Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/methods

2		
3		
4	1	Determination of newly synthesized lipoic acid-fasudil dimer in rat
5		
6	2	plasma by LC-MS/MS and its application to pharmacokinetics study
7	2	prushiu og Eo Workis und its upprouton to pruthiuokinenes study
8	3	
9	4	Waner Hou <sup>1</sup> , Guanghui Liu <sup>1</sup> , Xiuman Sun <sup>1</sup> , Zhivong Xie <sup>*2</sup> , Rongbiao Pi <sup>2</sup> , Wei He <sup>1</sup> , Manna Lin <sup>1</sup> ,
10	5	and Qionofeng Liao*1
11	6	<sup>1</sup> School of Chinaga Motoria Madica, Guangzhou University of Chinaga Madicina, Guangzhou
12	0	School of Chinese Materia Medica, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou,
13	1	510006, China
14	8	<sup>2</sup> School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510006, China
16	0	
17	9	
18	10	*Corresponding author details:
19	11	<sup>1</sup> Name: Qiongfeng Liao
20	11	
21	12	E-mail: liaoqt20/5@yanoo.com
22	13	Tel: +86-20-39358081
23	14	Fax: +86 20 39358081
24	15	Address: School of Chinese Materia Medica, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, 232
25	16	East Waihuan Road, University Town: Guangzhou 510006, P. R. China.
20	17	
28	10	<sup>2</sup> Norman Thissens Wie
29	18	Name: Zniyong Xie
30	19	E-mail: xiezy2074@yahoo.com
31	20	Tel: +86-20-39943047
32	21	Fax: +86 20 3994 3047
33	22	Address: School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, 132 East Waihuan Road,
34	23	University Town: Guangzhou 510006 P.R. China
35	23	Oniversity Town, Outingzhou 910000, 1. K. China.
36	24	
37		
38	25	
39	23	
40		
42	26	
43		
44	27	
45		
46	28	
47	29	
48	30	
49	31	
50	32	
52	22	
53	<i></i>	
54	34	
55	35	
56	36	
57		
58	37	
59		1
60		

## 6

# 38 Abstract

39	The aim of the present work was to develop and validate an LC-MS/MS method
40	with electrospray ionization (ESI) for the quantification of lipoic acid-fasudil dimer
41	(L-F 001), a novel multi-functional neuroprotective drug in rat plasma using
42	tetrahydropalmatine as internal standard. The separation was carried out on a $C_{18}$
43	column using a mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile and water (containing 5
44	mmol/l ammonium acetate adjusted to pH 5.0 with formic acid). The detection was
45	performed by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode via ESI source operating in
46	the positive ionization mode. Linear calibration curve was obtained over the
47	concentration range of 1.0-1000.0 ng/ml for lipoic acid-fasudil dimer (L-F 001).
48	Accuracy, precision and extraction recovery of the analyte was satisfactory. The
49	validated method was successfully applied to monitor pharmacokinetic profile of
50	lipoic acid-fasudil dimer (L-F 001) after oral administration to rats.

52 Keywords: lipoic acid-fasudil dimer (L-F 001); LC-MS/MS; pharmacokinetic study
53

54 1. Introduction

In recent studies, an increasing amount of efforts have been targeted to synthesize a series of dimmers, which connected another functional molecules to the drug. Experts also found that dimeric drugs have better pharmacological activities with minimized side effects or toxicity. For example, previous studies had found that tacrin dimmers such as tacrine (n, n = 2, 3, 6)-ferulic acid (TnFA), bis (7) -tacrine (B7T) and bis (12) -hupyridone (B12H) are much more potent and selective on acetylcholinesterase

#### **Analytical Methods**

61	(AChE) than tacrine <sup>1-4</sup> . Other studies had found that the complexation of hardly
62	soluble drug fisetin with cyclosophoraose (Cys) dimer could improve the solubility of
63	fisetin (its solubility was increased up to 6.5-fold) and increase its bioavailability <sup>5</sup> .
64	Lipoic acid-niacin dimer (N2L) was also reported to have reduced the side effects of
65	niacin and increased its bioavailability such as significantly reducing mouse serum
66	FFA concentration and protecting effects against ARPE-19 cell damage <sup>6</sup> .

