Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/methods

Graphical Abstract

A third-generation H_2O_2 biosensor was developed by using tetraethoxy-silicone sol-gel film for the horseradish peroxidase on a multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified glass carbon electrode. The sol-gel film provided a favorable biocompatible microenvironment for HRP and the special structure of MWNTs promoted the direct electron transfer between the HRP and electrode.



Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

A third-generation hydrogen peroxide biosensor based on
 horseradish peroxidase immobilized by sol-gel thin film on
 muti-wall carbon nanotubes modified electrode
 Shu-Xia Xu^{*ab}, Jia-Ling Li^a, Zhi-Ling Zhou^a, Cheng-Xiao Zhang^b

7 ABSTRACT

A third-generation H_2O_2 biosensor was developed by using tetraethoxy-silicone (TEOS) sol-gel film for the immobilization of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) on a multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) modified glass carbon electrode (GCE). MWNTs have good promotion effects on the direct electron transfer offering between HRP and electrode surface. The sol-gel film provided a favorable biocompatible microenvironment for HRP. The performance and factors influencing the performance of the resulting biosensor were studied in detail. The developed biosensor was applied for fabrication of a sensitive and selective measurement of H_2O_2 biosensor with the low operation potential (-300 mV versus Ag/AgCl). The amperometric response was proportional to H₂O₂ concentration in the range of 70 μ mol L⁻¹ - 3 mmol L⁻¹ and the detection limit was 14 μ mol L⁻¹ at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. The biosensor exhibited good sensor-to-sensor reproducibility (similar to 5%) and long-term stability (95% of its original activity retained after 60 days) when stored in 0.10 mol L^{-1} Phosphate buffer solution at pH 7.0 and 4 °C.

^{*a}College of Material and Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China. E-mail: xushux2013@163.com; Fax: +86-28-84079012; Tel.: +86 28 84078957

^bSchool of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, China

21	Intro	duc	tion

The rapid and accurate determination of H_2O_2 is very important, as H_2O_2 is the product of the reactions catalyzed by a great deal of oxidases, and it is employed in various fields such as food, clinical, industrial and environmental areas.¹ Among in many analytical techniques that were developed for determination of H₂O₂, amperometric biosensor based on enzyme/protein horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is quite unique, because it combines the specificity of enzyme/protein with the sensitivity and simplicity of electroanalytical techniques.² Much attention has been paid to the third generation amperometric biosensor on the basis of the direct electron transfer between electrode and immobilized peroxidase/protein.³⁻⁵ The third generation biosensor can detect H₂O₂ at relatively low applied potentials, and features the advantages of operation simplicity, no mediator, easy to fabrication and high sensitivity. However, it is usually difficult to achieve the direct electron transfer between the enzyme and bare electrode because large electrochemical prosthetic groups is deeply embedded into the structure of the enzyme. Besides, the enzyme absorbed on the bare electrode surface is apt to be irreversibly denatured.⁶ Therefore, to improve the performance and long-term stability of the enzyme electrode, it is essential to find suitable material to modify bare electrode and develop effective method to immobilize enzymes on the electrode surface.

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

40 Recently, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are promising as modifying substrates for the
41 construction of sensors and biosensors owing to their significant mechanical strength,
42 high surface area, excellent electrical conductivity and good chemical stability.⁷⁻⁹ Owing

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
21	
20	
29	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

1

43	to small sizes of CNTs are same as protein/enzyme, CNTs allow good communication
44	with redox centers which buried deep within protein shells. It has been proved that CNTs
45	could shorten the electron transfer distance between the active center of the enzyme and
46	the electrode, thus promoting electron transfer reaction. Due to improved conductive
47	property, the direct electron transfer of horseradish peroxidase (HRP), ^{10,11} glucose oxidase
48	(GOD), ¹² tyrosinase, ¹³ glucose dehydrogenase, ¹⁴ alcohol oxidase, ¹⁵ fructose
49	dehydrogenase, ¹⁶ bilirubin oxidase, ¹⁷ microperoxidase, ¹⁸ oxalate oxidase, ¹⁹ cellobiose
50	dehydrogenase, ²⁰ superoxide dismutase, hemoglobin (Hb), ²¹ myoglobin (Mb), ²² and
51	cytochrome c^{23} have achieved on CNTs modified electrode.

