ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

Communication

Iron or boron-catalyzed C-H arylthiation of substituted phenols at room temperature[†]

Hua Tian,^{a,b} Changjin Zhu,^b Haijun Yang^a and Hua Fu^{*a,b}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

A simple, efficient and environmentally friendly method for iron or boron-catalyzed C-H arylthiation of substituted phenols at room temperature has been developed, and the corresponding diaryl sulfides were prepared in good to excellent yields. The protocol uses readily available 1- (substituted phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione as the arylthiation reagents, inexpensive and 10 environmentally friendly FeCl₃ or BF₃·OEt₂ as the catalyst, no ligand, additive and extrusion of air

were required, and the reactions were performed very well at room temperature.

Aryl sulfides are important building blocks in organic synthesis, materials science and the pharmaceutical industry.¹ For example, they are used in treatment of inflammation,² Alzheimer's and ¹⁵ Parkinson's diseases,³ human immunodeficiency virus,⁴ and cancer.⁵ Transition metal-catalyzed cross-couplings of thiols or disulfides with aryl halides or pseudo halides are the most powerful approaches for the synthesis of aryl sulfides,⁶ and the used transition metal catalysts mainly include palladium,⁷

- ²⁰ copper,⁸ cobalt,⁹ indium,¹⁰ gold,¹¹ rhodium,¹² iron,¹³ and Ni.¹⁴ In addition, the diaryl sulfides were also prepared via the reactions of arylmagnesium halides¹⁵ or arylboronic acid derivatives¹⁶ in the presence of suitable electrophilic arylsulfur reagents and catalysts. Recently, the transition metal-catalyzed C-H
- ²⁵ functionalization has become a subject of intensive studies.¹⁷ Obviously, a C-S bond formation via the direct C-H functionalization is more economical and practical. However, the examples by this approach are very limited thus far,¹⁸ so it is highly desirable to develop an efficient, practical and ³⁰ environmentally friendly method for synthesis of diaryl sulfides
- ³⁰ environmentally friendly method for synthesis of diaryl suffices through C-H functionalization strategy. Herein, we reported a novel, simple, efficient and environmentally friendly iron or boron-catalyzed arylthiation of substituted phenols at room temperature.
- Reaction conditions including catalysts, solvents, temperature and time were first investigated for synthesis of 4-(phenylthio)phenol (**3a**) via reaction of phenol with 1-(phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione, and the results showed that the optimal conditions were as follows: using 10 mol % FeCl₃ or
- ⁴⁰ BF₃·OEt₂ as the catalyst, CH_2Cl_2 (DCM) as the solvent at room temperature without extrusion of air (*see Supporting Information for details*). After getting the optimum reaction conditions, we investigated the scope for iron or boron-catalyzed arylthiation of substituted phenols (1) with 1-(substituted
- ⁴⁵ phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-diones (**2**). As shown in Table 1, the tested substrates provided good to excellent yields, and the reaction site for the arylthiation of substituted phenols depends on

electronic and steric hindrance effects of phenols. For substituted phenols (1), the substrates containing electron-donating groups 50 displayed higher reactivity than those with electron-withdrawing groups, the arylthiation mainly occurred at para-site of hydroxyl in phenols because of ortho steric hindrance effect, and the reaction was performed at ortho-site of hydroxyl when para-site of hydroxyl was occupied by a substituent. For 3.4-55 dichlorophenol, the arylthiation occurred at ortho-site of OH and 3-Cl (see 3h). However, the electrophilic substitution of 2,4dimethylphenol was not at OH and 3-Me because of steric hindrance of methyl (see 3w). For 4-phenoxyphenol, the reaction was carried out at para-site of ether because of low steric 60 hindrance (see 3m). The arylthiation of 2-naphthalenol was performed on ortho a-carbon of OH because of its highest electron density for carbons of 2-naphthalenol (see 3b'). When 1.1 equiv of 1-(phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione reacted with 4methoxyphenol, only bis-substituted product 3n was observed 65 because mono-substituted product displayed more higher reactive activity than 4-methoxyphenol (see 3n), so 2.2 equiv of 4methoxyphenol was used as the arylthiating agent to improve yield of 3n. For 1-(substituted phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-diones (2), the substrates containing electron-withdrawing groups 70 afforded lower yields than those with electron-donating groups. The iron or boron-catalyzed arylthiation of substituted phenols could tolerate various functional groups including C-F bond (see 3x), C-Cl bond (see 3g, 3h, 3v-x), C-Br bond (see 3i, 3y), C-I bond (see 3i), ethers (see 3m, 3n), acetyl (see 3o), aldehyde (see 75 3p), cyan (see 3q), carboxyl (see 3u), and nitro (see 3z-b').

