## ChemComm

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

## Dependence of Crystal Size on the Catalytic Performance of a Porous Coordination Polymer

Tomokazu Kiyonaga,<sup>a</sup> Masakazu Higuchi,<sup>a,b</sup> Takashi Kajiwara,<sup>a</sup> Yohei Takashima,<sup>a</sup> Jingui Duan,<sup>a</sup> Kazuro Nagashima<sup>c</sup> and Susumu Kitagawa<sup>\*a,d</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Submicrosized MOF-76(Yb) exhibits higher catalytic performance for esterification than microsized MOF-76(Yb).

<sup>10</sup> Control of the crystal size of porous heterogeneous catalysts, such as PCP/MOFs, offers a promising approach to fabricating high-performance catalysts based on accessibility to the internal catalytic sites.

Porous coordination polymers (PCPs) or metal–organic <sup>15</sup> frameworks (MOFs) are receiving considerable attention for their potential application in gas storage, molecular separation, heterogeneous catalysts, and catalyst supports.<sup>1–4</sup> PCP/MOFs as heterogeneous catalysts offer the advantage of having a high surface area together with a large number of

- <sup>20</sup> potentially active sites.<sup>5–11</sup> Another important aspect is their potential to act as molecular sieves and to select the size and polarity of the molecules that can diffuse inside the channels to undergo reactions.<sup>7,12</sup> While internal diffusion limitations in microporous materials can be used positively to select and
- 25 react a given substrate present in a mixture, there are other occasions where severe restrictions on substrate diffusion within the channels result in a low turnover frequency (TOF) because only the active sites at the external surface or internal pores close to the crystal surface are accessible to
- <sup>30</sup> substrates.<sup>13</sup> Here, we report on the synthesis of two types of MOF-76(Yb) (molecular formula = [YbBTC]<sub>∞</sub>) with onedimensional (1-D) channels<sup>14</sup> and crystals with markedly different sizes: one was 50  $\mu$ m (micrometer sized, > 1  $\mu$ m) and the other was 0.8  $\mu$ m (submicrometer sized, 100 nm–1
- <sup>35</sup> μm). We demonstrated that submicrosized MOF-76(Yb) could show a higher catalytic performance than microsized MOF-76(Yb) because the high diffusion of the substrates into internal pore surface leads to the large number of practically functionalizing catalytic sites.
- 40 Submicrosized MOF-76(Yb)·(H<sub>2</sub>O)·(DMF)<sub>1.1</sub> (denoted as 1a⊃solv) was successfully synthesized at room temperature (for details, see ESI†). The 1a⊃solv were activated in vacuum at 300 °C for 1 h to generate the open metal site (OMS), which functionalizes as a catalytic site,
- <sup>45</sup> located in the corner of **1a**. The microsized MOF-76(Yb)·(H<sub>2</sub>O)·(DMF)<sub>1.1</sub> (denoted as **1b**⊃solv) was also synthesized using a solvothermal synthesis route.<sup>15</sup> The difference between the crystal size of **1a** and **1b** was



Fig. 1. SEM images of (A) 1a and (B) 1b.

confirmed using SEM (Fig. 1). Both compounds comprised 50 particles with column-like structures having high aspect ratios. However, particles of 1a (length = ca. 0.8  $\mu$ m, width = 0.4  $\mu$ m) were significantly smaller than particles of 1b (length = ca. 50  $\mu$ m, width = 10  $\mu$ m). This difference in crystal size resulted in a greater external surface area and an 55 internal pore surface close to the external surface in 1a. The crystals of 1a and 1b were compared regarding their structure, sorption properties, Lewis acidity of the Yb<sup>III</sup>, and catalytic activity. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) patterns of 1a and 1b were in good agreement with the 60 simulated pattern of MOF-76(Yb) (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>+</sup>).<sup>15</sup> Both compounds exhibited Type I isotherms in N2 sorption measurements at 77 K with no hysteresis. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller surface area of 1a was 706 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> with a pore volume of 0.286 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the corresponding values  $_{65}$  for 1b were 702 m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> and 0.280 cm<sup>3</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) profiles of 1a and 1b were identical (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The acidity of 1a and 1b were evaluated using two methods. First, the acidity of the OMS of Yb<sup>III</sup> was evaluated from the temperature-70 programmed desorption of ammonia (NH3-TPD), which is commonly used to determine the acidity of zeolites.<sup>16</sup> As summarized in Table 1, both 1a and 1b showed a small desorption peak in the low-temperature region (165 °C). The observed acid density of Yb<sup>III</sup> in 1a (0.634 mmolg<sup>-1</sup>) and 1b 75 (0.655 mmolg<sup>-1</sup>) did not agree with the value calculated from their molecular formulas ([YbBTC]<sub>∞</sub>, 2.63 mmolg<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>15</sup> These results indicate that the NH<sub>3</sub> was physically adsorbed

on both **1a** and **1b**.<sup>16</sup> Next, the acidity of **1a** and **1b** were evaluated using an *in situ* spectroscopic method using <sup>80</sup> acetone as a probe molecule.<sup>17,18,20</sup> When acetone is