Fasudil, the only clinically available Rho-associated coiled-coil protein kinase (ROCK) inhibitor, has provided new insights into the treatment for central nervous system (CNS) disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease and cerebral stroke<sup>7</sup>. But its selectivity for ROCK is limited<sup>7</sup>. At the same time, fasudil has a short half-life<sup>8</sup> and low brain penetration ability<sup>9</sup>. Therefore, several fasudil analogs were synthesized<sup>7</sup>. <sup>10-11</sup>. Lipoic acid, a natural antioxidant absorbed from the daily diet, has defensed in brain and other tissues. The effect of lipoic acid could be attributed to its ameliorating effect on the antioxidant defense systems<sup>12-13</sup>. In order to exert more powerful effects with minimized adverse effects in the combat of CNS disorders and realize antioxidative activity, as well as improve the bioavailability of fasudil, Dr R. B. Pi's team (School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University) synthesized a novel compound: lipoic acid-fasudil dimer. (Figure 1).

Plenty of work proceeded to test whether the newly synthesized dimer is suitable for the clinic treatment, such as ROCK selectivity, blood-brain barrier permeation, vasorelaxant effects and so on. Dr R. B. Pi's team found that L-F 001 displayed milder activity against ROCK 1 (IC<sub>50</sub> 1.59 $\mu$ M) and ROCK 2 (IC<sub>50</sub> 2.10 $\mu$ M). At the

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript** 

same time, L-F 001 had 5-fold higher brain permeation over Fasudil according to in vitro and in vivo blood-brain barrier permeability tests<sup>14</sup>. So far, pharmacokinetic studies of the newly synthesized dimer still far from enough. Pharmacokinetic studies were aimed at obtaining pharmacokinetic information necessary for new drug development and for ensuring the appropriate use of medicine. Data obtained from such studies were useful for the design and conduct of subsequent studies. So it is necessary to study the pharmacokinetics of L-F 001 and develop a reliable blood drug concentration monitoring method. In this study, a high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method was developed, validated and successfully applied to monitor the blood concentration of L-F 001 after an oral administration at 30 mg/kg to rats. It is the first method to detect the novel compound in rat plasma. Furthermore, the study provides some useful information about the subsequent preclinical and clinical studies on the novel compound. 

# **2. Experimental and methods**

#### 98 2.1 Materials and reagents

Lipoic acid-fasudil dimer (L-F 001, chromatographic purity was 98.0%, purity assessed by mass balance assay was 96.3%) was synthesized in Dr R. B. Pi's laboratory in the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou China). Tetrahydropalmatine (No.110726-200610, purity 98.0%) was purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). HPLC-grade ammonium acetate was purchased

105	from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). HPLC-grade acetonitrile and methanol purchased
106	from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) were used for HPLC analysis and plasma sample
107	preparation. All other reagents were of analytical grade.
108	2.2 Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis
109	2.2.1 Equipment
110	The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Agilent 1260 liquid chromatograph and a
111	6460 triple quadrupole mass spectrometry with an electrospray ionization (ESI)
112	source. Data acquisition was performed with MassHunter B 05 software.
113	2.2.2 Chromatographic Conditions
114	Chromatographic separation was achieved at $30^{\circ}$ C on a BDS Hypersil C <sub>18</sub> column
115	$(2.1 \times 50 \text{ mm i.d.}, 2.4 \mu\text{m}, \text{Thermo Scientific})$ with a Phenomenex C <sub>18</sub> guard column
116	$(4 \times 2.0 \text{ mm i.d.})$ by gradient solution with 0-1.1min, 90% mobile phase
117	A;1.1–1.2min, $90\% \rightarrow 15\%$ mobile phase A; 1.2-3.3min, 15\% mobile phase
118	A;3.3-3.4min, 15%→90% mobile phase A, flowing at 0.25 ml/min. Eluent A was
119	water containing 5 mmol/l ammonium acetate adjusted to pH 5.0 with formic acid,
120	and B was acetonitrile. The injection volume was 5 $\mu l.$ The initial 2.2 min was
121	switched to the waste. The column was fully eluted after a daily analysis cycle
122	(around 100 samples).
123	2.2.3 Mass Spectrometric Conditions
104	

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) to detect the mass transitions. High purity nitrogen served as both nebulizing and drying gas. Compound-dependent parameters of the mass spectrometer

3
4
5
6
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
1/
14
10
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
22
20 04
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
27
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
30
40
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
18
40
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
51
20
59

