ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ARTICLE TYPE **www.rsc.org/xxxxxx | XXXXXXXX**

All-Round Robustness of the Mn19 Coordination Cluster System: Experimental Validation of a Theoretical Prediction

Ayuk M. Ako,^{**a,b*} Yanhua Lan,^{*a*} Oliver Hampe,^{*b*} Eduard Cremades,^{*c*} Eliseo Ruiz,^{*c*} Christopher E.

⁵ Anson^{*a*} and Annie K. Powell^{**a*,*b*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 2013, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 2013 First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXX 2013 **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

Experimental and theoretical studies indicate that achieving the 10 **maximum possible ground spin state of** $S_T = 83/2$ **for the mixedvalent Mn19 coordination cluster is insensitive to replacement of** its eight μ_3 -N₃ ligands by μ_3 -Cl, μ_3 -Br, μ_3 -OH or μ_3 -OMe, **substantiating that the ferromagnetic interactions are indeed** mediated mainly by the internal $(\mu_4$ -O) ligands. The robustness 15 of the inorganic ${Mn^{III}}_{12}Mn^{II}$ ₇ ${(\mu_4\text{-}O)_8}$ core is clear from the

molecular structure and ESI-MS studies have shown that the structure of the Mn19 aggregate, and also of its Mn18Y analogue, are stable beyond the solid state.

Recent years have witnessed an explosion of interest in ²⁰producing cooperatively coupled molecular-based coordination clusters, CCs, and such CCs can be regarded as possessing inorganic cores of interacting metal ions contained within a shell of ligand species. Of particular interest is the case of CCs which are molecular magnets and an area of particular interest here is

- ²⁵the idea of using ligand shell modification in order to provide systems which might, for example, be grafted onto various substrates. This idea has been recently illustrated with the successful attachment of modified Fe₄ Single-Molecule Magnets onto either metal surfaces or carbon nanotubes.¹ Requirements of
- ³⁰such studies are (a) that the magnetic properties of the cluster are insensitive to such functionalisation and (b) that the system remains stable outside of the solid-state where the magnetic and other physical characterisation studies traditionally take place.

In our previous report on the Mn_{19} aggregate 35 ${\rm [Mn^{III}}_{12}{\rm Mn}^{II}{}_{7}(\mu_4\text{-O})_8(\mu_3{\rm N}_3)_8({\rm HL^{Me}})_{12}({\rm MeCN})_6{\rm]Cl_2}\cdot10{\rm MeOH}$ $MeCN$ (1) (Fig. S1, H_3L^{Me} = 6-bis(hydroxymethyl)-4methylphenol) we postulated that the ferromagnetic interactions within this compound that resulted in the $S = 83/2$ spin ground state were largely mediated by the end-on (μ_3-N_3) ligands, rather

- 40 than the $(\mu_4$ -O)²⁻ ligands.² This followed the received wisdom of the time, 3 but subsequent DFT calculations suggested that in fact the oxido ligands within the inorganic ${Mn^{III}_{12}}Mn^{II}_{7}(\mu_4-O)_8$ core play a decisive and dominant role in mediating these interactions.⁴ This suggestion led us to consider the nature of this
- ⁴⁵coordination cluster further and to the idea that the key to the structural topology, 5 and thus magnetic behaviour, might lie in the invariance of the inorganic ${Mn^{III}_{12}}Mn^{II}_{7}(\mu_4-O)_8$ structure and that the encapsulating ligands do not affect the electronic structure of this core to any significant extent.
- These suggestion are supported by the fact that, for example, we recently reported that replacing the 4-methyl substituent of the phenolic ligand by a methoxy group $(H₃L^{OMe})$ had very little effect on the magnetic properties of the Mn_{19} aggregate.⁶ Although we have shown that in other systems changing

⁵⁵substitutions on the organic encapsulating ligands can have very significant effects on the molecular-based magnetic behaviour of the resulting coordination cluster,⁷ it appears in this case that having a very strongly ferromagnetically coupled inorganic ${Mn^{III}_{12}}Mn^{II}_{7}(\mu_4-O)_8$ core in the Mn₁₉ systems provides a truly

⁶⁰robust unit for further decoration via the encapsulating ligands. This situation is of relevance when considering the possibility of tethering the cluster to a surface. Thus, in order to test the theoretical prediction⁴ that the main mediators of the ferromagnetic coupling in the supertetrahedral subunits of **1** are ⁶⁵the internal inorganic core-based oxido bridges rather than the

external face-capping azide ligands, we set out to synthesise Mn_{19} analogues in which azide salts are deliberately omitted from the synthetic recipe. Furthermore, we were already able to show that the replacement of the face-bridging azides by halides is possible π from our results on an isostructural Mn₁₈Dy (Dy^{III} occupies the central Mn^H position) complex in which six of the eight azides were replaced by chlorides.⁸

