ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

COMMUNICATION

Metal Organic Frameworks as potential shock absorbers: case of the highly flexible MIL-53(Al)

Pascal G. Yot^{*,a}, Zoubeyr Boudene^a, Jasmine Macia^a, Dominique Granier^a, Louis Vanduyfhuys^b, Toon Verstraelen^b, Veronique Van Speybroeck^b, Thomas Devic^c Christian Serre^c, Gérard Férey^c, Norbert ⁵ Stock^d and Guillaume Maurin^a

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The mechanical energy absorption ability of the highly flexible MIL-53(Al) MOF material was explored by a 10 combination of experiments and molecular simulations. A pressure-induced transition between the large pore and the closed pore forms of this solid was revealed to be irreversible and associated with a relatively large energy absorption capacity. Both features make MIL-53(Al) as the first potential 15 MOF candidate for further use as a shock absorber.

Mesoporous hydrophobic silica have been envisaged so far as promising materials for energy storage applications due to their relatively high energies absorbed during water intrusion/extrusion cycles. ¹⁻³ Crystalline hybrid solids, known ²⁰ as metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are one of the latest classes of porous solids. Besides their interests for a broad range of applications including gas separation⁴, catalysis⁵ and biomedicine⁶, more recently these materials have attracted a growing interest for their promises in the field of mechanical

- ²⁵ energy storage. ⁷⁻⁹ In order to maximize the energy absorbed during 1 cycle of compression/decompression (W=P× Δ V), MOFs combining large unit cell variations and high transition pressures should be considered. In this context, the highly flexible microporous MIL-53(Cr, In)/MIL-47(V^{IV}) (MIL
- ³⁰ stands for Materials of Institut Lavoisier) appear appealing. These solids, built up from μ_2 -OH/O corner-sharing chains of metal octahedra linked by terephthalate ligands to define diamond-shaped channels, were shown to endure a structural switching from a large pore (LP) to a closed pore (CP)
- ³⁵ corresponding to a unit cell volume variation up to 38% at moderate applied pressures (from 55 to 125 MPa). ¹⁰⁻¹²

 ^a Institut Charles Gerhardt Montpellier, Université Montpellier 2, Place Eugène Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 05, France, Fax: +33 4 67 14
 40 42 90; E-mail: pascal.yot@univ-montp2.fr

- ^b Center for Molecular Modeling, Ghent University, Technologiepark 903, B-9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium
- ^{c.} Institut Lavoisier Versailles, Université de Versailles St-Quentin, 45, avenue des Etats-Unis, 78035 Versailles cedex, France
- ⁴⁵ ^d Institute für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Max-EythStraβe2, D 24118 Kiel, Germany.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedure, X-ray diffraction, Raman scattering procedure and molecular simulations. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

⁵⁰ In all cases, the structural transformation is reversible and associated with a relatively large hysteresis which makes these materials attractive for energy absorption applications in the form of nano-dampers. More recently, confining polar molecules into the pores of MIL-53(Cr) was predicted to induce an irreversible ⁵⁵ pressure-induced structural response. ¹³ This preliminary computational study emphasized that this series of materials can be also attractive for shock absorbers applications, i.e. devices able to absorb the mechanical energy during the compression without any further release. The resulting energy storage ⁶⁰ capacities of 12 and 33 J.g⁻¹ during one cycle of compression/decompression for MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-47(V^{IV}) respectively. Ware revealed to be higher than the ones of the pression.

- respectively were revealed to be higher than the ones of the mesoporous hydrophobic materials (~4-10 J.g⁻¹). ¹⁻³ The breathing behavior of the series of MIL-53s, either
- ⁶⁵ induced by guest adsorption or temperature, was found to be modulated by the nature of the metal center. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Inspired by this well-documented literature, the MIL-53 in its Al-version¹⁷ was selected to probe the impact of the metal center on the mechanical performance of this family of materials.
- ⁷⁰ Complementary experiments including mercury intrusion, Xray powder diffraction and Raman scattering were coupled to provide the first experimental evidence that such a solid can be promising as a nano-shock absorber. Molecular dynamics simulations based on an *ab initio* flexible force-field were also ⁷⁵ performed to gain insight into the microscopic mechanism at the origin of the irreversible nature of the structural transition between a large pore (LP) and a closed pore (CP) forms once
- an external pressure is applied (Fig. 1). Mercury porosimetry experiments were first conducted on the ⁸⁰ MIL-53(Al) sample which was preliminary activated at 250°C under secondary vacuum during 8 hours. Such a treatment ensures that the solid is initially present in its LP form as confirmed by the X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) analysis (Table 1) († ESI, Figure S4).
- ⁸⁵ Fig. 2 shows the evolution of the cumulative volume of intruded mercury as a function of the applied pressure after two intrusion-extrusion (compression-decompression) cycles. Two regions can be distinguished: (i) below 2 MPa where the intruded Hg corresponds to the compaction of the powder and the 90 filling of the interparticular porosity which ensures the isostatic

condition around the particles and (ii) a sudden increase of the volume of Hg intruded between 13 and 18 MPa.



