

ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Low temperature activation of methane over zinc-exchanged Heteropolyacid as an entry to selective oxidation to methanol and acetic acid

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012,
Accepted 00th January 2012

Umesh Patil, Youssef Saih, Edy Abou-Hamad, Ali Hamieh, Jérémie D.A. Pelletier
and Jean Marie Basset*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A Zn-exchanged heteropolyacid supported onto silica (Zn-HPW/SiO₂) activates methane at 25 °C into Zn-methyl. At higher temperatures and with CH₄/O₂ or CH₄/CO₂, it gives respectively methanol and acetic acid.

Transformation of methane to oxygenates (*i.e.* methanol, formaldehyde or acetic acid) under economically attractive conditions remains an open challenge for the chemical industry.^{1,4} New catalytic processes must be developed to overcome all the constraints due to the chemical inertness of the substrates especially with respect to the high reactivity of the products and thermodynamic limitations. Despite the fact that many efforts have been made for the past decades, the commercial utilization of methane is still largely dependent on the multi-step syn-gas (CO + H₂) strategy, which is energy and cost intensive.² Although the homogenous catalysis system (such as Pd/H₂SO₄) is the most efficient system to date for the direct conversion of methane under mild conditions,^{5,6} the environmental concerns and the utilization of precious metals sets drawbacks for its potential use. Some breakthroughs were achieved for the low temperature activation of methane to methanol or acetic acid using the environmentally benign heterogeneous catalysts in which transition metal modified zeolites catalyze the reaction.^{7,8}

Key to this development is the close examination of structure/reactivity relationship of already existing catalysts. In this context, metal oxides-based catalysts have been abundantly documented but suffer from poor structural understanding.⁹

By contrast heteropolyacids (HPA) are structurally better understood but have shown lesser performances, selective yet not very active, for light alkane oxidation.^{4,10-14} They also can be easily modified by inclusion of metals (for instance in the addenda or as counter cations) with significant effect on their reactivity. Zinc-incorporated materials have been the subject of recent interest for the catalytic transformation of light alkanes.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ The dissociative adsorption of hydrogen and light alkanes has been addressed in the literature for bulk zinc oxide.^{20-24,15} Zinc is of particular interest as it has been proved recently that it accelerates the heterolytic dissociation of the C–H bond of CH₄ by reaction between CH₄ and Zn²⁺ O²⁻ which isn't the case of most of other metal ions.²⁵

In the present study, we aimed to study the reactivity of Zn containing heteropolyacid for methane activation. To our knowledge, we have been the first to report the formation of Zn-CH₃ species at room temperature on the heteropolyacid surface with dual reactivity to transform into methanol (with oxygen) or acetic acid (with Carbon dioxide) at milder reaction conditions.

Zn exchanged heteropolyacid (Zn-HPW; **1**) was synthesized by ion exchange from the parent phosphotungstic acid (HPW) in water and using “filter paper” as a “template” (see SI). After calcination treatment this material is insoluble in water or other common organic solvents. A suspension of **1** in water was mixed with silica (Aerosil 200) to form slurry; removal of water *in vacuo* yields silica supported Zn-HPW (Zn-HPW/SiO₂; **2**). **2** was then submitted to screening experiments using either

pure CH₄ or mixtures such as CH₄/CO₂ or CH₄/O₂ under relatively mild conditions. A variety of techniques including elemental analysis, thermal gravimetric analysis, mass spectrometry, SSNMR, BET, and infrared spectroscopy were employed for characterization.

FTIR of **1** revealed a series of vibrations (1090 cm⁻¹ for ν(P-Oa), 980 cm⁻¹ for ν(W=Oa), 890 cm⁻¹ for ν(W-Ob-W), and 790 cm⁻¹ for ν(W-Oc-W)²⁶. These four IR peaks, are characteristic of the main Keggin unit of HPW (see figure S1). Interestingly, the structure is intact even after partial substitution (70%) of the protons of HPW with Zn²⁺. SSNMR experiments were recorded for **1**, **2** and HPW/SiO₂ (see figure S2). The ³¹P spectrum of HPW is shifted from -11 to -4 ppm by exchange of H⁺ with Zn²⁺. Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm (figures S3a-b) is a clear evidence of the formation of mesoporosity in Zn-HPW in contrast with the parent acid, HPW which is non porous. Partial substitution of protons of HPW by zinc results in a higher thermal stability as demonstrated TGA-DSC analysis (figure S4a-b). With isopropyl amine as probe molecule in TPD, the acid strength of Zn-HPW revealed a profile, different than for its parents HPW (figure S5): Two types of acidity were observed, a moderate one (desorption peak centred around 330 °C) and a strong one (desorption peak centred around 473 °C). It is known that the incorporation of Zn introduces some Lewis acidity in heteropolyacid molecules.²⁷ The Zn exchanged heteropolyacids prepared in this work revealed no well-defined powder X-ray diffraction pattern (See SI figure S6). Approximate elemental composition was determined using a combination of thermal and elemental analysis technique (Table S1), *viz.*, Zn_{1.4}H_{0.6}PW₄₀.²⁸ It is assumed that since the Keggin structure remains intact, the zinc atoms are located outside this Keggin structure.