Table 1 Arylthiation of substituted phenols (1) at room temperature^a



This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: substituted phenol (1) (0.3 mmol), 1-(substituted phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (2) (0.33 mmol), dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL), temperature (rt, ~25 °C), reaction time (0.5 - 12 h). ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Using FeCl₃ (0.03 mmol) as the catalyst. ^{*d*} Using BF₃·OEt₂ (0.03 mmol) as the catalyst. ^{*e*} Using 0.66 mmol of 1-(phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione.



Scheme 1 Iron or boron-catalyzed arylthiation of aminophenol, coumarin and flavonoid derivatives.

- Inspired by the excellent results above, we extended the s scope of substrates. As shown in Scheme 1, iron-catalyzed reaction of *N*-(4-hydroxyphenyl)acetamide (4) with 1-(phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (2a) at 60 °C in dichloroethane (DCE) provided the target product (3c') in 54% yield (*Unfortunately, the reaction did not work at room temperature*) 10 (Scheme 1a). Arylthiations of biologically active molecules, coumarin and flavonoid derivatives, were also investigated. Iron-
- equiv of 1-(p-tolylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione gave bis-substituted product **3d'** under the standard conditions (Scheme 1b), and

catalyzed arylthiation of 7-hydroxy-2H-chromen-2-one with 2.2

15 boron-catalyzed arylthiation of 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4H-

chromen-4-one with **2a** afforded **3e'** in 69% yield in MeCN at 60 °C (Scheme 1c).

During optimization of conditions, we found that FeCl₃, BF₃·OEt₂, AlCl₃ and H₂SO₄ exhibited the higher catalytic activity ²⁰ (*see Supporting Information for details*). Therefore, a possible mechanism on the arylthiation of substituted phenols is proposed in Scheme 2 according to the results above and the previous reference.¹⁹ Treatment of **2** with FeCl₃ or BF₃·OEt₂ leads to complex **I**, and cleavage of N-S bond in **I** gives anion complex **II** ²⁵ and cation **III**. Electrophilic reaction of **III** to substituted phenol (1) yields **IV**, and treatment of **IV** with **II** provides the target product (**3**) freeing succinimide and the catalyst.



Scheme 2 Possible mechanism for the iron or boron-catalyzed ³⁰ arylthiation of substituted phenols.

In summary, we have developed a simple, efficient and practical arylthiation of substituted phenols. The protocol uses readily available 1-(substituted phenylthio)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione as the arylthiation reagents, inexpensive and environmentally ³⁵ friendly FeCl₃ or BF₃·OEt₂ as the catalyst, no ligand, additive and extrusion of air were required, and the reactions were performed very well at room temperature with wide tolerance of functional groups. We believe that the present strategy will find wide application in synthesis of diaryl sulfides.

⁴⁰ The authors wish to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21172128, 21372139 and 21221062), and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No. 2012CB722605) for financial support.

Notes and references

55

⁴⁵ ^a Department of Applied Chemistry, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, P. R. China.