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the pressure induced phase transition s of the MIL-53(Al) between the large pore form (a) and the closed pore form (b).(c) and (d) provide an illustration of the Al-Oc-Cc-Cg2 dihedral angle φ and the mean distance D separating the phenyl rings respectively.

This step is assigned to a contraction of the solid, and not to a penetration into its pore as according to the Washburn ¹⁰ equation (see † ESI), and would correspond to an intrusion of a pore size of 70 nm which considerably exceeds the one of the microporous MIL-53(Al) solid (0.85 nm). By analogy with the conclusions previously drawn for other MIL-53 analogues ¹⁰⁻¹², this volume variation can be associated with a ¹⁵ contraction of the initial LP form (Fig. 1). The relative

- volume variation $(V_{final}-V_{initial})/V_{initial}$ is close to 35% which would lead to a contracted form with a unit cell volume of ~920 Å³ considering a cell volume of 1424 Å³ for the initial LP phase. Both profile and magnitude of intruded Hg volume
- $_{20}$ recorded in the first compression step are similar to the ones previously evidenced for the isostructural MIL-53(Cr) (32%) and MIL-47(V^{IV}) (38%). $^{10-12}$



Fig. 2 Cumulative volume of intruded mercury in a two intrusion– ²⁵ extrusion cycles as a function of the applied pressure obtained for the MIL-53(Al) sample (V_{intial} and V_{final} are the volumes of mercury intruded before and after the contraction of the solid respectively).

This result drastically deviates with the very recent study reported by Gascon *et al.* which surprisingly shows a gradual ³⁰ decrease of the unit cell volume for the LP form of MIL-53(Al), the closed pore version being only attained at very high pressure (6 GPa). ¹⁸ One can however notice that the soobtained transition pressure (~13-18 MPa) is significantly lower than the ones obtained for MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-³⁵ 47(V^{IV}) (53 MPa and 85-125 MPa respectively). This emphasizes a higher compressibility of the Al-solid and the

resulting early onset of the structural contraction. This is supported by a much lower esimated bulk modulus for the LP form of MIL-53(Al) at room temperature, $K_{LP} \sim 0.35$ GPa (see ⁴⁰ † ESI), vs the ones previously reported for the Cr version (1.8 to 2.0 GPa)^{19, 20}. More interestingly, while MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-47(V^{IV}) showed a reversible structural transition with the presence of a hysterisis, here Fig. 2 suggests that upon decompression, the Al-version remains in the contracted CP 45 form. This holds also true for the second compression/decompression cycle where no variation of intruded Hg volume was detected. To confirm such findings, XRPD analysis was carried out on the powder obtained at the end of the porosimetry experiments. The new phase was so successfully indexed in the space group C2/c (Figure S5) with a cell volume V_{CP} ~897 Å³ (Table 1) which agrees well with the value estimated from the mercury intrusion (920 $Å^3$). Furthermore, XRPD indicates a minor fraction of the LP form detected which results from a kinetic reopening at larger time 55 scale (see † ESI). This structure is similar to the one previously reported by Liu et al 15 for the same solid detected at low temperature (863.9 $Å^3$) and labeled as the narrow pore (NP) form (see Table 1). The pressure induced LP-CP structural transformation for MIL-53(Al) was also evidenced 60 by Raman scattering experiments which showed a downshift of the $v_{sym}(COO)$ band (see † ESI Fig. S6) under compression, characteristic of a transition towards a more contracted structure. ¹¹ The XRPD experiments further confirmed the irreversible nature of the transition, which differs with its 65 reversible transformation observed upon thermal stimuli.¹⁵

Table 1 Unit cell parameters and volume of the different forms of the MIL-53(Al) before (large pore form: LP) and after (closed pore form: CP) compression experiment and their comparison with reference data from the work of Liu *et al.* ¹⁵

