ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

www.rsc.org/

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Formation of a Nickel Carbon Dioxide Adduct and its Transformation Mediated by a Lewis Acid

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012 DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Yeong-Eun Kim, Jin Kim and Yunho Lee*

An uncommon nickel dinitrogen adduction and its tendency toward CO_2 binding are investigated with a $(PP^{Mc}P)Ni$ scaffold. $(PP^{Mc}P)Ni(N_2)$ (1) and $\{(PP^{Mc}P)Ni\}_2(\mu-N_2)$ (2) were prepared and its treatment with CO_2 revealed the formation of $(PP^{Mc}P)Ni(\eta^2-CO_2)$ (3). This is a new type of CO_2 binding for a zero-valent nickel center supported by three donor ligands, reminiscent to the CODH active site environment. Clear unique structural differences in 3 are evident when comparing with previous 4-coordinate Ni-CO₂ adducts. Compound 3 when treated with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ gives the Lewis acid-base adduct $(PP^{Mc}P)Ni\{COOB(C_6F_5)_3\}$ (4) possessing a Ni- μ -CO₂- $\kappa^2 C$, *O*-B moiety.

Carbon dioxide adducts of transition metals are drawing much attention due to their importance in developing synthetic catalysts.¹ Several binding modes of CO₂ have been known for certain transition metals thus supplying crucial mechanistic snapshots for the activation of carbon dioxide at the metal center.¹ In 1975, Aresta and coworkers firstly reported the structurally characterized nickel CO₂ adduct (PCy₃)₂Ni(η^2 -CO₂) generated from the reaction of (CPCy₂) Ni² (N) with CO₂^{2,3} A closely related example $\{(PCy_3)_2Ni\}_2(N_2)$ with CO_2 . A closely related example, $(dtbpe)Ni(\eta^2-CO_2)$ (dtbpe = 1,2-bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)ethane) was recently reported by Hillhouse and coworkers.⁴ Both nickel complexes possessing a 4-coordinate nickel center supported by two phosphorus donor atoms and η^2 -CO₂, are the only examples of the structurally characterized mononuclear nickel-CO₂ species reported in the literature (See diagram below). Although there are a series of metal complexes generated from the reaction of carbon dioxide, they are mostly employing transition metals such as Fe, Co, Ru, Ir and Os.⁵ Carbon dioxide adduct formation with nickel is of particular interest due to its relevance in biological CO₂ conversion, e.g., Ccluster of carbon monoxide dehydrogenases (See diagram below).



In particular, further elucidating the chemistry of low-valent nickel supported by a tridentate ligand in which a pseudo-tetrahedral or square planar geometry can be accommodated, is essential in understanding how enzymatic conversion of CO_2 to CO occurs at the FeNi-binuclear active site of CODH. Since the N₂ ligand is sufficiently labile to be replaced by other small molecules such as H₂, CO and CO₂ at the desired metal center, the metal-N₂ species can be conveniently utilized as a precursor in the preparation of a nickel-

 CO_2 adduct.⁷ To attain reactivity for a zero-valent nickel species in a tetracoordinate environment, we have employed a neutral PP^{Me}P ligand (PP^{Me}P = PMe[2-PⁱPr₂-C₆H₄]₂) modified from the anionic PPP ligand previously reported in the literature.⁸ Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of a dinuclear nickel dinitrogen complex {(PP^{Me}P)Ni}₂(μ -N₂) (**2**) and a mononuclear nickel carbon dioxide species (PP^{Me}P)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) (**3**), both fairly uncommon species in organonickel chemistry. Interesting structural differences between previously reported Ni-CO₂ adducts and **3** exist.

RSCPublishing



Figure 1. Displacement ellipsoid (50%) representation of $\{(PP^{Me}P)Ni\}_2(\mu-N_2)$ (2). Hydrogen atoms of $PP^{Me}P$ are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for 2: Ni1-N1 1.830(2), Ni1-P1 2.1168(5), Ni1-P2 2.1627(5), Ni1-P3 2.1544(5), N1-N1 1.124(3), Ni1-N1-N1 178.6(2). See SI for details.