60

1 2

127	were set as follows: drying gas flow 5 L/min; drying gas temperature 300 °C;
128	nebulizer pressure 45 psi; capillary voltage 3500V. The precursor-to-product ion pair,
129	the collision energy (CE) and the fragmentor for L-F 001 and tetrahydropalmatine
130	were as follows: m/z 480.1 $\rightarrow$ 189.0 for L-F 001, (collision energy 25 eV, fragmentor
131	195 V ) and m/z 356.4 $\rightarrow$ 192.1 for IS, (collision energy 26 eV, fragmentor 160 V). The
132	ion transitions m/z 480.1 $\rightarrow$ 189.0 and m/z 356.4 $\rightarrow$ 192.1 were selected for determining
133	L-F 001 and IS, respectively. Another ion transition m/z $480.1 \rightarrow 161.0$ (collision
134	energy 29 eV, fragmentor 195 V ) for L-F 001 and m/z 356.4 $\rightarrow$ 176.1 (collision
135	energy 58 eV, fragmentor 160 V) for IS was used as qualitative channel to confirm the
136	identity of L-F 001 and IS.

#### 137 2.3 Preparation of standard solution and quality control samples

Concentrated stock solution of L-F 001 was prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of the sample in acetonitrile at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. And then further diluted with acetonitrile to form a series of working solutions used to prepare the calibration curve. A 0.5  $\mu$ g/ml stock solution of tetrahydropalmatine (IS) was also prepared in acetonitrile and then diluted to obtain a working solution of 12.5 ng/ml. All the solutions were stored at -20 °C.

The calibration standards were prepared by spiking blank plasma (the pooled plasma came from four non-administration rats of the same batch number with the rats used for pharmacokinetic study) with appropriate amounts of working solutions (2% of the total plasma sample volume), vortex mixing for 30 s. Then they were extracted as described in the 'Preparation of plasma sample' section below. At last the

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$ 

149	calibration samples were made at concentrations of 1.0, 2.5, 8.0, 20.0, 50.0, 150.0,
150	400.0 and 1000.0 ng/ml for L-F 001. The quality control samples (QC) used in the
151	validation and during the pharmacokinetic study had been prepared in the same way
152	as the calibration standards with a separated stock solution: L-F 001 (2.5, 50.0, and
153	900.0 ng/ml).
154	2.4 Preparation of plasma samples
155	To a 30 $\mu l$ of the plasma sample, a 60 $\mu l$ of IS solution was added. Then the
156	mixture was vortexed for 2 min and centrifugation at 13, 000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C.
157	Subsequently, transfer the supernatant liquor to centrifugation at 13, 000 rpm for 5
158	min at 4 $^{o}\text{C}$ again. Finally, 5 $\mu l$ of the supernatant liquor was injected into the
159	LC-MS/MS for analysis.
160	2.5 Validation of the method
160 161	2.5 Validation of the method The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug
160 161 162	<ul><li>2.5 Validation of the method</li><li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li><li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li></ul>
160 161 162 163	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164 165	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164 165 166	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> <li>quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration.</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> <li>quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration.</li> <li>Analytes area in blank plasma should not exceed 20% of that from samples at LLOQ.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>160</li> <li>161</li> <li>162</li> <li>163</li> <li>164</li> <li>165</li> <li>166</li> <li>167</li> <li>168</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> <li>quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration.</li> <li>Analytes area in blank plasma should not exceed 20% of that from samples at LLOQ.</li> <li>2.5.2 Linearity and sensitivity</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169	2.5 Validation of the method The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance <sup>15-17</sup> . 2.5.1 Selectivity The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration. Analytes area in blank plasma should not exceed 20% of that from samples at LLOQ. 2.5.2 Linearity and sensitivity The calibration curve consisted of eight concentration levels. Each of these samples
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> <li>quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration.</li> <li>Analytes area in blank plasma should not exceed 20% of that from samples at LLOQ.</li> <li>2.5.2 Linearity and sensitivity</li> <li>The calibration curve consisted of eight concentration levels. Each of these samples</li> <li>was prepared and assayed in triplicate on 3 separate days. The linear regression of the</li> </ul>
160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171	<ul> <li>2.5 Validation of the method</li> <li>The method was validated according to the currently accepted USA Food and Drug</li> <li>Administration (FDA) bioanalytical method validation guidance<sup>15-17</sup>.</li> <li>2.5.1 Selectivity</li> <li>The selectivity of the method was tested by comparing the chromatograms of six</li> <li>individual rat blank samples, samples at the concentrations of lower limit of</li> <li>quantification (LLOQ) and the rat plasma samples collected after administration.</li> <li>Analytes area in blank plasma should not exceed 20% of that from samples at LLOQ.</li> <li>2.5.2 Linearity and sensitivity</li> <li>The calibration curve consisted of eight concentration levels. Each of these samples</li> <li>was prepared and assayed in triplicate on 3 separate days. The linear regression of the</li> <li>ratio of the areas of L-F 001 and the IS peaks versus the concentration were weighted</li> </ul>