 ^b Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistry and Chemical Biology (Ministry of Education), Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China. Fax: (+86) 10-62781695; E-50 mail: fuhua@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full experimental details, characterization and NMR spectra of the target products are provided. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 6 (Eds.: B. M. Trost and I. Fleming), Pergamon Press, New York, 1991; (b) I. P. Beletskaya and V. P. Ananikov, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 1596.
- (a) G. Liu, J. T. Link, Z. Pei, E. B. Reilly, S. Leitza, B. Nguyen, K. C. Marsh, G. F. Okasinski, T. W. von Geldern, M. Ormes, K. Fowler and M. Gallatin, J. Med. Chem., 2000, 43, 4025; (b) G. Liu, J. R. Huth, E. T. Olejniczak, R. Mendoza, P. DeVries, S. Leitza, E. B. Reilly, G. F. Okasinski, S. W. Fesik and T.W. von Geldern, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 1202.

2 | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

- 3 (a) Y. Wang, S. Chackalamannil, Z. Hu, J. W. Clader, W. Greenlee, W. Billard, H. Binch, G. Crosby, V. Ruperto, R. A. Duffy, R. McQuade and J. E. Lachowicz, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, 10, 2247; (b) S. F. Nielsen, E. O. Nielsen, G. M. Olsen, T. Liljefors and D. Peters, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, 43, 2217.
- S. W. Kaldor, V. J. Kalish, J. F. Davies II, B. V. Shetty, J. E. Fritz, K. Appelt, J. A. Burgess, K. M. Campanale, N. Y. Chirgadze, D. K. Clawson, B. A. Dressman, S. D. Hatch, D. A. Khalil, M. B. Kosa, P. P. Lubbehusen, M. A. Muesing, A. K. Patick, S. H. Reich, K. S. Su and J. H. Tatlock, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1997, **40**, 3979.
- 5 G. De Martino, G. La Regina, A. Coluccia, M. C. Edler, M. C. Barbera, A. Brancale, E. Wilcox, E. Hamel, M. Artico and R. Silvestri, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 6120.
- 6 For reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed C-S coupling reaction, see:
 (a) C. C. Eichman and J. P. Stambuli, *Molecules*, 2011, 16, 590; (b) S. V. Ley and A. W. Thomas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 43, 5400; (c) T. Kondo and T.-a. Mitsudo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 100, 3205; (d) H. Liu and X. Jiang, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013, 8, 2546.
- 7 For selected examples, see: (a) T. Migita, T. Shimizu, Y. Asami, J.
- Shiobara, Y. Kato, M. Kosugi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1980, 53, 1385;
 (b) M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez, Q. Shen and J. F. Hartwig, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2006, 12, 7782;
 (c) M. Sayah and M. G. Organ, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 11719;
 (d) V. Guilarte, M. A. Fernández-Rodríguez, P. García-García, E. Hernando and R. Sanz, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 5100.
- 25 8 (a) E. Sperotto, G. P. M. van Klink, J. G. de Vries and G. van Koten, J. Org. Chem., 2008, **73**, 5625; (b) F. Y. Kwong and S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett., 2002, **4**, 3517; (c) C. K. Chen, Y. W. Chen, C. H. Lin, H. P. Lin and C. F. Lee, Chem. Commun., 2010, **46**, 282; (d) C. G. Bates, P. Saejueng, M. Q. Doherty and D. Venkataraman, Org. Lett., 2004,
- 50 6, 5005; (e) C.-K. Chen, Y.-W. Chen, C.-H. Lin, H.-P. Lin and C.-F. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 282; (f) C. Uyeda, Y. Tan, G. C. Fu and J. C. Peters, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 9548.
 - 9 Y.-C. Wong, T. T. Jayanth and C.-H. Cheng, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 5613.
- (a) V. P. Reddy, A. V. Kumar, K. Swapna and K. R. Rao, *Org. Lett.*,
 2009, **11**, 1697; (b) V. P. Reddy, K. Swapna, A. V. Kumar and K. R.
 Rao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 3189.
- 11 M. Jean, J. Renault, P. van de Weghe and N. Asao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 378.
- (a) K. Ajiki, M. Hirano and K. Tanaka, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 4193; (b)
 M. Arisawa, T. Suzuki, T. Ishikawa and M. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12214.
- 13 (a) A. Correa, M. Carril and C. Bolm, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008,
 47, 2880; (b) J.-R. Wu, C.-H. Lin and C.-F. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4450.
- ⁴⁵ 14 (a) S. Jammi, P. Barua, L. Rout, P. Saha and T. Punniyamurthy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 1484; (b) Y. G. Zhang, K. C. Ngeow and J. Y. Ying, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **72**, 3495; (c) O. Baldovino-Pantaleon, S.Hernandez-Ortega and D.Morales-Morales, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 236.
- ⁵⁰ 15 (a) A. H. Stoll, A. Krasovskiy and P. Knochel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 606; (b) M. A. Francisco, A. Kurs, A. R. Katritzky and D. Rasala, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 4821; (c) J. Ham, I. Yang and H. Kang, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 3236; (d) J. -H. Cheng, C. Ramesh, H. -L. Kao, Y. -J. Wang, C. -C. Chan and C. -F. Lee, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 10369.
- 16 (a) P. S. Herradura, K. A. Pendola and R. K. Guy, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 2019; (b) C. Savarin, J. Srogl and L. S. Liebeskind, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 4309; (c) N. Taniguchi, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 1241; (d) J.-H. Cheng, C.-L. Yi, T.-J. Liu and C.-F. Lee, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 8440.
- 17 For selected reviews, see: (a) J. Hassan, M. Sevignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz and M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 1359; (b) J. A. Labinger and J. E. Bercaw, *Nature*, 2002, **417**, 507; (c) H. M. L. Davies and R. E. J. Beckwith, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2861; (d) A. R.
- Dick and M. S. Sanford, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, 62, 2439; (e) Z. Li, D. S.
 Bohle and C.-J. Li, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2006, 103, 8928; (f)
 D. Alberico, M. E. Scott and M. Lautens, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 107, 174;
 (g) I. V. Seregin and V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, 36, 1173;
 (h) B.-J. Li, S.-D. Yang and Z.-J. Shi, *Synlett*, 2008, 949; (i) L.
- 70 Ackermann, R. Vicente and A. R. Kapdi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9792; (*j*) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D.-H. Wang and J.-Q. Yu,

