ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ARTICLE TYPE

ChemComm Accepted Manuscript

Frustrated Lewis Pair Behavior of Monomeric (boryl)iminomethanes Accessed from Isocyanide 1,1-Hydroboration

Brandon R. Barnett,^a Curtis E. Moore,^a Arnold L. Rheingold^a, and Joshua S. Figueroa^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The activation of carbon dioxide, organonitriles, and terminal acetylenes by (boryl)iminomethanes derived from isocyanide 1,1-hydroboration is described. Also detailed is the generality of hydroboration of *m*-terphenylisocyanides 10 with hydroboranes of differing Lewis acidities.

With the advent of phosphine/borane Frustrated Lewis Pairs (FLPs) by Stephan,¹ there has been significant interest in the development of transition-metal-free small-molecule activation ¹⁵ processes.²⁻⁴ While a number of intra- and inter-molecular FLP platforms have been uncovered,^{5,6} most feature strongly Lewis basic and acidic groups to enhance reactivity toward small molecule substrates. Recently however, computational investigations^{7,8} have suggested that enhanced reactivity profiles ²⁰ might be displayed by intramolecular FLP platforms that contain frontier orbitals mutually oriented in the direction of an incoming substrate. ⁹⁻¹⁵ Such "preorganized" FLPs should remain highly active, but decrease reliance on strongly Lewis acidic groups (*e.g.* perfluorinated arylboranes and alanes), which can inhibit

25 the imperative substrate release step in catalytic applications.⁹ Accordingly, new, readily-prepared FLPs that feature a 'preorganized' architecture promise to be of broad interest.

Recently, we reported the synthesis of the platinum-(boryl)iminomethane complex, $Pt(\kappa^2-N,B^{-Cy2}BIM)(CNAr^{Dipp2})$ $_{30}$ ($^{Cy2}BIM = Cy_2BC(H)=NAr^{Dipp2}$; $Ar^{Dipp2} = 2,6-(2,6-(i-Pr)_2C_6H_3)_2C_6H_3)$ and demonstrated that the rigid, bidentate ^{Cy2}BIM ligand promoted a significant reverse-dative σ interaction between the Pt and boron centers.¹⁶ We also showed that the free (boryl)iminomethane ^{Cy2}BIM could be easily 25 prepared by 1,1-hydroboration of the *m*-terphenyl isocyanide¹⁷

³⁵ prepared by 1,1-hydroboration of the *m*-terphenyr isocyanide CNAr^{Dipp2} and that it readily activated both H₂ and H₂O in a manner consistent with FLP behavior. To our knowledge, this constituted the first report of (boryl)iminomethane formation by 1,1-hydroboration of an isocyanide. While both the ease of ⁴⁰ synthesis and reactivity profile of ^{Cy2}BIM suggest that the



Scheme 1. Regioisomers of monomeric (boryl)iminomethanes and dimerization of sterically unencumbered *syn-N,B* (boryl)iminomethanes 45 to 1,3-diaza-2,4-diboretidines.



Fig. 1. Synthesis (left) and molecular structure (right) of 2a.

(boryl)iminomethane (BIM) framework may be generally 50 competent for small-molecule activation processes, it is critical to note that kinetically persistent (boryl)iminomethane monomers are rare.¹⁸⁻²² Indeed, when unencumbering substituents are present, (boryl)iminomethanes rapidly dimerize to heterocyclic 1,3-diaza-2,4-diboretidines (Scheme 1).²³⁻³⁶ 55 Furthermore, of the few structurally-characterized (boryl)iminomethane monomers that have been reported,¹⁹ all possess an anti relationship between the borane center and the imino nitrogen lone pair, thus precluding exploitation of their ambiphilic properties toward exogenous substrates. In an effort 60 to further detail the reaction profile available to monomeric (boryl)iminomethanes, we report here the reactivity of ^{Cy2}BIM toward unsaturated organic substrates and provide clear evidence for its "preorganized" intramolecular FLP-type behavior. Accordingly, despite the presence of a dicyclohexylboryl group 65 of moderate Lewis acidity, Cy2BIM readily activates carbon dioxide, organonitriles, and terminal acetylenes. In addition, we demonstrate that the formation of syn-N,B monomeric (boryl)iminomethanes is a general stereochemical outcome in the 1,1-hydroboration of *m*-terphenyl isocyanides.



