gilbert, R. L. Martin, G. J. Kubas and R. T. Baker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1955-1958.
- (a) E. N. Tsvetkov, N. A. Bondarenko, I. G. Malakhova and M. I. Kabachnik, *Synthesis*, 1986, 198-208; (b) S. Ciruelos, U. Englert, A. Salzer, C. Bolm and A. Maischak, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 2240-2242; (c) T. W. Chapp, A. J. Schoenfeld and D. S. Glueck, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2465-2473.
- Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 94th edition*, CRC, 2013-2014.
- J. B. Lambert, W. J. Schulz, J. A. McConnell and W. Schilf, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 2201-2210.
- J. B. Lambert and J.-H. So, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1991, **56**, 5962-5964.
- (a) M. Ullrich, A. J. Lough and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 52-53; (b) M. Ullrich, A. J. Lough and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 3647-3654.
- (a) G. C. Welch, R. R. S. Juan, J. D. Masuda and D. W. Stephan, *Science*, 2006, **314**, 1124-1126; (b) G. C. Welch, L. Cabrera, P. A. Chase, E. Hollink, J. D. Masuda, P. R. Wei and D. W. Stephan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 3407-3414; (c) G. C. Welch, T. Holtrichter-Roessmann and D. W. Stephan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1904-1906.
- S. J. Geier and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1026-1028.
- E. C. Ashby and J. N. Argyropoulos, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, **50**, 3274-3283.
- (a) S. Grimme, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2006, **27**, 1787-1799; (b) J. D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615-6620.
- (a) A. Klamt and G. Schüürmann, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.*, 1993, **2**, 799; (b) J. Andzelm, C. Kölmel and A. Klamt, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, **103**, 9312-9320; (c) V. Barone and M. Cossi, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 1998, **102**, 1995-2001; (d) M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani and V. Barone, *J. Comp. Chem.*, 2003, **24**, 669-681.
- (a) R. J. Less, R. L. Melen, V. Naseri and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4929-4937; (b) R. J. Less, R. L.

- Melen and D. S. Wright, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 2191; (c) V. Naseri, R. J. Less, R. E. Mulvey, M. McPartlin and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 5000-5002; (d) R. Waterman, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **12**, 1322-1339; (e) R. Waterman, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **16**, 1313-1331; (f) K. A. Erickson, L. S. H. Dixon, D. S. Wright and R. Waterman, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **422**, 141-145.
17. M. Baudler and K. Glinka, *The Chemistry of Inorganic Homo- and Heterocycles*, Academic Press, London, 1987.
18. (a) S. Molitor, J. Becker and V. H. Gessner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15517-15520; (b) T. Li, N. Arleth, M. T. Gamer, R. Köppe, T. Augenstein, F. Dielmann, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko and P. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 14231; (c) M. Scheer, C. Kuntz, M. Stubenhofer, M. Zabel and A. Y. Timoshkin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 188; (d) T. Li, M. T. Gamer, M. Scheer, S. N. Konchenko and P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2183; (e) K.-O. Feldmann and J. J. Weigand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15443; (f) N. Burford, P. J. Ragona, R. McDonald and M. J. Ferguson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 14404-14410; (g) A. Dashti-Mommertz and B. Neumüller, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1999, **625**, 954.
19. (a) S. Xin, J. F. Harrod and E. Samuel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 11562-11563; (b) S. Xin, H. G. Woo, J. F. Harrod, E. Samuel and A.-M. Lebuis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 5307-5313; (c) J. D. Masuda, A. J. Hoskin, T. W. Graham, C. Beddie, M. C. Fermin, N. Etkin and D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 8696-8707.
20. (a) N. Etkin, M. C. Fermin and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 2954-2955; (b) M. C. Fermin and D. W. Stephan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 12645-12646; (c) R. Waterman, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 2492-2494.
21. (a) V. P. W. Bohm and M. Brookhart, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 4694; (b) A. M. Geer, A. L. Serrano, B. de Bruin, M. A. Ciriano and C. Tejel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014; (c) L.-B. Han and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13698.
22. R. J. Baker and E. Hashem, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2010, **93**, 1081-1085.

Journal Name

TOC Graphic