with weighing factor  $1/x^2$  (where x = concentration). The concentrations of the analyte were determined by interpolation from the calibration curve. The LLOQ of the assay, defined as the lowest concentration in the calibration curve that can be measured with accuracy (relative error: RE) and precision (relative standard deviation: RSD) less than 20%.

*2.5.3 Precision and accuracy* 

Three validation batches, each containing six validation samples of three concentration levels (low, middle and high QC level) were analyzed to assess the precision and accuracy of this method on three consecutive days. Concentrations were calculated with calibration curves obtained daily. The inter- and intra-day precision is expressed as the relative standard deviation (RSD). Accuracy is defined as the relative error (RE) and is calculated using the formula RE% = [(measured value - theoretical value)] / theoretical value×100%.

#### 185 2.5.4 Extraction recovery and matrix effect

The extraction recovery of L-F 001 from blank samples were determined by comparing the areas of QC samples at low, medium and high levels (n = 6) with those of spike-after-extraction samples (representing 100% recovery).

The matrix effect on the ionization of L-F 001 was evaluated by comparing the peak areas of L-F 001 resolved in the blank sample (the final solution of blank plasma after extraction and reconstitution) with that resolved in the mobile phase. Three different concentration levels of L-F 001 and 12.5 ng/ml of the IS were evaluated by analyzing the six samples at each level. The blank plasma used in this study were six

#### **Analytical Methods**

different batches of blank rat plasmas. If the ratio is < 85% or >115%, an exogenous
matrix effect is implied.

*2.5.5 Carryover* 

197 Carryover was tested by injecting the processed blank matrix samples sequentially 198 after injecting an ULOQ sample. The response in the first blank matrix at the 199 retention times of analyte and IS should be less than 20% of the response of a LLOQ 200 sample.

*2.5.6 Stability* 

The purpose of the stability study was to evaluate the optimal storage condition of samples. The stability of L-F 001 in rat plasma was investigated by analyzing stability samples at three concentrations (the same levels as QC samples) (n = 6) that were exposed to different conditions. And they were prepared by spiking blank plasma with appropriate amounts of working solutions (2% of the total plasma sample volume) to obtain the concentrations. The short-term stability was determined during storage for 4 hour at room temperature, the concentrations of L-F 001 in plasma deviated less than  $\pm 10\%$  from those in freshly spiked plasma. The post-treatment stability was obtained by extracted samples maintained in autosampler at 4 °C for 24 h. The freeze-thaw stability was evaluated after three freeze (-20 °C) - thaw (room temperature) cycles on 3 consecutive days. The long-term stability was assessed after the QC samples had been stored at -20 °C for 30 days.

214 2.6 Application

215 2.6.1 Application to pharmacokinetic study

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript** 

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript** 

21
21
21
21
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
22
23
23
23
23
23
23
23
23

6	Six male Sprague-Dawley rats $(200 \pm 20 \text{ g})$ were supplied by the Center of
17	Experimental Animals, Sun Yat-sen University (Guangzhou, China, Certificate No.
8	SCXK 20110029). The rats were housed in standard cages at room temperature with
9	free access to food and water until 12 h prior to experiments. The pharmacokinetic
20	study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-sen University.
21	Rats were administrated an oral dose of 30 mg/kg of L-F 001 solution (L-F 001
22	solution was prepared in Cremophor EL/Ethanol (10/90, v/v) at 3 mg/mL), and the
23	oral dose based on the previous pharmacology experiment by Dr R. B. Pi's team.
24	Blood samples of approximately 0.1 ml were collected in heparinized centrifuge tubes
25	at 0, 5, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 360, 480 and 720 min after a single oral
26	administration. Then the samples were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min. The
27	separated plasma samples were frozen in polypropylene tubes at -20 °C until analysis.
28	2.6.2 Data analysis
29	Non compartmental pharmacokinetic analysis of concentration time data was
30	performed using Winnonlin 5.0.1 software. The pharmacokinetic parameters, such as
81	maximum plasma concentration $(C_{-})$ and time of maximum concentration $(T_{-})$