A few experiments were carried out to see if this new material could activate methane. To gain mechanistic insight, batch experiments were designed (A – C, *vide supra*) with a view to characterise the samples by SSNMR. Experiments were conducted for combination of 0.5mmol ¹³CH₄ (at 25 °C) (A), 0.5 mmol ¹³CH₄ + 0.5 mmol O₂ (heated to 250 °C) (B) and 0.5 mmol ¹³CH₄ + 0.5 mmol ¹³CO₂ (heated to 300 °C) (C). All samples (A, B and C) were characterized using ¹³C SS NMR (see Figure 1).

To note, the Zn-HPW/SiO₂ precursor do not show any carbon signal detectable by ¹³C SSNMR spectroscopy. For A, a new signal is observed at -23 ppm. It can be assigned to zinc methyl species (Zn-CH₃)¹⁷, hence demonstrating that methane is being activated at room temperature onto ZnHPW/SiO₂. For B, a strong surface methanol (CH₃OH) signal is evident at 49 ppm. This resonance is quite intense and represents the condensation of gas phase methanol on silica surface. This demonstrates that methane is oxidized to methanol starting around 250 °C.




Figure 1: (A) One-dimensional (1D) ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectrum of ¹³C-enriched methane (¹³CH₄) on Zn-HPW/SiO₂ catalyst at reaction temperatures of 25 °C (CH₄), 250 °C (CH₄+O₂), 300 °C (CH₄+CO₂) acquired at 400 MHz with 10 kHz MAS frequency with a repetition delay of 4s, and 2ms contact time. Exponential line broadening of 80 Hz was applied prior to Fourier transformation. (B) 2D ¹H-¹³C HETCOR spectrum with 0.2 ms contact time (B) and 5 ms (C) of Zn-HPW/SiO₂ catalyst 300°C (CH₄+CO₂) with 10 KHz MAS frequency, 5000 scans per t₁ increment, a 4 s repetition delay, 32 individual t₁ increments).

Heating methane in presence of ¹³CO₂ at 300 °C, acetic acid (¹³CH₃¹³COOH) is formed on the surface that exhibits ¹³C chemical shifts at 20 ppm (–CH₃) and 182 ppm (–COO). The two-dimensional (2D) CP/MAS ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear correlation (HETCOR) spectra (contact time 0.2 ms) (Figure 2B) displays a correlation between the carbon signal at 20 ppm (–CH₃) and a proton signal at 3.1 ppm (–CH₃) and a correlation between the carbon signal at 182 ppm (–COOH) and a proton signal at 8.2 ppm (–COOH). In the CP/MAS HETCOR spectra acquired with a longer contact time (5 ms) (Figure 2C), the ¹H signals at 3.1 ppm (–CH₃) also correlate with the ¹³C signals at approximately 180 ppm (–COO), consistent with the –CH₃ and –COO functions belonging to the same molecule.

This material was also tested in a fixed bed reactor with 3 experimental gaseous conditions: either (A') methane/helium (1:19), (B') methane/oxygen/helium (1:1:18) or (C') methane /carbon dioxide/helium (1:1:18). Flow through reactor control experiments in the absence of any sample was run to ensure that no products were formed by heating A' or B' or C' gas mixtures in the reactor. In the pure methane flow experiment (A'), the only gases observed in addition to methane were hydrogen and carbon dioxide, both at temperature around 230 °C. It indicates that methane is oxidized non-selectively to CO₂. The evolution of hydrogen strongly suggests that methane is activated by the proton of heteropolyacid, in a similar fashion to alkane activation by acid catalysts.^{29,11}




Figure 2: (a) Mass spectrometer-detected signals of CH₃OH ($m/z = 32$), and CH₃COOH ($m/z = 60$) during the reaction of Zn-HPW with a) CH₄/He b) CH₄/O₂ and c) CH₄/CO₂ after heating from 25 °C to 500 °C at 5 °C /min. 2(b) Signals of GC during the reaction of Zn-HPW with a) CH₄ b) CH₄/O₂ and c) CH₄/CO₂ recorded at increasing temperature.