The desired PP^{Me}P ligand was synthesized in good yield (>90%) via methylation of PPPCl with a methyl Grignard reagent in tetrahydrofuran. Metalation was accomplished by the reaction of a PP^{Me}P ligand with Ni(COD)₂ in benzene under N₂ atmosphere (Scheme 1). The product was isolated as a diamagnetic brown solid in moderate yield (70.9%) revealing two sets of phosphorus signals with a 1:1 ratio according to the integration of the corresponding peaks in ³¹P-NMR spectrum in C₆D₆ (Figure 2a). Due to the presence of a strong vibration at 2083 cm⁻¹ (Δ (¹⁵N₂) = 70) in the solution IR spectrum (benzene, N₂), one of the species was assigned as a mononuclear nickel-dinitrogen species, (PP^{Me}P)Ni(N₂) (1) (Supporting Information). At lower concentration (0.5 mM with respect to Ni in C₆D₆) of the brown solid, **1** was raised as a single species under 1 atm of N₂ (64.56 ppm (d, J = 54.3 Hz) and 19.02 ppm (t, J = 54.2 Hz) in the ³¹P-NMR spectrum, Figure 2b). After the

solution of the nickel complex was degassed in an NMR tube with a J-Young valve, the other species was formed solely revealing a doublet-like signal centered at 64.75 ppm (J = 68.8 Hz) and a triplet-like signal centered at 14.66 ppm (J = 68.8 Hz) (Figure 2c).



Figure 2. ³¹P-NMR spectra (C_6D_6) of (a) a 6 mM solution of ($PP^{Me}P$)Ni(N₂) (1) with {($PP^{Me}P$)Ni}₂(μ -N₂) (2) under 1 atm N₂, (b) 1 in lower concentration (0.5 mM), (c) 2 under vacuum and (d) ($PP^{Me}P$)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) (3) generated from the addition of CO₂ (g) to the solution of 2.

X-ray crystal structure of $\{(PP^{Me}P)Ni\}_2(\mu-N_2)$ (2) (Figure 1) reveals a dinuclear nickel adduct in which a N₂ molecule is bridging through end-on binding mode. Two crystallographically equivalent nickel centers possess a pseudo tetrahedral geometry supported by a PP^{Me}P ligand (Figure 1). The triple bonding character of the bound N₂ ligand is ensured by its interatomic N-N distance of 1.124(3) Å, close to that of free N₂ (1.0976 Å), indicative of minimal π back-bonding. Raman data in both solid and solution states confirms a vibration of 2045 (neat) and 2042 (in benzene, Δ (¹⁵N₂) = 68). cm⁻¹, respectively (Supporting Information), similar to previously reported dinuclear Ni-N₂ species, vide infra.⁹ Due to the weak binding of N_2 , 1 and 2 are in equilibrium under 1 atm of N₂ (g) (Scheme 1 and Figure 1). Under 1 atm of N₂ and exploiting the ¹H-NMR signals of 1 and 2 at \sim 2 ppm, we estimated the equilibrium constant to be 8.6 ± 1.2 in favor of the dinuclear formation in C_6D_6 at room temperature (Supporting Information). This equilibrium was also supported by ¹⁵N-NMR data involving a mixture of 1 and 2 measured at – 60 °C in toluene- d_8 . Under 1 atm of ${}^{15}N_2$ (g), a sharp signal for 2 appears at -74.9 ppm and a set of two signals for 1 appear at -53.7 and -71.3 ppm with a free ${}^{15}N_2$ signal at -70.4 ppm (Supporting Information). By applying vacuum, a signal corresponding to 2 only remains. A few examples of nickeldinitrogen species were previously reported.⁹ Compound 2 features the first structurally characterized 4-coordinate dinickel N₂ species.

The addition of CO_2 (1 atm) to a brown C_6D_6 solution of **2** resulted in a rapid conversion (<10 min at room temperature) to an orange species characterized as (PP^{Me}P)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) (**3**), which was isolated as an orange powder in good yield (92%) (Scheme 1 and Supporting Information). The ³¹P-NMR spectrum suggests a diamagnetic species with a doublet signal at 57.58 ppm (J = 6.0 Hz) and a triplet signal at 47.64 ppm (J = 6.3 Hz) (Figure 2d). X-ray quality single crystals were obtained from a cold saturated benzene solution of **3** at 18 °C. According to XRD data, the structure of **3** contains one η^2 -bound CO₂ molecule, reminiscent of the previously reported Ni-CO₂ adducts (Figure 3).^{2,3,4} The O-C-O bond angle of 135.1(1)° suggests that the central carbon atom is perturbed from *sp* hybridization by the interaction with nickel, similar to the previous example of (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) where O-C-O bond

