# ChemComm

#### Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

## Journal Name

## **RSCPublishing**

#### COMMUNICATION

#### Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

### Cyclopropanation of styrenes and stilbenes using lithiomethyl trimethylammonium triflate as methylene donor<sup>†</sup>

Juan M. Sarria Toro,<sup>‡a</sup> Tim den Hartog<sup>‡a</sup> and Peter Chen<sup>\*a</sup>

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Lithiomethyl trimethylammonium triflate, prepared from tetramethylammonium triflate, cyclopropanates several styrenes and stilbenes with electron-donating and selected electron-withdrawing substituents efficiently. Kinetic data support a stepwise nucleophilic addition-ring closure mechanism for this methylenation.

Naturally occurring and synthetically prepared cyclopropane subunits are prominent in molecules with important biological activities.1 The synthesis of cyclopropanes,2 and their subsequent use as intermediates,3 has been and still is the focus of intensive research. Popular methods for the cyclopropanation of olefins can be divided into three main groups: 1) halomethylmetal-mediated cyclopropanation, i.e. Simmons-Smith reaction;<sup>4</sup> 2) transition-metal catalysed decomposition of diazocompounds;<sup>5</sup> and 3) Michael reaction-initiated ring closure (MIRC).<sup>6</sup> Although these methodologies have been improved over the years, problems associated with the formation of (toxic) by-products and safety concerns for the first two groups, or the necessity to use electron poor olefins for the third group, limit the applicability of these methods for cyclopropanation of electronrich olefins.

An alternative method should ideally use readily accessible materials, be easily scalable, and have a broad substrate scope. For industrial application the first two conditions are of most importance. One alternative method for cyclopropanation of electron rich olefins was reported by Franzen and Wittig in 1960;7 they used a non-stabilised 'N-C ylide'8 as methylene donor for the cyclopropanation of cyclohexene. However, later attempts to reproduce their results were unsuccessful.9 To date, the difficulty of characterising and studying a non-soluble, air sensitive reagent such as lithiomethyl trimethylammonium bromide8 has limited the applicability of this potential methylenation reagent.

Our group has recently reported<sup>10</sup> the synthesis of soluble lithiomethyl trimethylammonium reagents, by e.q. 2a. deprotonation of tetramethylammonium salts possessing a solubilising anion. This reagent (2a) performs methylenation of aldehydes, ketones and imines efficiently (Scheme 1, top).



Scheme 1. Top: Generation of soluble lithiomethyl trimethylammonium species and their use for the methylenation of aldehydes, ketones and imines. Middle: Previously reported cyclopropanation of styrenes using carbolithiation.<sup>11</sup> Bottom: Cyclopropanation of styrenes and stilbenes with 2a.

Nucleophilic addition of organolithium reagents to styrenes has been extensively studied since its discovery<sup>12</sup> for diverse applications,<sup>13</sup> including the formation of heterocycles<sup>14</sup> and the stereoselective formation of cyclopropane derivatives.11 However, the formation of cyclopropanes via carbolithiation was limited to substrates incorporating a leaving group. Potentially, a bigger substrate scope for the cyclopropanation could be obtained when nucleophilic reagents possessing a leaving group, so-called methylene donors as e.g. 2a, are used. Herein, we report our results on the use of lithiomethyl trimethylammonium reagents for the cyclopropanation of styrenes and stilbenes, as well as an investigation of the reaction mechanism using kinetic data.

Page 2 of 4 Journal Name

7

Lithiated ammonium salts with several counterions were tested for the cyclopropanation of styrene in THF. Deprotonation of the tetramethylammonium salts at 0 °C for 30 min, followed by addition of styrene (5a), produced cyclopropylbenzene (7a) in all cases. THF-soluble salts tetramethylammonium BAr<sup>F</sup> (1b) and triflimide (1c) afforded 7a in 24% and 19% yield respectively (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Ammonium salts with pivalate (1d) and triflate (1a) anions were also evaluated. Even though these salts are only sparingly soluble in THF, the resulting lithiomethyl trimethylammonium salts exhibit better solubility. Higher yields of 30% and 60% were obtained when 1d and 1a were used respectively (entries 3 and 4). The strong dependence of the reaction yield on the anion might correlate to the ability of the anion to coordinate the lithium cation, *i.e.* this coordination could influence the rate of ring closure from intermediate 6 (vide supra).