Scheme 2. Activation of acetonitrile, benzonitrile, and *t*-butylacetylene by ^{Cy2}BIM (1a).

⁵ The ability of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) to act as a competent Frustrated Lewis Pair allows it to effect the facile C=O bond reduction of carbon dioxide. Placing a frozen benzene solution of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) under 1 atm of CO₂ causes an immediate color change from bright yellow to colorless upon thawing. Analysis of the solution ¹⁰ by ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectroscopy indicates complete conversion to a single new product. The absence of any ¹H NMR signals diagnostic for an aldimine functionality hints at reduction of the C=N double bond of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a), while the appearance of a strong infrared absorbance at 1772 cm⁻¹ suggests the presence of ¹⁵ an ester group. The solid-state structure as determined by X-ray diffraction reveals this product to be the boralactone (2a; Fig. 1) derived from nucleophilic attack of the imime nitrogen at the

- CO_2 carbon atom and coordination of one oxygen atom to boron. As opposed to the vast majority of FLP-activated CO_2 adducts ²⁰ which are zwitterionic,^{4,27} the endocyclic C2-O1 distance in **2a** (1.391(9) Å) is consistent with that of a carbon-oxygen single bond, suggesting a larger degree of activation than is typically seen in such species. Formation of the five-membered ring is accompanied by a 1,2-cyclohexyl shift, as observed previously
- ²⁵ for ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) in the heterolytic H-O bond cleavage of water.¹⁶ This boron-to-carbon cyclohexyl migration,²⁸ reminiscent of a Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement,²⁹ has been observed with other (boryl)iminomethanes following their head-to-tail dimerization.^{24,26} Relevantly, this 1,2-cyclohexyl shift may be at
- ³⁰ least partially responsible for the irreversibility of CO₂ reduction by ^{Cy2}BIM (1a), as heating solutions of 2a to 80 °C under an N₂ atmosphere (or, alternatively, heating solid samples to 150 °C under vacuum) produces no evidence of reversion to ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) and free CO₂. This supposition is supported by Density
- ³⁵ Functional Theory calculations, which indicate that **2a** is stabilized by 18.2 kcal/mol with respect to its zwitterionic constitutional isomer **2a**^{*} (Fig. 1 and ESI[†]). In the absence of cyclohexyl migration, the formation of **2a**^{*} from ^{Cy2}BIM (**1a**) and CO₂ is computed to be enthalpically favored by 12.7
- ⁴⁰ kcal/mol, indicating that the cyclohexyl migration event provides significant stabilization to the resulting adduct, and as such represents a substantial portion of the driving force toward irreversible CO₂ capture.
- Despite the widespread progress that has been made in ⁴⁵ recent years toward the FLP-mediated activation of unsaturated organic molecules,^{5,6} examples of nitrile C=N bond reduction remain extremely limited.³⁰ As most FLP systems utilize strongly Lewis acidic fluorinated aryl-borane or alane moieties, there is likely a thermodynamic preference toward formation of a



Scheme 3. Single- and double-hydroboration of CNAr^{Dipp2} with 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN).

Lewis adduct with the nitrile in lieu of addition to its triple bond. 55 It is therefore remarkable that treatment of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) with acetonitrile results in formation of the eneamine 3 (Scheme 2), which undoubtedly is derived from 1,2-addition to the nitrile functionality accompanied by tautomerization of the resultant imine. Pursuant to this is the observation that benzonitrile, 60 which lacks hydrogen atoms at the carbon *alpha* to the nitrile group, is similarly activated by ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) to give the 1,2addition product imine (4, Scheme 2). Both transformations are essentially complete upon mixing as evidenced by an immediate decoloration of the reaction solutions upon nitrile addition. We 65 suggest that the observed activity of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a) toward nitriles is largely due the presence of a relatively weakly Lewis acidic -BCy₂ fragment that decreases the stabilization associated with formation of a nitrile-borane adduct. As is the case with CO₂ activation, the cyclohexyl migration event in the formation of 3 70 and **4** likely provides further driving force toward 1,2-addition to the nitrile.