performed using Winnonlin 5.0.1 software. The pharmacokinetic parameters, such as maximum plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) and time of maximum concentration ( $T_{max}$ ), were obtained directly from the plasma concentration-time plots. The elimination rate constants (k) were determined by linear regression analysis of the logarithmic transformation of the last three or four data points of the curve. The elimination half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) was calculated using the following equation:  $t_{1/2} = 0.693$ /k. The area under the plasma concentration-time curve up to the last time (t) (AUC<sub>0-t</sub>) was determined using the trapezoidal rule. An unpaired Student's t test was used for

238	comparisons with SPSS 13.0.
-----	-----------------------------

- **3. Results and discussion**
- 240 3.1 LC-MS/MS optimization

In the positive ion full scan mode, the protonated molecular ion  $[M + H]^+$  for L-F 001 and tetrahydropalmatine were the most abundant ions. Then the operation parameters, including fragmentor and collision energy, were optimized by Masshunter Optimizer software. The MS/MS product ion spectra of L-F 001 and IS are shown in Figure 2. Under the product ion scan mode, the most intensive product ions observed were m/z 480.1 $\rightarrow$ 189.0 for L-F 001 and m/z 356.4 $\rightarrow$ 192.1 for IS. So they were selected for determination of L-F 001 and tetrahydropalmatine. For confirming the identity of L-F 001 and IS, another ion transition m/z 480.1 $\rightarrow$ 161.0 for L-F 001 and m/z 356.4 $\rightarrow$ 176.1 for IS were used as qualitative channel.

In optimizing the chromatographic conditions, the pH of the mobile phase and the concentration of ammonium acetate were explored. Mobile phase of pH 5.0 with formic acid was found to be the best as mobile phase to obtain a good peak shape. So the pH 5.0 mobile phase was adopted. It was found that the concentration of ammonium acetate (5, 10, 15 mmol/l) did not significantly affect the sensitivity and retention time of the analyte. So the concentration of 5 mmol/L adjusted to pH 5.0 with formic acid was selected as the mobile phase in the end.

*3.2 Selection of internal standard* 

It is necessary to use an internal standard (IS) to get high accuracy when LC is equipped with MS as the detector. Tetrahydropalmatine was selected because its Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

Page 12 of 24

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
0	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
12	
-⊤∠ /2	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
E0	
59	

1 2

260 appropriate retention action, high ionization efficiency, less endogenous interference

and it has no significant influence on the determination of L-F 001.

262 *3.3 Method validation* 

263 *3.3.1 Selectivity* 

Figure 3 demonstrated typical chromatograms of the blank plasma sample (A), the blank plasma sample spiked with L-F 001 at LLOQ with IS (B), and the test plasma sample obtained after administration of L-F 001 to the rats (C). No significant interference by endogenous entities was observed under the current chromatographic and MS condition. The running time of each injection was only 6 min. The retention time of L-F 001 and IS was 4.14 min, and 4.13 min, respectively.

# 270 *3.3.2 Linearity and sensitivity*

The calibration curve acquired for L-F 001 exhibited a good linear response within the range of concentrations from 1.0 to 1000.0 ng/ml (R2 of calibration curves in all inter-run cases were > 0.99). The LLOQ of L-F 001 was 1.0 ng/ml, and the concentration measured was  $1.06\pm0.06$ . The RSD of precision was within 5.70% while the RE of accuracy was within 5.85%.

Table 1 summarized the intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy of L-F 001 at three concentration levels (low, medium and high). The intra- and inter-day precision was less than 9.90% for each QC level. The accuracy, determined from QC samples, was within 5.72-9.52% for each QC level. These results were within the acceptance criteria, and indicated that the method was accurate, reliable, and reproducible.