Here we describe the synthesis and magnetic characterisation of the coordination clusters $(\mathrm{HL}^{\mathrm{Me}})_{12}(\mu_3 -$ ⁷⁵ Cl)₆(μ ₃OMe)₂(MeOH)₅(MeCN)]Cl₂·2H₂O·5MeOH·5MeCN (**2**) and $[Mn_{19}(O)_8(HL^{Me})_{12}(\mu_3-Br)_7(\mu_3-OH)(MeCN)_6]Br_2.16H_2O$ \cdot 11MeOH (3) in which the eight (μ_3 -N₃) ligands in (1) have been replaced by either six $(\mu_3$ -Cl) and two $(\mu_3$ -OMe) (2) or by seven $(\mu_3$ -Br) and one $(\mu_3$ -OH) (3) (see Figure 1). We show that the ⁸⁰ferromagnetic properties of **1** are unaffected by this change in the encapsulating ligand set in **2** and **3**. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations on **2** give a very similar picture of the magnetic interactions present here to those previously determined for **1**. 4 Furthermore, we show by electrospray mass spectrometry ss (ESI-MS) that the molecular structures of **1** and a related Mn_{18}Y^5 complex are stable in other media.

Compounds 2 and 3 were obtained by reaction of H_3L^{Me} with $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (2) or $MnBr_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (3) in the presence of Et_3N in MeCN/MeOH mixtures and crystallised by slow evaporation of ⁹⁰the mother liquor at room temperature. The synthesis of **2** and **3** was only possible at very dilute reactant concentrations and when Et₃N was employed as base in place of NaOAc·3H₂O, as observed in the synthesis of **1**. 2 Attempted direct substitution of the azides in 1 by reactions in MeOH with excess $(Et₄N)Cl$ or 95 (Et₄N)Br, as source of chloride or bromide, respectively, did not afford the desired compounds.

Single crystal X-ray analysis‡ (Fig. 1) shows that **3** crystallises isotypical to **1** in *R*-3, whereas **2** crystallises in *P*-1 with $Z = 1$. However the difference is not that great since the ¹⁰⁰triclinic crystal structure of **2** is in fact derived rather simply from the primitive rhombohedral lattice of **1** ($a = 16.768$ Å, α = 77.54°) by compression along the threefold axis and loss of the

threefold symmetry. Importantly, the cores of **2** and **3** are closely analogous to that of **1**, consisting of two supertetrahedral ${Mn}^{\text{III}}_{6}$ Mn^{II}₄} units sharing a common Mn^{II} vertex.

5 **Figure 1**. Molecular structures of the $[Mn_{19}(O)_8(HL^{Me})_{12}(\mu_3\text{-}Cl)_6(\mu_3\text{-}Cl)_8(\mu_3\text{-}Cl)_6(\mu_3\text{-}Cl)_6$ cluster in **2** (above) and $[\text{Mn}_{19}(O)_8(\text{HL}^{\text{Me}})_{12}(\mu_3-\text{Br})_7(\mu_3-\text{OH})(\text{MeCN})_6]^{2+}$ cluster in **3** (below). Organic H atoms omitted for clarity. Colour code: Mn^{III} purple; Mn^{II} pale 10 pink; O red; N blue; Cl green; Br orange.

Nonetheless, 2 and 3 differ from 1 in terms of their eight μ_3 face-bridging ligands. In **2**, the two face-bridging ligands on the molecular threefold axis are both $(\mu_3$ -OMe), while the remaining μ ₁₅ six are (μ ₃-Cl). Similarly in **3**, the two ligands on the threefold axis are a disordered combination of one $(\mu_3$ -OH) and one $(\mu_3$ -Br) while the remaining six are all bromides. We have previously observed $(\mu_3$ -Cl) ligands in [Mn₁₈Dy], [Mn₁₈Sr], [Mn₁₈Cd], and [Mn₁₈Lu] compounds and these compounds also feature disorder