^{Cy2}BIM (1a) is also reactive toward *t*-butylacetylene, effecting deprotonation of the acetylenic proton to give an alkynylborane (5, Scheme 2). No evidence of products derived ⁷⁵ from 1,2-addition to the alkyne triple bond is seen. Both 1,2addition and deprotonation reactions of terminal alkynes effected by FLPs have been reported,³¹⁻³⁶ although *t*-butylacetylene appears to typically undergo preferential deprotonation, likely due to the steric encumbrance that the *t*-butyl group imposes at ⁸⁰ the internal *sp* carbon atom.

In an effort to explore the generality of (boryl)iminomethane formation via isocyanide hydroboration, we targeted the synthesis of a BIM derived from 9borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN), due to both its commercial 85 availability and the presence of a tethered carbon backbone. The latter trait was particularly attractive, as we envisioned that it might discourage 1,2-alkyl migration in the corresponding BIM.³⁷ Carrying out the reaction of CNAr^{Dipp2} with 0.5 equivalents of 9-BBN dimer in the presence of THF allows for 90 the hydroboration reaction to proceed smoothly to the THFcomplexed (boryl)iminomethane 9-BBNBIM·THF (1b·THF, Scheme 3), which has been structurally characterized (Fig. 2). The boron atom is oriented syn to the nitrogen lone pair across the imine double bond, as is seen with ^{Cy2}BIM (1a). The ^{11}B 95 NMR spectrum of 1b THF features a singlet at 7.5 ppm, suggesting that the dative THF-borane interaction remains intact in solution. Indeed, successive *n*-pentane washes and exposure to high vacuum fail to liberate THF from 1b THF. Attempts to synthesize 1b in the absence of THF resulted in the formation of 100 several products. Although complete separation of these mixtures has proven unsuccessful, one of these species (6) was



Fig. 2. Molecular structures of $^{9-BBN}BIM \cdot THF$ (1b·THF) and its CO₂ adduct 2b.

- ^s identified as the product of formal 1,1 and 1,2-double hydroboration of CNAr^{Dipp2} by an independent synthesis (Scheme 3). Presumably, this reaction proceeds via initial hydroboration of CNAr^{Dipp2} to give the (boryl)iminomethane ^{9-BBN}BIM (1b) which, in the presence of additional 9-BBN,
- ¹⁰ undergoes hydroboration of its imine functionality to furnish **6**. Indeed, addition of 9-BBN dimer to **1b** THF in benzene solution results in conversion to **6** with release of THF (Scheme 3), lending credence to this proposed synthetic pathway.
- Despite the THF-complexed nature of the borane unit in ⁹⁻ ¹⁵ ^{BBN}BIM·THF (**1b**·THF), frustrated Lewis pair reactivity is still accessible. Addition of CO₂ to a benzene solution of **1b**·THF results in immediate and irreversible conversion to a new product (**2b**, racemic mixture, Scheme 4) with liberation of one equivalent of THF as assayed by ¹H NMR. This product displays
- $_{20}$ a 11 B NMR resonance at 55.7 ppm indicating the presence of a three-coordinate boron center. 38 Crystallographic characterization of **2b** (Fig. 2) revealed the formation of a boralactone where the bicycloalkyl has undergone a ring expansion to produce a substituted 9-borabicyclo[3.3.2]decane
- ²⁵ structure. Concomitant with this process is the eradication of the imine C=N double bond. The ring expansion process of the bicycloalkyl group, which has been observed in other systems containing the 9-BBN framework,³⁹⁻⁴³ amounts to a 1,2-alkyl shift that is analogous to the cyclohexyl migration seen in C²²DBV (1) bit is circlear to the cyclohexyl migration seen in the system of the system of
- ³⁰ reactions of ^{Cy2}BIM (1a). Likewise, this process appears to be general to the ^{9-BBN}BIM framework, as ring expansion also occurs upon the heterolytic H-O bond cleavage of water by^{9-BBN}BIM·THF (1b·THF) to give the borinic acid 7 (racemic mixture, Scheme 4). These results indicate that a more judicious



Scheme 4. CO_2 activation and heterolytic H-O cleavage of water by 1b THF.

⁴⁰ choice of borane substituents will be required if alkyl migration is to be circumvented in the reaction chemistry of (boryl)iminomethanes.