52 Above-mentioned proteins/enzymes were immobilized on the CNTs modified electrode surface by various immobilization protocols include adsorption, physical 53 54 entrapment, cross-linking and covalent bonding. While some of these procedures were 55 tedious, resulted in poor stability, or required environmentally unfriendly solvents such as glutaraldehyde. Glutaraldehyde contains complicated chemical species of documented 56 cytotoxic nature and damages the bioactivity of protein/enzyme.²⁴ Although adsorption is 57 the simplest way and involves minimal preparation. The adsorbed enzymes were easy to 58 fall off from CNTs especially in a flow system. Thus a desired immobilization scheme is 59 60 necessary to provide a simple means for attaching the protein/enzyme, so that it retains its affinity and stability over prolonged periods.²⁵ 61

62 Sol-gel has been emerged as a promising biosensor material since Zusman²⁶ and 63 Broun²⁷ firstly reported on the attempt of protein encapsulation within silica glasses in 64 1990. The sol-gel process can be carried out at low temperature, and it features

65	chemically inert, porous structure, high-thermal stability, wide potential window,
66	negligible swelling in aqueous solution and the capability of forming films. ^{28,29} . There
67	have a few reports about combining the unique properties of the CNTs with the
68	advantages of sol-gel technology to obtain the direct electron transfer of HRP. For
69	examples, Dong et al. propose a H_2O_2 sensor based on HRP and CNTs simultaneously
70	embedded in methyltrimethoxysilane sol. ³⁰ Lin et al. integrated sol-gel, carbon nanotubes
71	and HRP within one layer for the immobilization of HRP;31 Di et al. developed a
72	HRP-sol-gel/CNTs/GCE by spread the mixed suspension containing HRP silica sol and
73	polyvinyl alcohol solution on CNTs/GCE. ³² These works showed that HRP maintained
74	good enzymatic and electrochemical activities when immobilized on MWNTs modified
75	electrode by sol-gel.
76	In this paper, we described a new, simple and stable method to immobilize HRP on
77	the MWNTs-modified GCE by sol-gel method and developed a third-generation $\mathrm{H_2O_2}$
78	biosensor. The sensor architecture was designed by immobilizing CNTs, HRP and
79	tetraethoxy-silicone on electrode surface layer by layer respectively. Compared with
80	co-immobilizing of silica sol-gel and HRP, it might reduce the leakage of enzymes
81	from electrode and thus improved the stability of the biosensors. The performance and

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

Experimental

86 Reagents

factors influencing the performance of the resulted biosensor have been studied in detail.

88	HRP (250 U mg ⁻¹) was purchased from Sino-American Biotechnology Company (Luoyang,
89	China). MWNTs were purchased from Shenzhen Nanotech Port Co. Ltd (Shenzhen, China).
90	Phosphate buffer solutions (0.10 mol L ⁻¹) with various pH values were prepared by
91	mixing stock standard solutions of K_2HPO_4 and KH_2PO_4 and adjusting the pH with HCl
92	or NaOH. All double-distilled water was used in all experiments. H_2O_2 solutions were
93	prepared daily from 35% H_2O_2 solution. All other chemicals were of analytical grade and
94	used without further purifications.
95	
96	Apparatus
97	
98	All electrochemical experiments were performed with a Bioanalytical Systems
99	BAS-100B/W electrochemical analyzer (BAS Co, U.S.A) in conjunction with a standard
100	three electrode voltammetric system consisted of a chemically modified glassy carbon
101	(GC) electrode as working electrode (3 mm in diameter), a platinum wire counter
102	electrode and a Ag/AgCl (3 mol L ⁻¹ NaCl solution) reference electrode. All potentials were
103	reported with respect to the reference electrode. All measurements were carried out in
104	isothermal reactor (10 mL, single electrolyte compartment) at constant temperature (30 \pm
105	0.2 °C) with 0.10 mol L^{-1} Phosphate buffer solution as background electrolyte. All
106	experimental solutions were deaerated by nitrogen gas for at least 25 min, and a nitrogen
107	atmosphere was kept over the solutions in the cell to protect the solution from oxygen
108	during the measurements.