angle is 138.0(2)°.⁴ The C(1)-O(1) bond distance in **3** is 1.218(2) Å, slightly elongated compared to those of (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) and (PCy₃)₂Ni(η^2 -CO₂) (1.200(3) Å and 1.17 Å, respectively)^{2,3,4} (Table 1). IR data of **3** also reveal a C=O vibrational frequency at 1682 cm⁻¹ (Δ (¹³CO₂) = 44) lower than those for other two known species, 1724–1740 cm⁻¹, supporting C=O bond elongation.^{2,3,4}



Figure 3. Displacement ellipsoid (50%) representation of (a) $(PP^{Me}P)Ni(\eta^2-CO_2)$ (3) and (b) $(PP^{Me}P)Ni(COOB(C_6F_5)_3)$ (4). A co-crystallized toluene molecule and hydrogen atoms of $PP^{Me}P$ are omitted for clarity. See Table 1 and SI for details.

Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles of (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 -CO₂), **3** and **4**.

	(dtbpe)Ni(η ² -CO ₂) ⁴	3	4
v _{co} (cm ⁻¹)	1724	1682	1639
C-O (Å)	1.200(3) 1.266(3)	1.218(2) 1.252(2)	1.223(4) 1.340(4)
Ni-C (Å)	1.868(2)	1.904(1)	1.923(3)
Ni-O (Å)	1.904(2)	2.191(1)	-
0-C-0 (°)	138.0(2)	135.1(1)	122.9(3)
Ni-C-O (°)	149.3(2) 71.9(1)	139.5(1) 85.30(9)	129.7(3) 107.4(2)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
Provide 71.9(1) N A BAR (2) C 138.0(2) Provide			

Figure 4. Comparison of the selected bond distance (Å) and angle (°) in the core structures of (a) (dtbpe)NiCO₂ and (c) **3**. DFT calculated HOMOs of (b) (dtbpe)NiCO₂ and (d) **3**.

There are in fact, interesting differences when comparing 3 with previous 4-coordinate nickel CO₂ adducts. First, while the CO_2 moiety of (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 - CO_2) accommodates the planar nickel geometry via a Ni-C(1) bond (1.868(2) Å), the moiety of 3 reveals orthogonal binding to the 5-coordinate nickel center (the plane of a CO_2 moiety is perpendicular to the $(PP^{Me}P)Ni$ plane) with a Ni-C(1) bond (1.904(2) Å) (Figure 4).⁴ Secondly, the nickel oxygen bond distance is noticeably elongated in 3, 2.191(1) vs. 1.904(2) Å (Figure 4 and Table 1). This might be due to the antibonding character between a oxygen atom and a nickel center in the HOMO of **3**, whereas (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) reveals the partial bonding character according to DFT analyses (Figure 4 and Supporting Information). A bound CO₂ ligand in 3 can be replaced by N_2 to generate a nickel dinitrogen species as the mixture of 1 and 2. However, a CO₂ molecule cannot be liberated by vacuum suggesting the reasonable stability of 3 in a solution state. Recent CODH structural studies have included the observation of substrate CO₂ bound species.⁶ Zero-valent nickel supported by three sulfur ligands (two µ3-sulfido and C526) is currently hypothesized to initially bind CO₂ (See diagram). Yet, no structural example in organonickel chemistry shows CO₂ coordination in a CODH-like environment. Thus, the structure of **3** reveals a binding mode of CO_2 (Figure 3) that

Journal Name

may serve as the precursor to the structurally characterized $Ni(CO_2)Fe$ adduct, but yet has not been observed for *any* 5-coordinate Ni-adducts.