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

Table 1. Carbonyl-stretching vibration of acetone adsorbed on PCP/MOFs and the peak temperature of  $NH_3$  desorption of the PCP/MOFs.

| Porous materials | Acetone as probe               |                              | NH <sub>3</sub> - TPD                     |
|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
|                  | v(C=O)/cm <sup>-1</sup>        | $\Delta \nu (C=O)^a/cm^{-1}$ | $T_{\rm des}{}^{\rm b}/{}^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ |
| 1a (MOF-76(Yb))  | 1697.4                         | 17.6                         | 165 <sup>c</sup>                          |
| 1b (MOF-76(Yb))  | 1697.4                         | 17.6                         | 165 <sup>c</sup>                          |
| La-BTTc          | 1686.0,<br>1698.0 <sup>d</sup> | 29.0,<br>17.0 <sup>d</sup>   | 480, 500, (550) <sup>d</sup>              |
| MIL-101(Cr)      | 1709.6 <sup>d</sup>            | 5.4 <sup>d</sup>             | 260 <sup>e</sup>                          |
| ZIF-8            | 1714.9 <sup>d</sup>            | 0.1 <sup>d</sup>             | f   |

<sup>a</sup>Calculated by subtracting the observed value from the value for acetone (1715 cm<sup>-1</sup>). <sup>b</sup>Peak temperature of NH<sub>3</sub> desorption. Smaller additional peaks are shown in parentheses. <sup>c</sup>Physical adsorption. <sup>d</sup>Ref. 17. <sup>e</sup>Ref. 19. <sup>f</sup>Not reported.

physically adsorbed on an acidic site, the C=O bond interacts with the acidic site, and this leads to a low-frequency shift of the peak assigned to  $v_{C=O}$  (1715 cm<sup>-1</sup> for gaseous acetone) in the infrared (IR) spectrum, and so the

- <sup>5</sup> degree of acidity can be evaluated. Our results are summarized in Table 1 (for details, see ESI†). As expected, ZIF-8 with no acidic sites did not show an effect on the v(C=O) stretch of the adsorbed acetone molecules compared with acetone itself. In contrast, PCPs with OMS, such as La-
- <sup>10</sup> BTTc and MIL-101(Cr), showed a shift in the v(C=O) stretch of acetone to a lower frequency. Among the PCPs tested, both **1a** and **1b** showed the same low-frequency shift (ca. 17.6 cm<sup>-1</sup>), indicating an identical degree of acidity. Thus, the *in situ* spectroscopic method allowed us to
- <sup>15</sup> evaluate the acidity, even when the probe molecule was not chemically adsorbed onto the acid sites. These results show that **1a** and **1b** have the same structure, porosity, and acidity, whereas other size-controlled synthesis methods lead to defective crystals.<sup>21</sup>
- The isomerization reaction of 1-hexene was conducted as a model reaction to elucidate the difference in catalytic properties of 1a and 1b (Fig. 2B), because 1a and 1b show a resistance to heat (Fig. S3) and the Yb<sup>III</sup>-modified porous material efficiently catalyzes this isomerization reaction.<sup>22</sup> A
- $_{25}$  gradual increase in concentration of C<sub>6</sub> isomers was observed without any dependence on crystal size, together with some trace of products resulting from cracking and coupling reactions (for details, see ESI†). The nondependence of the yields on the crystal size indicates that the
- <sup>30</sup> 1-hexene could efficiently access the entire internal pore surfaces of both **1a** and **1b**. The microwave-assisted esterification reaction<sup>23</sup> of acetic acid with methanol was also examined to evaluate the catalytic performance of **1a** and **1b** under relatively mild conditions. Microwaves were
- <sup>35</sup> used to raise the solution temperature for a period of 30 s, and the resulting solution temperature (100 °C) was maintained during the reaction. Both **1a** and **1b** catalyzed the esterification reaction of acetic acid with methanol, whereas a control experiment without any MOF-76(Yb) catalyst gave
- <sup>40</sup> a low yield. Most significantly, the yield for **1a** was three times as large as that of **1b** (Fig. 2C). A filtering test was