1		
2		
3	109	
4 5		
6	110	Durantian of elling coloring
7	110	rreparation of sinca sol solution
8		
9	111	
10		
11	112	To prepare of silica sol 2 mL tetraethoxy-silicone (TEOS) 1.0 mL water and 0.2 mL
12		
13	112	
14	113	0.01 mol L ⁻ hydrochloric acid were mixed, and then the solution was sonicated for 30
15		
16	114	min until a clear and homogeneous solution resulted. The resulted sol was subsequently
17		
10	115	stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C before the fabrication of the enzyme electrode
20	110	
20	116	
22	116	
23		
24	117	Preparation of MWNTs modified GCE
25		
26	118	
27	110	
28	110	The CCE was policied with 0.2 m aluming slummy and then ultresonic in other of and
29	119	The GCE was pointined with 0.5 μ m alumina sturry and then ultrasonic in ethanol and
30		
31	120	double distilled water for several minutes. MWNTs were pretreated as described
32		
34	121	previously. ³³ The MWNTs were immobilized by casting 25 µL of treated MWNTs
35		r i i i j i i j i i j i i i j i i i i i
36	100	colution onto the CCE and then according the N. N. dimethalformamide column in air to
37	122	solution onto the GCE and then evaporating the N, N-unneurynormannue solvent in an to
38		
39	123	form MWNTs modified electrode (MWNTs/GCE).
40		
41	124	
42		
43	125	Preparation of UDD/sol col/MWNTs modified CCE
44 45	123	reparation of fire / soi-gen/wi witers mounted GCE
46		
47	126	
48		
49	127	The HRP/MWNTs/GCE was obtained by casting 5 μ L of the HRP solutions (20 mg mL ⁻¹)
50		
51	128	on MWNTs/GCE for 1 h. Then the HRP/MWNTs/GCE was dinned in the silica sol for 2
52	120	on many reasonable for the filler and filler with the was dipped in the sined sof for 2
53		
54 55	129	h. The resulted HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs modified GCE was rinsed with double distilled water
55 56		
57	130	and stored in Phosphate buffer solution with pH 7.0 at 4 °C when not in use.
58		
59		6

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

3
Δ
- -
S
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
47
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
20
28
29
30
31
00
32
33
34
35
00
36
37
38
30
39
40
41
42
12
40
44
45
46
17
41
48
49
50
50
10
52
53
54
22
56
57
58
50
EC.
59

151

1 2

131	
132	Result and discussion
133	
134	Study of direct electrochemistry of HRP by cyclic voltammetry
135	
136	The electrochemical behavior of the HRP imbedded in the sol-gel film was studied using
137	cyclic voltammetry (CV). Fig. 1 shows the CVs of the HRP electrode in 0.10 mol L^{-1}
138	Phosphate buffer solution (pH = 7.0) at different scan rates. With increasing the scan rate
139	from 20 to 200 mV s ⁻¹ , redox peak currents increased, and the separation of the anodic and
140	cathodic peak potential also increased. Both the cathodic and anodic peak currents were
141	linearly proportional to the scan rates when the scan rate was lower than 200 mV s ⁻¹ . Thus
142	the electrode reaction is typical of surface-controlled quasi-reversible process. When the
143	scan rate was higher than 200 mV s ⁻¹ , the wave shape was distorted severely, indicating that
144	the electrode reaction became electrochemical irreversibly at higher scan rate. The formal
145	potential (E ⁰) of the Fe ^{III} /Fe ^{II} redox couple, which was calculated according to average of
146	anodic and cathodic peak potentials, is -290 mV versus Ag/AgCl. This potential is close
147	to the -220 mV of native HRP in solution, suggesting that most HRP molecules kept their
148	native structure after being immobilized in sol-gel film.
149	After successive scanning, no noticeable change in CVs of the HRP/sol-gel/
150	MWNTs/GCE was observed. This also suggested that the silicon sol film on the surface

152 enzyme was stably embedded in the silica sol-gel network, so HRP could be effectively

of MWNTs provided a favorable and suitable microenvironment for the HRP. The

Analytical Methods

153 immobilized on the surface of the MWNTs/GCE.

According to the Laviron's equation, the average surface coverage of HRP immobilized on MWNTs-GCE was calculated to be 2.82×10^{-10} mol cm⁻², indicating that HRP maybe has high saturated coverage on MWNTs surface.