In our experiments we found that trace amounts of (transition) metals degrade the lithiomethyl trimethylammonium reagent to ethylene and trimethylamine, reducing the efficiency of this methylenation. Consequently, thorough cleaning of all glassware (see SI), the use of glass stir bars, and purification of the ammonium salts to ensure sub-ppm concentration of metal impurities (as assessed by ICP-MS) is necessary to achieve high and reproducible yields. The high yield obtained with salt **1d**, as well as its straight-forward synthesis, purification, and its non-hygroscopic character, prompted us to explore the scope of this methylenation using **2a** as methylene source.

(ani	on)   Li ∕N⁺∕	+	THF 0°C		
<b>2</b> (1.1 eqv.)		<b>5a</b> (1.0 eqv.)	7a		
Entry	Precursor	Anion	Yield of 7a <sup>c</sup>	Remaining 5a <sup>c</sup>	
1	1b	<sup>-</sup> BAr <sup>F b</sup>	24%	76%	
2	1c	<sup>-</sup> N(SO <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	19%	81%	
3	1d	OOC(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	30%	70%	
4	1a	OSO <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	60%	40%	

<sup>a</sup> 0.3 mmol scale. <sup>b</sup> Tetrakis(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate.

<sup>*c*</sup> Determined by GC-FID, see supporting information for details.

At a 1.2 mmol scale styrene could be methylenated by 2a to produce phenylcyclopropane 7a in 71% isolated yield (Table 2, entry 1). In a similar fashion, *p*-methyl substituted (5b) and *p*-tBu substituted (5c) styrenes afforded their corresponding cyclopropanes 7b and 7c in 88% and 80% yields, respectively (entries 2 and 3). Styrenes bearing methoxy substituents in the *para*-position (**5d**) as electron-donating group ( $\sigma = -0.268$ ), or in the meta-position (5e) as electron-withdrawing group ( $\sigma$  = +0.115), can be methylenated to afford cyclopropanes 7d and 7e in 88% and 77% yield (entries 4 and 5). Substitution with electron withdrawing groups in the para position leads either to a lower yield (F, entry 6) or to no traces of cyclopropane (NO<sub>2</sub>, entry 7). In both of these cases the formation of polymers was observed, for p-NO2 styrene 5g the polymer was the only product. Methylenation of stilbenes 5h, 5i and 5j afforded trans1,2-bissubstituted cyclopropanes<sup>15</sup> **7h** and **7j** exclusively, in 92, 98 and 73% yields respectively (entries 8 to 10). Finally, reaction of **2a** with the aliphatic olefin cyclohexene (**5k**), the original substrate in Franzen and Wittig's report,<sup>7</sup> did not give the corresponding cyclopropane; instead, unreacted starting material could be recovered after workup (entry 10). This is in agreement with the required forcing reaction conditions reported in literature for the nucleophilic addition of carbanions to nonactivated olefins.<sup>16</sup>

Table 2. Cyclopropanation of olefins at 1.2 mmol scale with lithiomethyl trimethylammonium triflate.



5 (1.0 eqv.)