2 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00



Fig. 2. (A) Reaction scheme of the isomerization reaction and the esterification reaction of MOF-76(Yb). (B) Isomerization reaction of 1-hexene at 400 °C. Yield of cis/trans-2-hexene and cis/trans-3-hexene (a) without catalyst, (b) on **1b**, and (c) on **1a**. (C) Microwave-assisted esterification reaction of acetic acid with

carried out to determine whether the reaction mechanism was heterogeneous or homogeneous. All the solution was separated from the reaction system using filtration for 1a 45 after 15 min (19% yield). Then, the filtrate was heated using microwaves (Fig. 2C(d)), and the reaction did not proceed further in the filtrate. Thus, this catalytic performance originates from the presence of the solid catalyst and is not caused by a molecular species contained in the solution. The 50 filtering experiment made it clear that the mechanism was not homogeneous, but rather was heterogeneous. The benzoic acid was also examined as the substrate for the esterification reaction. This substrate is not able to enter into the pores (Fig. S8) and enough to undergo an esterification 55 reaction with conventional Lewis acid catalysts.<sup>24</sup> Unlike the case of acetic acid, both 1a and 1b showed low catalytic activity not depending on the crystal size (Table S1, ESI<sup>+</sup>). This result indicates that there are no OMSs functionalizing as Lewis acid catalyst for the esterification reaction on the 60 external surface. We can conclude that the Lewis acidcatalyzed esterification reaction is limited to the internal pore surfaces of 1a and 1b.

The dependence on the crystal size of the catalytic performance for several reactions was also investigated <sup>65</sup> using UiO-66, MIL-101(Cr), and MOF-74(Co).<sup>8-11</sup> The TOFs are summarized in Fig. 3 (for details, see ESI†) to compare the catalytic performance of **1a** and **1b** with that of other PCP/MOFs. A non-dependence of the catalytic performance on the crystal size of UiO-66 and MIL-101(Cr) <sup>70</sup> has been observed in the submicrometer region.<sup>8-10</sup> In addition, no dependency of the catalytic performance on the crystal size of MOF-74(Co) has been observed, whereas high pressure conditions lead to high TOFs.<sup>11</sup> These results show that there was no difference in substrate diffusion from <sup>75</sup> using smaller sized particles, and that the size dependency differed from that of the metal nanoparticles in MIL-101.<sup>25</sup>

75

90

110



Fig. 3. Crystal size-dependence map for the four PCP/MOF catalysts discussed in this paper. The catalytic reaction is as follows: (MOF-76(Yb)), esterification reaction; (MOF-74(Co)), cycloaddition of  $CO_2$  to styrene oxide<sup>11</sup>; (UiO-66), cyclization of (+)-citronellal<sup>9</sup>; and (MIL-101(Cr)), oxidation of diphenyl methane<sup>8</sup>.

In contrast, the significant changes in size of the MOF-76(Yb) samples enhanced the catalytic performance during the esterification reaction, although **1a** and **1b** were identical in terms of their structure and acidity of the Yb<sup>III</sup> cations, as

- <sup>5</sup> evidenced by the XRPD, N<sub>2</sub> adsorption, and IR data using acetone as a probe molecule. A decrease in 1-D channel length would increase the number of OMSs functionalizing as catalytic sites inside the pores of the MOF-76(Yb). Quantitatively, the functionalizing OMS of **1a** was
- <sup>10</sup> calculated to be about three times that of **1b** from the TOF for **1a** (2.6 h<sup>-1</sup>) and **1b** (0.8 h<sup>-1</sup>). This indicates that all the OMSs in the 1-D channels of **1a** are fully utilized as catalytic sites, whereas the number of practically functionalizing OMSs in **1b** is reduced by about one-sixth
- <sup>15</sup> from the aperture window of the 1-D channels. On the other hand, in the case of the isomerization reaction, the significantly enhanced diffusion on the substrate driven by the high-temperature condition presumably leads to the nondependence on the crystal size. From these results, we can
- <sup>20</sup> conclude that heterogeneous PCP/MOF catalysts in the submicrometer region can offer better catalytic performance than in the micrometer region, but this depends on the diffusion rate of the substrate and the products of the target reaction.
- In conclusion, submicrosized MOF-76(Yb) (1a) was prepared at room temperature. The structure and acidity of 1a were found to be identical to those of microsized MOF-76(Yb) (1b). Compound 1a exhibits higher catalytic performance for esterification than 1b, whereas there was no
- <sup>30</sup> difference between these compounds for the isomerization reaction studied. Thus, control of the crystal size of heterogeneous porous catalysts, such as PCP/MOFs, offers a promising approach to high-performance catalysts based on the large number of practically functionalizing OMSs.
- <sup>35</sup> This research was supported by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Japan (NEDO), the PRESTO Program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), the Advanced Catalytic Transformation Program for Carbon Utilization (ACT-C),
- <sup>40</sup> the MEXT Project for Developing Innovation Systems Regional Innovation Strategy Support Program Kyoto Next-Generation Energy System Creation Strategy (MEXT = the

Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan). The Institute for Integrated Cell-<sup>45</sup> Material Sciences (iCeMS) is supported by the World Premier International Research Initiative (WPI).