Form	Large Pore ^a	Closed Pore ^b	Large Pore ^c	Narrow Pore ^c
S.G.	Ітст	C2/c	Ітст	C2/c
a (Å)	16.7322(1)	19.633(1)	16.744(2)	20.824(1)
b (Å)	12.8357(7)	7.160(1)	12.847(2)	6.6067(5)
$c (Å)^c$	6.6295(2)	6.559(1)	6.6384(5)	6.871(1)
β(°)	-	104.70(1)	-	113.948(6)
$V(A^3)$	1423.8(1)	897.2(6)	1427.5(3)	863.9(2)
Temperature (K)	298	298	295	77

70 To gain further insight into the irreversible structural switching of this solid under compression/decompression, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed in the $N\sigma T$ ensemble to follow the size and shape modifications of the MIL-53(Al) framework at 300 K under variable applied 75 pressures up to 200 MPa. These calculations were based on the ab initio force field developed recently by some of us (see † ESI).²¹ The simulated evolution of the unit cell volume as a function of the pressure is reported in Fig. 3. It evidenced that the MIL-53(Al) initially in its LP form undergoes a sudden 80 decrease of its cell volume for an applied pressure of 19 MPa which matches well the range of values corresponding to the step observed in the intrusion curve (13-18 MPa). Further, the resulting CP form possesses a unit cell volume (\sim 820 Å³) only slightly lower than the one obtainedby XRPD data (~897.2 85 Å³). Interestingly, in contrast with our previous computational findings on MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-47(V^{IV}), ^{6,7} the Al-version remains in its CP form once the applied pressure is released.

This is fully consistent with the irreversible nature of the structural transition as experimentally revealed.



Fig. 3.MD simulated evolution of the unit cell volume of MIL-53(Al) at 5 300 K as a function of the applied pressure.

A deeper analysis of the geometrical features of the LP and CP forms of MIL-53(Al) was further undertaken. Similarly to the Cr- and V-analogues, the structural shrinkage of the unit cell when LP switches to CP occurs via a rotation of the linker ¹⁰ about the O_c-O_c axis as detected by a significant change of the M-O_c-C_c-C_{g2} (M=Al, Cr, V^{IV},) dihedral angle (φ) and its corresponding intramolecular energy (Fig. 1 & S7). Fig. S8 shows that while in the LP form the distribution of φ is similar to those in MIL-47(V^{IV})¹¹ and MIL-53(Cr) ²² with one peak ¹⁵ centered around 180°, the situation differs for the CP form with two contributions at 120° and 160° significantly down-

- shifted compared to the other analogues $(130^{\circ} \text{ and } 180^{\circ})^{11, 22}$. Another significant change is the mean distance (D) separating the phenyl rings which is much shorter in the CP form of A1 (2, 20, $\frac{3}{2}$) are CP and VIV (2, 20, $\frac{3}{2}$) (Fig. 1, $\frac{6}{2}$, S0)
- ²⁰ form of Al (3.29 Å) vs Cr and V^{IV} (3.90 Å) (Fig. 1 & S9), consistent with a more contracted simulated unit cell volume (~820 Å³ vs 931 Å³ and 950 Å³ for Cr^{III 22} and V^{IV 11} respectively). The resulting stronger π - π packing interaction might contribute to a higher stabilization of the Al CP
- ²⁵ structure. Both geometric features suggest that this structure is characterized by a deep potential energy well which prevents its re-opening. This was unambiguously confirmed by performing a computational scan in which the energy at 0 K and free energy at 300 K were calculated as a function of the
- ³⁰ unit cell volume (Fig. S11 & S12). Both energy profiles indeed revealed a deeply bound closed pore with large energy differences between the LP and the NP forms of 25 and 15 kJ.mol⁻¹ at 0 K and 300 K respectively, similar to the value previously reported by DFT calculations. ²³
- ³⁵ In summary, the combination of characterization tools and modelling evidences that compared to its Cr- and V^{IV}analogues, MIL-53(Al) shows the peculiarity to undergo an irreversible pressure induced structural transformation while maintaining almost the same unit cell volume change (~35%).
- ⁴⁰ This suggests that in complement to the potential application of MIL-53(Cr) and MIL-47(V^{IV}) as nano-dampers, the Alsolid is able to irreversibly absorb the mechanical energy and can be potentially envisaged as a shock absorber. Moreover, while its mechanical energy storage performance of ~6.6 J.g⁻¹ is

⁴⁵ lower than those previously pointed out for the -Cr (~14 J.g⁻¹) and -V^{IV} (~33 J.g⁻¹) analogues, it still remains within the same range than those observed for the mesoporous silica solids. ^{2, 3} This is the first experimental study which establishes that tuning the chemical features of the MOF framework allows a ⁵⁰ drastic modulation of its mechanical properties which paves the way towards the design of novel MOFs with targeted mechanical energy storage abilities.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the ANR "MODS" (ANR-12-55 BS10-0005), the FWO, Ghent University (BOF) and ERC grant 240483. Computational resources were provided by Cines and Ghent University. G.M. thanks the Institut Universitaire de France for its support.