158 Eelectrocatalytic reduction of H₂O₂

The CVs behavior of the proposed HRP electrode in 0.10 mol L^{-1} Phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.0 were investigated at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Upon addition of 0.10 mmol L^{-1} H₂O₂ to electrolyte, an obvious electrocatalytic characteristics appeared with the fast increasement of reduction peak current and the great decreasement of oxidation peak current (Fig. 2 c and d). These phenomena indicated that HRP embedded in sol-gel film had good catalytic activity toward H₂O₂. As expected, only a weak current response to H₂O₂ could be observed at the MWNTs/GCE without HRP (Fig.2 b). It demonstrated that catalytically active HRP is an essential component of the biosensor. Furthermore, the proposed HRP electrode hardly show the direct electron communication between HRP and GCE in the absence of MWNTs(Fig.2 a). From these results, we can confirm that the catalytic current was mainly due to the direct electron transfer from the HRP molecules to the MWNTs/GCE. The high surface area and the excellent electric conductivity of MWNTs made the electron transfer from the bulk electrode surface to the redox center of HRP easier.

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

175 Influence of pH and applied potential on biosensor response

The dependence of the biosensor response on pH of the measurement solution was explored between 5.8 and 8.5 in 0.10 mmol L⁻¹ Phosphate buffer solution. As shown in Fig. 3, the current response increased from pH 5.8 to 7.0 and reached the maximum at pH 7.0. A further increase of buffer pH from 7.0 to 8.5 led to decrease in the response. This may be due to the influence of pH on protein metamorphosis. At low and high pH the bioactivity of HRP will decline which may be caused by denaturing of the enzymes, leading to an obvious decrease in the response current. Therefore, pH 7.0 was used to obtain the maximum electrocatalytic activity of the immobilized HRP, which is in agreement with that reported optimum pH for soluble HRP.³⁴ This demonstrated that the sol-gel matrix did not alter the optimal pH value for the bioelectrocatalytic reaction.

The effect of applied potential on the steady-state current of the biosensor at different potentials was investigated and shown in Fig. 4. Results showed that applied potential possessed significant effect on the response of the biosensor. Little current was seen at -50 mV, and a flat response was observed as the applied potential shifts negatively from -50 to -200 mV. Then the current response began to increase when the potential changed from -200 to -350 mV, which might due to the increased driving force for the fast reduction of HRP at low potential. A further increase of the negative potential resulted in slow increase in current response because the limiting potential has been reached. It is preferable to control the lower working potential to decrease or avoid the interference from some electroactive species. Nevertheless, when applied potential was more and more negative, serious interference problem appeared. Therefore, considering both the

Analytical Methods

2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
40
40
4/
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
20
5/
58
59
60

197 selectivity and the sensitivity, the operating potential of -300 mV was chosen as the198 optimum condition.

199

200 Amperometric response of the proposed H₂O₂ sensor

201

202 The amperometric response of the HRP electrode resulted from increasing concentrations 203 of H_2O_2 was investigated using chronoamoerometry mode. As the H_2O_2 was added into 204 the buffer solution, the biosensor responded rapidly and 95% of the steady-state current 205 could be obtained within 5 s, which was similar to the reported results of HRP immobilized on polysaccharide-incorporated sol-gel,³⁵ which indicated a fast process. 206 207 This is mainly attributed to two factors. On the one hand, the bioactivity of HRP 208 immobilized in sol-gel is high, and the MWNTs are favorable to the orientation of the 209 HRP molecule on the electrode in the process of bioelectrocatalysis. On the other hand, the sol-gel film is very thin due to large volume shrinkage during the drying process,³⁶ 210 211 resulting in a small diffusion barrier.

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

Fig. 5 displayed the calibration plot of the biosensor. The biosensor responded to H₂O₂ in the linear range from 70 µmol L⁻¹ to 3 mmol L⁻¹, and the linear regression equation was $I(\mu A) = 5.17 C \text{ (mmol L}^{-1}) + 5.27$, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9951. The limit of detection was 14 µmol L⁻¹ estimated at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. Table 1 showed the parameter of the proposed HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs film in terms of analytical performance are compared with earlier reported third generation H₂O₂ biosensors.

218

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

219	Stability	and repeatability	
-----	-----------	-------------------	--

221	Stability and reproducibility are two important parameters for the evaluation of biosensor.
222	The stability of the HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs/GCE was investigated by amperometric
223	measurements in the presence of 0.10 mmol L^{-1} H ₂ O ₂ . No obvious decrease of current
224	was observed after the electrode was tested 15 times continuously. Without in use, it was
225	stored in 0.10 mol L ⁻¹ pH 7.0 Phosphate buffer solution solution at 4 °C in a refrigerator.
226	The biosensor retained about 95% of its original response after two month. The stability
227	of the biosensor was better than those of HRP adsorbed on CNTs,9 immobilized to
228	Au/self-doped ipolyaniline nanofibers, ⁴¹ and embedded in a silica sol-gel film. ³⁰⁻³²
229	The good stability of the enzyme electrode could be due to three aspects: First, the
230	sol-gel process does not involve the chemical modification of the enzyme molecule. It