20 (Cl/N₃) of the face-bridging ligands.^{8,9} (μ ₃-Br) ligands such as those in **3** have been previously found on decanuclear supertetrahedral $[Mn_{6}^{III}Mn_{4}]$ clusters,¹⁰ which have a structure corresponding to half of the Mn_{19} clusters 1, 2 and 3. Cluster 2 also differs from **1** and **3** in that its six terminal ligands on the $_{25}$ outer Mn^{II} vertices of the supertetrahedron are five MeOH and

one MeCN, instead of six MeCN. The magnetic properties measured on polycrystalline samples

of **2** and **3** show that the thermal evolution of the *χT* products are very similar to that of the original Mn19-aggregate **1** (Fig. 2 left)

- 30 with χT continuously increasing on lowering the temperature² and indicating that ferromagnetic interactions dominate. This is further confirmed by the field dependences of their magnetisation below 10 K, with the magnetisation saturating very fast and the reduced magnetisation curves at different temperatures superpose ³⁵almost perfectly onto a master curve, indicating a lack of
- anisotropy (Fig. 2 right). The reduced magnetization curves of **2** and **3** could be reproduced with Brillouin functions calculated for $S = 83/2$ and $g = 2.02$ and 2.03 (Figs. S3) respectively, which are in very good agreement with those calculated for $S = 83/2$ and $g =$ 2.0 as found for **1**. 2 40

The high degree of similarity between the magnetic properties of **1**, **2** and **3** underlines the insensitivity to the nature of the facebridging ligands, for which the simplest explanation is that these ligands are indeed relatively unimportant in the mediation of the 45 ferromagnetic interactions, as indicated by DFT calculations.⁴ To confirm this, similar calculations were carried out on **2**. The exchange coupling constants *J* were calculated using the Heisenberg Hamiltonian⁴ shown in the ESI (Table S2).

From these studies, the calculated *J* values for **2** are generally found to be in agreement with those found from the previous 55 calculations for $1⁴$ All interactions are calculated to be ferromagnetic with the exception of J_8 (-0.2 cm⁻¹), one of the three interactions involving the central Mn^{II}. The other two of these $(J_6 \text{ and } J_7)$ are also among the weakest of the observed ferromagnetic interactions. This observation, and/or the presence ω of very weak antiferromagnetic intermolecular coupling,² could explain why the maximum value of the χ T at low temperatures is smaller than the expected one for a total spin ground state $S =$ 83/2, but still higher than the expected for the spin inversion of the Mn^{II} cation $(S = 73/2)$ ¹¹ The J_1 - J_5 exchange interactions $65 \text{ (Mn}^{\text{III}}\text{-}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}})$ are again, with the exception of J_4 , the most ferromagnetic, and J_5 , which involves interactions through both μ_4 -O and μ_3 -OMe bridging ligands, is the strongest.

The similarities in the *J* values (particularly J_1 - J_5) obtained for **2** and **1** confirm that the nature of the face-bridging ligand ⁷⁰does not affect the character of those exchange interactions. This results from the fact that these μ_3 -ligands in each case coordinate on the significantly elongated Jahn-Teller axes of the Mn^{III} centres, such that they are unlikely to contribute significantly to the Mn^{III}-Mn^{III} interactions. The $(\mu_4$ -O) ligands, by contrast, all π occupy equatorial sites on the Mn^{III} centres, and so constitute the dominant pathway for these interactions. By contrast, the replacement of μ_4 -OH ligands by μ_4 -N₃ bridges in a series of otherwise isostructural $M^H₉$ (M = Co, Ni, Fe) clusters has been reported to result in changes in their magnetic properties.¹⁰ In this ⁸⁰case, however, the face-bridging ligands are more strongly bound than those in **1**, **2** and **3**.

Having established the magnetic robustness of **1** towards changes in the face-bridging ligands, our second goal was to investigate whether the molecule itself was robust in other ⁸⁵media. The solid state (crystal structure and magnetism) characterisation of such cluster complexes gives no guarantee whether such species remain intact in other phases. For example, establishing stability in solution is imperative if studies of the clusters in biological media or on surfaces are to ⁹⁰be meaningful. Furthermore, since studies of mixed-valence Mn^{III}/Mn^{II} clusters on metal surfaces often show the formation of reduced cluster species,¹³ it is also important to know that the original valence state can be maintained in other phases.

