ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ARTICLE TYPE

Stereoselective Construction of a Key Hydroindole Precursor of Epidithiodiketopiperazine (ETP) Natural Products

Minghao Feng, ^a and Xuefeng Jiang^{*,a,b}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An asymmetric synthetic strategy for constructing the divergentsynthesis monomer of Epidithiodiketpipeazine (ETP) natural products has been successfully achieved. The functionalized 10 2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydroindole scaffold was constructed by a diastereoselective inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder (IEDDA) reaction.

Epidithiodiketopiperazine (ETP) natural products comprise a large number of metabolites, which display a range of 15 biological activities including antiviral, antibacterial, antiallergic, antimalarial and cytotoxic properties.¹ ETPs, characterized by sulfur atoms² and a diketopiperazine structure, have led to significant interest from the synthetic community, due to their unique structural and biological ²⁰ properties. In this field, Kishi, ^{3a,b} Movassaghi, ^{3c,e,g,h} Sodeoka, ^{3d} and Overman^{3f} have reported their elegant total syntheses of ETP molecules containing indole moiety. Among the ETP family, there are also lots of members incorporated with the hvdroindole scaffolds (perhydroindole, 2,3,7,7a-²⁵ tetrahydroindole, 2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydroindole) structure (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Representative ETP family members containing hydroindole scaffolds

- ³⁰ Although numerous synthetic approaches were investigated, there were only a limited number of strategies for the preparation of the highly functionalized hydroindole scaffolds. The first synthesis of the related compound, glitoxin **6**, was reported in 1976 by Kishi and co-workers, in which a Michael
- ³⁵ addition and a nucleophilic substitution reaction were used to construct the 2,3,7,7a-tetrahydroindole core.⁴ Thirty-three years later, Bräse and co-workers reported a short and stereoselective synthesis of the epicoccin core, using a diastereoselective [2+2] cycloaddition between a ketene and
- ⁴⁰ an enecarbamate, followed by an RCM reaction to provide the 2,3,3a,4,7,7a-hexahydroindole core.⁵ Recently, several fantastic works were achieved by Nicolaou and co-workers in

the total synthesis of epicoccin G **1**, 8,8-*epi-ent*-rostratin B, emethallicin E **4**, haematocin, gliotoxin **6** and gliotoxin G.⁶ ⁴⁵ An oxidative cyclization of L-Boc-tyrosine with PhI(OAc)₂ followed by an intramolecular conjugate addition process was involved in their synthesis of ETPs. A similar strategy to construct the hydroindole scaffold was also used in the total synthesis of acetylaranotin, another member of ETPs, which ⁵⁰ was achieved by Tokuyama and co-workers⁷ shortly after its first total synthesis accomplished by Reisman's group⁸. Herein we present a new stereoselective approach for preparing the highly functionalized hydroindole core, which can be converted to the key divergent-synthesis monomer of ETPs.

Our synthetic plan commenced with the retrosynthetic simplification of ETPs 1~5 to their key monomer 7, which was found to be the key monomer accessed to most of ETPs, due to the C₂-symmetric structure (Scheme1). Thus, a reliable ⁶⁰ approach to access key monomer 7 was urgent to be developed. The hydroindole core was envisaged to be prepared through an inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction (IEDDA).⁹ Ultimately, 8 and 9 were chosen as two specified Diels-Alder precursors which can be prepared by ⁶⁵ naturally abundant D/L-malic acid and L-pyroglutamic acid.



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Plan for Synthesis of ETPs

Following the strategy, a variety of electron-poor dienes were synthesized to explore the IEDDA reaction (Table 1). ⁷⁰ The linear dienes **10** and **11** were demonstrated to be unsuitable partners to react with the electron-rich dienophile **9**. Different Lewis acids, such as BF₃•Et₂O and Et₂AlCl, were attempted to promote the reaction. Unfortunately, dienophile **9** was found to be decomposed quickly in Lewis acidic conditions, even at low temperature. The dienes containing 2-pyrone moiety were then tested, because the cyclic diene may

- ⁵ show better reactivity and selectivity in the stereoselective Diels-Alder reaction.¹⁰ However, the non-functionalized 2pyrone diene **12** was unsuitable partner, either. To increase the electron deficiency of the diene, electron-withdrawing groups were then installed into the diene. The diene **13**,
- ¹⁰ containing one bromine atom in position 5, reacted with dienophile 9, affording the expected product in a 54% yield and the ratio of the *exo/endo* products was 3:1. Encouraged by this result, two bromine atoms (positions 3 and 5) installed diene 14 was tested. However, the yield was lower, 15 presumably due to the steric hindrance of the bromine atom on
- the bridge of the product. After carboxyl group was introduced into position 5, only trace amounts of the product could be obtained due to the poor solubility of the diene **15**. To our satisfaction, after ester was introduced into position 5,
- ²⁰ the reaction afforded the desired product in a better diastereoselectivity (*exo:endo* = 7:1), although the yield was not improved. Then the temperature was raised to 130 °C in a sealed tube to enhance the efficiency, as expected, the optimized conditions afforded the IEDDA product in an ²⁵ excellent yield (95%) with over 10:1 diastereoselectivity.