provides HRP molecules a biocompatible microenvironment, so the enzyme can maintain its biological activity to a large extent. Second, sol-gel is a porous network and large quantities of hydrogen bonds form during the sol-gel course, which could prevent the enzyme leaking out of thin film. Third, the sensor architecture was designed by immobilizing CNTs, HRP and tetraethoxy-silicone on electrode surface layer by layer respectively. Compared with co-immobilizing of silica sol-gel and HRP, it might reduce the leakage of enzymes from electrode and thus improved the stability of the biosensor.

The reproducibility of the sensor was examined at a H_2O_2 concentration of 0.10 mol L⁻¹ with the same enzyme electrode. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was < 4.7% for 10 successive assays. The fabrication reproducibility of four independently prepared

 $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$

Analytical Methods

241	electrodes, displaying an acceptable reproducibility with a relative standard deviation of $<$
242	6% for the response to the same concentration of H_2O_2 .
243	
244	Conclusions
245	
246	A third-generation H_2O_2 biosensor was constructed based on the combination of sol-gel
247	technology and nanomaterial. The HRP has been embedded in silica sol-gel on GCE
248	surface modified by MWNTs, and the direct electron transfer of HRP was realized. The
249	porous network structure of sol-gel film provided a favorable microenvironment for HRP
250	to retain its native structure and bioelectrocatalytic activity. Meanwhile, the special
251	structure of MWNTs can promote the direct electron transfer of HRP, so the proposed
252	biosensor exhibited good electrochemical characteristics with good stability and fast
253	response to H_2O_2 . Furthermore, the proposed H_2O_2 biosensor is not only suitable for the
254	immobilization of HRP, but also can be extended to the fabrication of other
255	enzyme/bienzyme-based biosensor.
256	
257	Acknowledgements
258	
259	The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural
260	Science Foundation of China (No. 21305009).
261	
262	References
263	

2	
3 4 5	20
6 7	20
8 9 10	20
10 11 12	20
13 14	20
15 16 17	20
18 19	2′
20 21 22	2'
23 24	2′
25 26	2'
27 28 29	2′
30 31	2′
32 33	2′
34 35 36	2′
37 38	2′
39 40	2'
41 42 42	23
43 44 45	20
46 47	20
48 49	20
50 51	20
52 53 54	23
55 56	
57 58	28
59 60	

264	1	S. Lata, B. Batra, N. Karwasra and C. S. Pundir, Process Biochem. 2012, 47, 992.
265	2	Z. H Wang, J. F Xia, X. M. Guo, Y. Z. Xia, S. Y. Yao, F. F. Zhang, Y. H. Li and L.H.
266		Xia, Anal. Methods, 2013, 5, 483.
267	3	E. E. Ferapontova, S. Shleev, T. Ruzgas, L. Stoica, A. Christenson, J. Tkac, A. I.
268		Yaropolov and L. Gorton, Perspectives in Bioanalysis, 2005, 1, 517.
269	4	F. X. Gao, R. Yuan, Y.Q. Chai, M. Y. Tang, S. R. Cao, S. H. Chen, Colloid.
270		Surface. A, 2007, 295 , 223.
271	5	M ElKaoutit, I Naranjo-Rodriguez, M Domínguez, M. P. Hern'andez-Artiga, D.
272		Bellido-Milla, J. L. Hidalgo-Hidalgo de Cisneros, <i>Electrochim. Acta</i> , 2008, 53,
273		7131.
274	6	Q. L. Sheng, R. X. Liu and J. B. Zheng, Bioelectrochemistry, 2013, 94, 39.
275	7	Iijima S, Nature, 1991, 354, 56.
276	8	A. Merkoci, M. Pumera, X. Liopis, M. Del Valle and S. Alegret, Trac-Trends Anal.
277		<i>Chem.</i> 2005, 24 , 826.
278	9	H. J Liu, D. W Yang and H. H Liu, Anal. Methods, 2012, 4, 1421.
279	10	Y.D. Zhao, W.D. Zhao, H. Chen, Q.M. Luo, S. Fong and S.Y. Li, Sens. Actuators B,
280		2002, 87 , 168.
281	11	X. D. Zeng, X. F. Li, X. Y. Liu, Y. Liu, S. L. Luo, B. Kong, S. L. Yang, W. Z.
282		Wei, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2009, 25, 896.
283	12	A. Guiseppi-Elie, C.H. Lei and R.H. Baughman, Nanotechnology, 2002, 13, 559.
284	13	B. Reuillard, A. Le Goff, C. Agnès, A. Zebda, M. Holzinger and S. Cosnier,
285		<i>Electrochem. Commun.</i> , 2012, 20 , 19.
286	14	I. W. Schubart, G. Göbel and F. Lisdat, <i>Electrochim. Acta</i> , 2012, 82 , 224.
287	15	M. Das and P. Goswami, Bioelectrochemistry, 2013, 89, 19.