Our studies using electrospray-ionization mass 95 spectrometry $(ESI-MS)^{13,14}$ to investigate the solution and fragmentation behaviour of the Mn₁₉ aggregate 1 in MeOH and that of the related complex $[Mn_{18}Y(O)_8(\mu_3-\eta_1-\eta_1)]$ N_3 ₈(HL^{Me})₁₂(MeCN)₆](NO₃)₃·11MeOH⁷ (4) in 50:50 H₂O-MeOH, which are relatively reactive solvents for such 100 clusters, show that the clusters remain intact under these

conditions. The ESI-MS of compounds **1** (Fig. 3) and **4** (Fig. S6) each exhibit a single very narrow set of signals. For **1**, a dication centred at *m/z* 1750.3 is observed, corresponding to $[Mn_{19}O_8(N_3)_8(HL^{Me})_{12}]^{2^+}$, with weaker peaks centred at m/z 1770.8 , assigned to $[Mn_{19}O_8(N_3)_8(HL^{Me})]_{12}(MeCN)]^{2^+}$. For 4 a trication centred at *m/z* 1156.3 can be assigned to $[Mn_{18}YO_8(N_3)_8(HL^{Me})_{12}]^{3+}$. Remarkably, although they have lost their terminal ligands, both compounds fly without significant fragmentation. In particular, no signals 10 corresponding to the cleaving of the clusters at the central metal could be observed. Furthermore, the overall charges on the clusters are maintained: for **4**, peaks corresponding to diand tetractions were visible in the spectra, but with intensities well below 1% of the trication signals. Thus the structural

15 integrity and the oxidation states of these two clusters are maintained both in solution and in the gas-phase.

Fig. 3. ESI mass spectra of **1** showing a group of peaks from a dication dication centred at *m/z* 1750.3 and 1770.8.

20

In conclusion, we have synthesised and structurally characterised two new Mn_{19} aggregates, in which the μ_3 -N₃ facebridging ligands in the original Mn_{19} aggregate 1 have been replaced with either Cl and OMe, or Br and OH ligands. 25 Magnetic studies show that the $S = 83/2$ spin structure is stable to such changes. This had been theoretically predicted and has now been experimentally corroborated. This study confirms that the main mediators of the ferromagnetic coupling in the

supertetrahedral subunits within the Mn_{19} system are the oxido ³⁰bridges rather than the face-bridging ligands. In other words, in this case the inorganic ${Mn^{III}_{12}}Mn^{II}_{7}(\mu_4-O)_8$ core of this coordination cluster system provides a remarkably stable unit in terms of its electronic structure and, apparently, in terms of the overall stability of the molecular system in various media such as ³⁵in solution and in the gas-phase.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DFG (CFN) and *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación* (CTQ2011-23862-C02-01), *Generalitat de*

⁴⁰*Catalunya* (2009SGR-1459). The authors thankfully acknowledge the computer resources, technical expertise and assistance provided by the Barcelona Supercomputer Center. We acknowledge the Synchrotron Light Source ANKA for provision of instruments at the SCD beamline and thank Dr. Gernot Buth 45 for experimental assistance.

Notes and references

- a Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Engesserstr. 15, D-76131, Karlsruhe, Germany. Email:
- *annie.powell@kit.edu*; Fax:+49-721-608-48142; Tel:+49-721-608-42135.
⁵⁰ Institut für Nanotechnologie, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen Germany.

c Departament de Química Inorgànica and Institut de Recerca de Química Teòrica i Computacional, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