The dialkylboryl substituents in the (boryl)iminomethanes 1a and 1b are among the most weakly 45 Lewis acidic components of a frustrated Lewis pair reported to date.44,45 The competency of 1a and 1b to effect FLP-type reactivity is undoubtedly tied to the geminal relationship of the acidic and basic sites, as well as the rigid geometry enforced by the imine double bond, the same structural traits that allow 50 (boryl)iminomethanes to foster reverse-dative σ-interactions between a Lewis-basic metal center and the borane unit.¹⁶ In order to test the limits of this approach, we synthesized the pinacolboryl-substituted ^{Pin}BIM (1c; Fig. 3), which contains a boron center of even further attenuated Lewis acidity. Unlike the 55 syntheses of 1a and 1b, the use of excess borane and prolonged heating are required to drive the formation of 1c to completion. Fractional crystallization from *n*-pentane/hexamethyldisiloxane mixtures allows for isolation of analytically pure samples of ^{Pin}BIM (1c) in modest yields. Examination of the crystal

⁶⁰ structure of **1c** reveals a *syn* orientation of the imine lone pair and boron atom, as well as a nearly planar orientation of the C(H)N-BO₂ units (dihedral angle = $5.8(2)^{\circ}$). Interestingly, the presence of the more weakly Lewis acidic pinacolboryl group inhibits the reactivity of the (boryl)iminomethane framework ⁶⁵ with CO₂, as ^{Pin}BIM (**1c**) and CO₂ fail to react under the conditions utilized in the syntheses of **2a** and **2b**. Furthermore, a broadening of signals suggestive of reversible formation of a CO₂ adduct is not observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. These observations suggest that more strongly Lewis acidic groups are ⁷⁰ necessary for stoichiometric FLP-type reactivity to occur between (boryl)iminomethanes and CO₂, while also illustrating the limits of a preorganized FLP architecture.⁴⁶

In conclusion, we have synthesized a series of ambiphilic and monomeric (boryl)iminomethanes (BIMs) via the 1,1-⁷⁵ hydroboration of an *m*-terphenyl isocyanide. The same structural and electronic properties that allow (boryl)iminomethanes to behave as LZ-type chelating ligands toward electron-rich transition metal centers also promote the activation of unsaturated and protic substrates in an FLP manner. While this ⁸⁰ reactivity is accessible when a BIM bears a moderately Lewis acidic dialkylboryl group, switching to the more weakly Lewis acidic pinacolboryl substituent inhibits reactivity with carbon dioxide. Further explorations of such weakly Lewis acidic (boryl)iminomethanes are currently being pursued, with an eye

35

ChemComm

45



Fig. 3. Synthesis (top) and molecular structure (bottom) of ^{Pin}BIM (1c).

toward tailoring them to effect catalytic transformations of small ⁵ molecules.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the U.S. National Science Foundation for support of this work (CHE-0954710) and a Graduate Research Fellowship to BRB. JSF is a Camille-Dreyfus

¹⁰ Teacher Scholar (2012-2017). Prof. Didier Bourissou is thanked for helpful comments.

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive MC 0358, La Jolla, 15 California, 92093, USA. E-mail: <u>jsfig@ucsd.edu</u>.

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic details, results of computational studies and X-ray crystallographic data (CCDC 1028214 - 1028222). See DOI: ²⁰ 10.1039/b000000x

Notes and references

- G. C. Welch, R. R. San Juan, J. D. Masuda, and D. W. Stephan, Science, 2006, 314, 1124.
- 2. P. A. Chase, G. C. Welch, T. Jurca, and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8050.
- 3. D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 46.
- 4. D. W. Stephan and G. Erker, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 2625.
- 5. D. W. Stephan, in Top. Curr. Chem., 2013, 332, 1
- 6. G. Kehr, S. Schwendemann, and G. Erker, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2013, **332**, 45.
- 7. S. Grimme, H. Kruse, L. Goerigk, and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1402.
- A. Stirling, A. Hamza, T. A. Rokob, and I. Pápai, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 28, 3148.
- 35 9. F. Bertini, V. Lyaskovskyy, B. J. J. Timmer, F. J. J. de Kanter, M. Lutz, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg, and K. Lammertsma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 201.
 - G. Lu, H. Li, L. Zhao, F. Huang, and Z. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 295.
- 40 11. A. Stute, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, and G. Erker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 4288.
 - 12. A. Stute, G. Kehr, C. G. Daniliuc, R. Fröhlich, and G. Erker, Dalton

Trans., 2013, 42, 4487.