Since the oxygen atom of the bound CO_2 moiety in 3 is relatively weakly bound to a nickel center, we thus thought that it is more available for electrophilic attack. To a THF solution of **3** trispentafluorophenyl borane was added under argon atmosphere to give a yellow product $(PP^{Me}P)Ni\{COOB(C_6F_5)_3\}$ (4) in moderate yield, 64% (Scheme 1 and Supporting Information). A new set of ³¹P-NMR peaks at 64.07 ppm (t, J =20.6 Hz) and 63.56 ppm (d, J = 20.3 Hz) for 4 appear revealing a central phosphorus atom of a PPMeP ligand has been dramatically affected by the strong boron-based Lewis acid (Supporting Information). Corresponding effect of the borane interaction in 4 also appears in the C=O vibration; 1631 cm⁻¹ shifted from 1682 cm⁻¹ of **3** and also in ¹³C NMR data; 185.47 ppm (dt, J_{PC} = 104.2, 14.0 Hz) shifted from 164.70 ppm (d, J_{PC} = 51.4 Hz) (Supporting Information). According to the X-ray structure of 4, one of the oxygen atoms of the CO₂ moiety is coordinated to a B(C₆F₅)₃ group clearly resulting in a 4coordinate nickel(II)-COOBR₃ species (Table 1 and Figure 3). Analogous examples possessing Ni(II)-COO⁻- κ C moieties have been previously reported by our group.¹⁰ Both (PNP)Ni-COOH- κC and (PNP)Ni- μ -CO₂- $\kappa^2 C$, O-Ni(PNP) (PNP⁻ = N[2- $P^{i}Pr_{2}$ -4-Me-C₆H₃]₂), along with 4 reveal the structural similarity in the binding mode reminiscent to that of the Ni-COO-Fe fragment found at the CODH active site.⁶

In order to compare the relative stability of the corresponding borane adducts to (dtbpe)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) and 3, we conducted theoretical calculations on both (PPMeP)Ni {COOB(CH₃)₃} and the hypothetical borane adduct, (dtbpe)Ni $\{CO_2-B(CH_3)_3\}$ (Supporting Information).¹¹ While the geometry optimization of (PP^{Me}P)Ni{COOB(CH₃)₃} was successful and in agreement with the corresponding XRD data of 4, the structural calculation failed to stabilize a borane adduct of $(dtbpe)Ni(\eta^2-CO_2)^{12}$ indicating that the nickel-CO₂ adduct supported by two phosphorus donor atoms and the 14-electron nickel(II) product species might not favor attack on an exogenous Lewis acid in the absence of an additional donor ligand (Supporting Information). According to NBO analysis, a Wiberg Ni-O bond index of 0.31 in 3 is calculated, compared with a corresponding value of 0.43 for $(dtbpe)Ni(n^2-CO_2)$ revealing a relatively weak Ni-O interaction in 3 (Supporting Information). In fact, DFT optimization of both borane adducts revealed more dramatic differences. While a Wiberg Ni-O bond index was reduced to 0.16 in $(PP^{Me}P)Ni\{COOB(CH_3)_3\}$ along with a B-O bond index of 0.44, a negligible effect on the corresponding Ni-O bond index was found for $(dtbpe)Ni(\eta^2 -$ CO₂), suggesting a minimal interaction with a borane Lewis acid. Further experiments will be necessary to evaluate the relative reactivity and stability of 4- or 5-coordinate nickel species regarding CO₂ activation.

In summary, the current study reveals two uncommon small molecule species with zero-valent nickel, a dinickel-N₂ species (2) and a mononuclear-CO₂ adduct (3) supported by a neutral PP^{Me}P pincer ligand. The dinickel-dinitrogen species $\{(PP^{Me}P)Ni\}_2(\mu-N_2)$ (2) shows a weak binding of N₂ to the zero-valent nickel center, which enabled access to the Ni-CO₂ adduct. Reversible interconversion between Ni-N₂-Ni and Ni-CO₂ demonstrates the practicality of the (PP^{Me}P)Ni scaffold for accommodating a CO₂ binding and in studying this activated molecule. The structure of a nickel-carbon dioxide species (PP^{Me}P)Ni(η^2 -CO₂) (3), the first structurally characterized 5-coordinate mononuclear nickel carbon dioxide complex,

illustrates a new type of CO₂ intermediates which is an unique metal binding mode of CO₂ in organometallic chemistry and can be a potential novel intermediate present in the CODH enzymatic mechanism. Further studies particular to the reactivity of **3** regarding the CO₂ activation are currently under investigations. During this first phase, we were successful in obtaining the (PP^{Me}P)Ni{COOB(C₆F₅)₃} (**4**) adduct which bears resemblance to the {Ni- η^1 -COO-Fe} structure in CODH.

