# Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/methods



# **Abstract**



- 
- 
- 

- 
- 





**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript**

60 conjugated polymers (CPs)  $^{21-25}$  as optical transducers provided a useful platform for constructing 61 high sensitive chemo-sensors and bio-sensors, owing to good water solubility, light-harvesting, 62 electrical, and strong fluorescence properties. Meanwhile, CPs have the characteristics of 63 amplification and superquenching properties  $26-28$ , thanks to delocalized electronic structures, the 64 rapid transfer of excitation throughout an isolated conjugated polymer chains is supported. As a 65 result, CPs offered many sensing advantages  $30-34$  for fluorescence sensors compared with quantum 66  $\frac{35,36}{6}$  and small molecule dyes <sup>37</sup>.

67 Based on the above facts, we used a novel water-soluble conjugated polymer denoted as poly



#### **Page 5 of 20 Analytical Methods**

90 CCs in PMTH • HCl, fluorescence recovered. The fluorescence spectra were recorded with 91 emission at 515 nm, excitation at 410 nm and slit width at 10 cm.

#### *2.4 Preparation of samples*

93 For sample analysis, 1.0 g green tea or 5.0 g sun cream was immersed in anhydrous ethanol 94 (4:1 v/v) containing 0.10 mol/L HCl for 3 h. The mixture was filtrated and evaporated under 95 vacuum. The residue was dissolved in double distilled water. Human serum was mixed with 96 anhydrous ethanol (1:4 v/v), and then the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 12 97 h. The supernatant was diluted 5 times after centrifugation.

#### **3. Results and discussions**

## 3*.1. Fluorescence intensity changes of PMTH*·*HCl caused by Cu2+*

100 Fluorescence spectroscopy could be used to explain the interaction between PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl and  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  such as quenching mechanism. The fluorescence reduction efficiency increased quickly in 102 the first few minutes of reaction and the quenching system could maintain at least 6 h. On addition 103 of  $Cu^{2+}$ , the fluorescence intensity of PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl decreased, which indicated the strong 104 interaction of PMTH • HCl and Cu<sup>2+</sup>. When the Cu<sup>2+</sup> concentration was less than  $1.6\times10^{-7}$  mol/L, 105 the fluorescence intensity was linear with the  $Cu^{2+}$  concentration (Fig. 1 (a)). Meanwhile, It could 106 be deduced that the molar ratio between PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl and Cu<sup>2+</sup> was 1:1, approximately.

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript**

107 The quenching mechanisms are usually divided into static quenching and dynamic quenching, 108 where static quenching results from the formation of non-luminous complex or intermolecular 109 complex between fluorophor and quenching agent and dynamic quenching is due to the collision. 110 In general, the values of quenching rate constant  $(K<sub>a</sub>)$  were greater than the limiting diffusion rate 111 constant of the biopolymer  $(2 \times 10^{10} \text{ L/mol} \cdot \text{s})$ , which indicated that the quenching mechanism of

#### **Analytical Methods Page 6 of 20**

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript**

112 followed a dynamic quenching. In order to testify that it is dynamic quenching, Stern-Volmer 113 equation was used: 114  $I_0/I = I + K_{SV}[Cu^{2+}] = I + K_a \tau_0 [Cu^{2+}]$  (1) 115 Where  $I_0$  and I are the fluorescence intensities in the absence and presence of quencher, 116 respectively.  $K_{SV}$  and  $K_q$  are the Stern-Volmer dynamic quenching constant and the quenching rate 117 constant.  $\tau_0$ , the lifetime of PMTH • HCl, is 10<sup>-8</sup> s, approximately. According to the linear 118 regression plots of  $I_0/I$  vs.  $[Cu^{2+}]$  (Fig. 1 (b)), the value of Kq is 8.98×10<sup>14</sup> L/mol·s. Thus, the 119 suitable quenching follows a static quenching. (Fig. 1) 121 In order to evaluate the binding affinity or the binding constant (K), the following equation 122 was used: 123 *lg*  $[(I_0-I)/I] = lgK + nlg[Cu^2]$  (2) 124 The linear regression plots of lg  $[(I_0-I)/I]$  vs. lg $[Cu^{2+}]$  which were analyzed at three different 125 temperatures (293K, 298K and 303K) yields lgK as the intercept and n (the number of bonding 126 sites) as the slope (Fig. 2). The calculated results were shown in Table 1. The large binding 127 constant indicated that the binding affinity is strong and  $Cu^{2+}$  was strong ion chelator to form 128 stable complex with PMTH · HCl. Meanwhile, the value of n and the molar ratio between 129 PMTH • HCl and  $Cu^{2+}$  testified the original description that the quenching mode was static. 130 (Fig. 2) 131 (Table 1) 132 There are four binding forces between macromolecule and small molecule : H-bonding, 133 Vander Waals, hydrophobic strength, and electrostatic. Vant't Hoff equation was used to study the