Analytical Methods

2			
3 4	288	16	M. Tominaga, S. Nomura and I. Taniguchi, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2009, 24, 1184.
5			
6 7	289	17	M. C. Weigel, E. Tritscher, F. Lisdat, Electrochem. Commun., 2007, 9, 689.
8 9	290	18	M. K. Wang, F. Zhao, Y. Liu and S. J. Don, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2005, 21, 159.
10 11 12	291	19	C. S. Pundir, N. Chauhan, Rajneesh, M. Verma and Ravi, Sens. Actuators B, 2011,
13 14	292		155, 796.
16 17	293	20	F. Tasca, R. Ludwig, L. Gorton and R. Antiochia, Sens. Actuators B, 2013, 177, 64.
18 19	294	21	H. L. Qi, C. X. Zhang and X. R. Li, Sens. Actuators B, 2006, 114, 364.
20 21 22	295	22	G. C. Zhao, L. Zhang, X. W. Wei and Z. S. Yang, Electrochem. Commun., 2003, 5,
23 24 25	296		825.
26 27	297	23	J. X. Wang, M. X. Li, Z. J. Shi, N. Q. Li and Z. N. Gu, Anal. Chem., 2002, 74,
28 29 30	298		1993.
31 32	299	24	G. Wang, J. J. Xu, H. Y. Chen, Z. H. Lu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2003, 18, 335.
33 34 35	300	25	J. Wang, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1999, 399, 21.
36 37	301	26	R. Zusman, G. Rottman, M. Ottolenghi and D. Avnir, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 1990,
38 39 40	302		122 , 107.
41 42	303	27	S. Braun, S. Rappoport, R. Zusman, D. Avnir and M. Ottolenghi, Mater. Lett.,
43 44 45	304		1990, 10 , 1.
46 47	305	28	J. B. Jia, B. Q. Wang, A. G. Wu, G. J. Cheng, Z. Li and S. J. Dong, Anal. Chem.,
48 49 50	306		2002, 74 , 2217.
51 52	307	29	S.L. Chut and J. Li, <i>Analyst</i> , 1997, 122, 1431.
54 55	308	30	H. J. Chen and S. J. Dong, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2007, 22, 1811.
56 57 58	309	31	X. H. Kang, J. Wang, Z. W. Tang, H. Wu and Y. H. Lin, Talanta, 2009, 78, 120.
59 60			14

- 310 32 J. W. Wang, M. Gu, J. W. Di, Y. S. Gao, Y. Wu and Y. F. Tu, Bioproc. Biosyst.
 - *Eng.*, 2007, **30**, 289.
 - 312 33 S. X. Xu, X. F. Zhang, T. Wan and C. X. Zhang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2011, **172**, 199.
 - 313 34 H. A. Harbury, J. Biol. Chem., 1957, 225, 1009.
 - 314 35 F. Li, W. Chen, C. F. Tang, S. S. Zhang, *Talanta*, 2009, 77, 304.
 - 315 36 J.W. Di, C.P. Shen, S.H. Peng, Y.F. Tu and S.J. Li, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2005, 553,
 - 316 196.

- 317 37 Z. J. Cao, X. Q. Jiang, Q. J. Xie, S. Z. Yao, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2008, 24, 222.
- 318 38 B Cai, M. G. Zhao, Y Wang, Y Zhou, H Cai, Z. Z. Ye, Ceram. Int., 2014,
- 319 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2014.01.005.
- 320 39 Y. Miao, S. N. Tan, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2001, 437, 87.
- 321 40 A. P. Periasamy, S. Y. Yang, S. M. Chen, *Talanta*, 2011, **87**, 15.
- 322 41 X. J. Chen, Z. X. Chen, J. W. Zhu, C. B. Xu, W. Yan and C. Yao,
- *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2011, **82**, 87.