Notes and references

- 60 [1] V. Eroshenko; R. C. Regis; M. Soulard; J. Patarin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, **123**, 8129.
- [2] B. Lefevre, A. Saugey, J. L. Barrat, L. Bocquet, E. Charlaix, P. F. Gobin, G. Vigier, J. Chem. Phys., 2004, 120, 4927.
- [3] N. Gokulakrishnan, J. Parmentier, M. Trzpit, L.Vonna, J.L. Paillaud, M. Soulard. J Nanosci Nanotechnol., 2013, 13, 2847.
- [4] J. R. Li, J. Sculley, H. C. Zhou, Chem. Rev., 2011, 112, 869.
- [5] J. Gascon, A. Corma, F. Kapteijn and F. Llabrés i Xamena, ACS Catalysis, 2014, 4, 361.
- [6] P. Horcajada, R. Gref, T. Baati, P. K. Allan, G. Maurin, P. Couvreur, G. Férey, R. E. Morris, C. Serre, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **112**, 1232.
- [7] T. D. Bennett, P. J. Saines, D. A. Keen, J. C. Tan, A. K. Cheetham, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 7049.
- [8] P. Serra-Crespo, E. Stavitski, F. Kapteijn, J. Gascon, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 5051.
- 75 [9] K. W. Chapman, G. J. Halder, P. J. Chupas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 10524.
- [10]I. Beurroies, M. Boulhout, P.L. Llewellyn, B. Kuchta, G. Férey, C. Serre and R. Denoyel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7526.
- [11] P.G. Yot, Q. Ma, J. Haines, Q. Yang, A. Ghoufi, T. Devic, C. Serre,
 V. Dmitriev, G. Férey, C. Zhong and G. Maurin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, 3, 1100.
- [12] A. Ghoufi, A. Subercaze, Q. Ma, P.G. Yot, Y. Ke, I. Puente Orench, T. Devic, V. Guillerm, C. Zhong, C. Serre, G. Férey, G; Maurin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, 116, 13289.
- 85 [13]Q. Ma, Q. Yang, A. Ghoufi, M. Lei, G. Férey, C. Zhong, G. Maurin, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 99691.
- [14]F. Millange, N. Guillou, R.I. Walton, J.M. Greneche, I. Margiolaki, G. Férey, *Chem. Comm.*, 2008, **39**, 4732.
- [15]Y. Liu, J.-H. Her, A. Dailly, A.J. Ramirez-Cuesta, D.A. Neumann, C.M. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 11813.
- [16]S. Devautour-Vinot, G. Maurin, F. Henn, C. Serre, T. Devic, G. Férey, Chem. Comm., 2009, 39, 2733.
- [17] T. Loiseau, C. Serre, C. Huguenard, G. Fink, F. Taulelle, M. Henry, T. Bataille, G. Férey, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, **10**, 1373.
- 95 [18] P. Serra-Crespo, A. Dikhtiarenko, E. Stavitski, J. Juan-Alcañiz, F. Kapteijn, F.-X. Coudert, J. Gascon, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/C4CE00436A,
 - [19] A.V. Neimark, F.X. Coudert, C. Triguero, A. Boutin, A. Fuchs, A. Beurroies and R. Denoyel, *Langmuir*, 2011, 27, 4734.
- 100 [20]Q. Ma, Q. Yang, A. Ghoufi, G. Férey, C. Zhong and G. Maurin., *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, 41, 3915.
 - [21]L. Vanduyfhuys, T. Verstraelen, M. Vandichel, M. Waroquier and V. Van Speybroeck, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2012, 8, 3217.
- [22] F. Salles, A. Ghoufi, G. Maurin, R. G. Bell, C. Mellot-Draznieks, G.
 Férey, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 8487.
 - [23] A. M. Walker, B. Civalleri, B. Slater, C. Mellot-Draznieks, F. Corà, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, G. Román-Pérez, José M. Soler, J. D. Gale, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 7501.