Mixing methane with oxygen (**B'**) resulted in the observation of methanol signals at temperature between 250 and 400 °C with a maximum at 300 °C (see Figure 2a). Carbon dioxide, the only by product, is formed at the same temperature (230 °C) as for **A'**. Complementary experiments were conducted using ¹³CH₄ and O₂ as feeds. Labelled methanol ¹³CH₃OH was formed (32+1 m/z) at the same temperature and with almost similar signal intensity; hence, confirming the methane as the precursor of methanol. At this stage, any further proposal relating to the detailed mechanism is speculative. We tentatively speculate that, similar to the classic carbanion reactions in organometallic chemistry^{30,31}, zinc methyl species may be reacting with oxygen to form methyl peroxy species (this species were not observed by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy) which can further decomposed to methanol. This mechanistic proposal is also supported by the Han et al.^{32,33}, who observed the formation of methanol in the direct partial oxidation (DPO) of methane on Zn-modified ZSM-5 catalysts.

Under similar condition to **B'** but using a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide (**C'**), gas phase acetic acid signal was observed by mass spectrometer. Acetic acid starts to form at 250 °C and reaches its maximum intensity at around 350 °C. Separate experiments with either ¹³CH₄ or ¹³CO₂ confirmed that the source of carbons in acetic acid *viz.* methyl carbon derived from methane while the carboxyl moiety is from carbon dioxide. No carbon monoxide or hydrogen is observed in the gaseous phase confirmed using both GC and mass analyzer. All points out to the synthesis of acetic acid directly from carbon dioxide and methane and not from syngas (although there are serious thermodynamic limitations for the reaction between methane and CO₂ into acetic acid). Zinc methyl species can effectively react with carbon dioxide through the insertion mechanism that has well been established in organometallic chemistry in homogeneous media for reaction of organozinc compounds with carbon dioxide.³⁴ The surface carbonate species may serve as a reservoir for carbon dioxide to be inserted into the Zn-C bond of zinc methyl species. Most Likely the Brønsted proton either from Zn-HPW or the one formed

from methane activation plays an important role in the final formation of acetic acid through proton transfer. A variable temperature NMR experiments will be helpful for the observation of possible intermediates related to conversion of methane to methanol or acetic acid.

No acetic acid or methanol was formed with parent HPW as a catalyst under identical conditions, indicating that the reaction requires an active metal Zn for this transformation. We tried to regenerate the materials using a wide range of oxidants such as H₂O₂, air, water and N₂O but the reaction become catalytic only for the formation of H₂ and CO₂.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the use of Zn-substituted heteropolyacid. Superior performance of Zn exchanged HPW over its parents acid can be assigned to factors such as increase surface area and more importantly to the introduction of additional strong acidity.²⁷

The reaction mechanism begins with a Zn-CH₃ surface fragment from the activation of methane by the zinc counter cation (as shown by 2a). It can then undergo further transformation in presence of O₂ or CO₂ at higher reaction temperatures. Remarkably the Zn-CH₃ species formed is stable and strongly bonded to surface: it could not be removed even after evacuation under high vacuum at room temperature. However, heating the zinc methyl species (300 °C for 1 h) formed in **A'** followed by gas phases analysis of by GC-MS revealed the evolution of methane. It indicates that the Zn-CH₃ species, even in the absence of oxidizing or carbonating agent, could be protonated back to methane. This implies that the conversion of methane to the zinc methyl species is a reversible process on the Zn-HPW depending on the temperature. It has been proposed that the zinc methyl species originates from activation of methane from Zn²⁺ cations possibly delocalized over oxygen atoms of heteropolyacid similar to the observation with Zn exchanged zeolites.³⁵ Indeed, the dissociative adsorption of hydrogen, methane and ethane over acid-base Zn^{δ+}-O^{δ-} pairs was investigated by theoretical studies.¹⁵ Other calculation investigations on Zn doped La₂O₃ have favoured the existence of Zn-methyl fragmented adjacent to an hydroxyl as generated by methane reaction with the metal oxide surface.³⁶ In conclusion, Zn-HPW/SiO₂ (**2**) was shown to activate methane for conversion to methanol (with O₂) and acetic acid (with CO₂). Remarkably, the activation of methane is achieved already at room temperature as evidenced by the first time detection of Zn-CH₃ on Zn modified heteropolyacid.