Figure captions

Analytical Methods

1		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37		
38 30		
40		
41		
42 43		
44		
45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
50 57		
58		
59		
60		

325 326	Fig.1 CVs of the biosensor in 0.10 mol L^{-1} Phosphate buffer solution (pH =7.0) at 20, 50,
327	60, 100 and 200 mV s ⁻¹ , respectively. (From lowest to highest peak currents).
328	
329	Fig. 2 CVs of HRP/CE(a), MWNTs/CE (b), and HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs/GCE (c, d) in the
330	absence of H_2O_2 (c) and in the presence of 0.10 mmol L^{-1} H_2O_2 (d) at 20 mV s ⁻¹ .
331	
332	Fig. 3 Effect of pH on the response of biosensor. Applied potential, -300 mV and
333	concentration of H_2O_2 , 0.10 mmol L ⁻¹ .
334	
335	Fig. 4 Effect of applied potential on the response of biosensor in 0.10 mol L^{-1} Phosphate
336	buffer solution (pH =7.0) and containing 0.10 mmol L^{-1} H ₂ O ₂ .
337	
338	Fig. 5 Calibration plot of the H_2O_2 sensor in 0.10 mol L ⁻¹ phosphate buffer solution (pH
339	=7.0) at a applied potential of -300 mV.

Analytical Methods Accepted Manuscript

2
2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
10
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
21
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
00
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
10
40
41
42
43
10
44
45
45 46
45 46 47
45 46 47
45 46 47 48
45 46 47 48 49
45 46 47 48 49 50
45 46 47 48 49 50
45 46 47 48 49 50 51
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 55 56 57
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58

60

1

340	Table 1 Comparison bet	veen the proposed sensor a	and other H ₂ O ₂ sensors based on HRP
-----	------------------------	----------------------------	--

Electrode material	Linear range		Reference	
	(mM)	LOD (µM)		
HRP/SGCCN/GCE	0.495-10.6	12.89	26	
HRP/chitosan/sol-gel/CNT/GCE	0.0048-50	1.4	27	
HRP-SG/CNT/GCE	0.0005-0.3	0.1	28	
HRP-BSA-MWNTs-GCE	0.00095-9.5	0.4	29	
Au/SPAN-HRP-CS/GCE	0.01-2	1.6	33	
HRP-TTF-TCNQ/MWNTs	0.005-1.05	0.5	36	
CHIT/HRP/KNs/Au electrode	0.04-6	12	37	
Sol-gel/chitosan/HRP/CPE	0.25-3.4	3	38	
NF/HRP/Bi2O3-MWCNT/GCE	8.34–28.88	Not available	39	
HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs/GCE	0.07-3	14	This work	

341 SGCCN: sol-gel-derived ceramic-carbon nanotube; SG: sol-gel; BSA: bovine serum
342 albumin; SPAN: self-doped polyaniline nanofibers; CS: chitosan; TIF-TCNQ:
343 tetrathiafulvalene- tetracyanoquinodimethane; CHIT: chitosan; KNs: KNbO₃ nanoneedles;

344 CPE: carbon paste electrode; NF: nafion



Fig.1 CVs of the biosensor in 0.10 mol L-1 Phosphate buffer solution (pH =7.0) at 20, 50, 60, 100 and 200 mV s-1, respectively. (From lowest to highest peak currents). 269x252mm (96 x 96 DPI)



Fig. 2 CVs of HRP/CE(a), MWNTs/CE (b), and HRP/sol-gel/MWNTs/GCE (c, d) in the absence of H2O2 (c) and in the presence of 0.10 mmol L-1 H2O2 (d) at 20 mV s-1. 279x215mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Fig. 3 Effect of pH on the response of biosensor. Applied potential, -300 mV and concentration of H2O2, 0.10 mmol L-1. 279x215mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Fig. 4 Effect of applied potential on the response of biosensor in 0.10 mol L-1 Phosphate buffer solution (pH =7.0) and containing 0.10 mmol L-1 H2O2. 279x215mm (150 x 150 DPI)



Fig. 5 Calibration plot of the H2O2 sensor in 0.10 mol L-1 phosphate buffer solution (pH =7.0) at a applied potential of -300 mV. 279x215mm (150 x 150 DPI)