- C. Rosorius, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, S. Grimme, G. Erker, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 4211.
- E. Theuergarten, J. Schlösser, D. Schlüns, M. Freytag, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, and M. Tamm. *Dalton Trans.* 2012, 41, 9101.
- C. Appelt, H. Westenberg, F. Bertini, A. W. Ehlers, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, and W. Uhl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 3925.
- B. R. Barnett, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, and J. S. Figueroa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 10262.
- T. B. Ditri, B. J. Fox, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, and J. S. Figueroa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 8362.
- 55 18. G. Hesse, H. Witte, and W. Gulden, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1966, 7, 2707.
 - M. Suginome, T. Fukuda, H. Nakamura, and Y. Ito, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 719.
 - 20. M. Suginome, T. Fukuda, and Y. Ito, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 643-644, 508.
 - 21. U. Sicker, A. Meller, and W. Maringgele, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 231, 191.
 - 22. Y. Yamamoto, K. Kondo, and I. Moritani, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1975, 48, 3682–3685.
- 65 23. J. Casanova Jr. and R. E. Schuster, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1964, 5, 405.
- 24. J. Casanova Jr., H. R. Kiefer, D. Kuwada, and A. H. Boulton, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, **6**, 703.
- 25. M. Tamm, T. Lügger, and F. E. Hahn, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1251.
- 70 26. J. Casanova Jr. and H. R. Kiefer, J. Org. Chem, 1969, 34, 2579.
 - 27. C. M. Mömming, E. Otten, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan, and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 6643.
- For a generalized discussion of alkyl migrations in four-coordinate borates, see: V. K. Aggarwal, G. Y. Fang, X. Ginesta, D. M. Howells, and M. Zaja, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 215.
- 29. G. A. Olah, Acc. Chem. Res., 1976, 9, 41.
- 30. M. A. Dureen and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 13559.
- 31. M. A. Dureen and D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 80 8396.
- 32. M. A. Dureen, C. C. Brown, and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 6594.
- 33. C. Jiang, O. Blacque, and H. Berke, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 125.
- 34. K. Chernichenko, A. Madarász, I. Pápai, M. Nieger, M. Leskelä, and 5 T. Repo, *Nature Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 718.
- S. Freitag, K. M. Krebs, J. Henning, J. Hirdler, H. Schubert, and L. Wesemann, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 6785.
- W. Uhl, J. Tannert, M. Layh, A. Hepp, S. Grimme, and T. Risthaus, Organometallics, 2013, 32, 6770.
- 37. Upon first synthesizing 9-BBN, Brown showed evidence that the bicycloalkyl group might be reluctant to undergo migration. See:
 (a) E.F. Knights and H.C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5280–5281;
 (b) E. F. Knights and H. C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5283.
- 95 38. S. Hermanek, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 325.
 - B. Wrackmeyer, U. Klaus, W. Milius, E. Klaus, and T. Schaller, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 517, 235.
 - 40. C. H. Burgos, E. Canales, K. Matos, and J. A. Soderquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 8044.
- 100 41. E. Canales, K. G. Prasad, and J. A. Soderquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 11572.
 - 42. E. Khan, R. Kempe, and B. Wrackmeyer, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, **23**, 204.
 - 43. T. Wang and D. W. Stephan, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 3036.
- 105 44. A. J. M. Miller, J. A. Labinger, and J. E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 3301.
 - 45. H. Li, A. J. A. Aquino, D. B. Cordes, F. Hung-Low, W. L. Hase, and C. Krempner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16066.
- 46. For the catalytic reduction of CO₂ to methanol by a related FLP-type system featuring a weakly Lewis acidic catecholboryl group, see: (a) M.-A. Courtemanche, M.-A. Légaré, L. Maron, and F.-G. Fontaine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 9326; (b) M.-A. Courtemanche, M.-A. Légaré, L. Maron, and F.-G. Fontaine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10708.