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, **48**, 5094; (k) A. S. Dudnik and V. Gevorgyan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, **49**, 2096; (l) T. W. Lyons and M. S. Sanford, Chem. Rev., 2010, **110**, 1147.

- ⁷⁵ 18 (a) X. Chen, S.-S. Hao, C. E. Goodhue and J.-Q. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 6790; (b) O. Saidi, J. Marafie, A. E. W. Ledger, P. M. Liu, M. F. Mahon, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. K. Whittlesey and C. G. Frost, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19298; (c) Z. Zhao, E. Dimitrijevic and V. M. Dong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 3466; (d)
 ⁸⁰ P. Anbarasan H. Neumann and M. Beller. *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**
 - P. Anbarasan, H. Neumann and M. Beller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 3233; (e) J.-H. Cheng, C.-L. Yi, T.-J. Liu and C.-F. Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 8440; (f) L.-H. Zou, J. Reball, J. Mottweiler and C. Bolm, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 11307; (g) S. Zhang, P. Qian, M. Zhang, M. Hu and J. Cheng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 75, 6732; (h) G.
- ⁸⁵ Capozzi, S. Menichetti and C. Nativi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 3653;
 (*i*) S. Ranjit, R. Lee, D. Heryadi, C. Shen, J. Wu, P. Zhang, K.-W. Huang and X. Liu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 8999; (*j*) J.-H. Cheng, C. Ramesh, H.-L. Kao, Y.-J. Wang, C.-C. Chan and C.-F. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 10369; (*k*) S.-i. Fukuzawa, E. Shimizu, Y. Atsuumi,
 M. Haga and K. Ogata, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 2374; (*l*) P.
 - Saravanan and P. Anbarasan, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, 16, 848.
 G. K. S. Prakash, T. Mathew, D. Hoole, P. M. Esteves, Q. Wang, G. Rasul and G. A. Olah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, 126, 15770.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]