Notes and references

Department of Chemistry, KAIST, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea, Fax: (+82) 42-350-2810; E-mail: yunholee@kaist.ac.kr

This work has been supported financially by a National Research Foundation (NRF) grant funded by the Korea Government (Ministry of Education, Science, and Technology) (N01110580) and by KAIST Institute for the BioCentury. We also thank Prof. S. W. Han at KAIST for the Raman data collection.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental and crystallographic details. CCDC-993623, CCDC-993624 and CCDC-994135. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

- A. M. Appel, J. E. Bercaw, A. B. Bocarsly, H. Dobbek, D. L. DuBois, M. Dupuis, J. G. Ferry, E. Fujita, R. Hille, P. J. A. Kenis, C. A. Kerfeld, R. H. Morris, C. H. F. Peden, A. R. Portis, S. W. Ragsdale, T. B. Rauchfuss, J. N. H. Reek, L. C. Seefeldt, R. K. Thauer and G. L. Waldrop, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6621.
- 2 M. Aresta, C. F. Nobile, V. G. Albano, E. Forni and M. Manassero, J.C.S., Chem. Comm., 1975, 636; M. Aresta and C. F. Nobile, J.C.S., Dalton Trans., 1977, 708; A. Döhring, P. W. Jolly, C. Krüger, M. J. Romão, Z. Naturforsch, 1985, 40b, 484.
- 3 A similar Ni(n²-CO₂) adduct supported by a ⁱPr₃P was recently reported: R. Beck, M. Shoshani, J. Krasinkiewicz, J. A. Hatnean and S. A. Johnson, Dalton Trans., 2013, **42**, 1461.
- 4 J. S. Anderson, V. M. Iluc and G. L. Hillhouse, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 10203.
- 5 Isolated CO₂ complexes: D. H. Gibson, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 2063;
 M. Hirano, M. Akita, K. Tani, K. Kumagai, N. C. Kasuga, A. Fukuoka and S. Komiya, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4206; C. H. Lee, D. S. Laitar, P. Mueller and J. P. Sadighi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 13802. CO₂ reduction systems: C. C. Lu, C. T. Saouma, M. W. Day and J. C. Peters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 4; E. E. Benson, C. P. Kubiak, A. J. Sathrum and J. M. Smieja, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 89; J. P. Krogman, B. M. Foxman and C. M. Thomas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14582.
- 6 J.-H. Jeoung and H. Dobbek, *Science*, 2007, **318**, 1461; J.-H. Jeoung and H. Dobbek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9922; M. Can, F. A. Armstrong and S. W. Ragsdale, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4149.
- 7 Y. Lee, R. A. Kinney, B. M. Hoffman and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 16366; Y. Lee and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4438; D. W. Lee, C. M. Jensen and D. Morales-Morales, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 4744.
- 8 N. P. Mankad, E. Rivard, S. B. Harkins and J. C. Peters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16032.
- Mononuclear nickel N₂ adducts: C. Tsay and J. C. Peters, Chem. Sci., 2012, **3**, 1313; R. Waterman and G. L. Hillhouse, Can. J. Chem., 2005, **83**, 328; D. Zhu, I. Thapa, I. Korobkov, S. Gambarotta and P. H. M. Budzelaar, Inorg. Chem., 2011, **50**, 9879. Dinuclear nickel N₂ adducts: Ref. 3; C. Krüger, and Y.-H. Tsay, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1973, **12**, 998; K. Jonas, D. J. Brauer, C. Krüger, P. J. Roberts and Y.-H. Tsay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, **98**, 74. Dinuclear nickel μ-N₂ adducts: P. W. Jolly, K. Jonas, C. Krüger and Y.-H. Tsay, J. Organometal. Chem., 1971, **33**, 109; S. Pfirrmann, C. Limberg, C. Herwig, R. Stößer and B. Ziemer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, **48**, 3357; W. H. Harman, T.-P. Lin and J. C. Peters, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, **53**, 1081.
- 10 C. Yoo, J. Kim and Y. Lee, Organometallics, 2013, **32**, 7195.
- 11 In order to minimize computational time, we utilized B(CH₃)₃ as a model for trispentafluorophenylborane.
- 12 The geometry optimization for (dtbpe)Ni{CO₂-B(CH₃)₃} reveals no bond formation between an oxygen atom of a CO₂ moiety and a boron atom (distance is 3.563 Å); the resulting structure was used for corresponding NBO analysis (Supporting Information).