Table 1. Exploration of Stereoselective IEDDA Reaction.^a



^{*a*}Conditions: diene (0.1 mmol), enamine (0.1 mmol), toluene (1.0 mL), reflux; ^b isolated yields; ^c ratios determined by integration of ³⁰ crude ¹H NMR; ^d performed at 90 °C; ^e 130 °C in a sealed tube.

The relative stereochemistry of the IEDDA product 17 was comfirmed by X-ray crystallography (please see ESI). The stereoselectivity corresponds to an *exo*-approach of the diene ³⁵ **8** from the less hindered side of the dienophile **9**, pointing ethoxycarbonyl group in the opposite direction of the ring (16, Scheme 2). The endeavor on opening bridged-lactone ring in

saponification conditions was invalid, due to the weakness of the C-N bond of t-butoxycarbonyl protecting IEDDA product 40 17. Most of basic conditions afforded the C-N bond cleavage products, such as 18, which was identified by X-ray crystallography. Considering the influence of the protecting group of amino, t-butoxycarbonyl protection was altered to benzyl protection. After treated with MeONa, benzyl 45 protecting intermediate 19 was converted to the desired product 21 in 40% yield with 39% of Michael addition byproduct 20. These negative results compelled us to abandon the attempts of ring opening in saponification conditions. In order to reduce the bridged-lactone ring to the corresponding 50 alcohol or aldehyde, a variety of reducing reagent was investigated. To our delight, the ring-opened carboxylic acid 23 was achieved in quantitative yield via an S_N2 ' (conjugate reduction/elimination process) process (22) when treated with NaBH₄. Under the optimized conditions, the first three steps 55 could be carried out in sequence without purification. And carboxylic acid 23 could be prepared in twenty grams, which demonstrated the efficiency of the approach.



Scheme 2.Conditions: (a) toluene, 130 °C, sealed operator; (b) $_{60}$ TFA, DCM, rt; (c) PhCHO, NaBH₃CN, MeCN/AcOH, rt; (d) NaBH₄, EtOH, 0 °C, 84% over 4 steps; (e) MeONa, MeOH, 0 °C, 88%; (f) MeONa, MeOH, -10 °C, 20 39%, 21 40%.

With abundant 2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydroindole core 23 in hand, we forwarded to its conversion to the key monomer 7 65 (Scheme 3). First, the carboxyl group was converted to the hydroxyl group by a Barton decarboxylative oxygenation .¹¹ Alcohol 26 and 25 were isolated as diastereoisomers (dr =3:1). Furthermore, the minor product 25 with the opposite configuration of hydroxyl group in position 7, characterized 70 by X-ray crystallography, could be transformed to 26 with the desired configuration by Mitsunobu process. After *t*butyldimethylsilyl protection of the hydroxyl group, the dihydroxylation/Ley oxidation¹²/dehydroxylation¹³ sequence was performed, furnishing the β -methoxycarbonyl ketone 28.

45

After demethoxycarbonylation by sodium hydroxide, the *N*,*O*-protected monomer **29** was obtained, whose *t*-butyldimethylsilyl group could be easily removed in excellent yield (92%), followed by the removal of benzyl protection s (98%) affording key monomer **7**. Meanwhile, carboxylic acid **30** could be obtained by saponification of **29** in excellent yield

(95%) as well.



Scheme 3. Conditions: (a) EDCl, DMAP, Spy-OH, DCM/toluene, ¹⁰ rt; (b) O₂, 60%, 25:26 = 1:3; (c) *p*-NO₂PhCOOH, Ph₃P, DIAD, THF, 0 °C to rt, (d) K₂CO₃, MeOH, rt, 66% over 2 steps; (e) TBSOTf, 2,6-lutidine, DCM, -40 °C, 85%; (f) K₂OsO₄•2H₂O, NMO, *t*-BuOH/H₂O, 50 °C; (g) TPAP, NMO, DCM, silica gel, rt; (h) SmI₂, THF, rt, 35% over 3 steps; (i) NaOH, THF/H₂O, 60 °C, ¹⁵ 42% (51 % b.r.s.m); (j) LiOH (1M aq.)/THF, EtOH, rt, 95%; (k)

TBAF, THF, rt. 92%; (l) Pd(OH)₂/C, H₂, EtOAc, rt, 98%.

In conclusion, a stereoselective synthesis of the key monomer of ETP natural products which involves a diastereoselective IEDDA reaction and the firstly reported

- ²⁰ NaBH₄ promoted bridged-lactone ring opening reaction has been successfully accomplished. The abundant intermediate **23** containing 2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydroindole core could be prepared by the reliable approach, which should provide a solid basis for synthesis of other natural products featuring the
- ²⁵ hydroindole structure. Monomer 7 represents a key intermediate in divergent synthetic strategy for natural products of ETP family, further progress towards divergent total synthesis of ETPs and related natural products will be reported in the future.