134 binding force between PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl and Cu<sup>2+</sup>:

135 
$$
ln (K_2/K_1) = (\triangle H/R) \cdot (1/T_1 - 1/T_2)
$$
 (3)

$$
\Delta S = (\Delta G - \Delta H)/T \tag{4}
$$

137 
$$
\Delta G = -RT \ln K = \Delta H - T \Delta S \tag{5}
$$



**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript**

156 determined by a potentiometric titration technique  $41-44$ . The stability constants of CCs-Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 157 Cu<sup>2+</sup>-PMTH • HCl were 10<sup>10.18</sup> and 10<sup>7.50</sup>, respectively. Therefore, CCs were stronger chelators 158 than PMTH • HCl to form more stable complexes with  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$ , resulting in the fluorescence signal of 159 PMTH • HCl turn on. 160 When the molar ratio between CCs and  $Cu^{2+}$  was 1:1, the fluorescence recovered efficiency 161 was maximum. The recovered fluorescence was stable. The fluorescence recovered linearly by the 162 CCs concentration within the range of  $1.0\times10^{-8}$  to  $9.0\times10^{-6}$  mol/L (n = 5). The equation of the 163 calibration curve was *ΔI* =79.615 + 11.496 *c* / (μmol/L), r = 0.9968, ΔI = I<sub>0</sub> - I, where I<sub>0</sub> and I were 164 the fluorescence intensity before and after the addition of CCs, respectively. Based on S/N = 3, a 165 limit of detection  $(3.34 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol/L})$  was obtained. *3.3 Optimization of experimental condition*  167 Due to hydroxyl existing in structure of CCs, pH has a great influence on sensitivity of the 168 fluorescence "off-on" switch system. When pH was less than 4, the fluorescence of PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl 169 could not be quenched by  $Cu^{2+}$  or stable complexes could not be formed between  $Cu^{2+}$  and 170 PMTH • HCl. When pH was more than 9, phenolic hydroxyl could react with base. Meanwhile, Cu<sup>2+</sup> can catalyze the oxidation of CCs. The fluorescence intensity achieved maximum in the 172 range of 7.2 to 8.6. The optimum pH 7.8 can be used in all subsequent experiments. 173 In order to achieve optimal fluorescence efficiency, the incubation time and temperature play 174 important roles in designing the fluorescence "off-on" switch system. The fluorescence intensity 175 achieved maximum value after incubating for 30 min at room temperature. Although the

176 incubation time was shortened after rising temperature, the stable time of the system was 177 shortened and the operation became complicated. Therefore, 30 min and room temperature were

# **Page 9 of 20 Analytical Methods**



# **Analytical Methods Page 10 of 20**



# **Page 11 of 20 Analytical Methods**





# **Page 13 of 20 Analytical Methods**



# **Analytical Methods Page 14 of 20**









Fig. 2



Fig. 3





Scheme 3

## **Tables**

**Table 1** The quenching constant of the interaction between  $\lbrack Cu^{2+} \rbrack$  and PMTH  $\cdot$  HCl at different

temperatures.						
T/(K)	K/(L/mol)	n				
293	$3.162\times10^{7}$	1.048	0.9988			
298	$2.505 \times 10^{7}$	1.063	0.9983			
303	$1.950 \times 10^{7}$	1.078	0.9986			

Table 2 Thermodynamic parameters of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> - PMTH • HCl system.



interferents	C / (mol/L)	Interference level $/(%$ )				
urea	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.83				
L-histidine	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.45				
L-serine	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.90				
$Al^{3+}$	$8.0 \times 10^{-4}$	3.78				
glucose	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	2.87				
sucrose	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.63				
L-proline	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.83				
$\text{Fe}^{3+}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.79				
$Mn^{2+}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	4.35				
DL-alanine	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	2.94				
L-tryptophan	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	4.12				
L-arginine	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	1.79				
L-cysteine	$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$	2.57				

**Table 3** Effects of interferents

**Table 4** Determination of CCs in real samples  $(n = 9)$ 

Sample	Amount found	Added	Recovered	
	$(\text{umol/L} \pm \text{RSD\%})$	(umol/L	$(\mu \text{mol/L} \pm \text{RSD\%})$	Recover $(\% )$
Green tea extract 1	$2.92 \pm 1.56$	2.0	$5.02 + 1.06$	105.0
		4.0	$6.85 \pm 1.98$	98.25
Green tea extract 2	$1.82 + 1.22$	2.0	$3.75 + 1.12$	96.5
		4.0	$5.93 \pm 2.09$	102.75
Human serum	$0.28 + 2.26$	2.0	$2.23 \pm 3.02$	97.5
		4.0	$4.41 + 1.62$	103.25

**Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript**



#### **Table 5** Comparison of analytical parameters for the determination of CCs