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences (iCeMS), Kyoto University, Yoshida Ushinomiyacho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

- <sup>50</sup> E-mail: kitagawa@icems.kyoto-u.ac.jp <sup>b</sup>Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan. <sup>c</sup>Shoei Chemical Inc., 5 Azawakasa, Fujikicho, Tosu, Saga 841-0048, Japan. E-mail: k-nagashima@shoeichem.co.jp.
- <sup>55</sup> <sup>d</sup>Department of Synthetic Chemistry and Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto-daigaku Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan.
   †Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 1. J. R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H. C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477
- A. Corma, H. García and F. X. Llabrés i Xamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 110, 4606.
- 65 3. L. Ma, C. Abney and W. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1248.
- 4. C. Y. Sun, S. X. Liu, D. D. Liang, K. Z. Shao, Y. H. Ren and Z. M. Su, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1883.
- J. S. Seo, D. Whang, H. Lee, S. I. Jun, J. Oh, Y. J. Jeon and K. Kim, *Nature*, 2000, **404**, 982.
- 70 6. M. Gustafsson, A. Bartoszewicz, B. M. Matute, J. Sun, J. Grins, T. Zhao, Z. Li, G. Zhu and X. Zou, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3316.
  - S. Hasegawa, S. Horike, R. Matsuda, S. Furukawa, K. Mochizuki, Y. Kinoshita and S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 2607.
  - A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro, Y. K. Hwang, Y. K. Seo, A. Corma and H. Garcia, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 10719.
  - F. Vermoortele, M. Vandichel, B. V. Voorde, R. Ameloot, M. Waroquier, V. V. Speybroeck and D. E. Vos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 4887.
  - L. Bromberg, Y. Diao, H. Wu, S. A. Speakman and T. A. Hatton, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 1664.
  - H. -Y. Cho, D. A. Yang, J. Kim, S. Y. Jeong and W. S. Ahn, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **185**, 35.
  - H. Uehara, S. Diring, S. Furukawa, Z. Kalay, M. Tsotsalas, M. Nakahama, K. Hirai, M. Kondo, O. Sakata and S. Kitagawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11932.
  - (a) A. Corma, M. Iglesias, F. Xamena and F. Sanchez, *Chem.-Eur.* J., 2010, 16, 9789; (b) F. J. Keil, R. Krishna and M. O. Coppens, *Rev. Chem. Eng.*, 2000, 16, 71.
  - 14. N. L. Rosi, J. Kim, M. Eddaoudi, B. Chen, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1504.
  - 15. H. L. Jiang, N. Tsumori and Q. Xu, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 10001.
  - 16. M. Niwa and N. Kataba, Catal. Surv. Asia, 1997, 1, 215.
  - 17. T. Kajiwara, M. Higuchi, A. Yuasa, H. Higashimura and S. Kitagawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 10459.
- 95 18. M. Higuchi, K. Nakamura, S. Horike, Y. Hijikata, N. Yanai, T. Furukawa, J. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata, D. Watanabe, S. Oshima and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8369.
- Y. Pan, B. Yuan, Y. Li and D. He, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 2280.
  J. F. Haw, J. B. Nicholas, T. Xu, L. W. Beck and D. B. Ferguson, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1996, 29, 259
  - Acc. Chem. Res., 1996, **29**, 259. 21. H. Guo, Y. Zhu, S. Wang, S. Su, L. Zhou and H. Zhang, Chem. Mater., 2012, **24**, 444.
  - 22. J.M. Lopes and F. R. Ribeiro, J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem., 2002, 179, 185.
- 105 23. S. Ramesh, B. S. Jai Prakash and Y. S. Bhat, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2010, 48, 159.
  - 24. H. N. Roy and A. H. A. Mamun, Synthetic Commun., 2006, 36, 2975.
  - 25. J. Hermannsdörfer, M. Friedrich and R. Kempe, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 13652.

Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00 | 3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]