30 Notes and references

^{*a*} Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Process, Department of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 North Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China. E-mail: xfjiang@chem.ecnu.edu.en; Fax: 86 21-6223-3654; Tel: 86 21-6223-3654

³⁵ ^b State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P. R. China.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

40 1 (a) C.-S. Jiang, W. E. G. Müller, H. C. Schröder, Y.-W. Guo, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, **112**, 2179; (b) R. Huang, X. Zhou, T. Xu, X. Yang, Y. Liu, *Chem. Biodiv.* 2010, **7**, 2809; (c) G. Ding, L. Jiang, L. Guo, X. Chen, H. Zhang, Y. Che, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2008, **71**, 1861; (d) C. R.

Isham, J. D. Tibodeau, W. Jin, R. Xu, M. M. Timm, K. C. Bibblel, Blood 2007, 109, 2579; (e) T. Rezanka, M. Sobotka, J. Spizek, K.
Sigler, Anti-infect. Agents Med. Chem. 2006, 5, 187; (f) M. D.
Gardiner, P. Waring, B. J. Howlett, Microbiology 2005, 151, 1021;
(g) D. Greiner, T. Bonaldi, R. Eskeland, R. Roemer, R. Imhof, Nat. Chem. Biol. 2005, 1, 143; (h) S. D. Bull, S. G. Davies, R. M. Parkin,

- F. S. Sancho, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1 1998, 2313; (i) P. Waring, J. Beaver, Gen. Pharmacol. 1996, 27, 1311; (j) H. Kamei, M. Oka, Y. Hamagishi, K. Tomita, M. Komishi, T. Oki, J. Antibiot. 1990, 43, 1018; (k) P. Waring, R. D. Eichner, A. Müllbacher, Med. Res. Rev. 1988, 8, 499.
- ⁵⁵ 2 For our group's studies on sulfur chemistry, please see: (a) Z. Qiao, J. Wei, X. Jiang, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1212; (b) Y. Li, J. Pu, X. Jiang, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2692; (c) Z. Qiao, H. Liu, X. Xiao, Y. Fu, J. Wei, Y. Li, X. Jiang, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2594; (d) H. Liu, X. Jiang, Chem. Asian J. 2013, 8, 2546.
- ⁶⁰ 3 (a) Y. Kishi, T. Fukuyama, S. Nakatsuka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6492; (b) Y. Kishi, S. Nakatsuka, S. Fukuyama, M. Havel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6493; (c) J. Kim, J. A. Ashenhurst, M. Movassaghi, Science, 2009, 324, 238; (d) M. Yoshida, M. Sodeoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4078; (e) J. Kim, M. Movassaghi, J.
- Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14376; (f) J. E. DeLorbe, S. Y. Jabri, S. M. Mennen, L. E. Overman, F.-L. Zhang J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6549; (g) N. Boyer, M. Movassaghi, Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1798; (h) N. Boyer, K. C. Morrison, J. Kim, P. J. Hergenrother, M. Movassaghi, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1 646.
- 70 4 T. Fukuyama, Y. Kishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6723.
- 5 (a) U. Gross, M. Nieger, S. Bräse, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4740; (b) U. Gross, M. Nieger, S. Bräse, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11624.
- 6 (a) K. C. Nicolaou, S. Totokotsopoulos, D. Giguère, Y. Sun, M. D. Sarlah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8150; (b) K. C. Nicolaou, M.
- ⁷⁵ Lu, S. Totokotsopoulos, P. Heretsch, D. Giguère, Y.-P. Sun, D. Sarlah, T. H. Nguyen, I. C. Wolf, D. F. Smee, C.W. Day, S. Bopp, E. A. Winzeler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, **134**, 17320.
- 7 H. Fujiwara, T. Kurogi, S. Okaya, K. Okano, H. Tokuyama, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012, **51**, 13062.
- 80 8 J. A. Codelli, A. L. A. Puchlopek, S. E. Reisman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1930.
- 9 (a) X. Jiang, R. Wang, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 5515; (b) Y. Choi, H. Ishikawa, J. Velcicky, G. I. Elliott, M. M. Miller, D. L. Boger, *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 4539; (c) L. Lee, J. K. Snyder, *Adv. Cycloaddit.* 1999, 6, 119; (d) D. L. Boger, B. M. Patel, *Prog. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1989, 1, 30.
 - 10 (a) H. M. Nelson, B. M. Stoltz, *Org. Lett.* 2008, **10**, 25; (b) H. M. Nelson, K. Murakami, S. C. Virgil, B. M. Stoltz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2011, **50**, 3688; (c) H. M. Nelson, J. R. Gordon, S. C. Virgil, B.
 M. Oti, K. du, *et al.* 2012, **22** (600).
- M. Stoltz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6699.
- J. Ishihara, R. Nonaka, Y. Terasawa, R. Shiraki, K. Yabu, H. Kataoka, Y. Ochiai, K.-i. Tadano, *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 63, 2679.
- (a) W. P. Griffith, S. V. Ley, G. P. Whitcombe, A. D. White, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1625; (b) W. P. Griffith, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1992, 21, 179.
- (a) X. Hong, S. France, J. M. Mejía-Oneto, A. Padwa, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5141; (b) X. Hong, J. M. Mejía-Oneto, A. Padwa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 8387.