**2a** (1.1 to 1.5 eqv.)

Entry	Substrate	$\mathbb{R}^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	Product	Yield <sup>a</sup> ,
1	5a	Ph	Н	7a	71%
2	5b	4-CH <sub>3</sub> Ph	Н	7b	88%
3	5c	4-C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> Ph	Н	7c	80%
4	5d	4-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	Н	7d	88%
5	5e	3-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	Н	7e	77%
6	5f	4-FPh	Н	7f	17% <sup>b</sup>
7	5g	4-NO <sub>2</sub> Ph	Н	7g	0% <sup>c</sup>
8	5h	Ph	E-Ph	trans-7h	92%
9	5i	Ph	Z-Ph	trans-7h	98%
10	5j	4-OCH <sub>3</sub> Ph	E-Ph	trans-7j	73%
11	5k	cyclohexene		7k	0% <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields after purification unless otherwise stated, see SI for details.
 <sup>b</sup> Estimated from GC data. <sup>c</sup> Exclusively polymeric products were obtained.
 <sup>d</sup> Unreacted starting material was recovered.

The sharp contrast in reactivity of styrenes and the total inertness of cyclohexene for this methylenation supports a nucleophilic pathway. The addition of the 'N-C ylide' **2** to styrene **5** followed by an intramolecular ring-closure from intermediate **6** is therefore a plausible mechanism for the formation of cyclopropanes **7** (Scheme 1, bottom).

The irreversible nature of carbolithiations,<sup>17</sup> and the supposed irreversible formation of trimethylamine gas in the second step of our mechanism, allows the straightforward kinetic study of this reaction. Monitoring the reaction of **2d** with excess of styrene **5c** using a combination of GC and ESI-MS analysis (see SI) showed first order kinetics for the consumption of **2d** and the formation of cyclopropane **7c**. The pre-exponential factors and kinetic constants found for both curves are statistically very similar (see SI, Figure S2). Assuming the model of two consecutive, irreversible reactions (A  $\rightarrow$  B  $\rightarrow$  C) a kinetic model can be deduced.<sup>18</sup> Our kinetic data strongly support that the first reaction is much slower than the second, *i.e.* the carbolithiation is much slower than the ring-closure, since the concentration of both product and reagent display an apparent first order regime with similar pre-exponential factors and rate constants.

Competitive kinetic measurements<sup>19</sup> showed a marked decrease in reaction rate when electron-donating substituents on the aromatic ring are present (Figure 1). As expected, nucleophilic attack on the olefin is strongly disfavoured when its electron density is increased, and styrenes bearing electron-withdrawing

substituents exhibit a modestly increased methylenation reactivity. Substitution with an electron-withdrawing substituent at the meta position influences the olefin inductively but has a minor effect on the resonance stability of intermediate 6, and therefore only modestly affects the rate of ring closure. For an electronwithdrawing group in the para position a much greater influence can be observed; in fact, disappearance of 'N-C ylide' 2d when reacted with styrene 5h is instantaneous at 0 °C, however no cyclopropane product is formed. It is likely that the stabilisation of the benzylic carbanion 6h by the electron-withdrawing group slows down the ring closure enough to kinetically favour polymerisation over cyclopropane formation.<sup>20</sup> The opposing effect of the substituents on the two steps of this mechanism should result in a change of rate limiting step. For electron rich styrenes, addition is rate limiting, whereas for electron poor styrenes, ring closure should be rate limiting. Such changes are normally associated with curved Hammett plots.<sup>21</sup> The measured plot for this system (Figure 1) deviates from linearity as expected; however, the observed polymerisation does not allow the study of methylenation kinetically dominated by a rate limiting ring closure.



In summary, the soluble, easily accessible lithiomethyl trimethylammoium triflate **2d** was found to cyclopropanate several electron rich styrenes and stilbenes efficiently. Kinetic measurements support the proposed mechanism featuring a nucleophilic addition and a subsequent fast intramolecular ring closure. Our method provides an alternative to traditional methods for cyclopropanation as it uses as a precursor an easily prepared, non-hygroscopic and bench-stable tetramethylammonium salt. Our method makes no use of costly catalysts and it should be well scalable. As long as the electronic requirements on the olefin are fulfilled, our methylenation has a reasonable scope.

Funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement PIEF-GA-2010-275400 (IEF-Marie Curie post-doctoral grant to T d H), support from Dr. P. Zumbrunnen, Dr. R. Frankenstein, Dr. M.-O. Ebert (NMR service, LOC ETHZ), Dr. B. Hattendorf (ICP-MS, Günther group, LAC ETHZ), Dr. K. L. Vikse (proof-reading) and J. Gubler (kinetic experiment with Z-4-MeOstilbene) are gratefully acknowledged.

#### Notes and references

 <sup>a</sup> Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich. Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 2, 8093 Zürich (CH).
 E-mail: <u>peter.chen@org.chem.ethz.ch.</u> Fax: (+41) 44-632-1280.

\*Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, data acquisition and analysis for kinetic measurements and NMR spectra are available. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

These authors contributed equally.

- (a) R. Faust, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2251; (b) F. Gnad and O. Reiser, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 1603.
- (a) R. C. Hartley and S. T. Caldwell, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 477; (b) H. Lebel, J.-F. Marcoux, C. Molinaro and A. B. Charette, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 977; (c) H. Pellissier, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 7041; (d) D. Y. K. Chen, R. H. Pouwer and J.-A. Richard, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 4631.
- 3. P. Tang and Y. Qin, Synthesis, 2012, 44, 2969.
- (a) H. E. Simmons and R. D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 5323;
  (b) A. DelMonte, E. Dowdy and D. Watson, in Organometallics in Process Chemistry, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004, vol. 6, pp. 97-122.
- V. T. Yury, V. A. Dokitchev, M. D. Usein and M. N. Oleg, *Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.)*, 1993, **62**, 799.
- Y. G. Gololobov, A. N. Nesmeyanov, V. P. lysenko and I. E. Boldeskul, *Tetrahedron*, 1987, 43, 2609.
- 7. V. Franzen and G. Wittig, Angew. Chem., 1960, 72, 417.
- (a) G. Wittig and M.-H. Wetterling, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1947, 557, 193;
  (b) G. Wittig and M. Rieber, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1949, 562, 177;
  (c) G. Wittig and R. Polster, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1956, 599, 1.
- 9. G. Wittig and D. Krauss, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1964, 679, 34.
- T. den Hartog, J. M. Sarria Toro, E. P. A. Couzijn and P. Chen, Paper Submitted, 2014.
- (a) S. Norsikian, I. Marek, S. Klein, J. F. Poisson and J. F. Normant, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 2055; (b) S. Norsikian, M. Baudry and J. F. Normant, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 6575; (c) S. Majumdar, A. de Meijere and I. Marek, *Synlett*, 2002, **2002**, 423.
- (a) X. Wei and R. J. K. Taylor, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 187; (b) X.
  Wei and R. J. K. Taylor, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 4209; (c) X.
  Wei and R. J. K. Taylor, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1997, **8**, 665.
- 13. A.-M. L. Hogan and D. F. O'Shea, Chem. Commun., 2008, 3839.
- A. Kessler, C. M. Coleman, P. Charoenying and D. F. O'Shea, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 7836.
- Kinetic experiments have shown that Z-4-MeOstilbene racemises to E-4-MeOstilbene before carbolithiation occurs in the presence of the lithiomethyl trimethylammonium reagent. Also see: D. Y. Curtin and W. J. Koehl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 1967.
- (a) H. Pines, Acc. Chem. Res., 1974, 7, 155; (b) R. M. Schramm and G. E. Langlois, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 4912.
- 17. The new C-C bond formed after addition of the 'N-C ylide' on the styrene is more stable than the original C-Li bond, rendering the reverse reaction highly endothermic.
- S. K. Upadhyay, in *Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Dynamics*, Springer Netherlands, 2006, pp. 55-78.
- H. M. Yau, A. K. Croft and J. B. Harper, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 8937.
- 20. R. Waack and M. A. Doran, J. Organomet. Chem., 1971, 29, 329.

- (a) H. Hart and E. A. Sedor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 2342; (b) J. Hoffmann, J. Klicnar, V. Štěrba and M. Večeřa, Czech. Chem. Commun., 1970, 35, 1387.
- 22. D. H. McDaniel and H. C. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1958, 23, 420.