We gratefully acknowledge King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) and Saudi Basic Industries Corporation (SABIC) for financial support.

Notes and references

^a KAUST Catalysis Center (KCC), King Abdullah University of Science & Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail: jeanmarie.basset@kaust.edu.sa.

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 987–1007.

2. R. H. Crabtree, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2004, **689**, 4083–4091.
3. A. E. Shilov and G. B. Shul'pin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2879–2932.
4. M. Sun, J. Zhang, P. Putaj, V. Caps, F. Lefebvre, J. Pelletier, and J.-M. Basset, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 981–1019.
5. R. A. Periana, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 814–818.
6. R. A. Periana, D. J. Taube, E. R. Evitt, D. G. Lofler, P. R. Wentrcek, G. Voss, and T. Masuda, 1993, **259**, 340–343.
7. V. R. Choudhary, K. C. Mondal, and S. A. R. Mulla, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4381–4385.
8. X. Wang, G. Qi, J. Xu, B. Li, C. Wang, and F. Deng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3850–3853.
9. E. W. McFarland and H. Metiu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 4391–4427.
10. E. Grinenval, X. Rozanska, A. Baudouin, E. Berrier, F. Delbecq, P. Sautet, J.-M. Basset, and F. Lefebvre, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 19024–19034.
11. M. Sun, E. Abou-Hamad, A. J. Rossini, J. Zhang, A. Lesage, H. Zhu, J. Pelletier, L. Emsley, V. Caps, and J.-M. Basset, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 804–810.
12. E. Grinenval, J. M. Basset, and F. Lefebvre, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 8749–8755.
13. A. Thomas, C. Dablemont, J.-M. Basset, and F. Lefebvre, *Comptes rendus. Chimie*, 2005, **8**, 1969–1974.
14. F. Lefebvre, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 756.
15. A. Oda, H. Torigoe, A. Itadani, T. Ohkubo, T. Yumura, H. Kobayashi, and Y. Kuroda, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 19525–19534.
16. Y. G. Kolyagin, I. I. Ivanova, V. V. Ordonsky, A. Gedeon, and Y. A. Pirogov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 20065–20069.
17. J. F. Wu, W. D. Wang, J. Xu, F. Deng, and W. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 14016–14025.
18. J. F. Wu, S.-M. Yu, W. D. Wang, Y.-X. Fan, S. Bai, C.-W. Zhang, Q. Gao, J. Huang, and W. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13567–13573.
19. J. Xu, A. Zheng, X. Wang, G. Qi, J. Su, J. Du, Z. Gan, J. Wu, W. Wang, and F. Deng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2932–2940.
20. A. A. Gabrienko and A. G. Stepanov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 11096–11099.
21. A. L. Dent and R. J. Kokes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 7207–7208.
22. N. Schweitzer, B. Hu, U. Das, H. Kim, J. Greeley, L. A. Curtiss, P. Stair, J. Miller, and A. Hock, *ACS Catal.* 2014, **4**, 1091–1098.
23. A. L. Dent and R. J. Kokes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 1092–1093.
24. R. J. Kokes and A L Dent, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 6709–6718.
25. A. Oda, H. Torigoe, A. Itadani, T. Ohkubo, T. Yumura, H. Kobayashi, and Y. Kuroda, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 15234–15241.
26. P. MIOC and A. Novak, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1990, **218**, 123–128.
27. J. Li, X. Wang, W. Zhu, and F. Cao, *ChemSusChem*, 2009, **2**, 177–183.
28. M. T. Pope, *Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
29. F. Lefebvre, E. Grinenval, and P. Putaj, *J. Catal.*, 2013, 1–9.
30. M. H. Abraham, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 4130–4135.
31. I. Klement, H. Ltjens, P. Knochel, *Tetrahedron* 1997, **53**, 9135–9144.
32. S. Han, D. J. Martenak, R. E. Palermo, J. A. Pearson, and D. E. Walsh, *J. Catal.*, 1999, **148**, 134–137.
33. S. Han, R. E. Palermo, J. A. Pearson, and D. E. Walsh, *Catal. Lett.*, 2004, **29**, 27–32.
34. S. Inoue and Y. Yokoo, *J. Organomet. Chem* 2000, **39**, 11–16.
35. Y. G. Kolyagin, I. I. Ivanova, V. V. Ordonsky, A. Gedeon, and Y. A. Pirogov, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 20065–20069.
36. B. Li and H. Metiu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011 15, 18239–18246.