<sup>276</sup> *3.3.3 Precision and accuracy* 

#### **Analytical Methods**

_	
2	
<u>م</u>	
S	
Л	
4	
5	
2	
6	
_	
1	
~	
8	
^	
9	
1	Λ
1	U
1	1
'	
1	2
1	-
1	3
1	4
4	E
I	S
1	6
	U
1	7
1	
1	8
Å	~
1	Э
0	^
2	U
2	1
2	1
2	2
~	<u>~</u>
2	3
7	-
2	4
<u>م</u>	<b>F</b>
2	C
ი	6
2	U
2	7
~	'
2	8
-	<u> </u>
2	9
Ξ	č
З	0
~	
3	1
S	S
J	2
Q	3
J	5
3	4
2	<u> </u>
3	5
Ā	~
3	6
<u> </u>	-
3	1
2	Q
S	υ
З	q
J	5
4	0
1	
4	1
٨	$\mathbf{a}$
4	2
Δ	3
+	0
4	4
÷	÷
4	5
٨	c
4	ю
л	7
4	1
⊿	8
-7	5
4	9
÷	<u>^</u>
5	υ
F	1
Э	1
ᄃ	2
J	~
5	3
2	
5	4
Ē	-
5	5
F	6
Э	o
5	7
J	1
5	8
2	ž
5	9
ñ	~
5	11

282 *3.3.4 Extraction recovery and matrix effect* 

The extraction recovery and matrix effect of L-F 001 and IS are shown in Table 2. 283 284 It demonstrated that at three concentration levels of L-F 001, all the extraction 285 recoveries were between 95.14% and 99.48% (RSD%: 4.26-7.49); an endogenous 286 matrix effect is implied if the ratio is less than 85% or greater than 115%. In the 287 current article, the mean absolute matrix effect values obtained for L-F 001 were 288 within 98.20 and 99.79% (RSD: 2.80-8.10), demonstrating that there was no 289 significant matrix effect. And the extraction recovery and matrix effect of IS were 290 98.40±8.55 and 98.07±3.15, respectively.

291 *3.3.5 Carryover* 

Carryover was tested by injecting blank plasma sample sequentially after injecting
an ULOQ sample. The response in the blank matrix at the retention times of L-F 001
was 4.3 % of the LLOQ. At the same time, we did not find the carry-over of IS. So the
carryover was within the acceptable range.

296 *3.3.6 Stability* 

In our study, stability samples (at three levels) subject to short-term storage, post-treatment storage, three freeze-thaw cycles, and long-term storage were examined for their stabilities, and the results were summarized in Table 3. As can be seen from Table 3, there were no significant reduction (RE% between 0.54% and 11.56%) in the assay concentrations at any QC level following the above conditions. This demonstrated that the newly synthesized compound had a good stability under the four conditions in this method and there were no stability related problems during

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

304 the routine analysis of samples for the pharmacokinetic study.

## *3.4 Application of analytical method in pharmacokinetic studies*

The LC-MS/MS method was successfully applied to the pharmacokinetic study of L-F 001 following oral administration at a dose of 30 mg/kg. The blood concentration-time profile of L-F 001 is shown in Figure 4 and the determined pharmacokinetic parameters are summarized in Table 4. From the results, the mean maximum concentration of L-F 001 found in plasma after oral administration was reached at 0.67±0.38 h, and the C<sub>max</sub> was 651.11±380.40 ng/ml,  $t_{1/2}$  was 1.29 ± 0.79 h. The pharmacokinetic parameters revealed an AUC<sub> $\theta \to t$ </sub> of 805.47±286.08 ng/ml. This is the first report of pharmacokinetic studies of L-F 001 following an oral administration in rats. For L-F 001 is a new compound which has potential use for the treatment of CNS disorders, data obtained from such studies were useful for the design and conduct of subsequent studies. 