- ⁵⁵† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [CIF for **2** and **3**, magnetic data, ESI-MS of **4**, further experimental and computational details]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- ‡ **2**: C132H188Cl8Mn19N6O58, 4114.34 g mol[−]¹ , triclinic, P-1, *a* = 14.1015(10), $b = 16.5323(12)$, $c = 18.1689(15)$ Å, $\alpha = 93.821(6)$, $\beta =$
- 60 99.472(6), γ = 95.429(6)°, $Z = 1$, $V = 4144.6(5)$ Å³, T = 180(2) K, $ρ_{calc}$ = 1.648 g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 2097$, $μ(Mo-Kα) = 1.603$ mm⁻¹; 45111 data, 16961 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0722$), 1030 parameters, final $wR_2 = 0.1467$, $S = 0.989$ (all data), R_1 (10434 data with I > 2 $\sigma(I)$) = 0.0583. **3**: C₁₃₁H₂₁₅Br₉Mn₁₉N₆O₇₂, 4789.22 g mol⁻¹, rhombohedral, R-3, $a = 21.217(3)$, $c = 35.704(5)$ Å, $Z =$
- 65 3, $V = 13919(4)$ Å³, T = 150(2) K, $ρ_{\text{calc}} = 1.718$ g cm⁻³, $F(000) = 7257$, $λ =$ 0.8000 Å, μ = 4.368 mm⁻¹; 27023 data, 6425 unique (R_{int} = 0.0508), 331 parameters, final $wR_2 = 0.2040$, $S = 1.099$ (all data), R_1 (4639 data with I $> 2\sigma(I) = 0.0644$. CCDC 954052-954053.
- ⁷⁰1. a) M. Mannini, F. Pineider, P. Sainctavit, C. Danieli, E. Otero, C. Sciancalepore, A.M. Talarico., M.-A. Arrio, A. Cornia, D. Gatteschi and R. Sessoli, *Nature Materials*, 2009, **8**, 194; b) L Bogani, C. Danieli, E. Biavardi, N. Bendiab, A.-L. Barra, E. Dalcanale, W. Wernsdorfer and A. Cornia, *Angew. Chem*. 2009, **121**, 760.
- ⁷⁵2. A. M. Ako, I. J. Hewitt, V. Mereacre, R. Clérac, W. Wernsdorfer, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *Angew. Chem.*. *Int. Ed*., 2006, **45**, 4926. 3. *e.g.* (*a*) G. S. Papaefstathiou, A. Escuer, C. P. Raptopoulou, A. Terzis, S. P. Perlepes and R. Vicente, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem*. 2001, 1567; (*b*) G. S. Papaefstathiou, A. Escuer, R. Vicente, M. Font-Bardia, X. Solans and S.
- ⁸⁰P. Perlepes, *Chem. Commun*. 2001, 2414; (*c*) G. S. Papaefstathiou, S. P. Perlepes, A. Escuer, R. Vicente, M. Font-Bardia and X. Solans, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, **40**, 942; (*d*) C. Dendrinou-Samara, M. Alexiou, C. M. Zaleski, J. W. Kampf, M. L. Kirk, D. P. Kessissoglou and V. L. Pecoraro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*. 2003, **42**, 3763.
- ⁸⁵4. E. Ruiz, T. Cauchy, J. Cano, R. Costa, J. Tercero and S. Alvarez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., 2008, **130**, 7420.

5. G. E. Kostakis, A. M. Ako and A. K. Powell, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010,**39**, 2238.

- 6. A. M. Ako, Mohammad S. Alam, S. Mameri,Y. Lan, M. Hibert, M. ⁹⁰Stocker, P. Müller, C. E. Anson and Annie K. Powell, *Eur. J. Inorg.*
- *Chem*, 2012, 4131. 7. V. Mereacre, A. Baniodeh, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15335.
- 8. A. M. Ako, V. Mereacre, R. Clerac, I. J. Hewitt, W. Wernsdorfer, C. ⁹⁵E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *Chem. Commun*., 2009, 544.
- 9. A. M. Ako, B. Burger, Y. Lan, V. Mereacre, R. Clérac, G. Buth, S. Gómez-Coca, E. Ruiz, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *Inorg. Chem*. in press.

10. (a) M. Manoli, R. D. L. Johnstone, S. Parsons, M. Murrie, M. ¹⁰⁰Affronte, M. Evangelisti and E. K. Brechin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*. 2007,

- **46**, 4456; (*b*) M. Manoli, A. Collins, S. Parsons, A. Candini, M. Evangelisti and E. K. Brechin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 11129. 11. C.-H. Ge, Z.-H. Ni, C.-M. Liu, A.-L. Cui, D.-Z. Zhang and H.-Z. Kou,
- *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2008, **11**, 675. ¹⁰⁵12. A. K. Boudalis, B. Donnadieu, V. Nastopoulos, J. M. Clemente-Juan,
- A. Mari, Y. Sanakis, J.-P. Tuchagues and S. P. Perlepes, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed*., 2004, **43**, 2266. 13. (a) M. Mannini, P. Sainctavit, R. Sessoli, C. Cartier dit Moulin, F.
- Pineider, M.-A. Arrio, A. Cornia and D. Gatteschi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2008,
- ¹¹⁰*14*, 7530; (b) J. Dreiser, C. Piamonteze, J. Heidler, F. Nolting, A. M. Ako, Y. Lan, S. Rusponi, H. Brune, S. Mameri, M. Hibert, G. Buth, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, submitted to *Chem. Eur. J.* 14. (a) C. Zimmermann, C. E. Anson, A. L. Eckermann, M. Wunder, G.
- Fischer, I. Keilhauer, E. Herrling, B. Pilawa, O. Hampe, F. Weigend and ¹¹⁵S. Dehnen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 4595; (b) N. V. Izarova, N.Vankova,
- A. Banerjee, G. B. Jameson, T. Heine, F. Schinle, O. Hampe and U. Kortz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7807