### **4. Conclusion**

A sensitive and selective LC-MS/MS method for the determination of L-F 001 in rat plasma using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode via electrospray ionization (ESI) source operating in the positive ionization mode was developed and validated for the first time. The developed method had accepted sensitivity (LLOQ:1.0 ng/ml), selectivity, precision, accuracy in a running time of 6 min. Immediate sample preparation of the one-step protein precipitation showed great simplicity and efficiency and ensured better chemical stability when analysing a large number of plasma samples. And in our study, this method was successfully applied to 

2		
4	326	the pharmacokinetic study of L-F 001 in rats after an oral administration to rats.
6 7	327	
8 9 10	328	Notes:
11 12	329	The authors declare no competing financial interest.
13 14 15	330	
16 17	331	References
18	332	
19	333	1. L. Zhang, H. Yu, W. Li, M. Cheung, Y. Pang, Z. Gu, K. Chan, Y. Wang, Z. Zuo, Y. Han. International
20	334	Journal of Pharmaceutics 2008 357 85-94
21	335	2 H Yu W Li K K Kan I M Ho P R Carlier Y Pang 7 Gu 7 Zhong K Chan Y Wang Y Han
23	336	Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2008, <b>46</b> ,75-81.
24	337	3. H. Zhang, H. Mak, W. Cui, W. Li, R. Han, S. Hu, M. Ye, R. Pi, Y. Han, <i>Neurochemistry International</i> .
25	338	2011. <b>59</b> . 981-988.
20 27	339	4 R Pi X Mao X Chao Z Cheng M Liu X Duan M Ye X Chen Z Mei P Liu W Li Y Han
28	340	Public library of science one 2012, 7, 1-8.
29	341	5 D. Jeong J. M. Choi, Y. Choi, K. Jeong, F. Cho, S. Jung, <i>Carbobydrate Polymers</i> 2013 <b>97</b>
30	342	196-202
31	343	6 R Pi Y Jiang X Cao P Liu Y Huang M Yao and X He Preparation process and medicinal
33	344	composite of nicotinic acid and its derivatives. CN patentCN102532114A, 2012
34	345	7 M Chen A Liu Y Ouvang Y Huang X Chao R Pi Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2013 <b>22</b> 537-50
35	346	8. H. Chen, Y. Lin, M. Han, et al. <i>Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis</i> . 2010. <b>52</b> , 242-8
37	347	9. T. Ishida, Y. Takanashi, H. Kiwada, <i>Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin</i> , 2006, <b>29</b> , 397-402
38	348	10. D. Lavogina , K. Kalind , J. Bredihhina , M. Hurt , A. Vaasa , M. Kasari , E. Enkvist , G. Raidaru , A.
39	349	Uri Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letter 2012 <b>22</b> 3425-30
40	350	11 P Ray J Wright J Adam S Boucharens D Black A R Brown O Epemolu D Eletcher M
41	351	Huggett P. Jones S. Laats A. Lyons J. D. Man, R. Morphy B. Sherborne J. Sherry N. V.
43	352	Straten P Westwood M York Biographic & Medicinal Chemistry Letter 2011 <b>21</b> 1084-8
44	353	12 T.B. Moraes, F. Zanin, A.D. Rosa, A.D. Oliveira, I. Coelho, F. Petrillo, M. Wainer, C. S. Dutra-Filho
45	354	Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2010 292 80-05
46 47	255	12 K. A. Konlon, E. Odobosodiu, Z. Holioi, M. Holioi, E. Cadiroi, E. Atalay, O. Aydin, A. Cakir, Jauraal of
48	356	Food Science 2012 77 H224-30
49	350	14 M Chap O Lin A Lin M Tap 7 Via A Llei 7 Chap C Huapa V Sup H Co D Lin M Li V
50	358	Li S. Wen R. Di RSC Adv. 2014. 4: 37266-37260
วา 52	250	15 V. D. Shah, K. K. Midha, S. Digha, J. J. MaCilyaray, J. D. Skally, A. Vasaki, T. Layleff, C. T.
53	260 260	is. v. r. Shah, K. K. Miluna, S. Digne, I. J. McGilveray, J. P. Skelly, A. Yacobi, I. Layloff, C. I.
54	361	viswanalinan, C. E. Cook, R. D. Wichowall, R. A. Fillinan, S. Speciol, Journal of Filarmaceutical
55	367	Sucruces, 1892, 01, 308-312. 16 V Shah K Midha I A Findlay H Hill I Hulse I McCilveray C McKay K Miller D Detecils M
50 57	363	Powell & Topelli C T Viewanathan & Vacobi <i>Dharmaceutical Descarab</i> 2000 <b>17</b> 1551 1557
58	202	Fower, A. Toneni, C. T. Viswanautan, A. Tacobi, Fildiniaceulucai Research, 2000, 11, 1551-1557.
59		15
60		15

Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry: Bioanalytical Method Validation, US
 Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research,
 Rockville, MD, 2001.

## 368 List of Tables:

Table 1. Intra-day and inter-day accuracy and precision of L-F 001 in rat plasma at low,

371	medium	and high	concentration	levels.
011			•••••••••••••	

	Intra	day (n = 6)		Interd	lay ( $n = 18$ )	
Spiked	Concentration	Accuracy	Precision	Concentration	Accuracy	Precision
concentration	measured	(%)	(%)	measured	(%)	(%)
(ng/mL)	(Mean $\pm$ SD,			(Mean ±SD,		
	ng/ml)			ng/ml)		
2.5	$2.68 \pm 0.22$	7.10	9.10	2.72±0.25	8.70	9.90
50.0	54.76±3.52	9.52	1.66	54.71±3.46	9.41	6.70
900.0	951.45±27.77	5.72	3.44	954.64±31.18	6.07	1.35

372 SD: Standard deviation.

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$ 

102 103	medium. h	igh concentration	n levels ( $n = 6$ ).	1 01 L-1 001	ini lat plasina at	low,
•		Spiked	Extraction recovery		matrix effect	
	Analytes	concentration	(%,mean±SD)	RSD(%)	(%,mean±SD)	RSD
		(ng/mL )				
-		2.5	95.14±7.49	7.87	99.79±8.09	8.1
	L-F 001	50.0	99.48±6.56	6.59	99.36±3.15	3.1
		900.0	99.06±4.26	4.31	98.20±2.74	2.8
	IS	12.5	98.40±8.55	8.69	98.07±3.15	3.2
-	SD: Standa	ard deviation; RS	SD: Relative standard	deviation.		
5						
7						
8						
)						
0						
l						
3						
)						
7						
3						
1						
<u>^</u>						
5 1						
+						
5						
, 7						
, 2						
)						

4	3	5
4	3	6

## 439 Table 3. Stability of L-F 001 in rat plasma (n = 6)

Conditions	L-F 001			
Conditions	mean $\pm$ SD (ng/ml)	RSD (%)	RE%	
short term stability (at room tem	perature for 4 h)			
2.5	$2.59\pm0.15$	2.72	3.13	
50.0	$52.98 \pm 2.63$	4.96	5.96	
900.0	$938.68\pm10.16$	1.08	4.30	
long term stability (at -20°C for	30 days)			
2.5	2.61 ±0.13	5.18	4.29	
50.0	$51.08 \pm 1.06$	2.06	2.16	
900.0	$904.89 \pm 3.54$	0.39	0.54	
three freeze-thaw cycles				
2.5	$2.79\pm0.25$	8.99	11.50	
50.0	$52.37 \pm 1.91$	3.64	4.74	
900.0	$932.73 \pm 32.64$	3.50	3.64	
post-preparative stability(at -4 °C	C for 24 h)			
2.5	$2.61 \pm 0.23$	8.98	4.22	
50.0	$52.15\pm0.75$	1.43	4.30	
900.0	943.16± 14.43	1.53	4.80	

5 = 6).		
	Pharmacokinetic	Experimental group (n=6)
	parameters	mean $\pm$ SD
	t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	1.29±0.79
	$Ke(h^{-1})$	0.71±0.36
	C <sub>max</sub> (ng/m)	651.11±380.40
	$T_{max}(h)$	0.67±0.38
	$AUC_{(0-t)} (ng/ml \cdot h)$	793.63±287.07
	$AUC_{(0-\infty)} (ng/ml \cdot h)$	805.47±286.08
SD: S	tandard deviation.	

481	
482	
483	
484	Legends to Figures:
485	Figure 1. Chemical structures of lipoic acid-fasudil dimer (L-F 001. I):
486	tetrahydropalmatine (IS, II)
487	
488	Figure 2. Product ion mass spectra of L-F 001 and IS: (a) L-F 001; (b)
489	tetrahydropalmatine (IS)
490	
491	Figure 3. Chromatograms of the two compounds in plasma: (A) blank plasma, (B)
492	blank plasma spiked with L-F 001 at LLOQ, (C) plasma obtained 30 min
493	after a single oral administration of L-F 001 ( $I = L-F 001$ , $II = IS$ ).
494	
495	Figure 4. Mean plasma concentration-time profiles for L-F 001 in rats after a single oral
496	dose of 30 mg/kg.
497	
498	





123x57mm (300 x 300 DPI)



