

Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1
2
3
4 1 **Reversed-phase High Performance Liquid**
5
6 2 **Chromatography Method for the Determination of**
7
8
9 3 **Paraquat in the Whole Blood**
10
11 4
12
13 5
14

15 6 Wuxiang Zhang,^a Yicong Su,^a Jiangu Shi,^a Maosheng Zhang,^a Bide Wu,^c
16
17 7 Shanying Chen,^c Shirong Hu,^a Zhiming Rao,^{*ab} Jianzhong Zheng^{ab}
18
19 8

20
21
22 9 *^aDepartment of Chemistry and Environmental Science, MinNan Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, P. R.*

23
24 10 *China.*

25
26
27
28 11 *Zhiming Rao E-mail: raozhm1944@126.com; Tel: +86 596 2591445; Fax: +86 596 2520035*

29
30
31 12 *^bFujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Modern Analytical Science and Separation Technology, Zhangzhou 363000,*

32
33 13 *P. R. China*

34
35
36 14 *^cZhangzhou Hospital, Zhangzhou 363000, P. R. China*
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 25 **Abstract:** In this paper, high performance liquid chromatography for
5
6 26 quantification of paraquat in the blood is established. Samples were
7
8 27 treated after joining hydrochloric acid for precipitation of protein, through
9
10 28 acetonitrile extraction, and by ultrasound, centrifugation, filtration such
11
12 29 pretreatment processes. In addition, Sodium heptane/acetonitrile/water
13
14 30 (1.82 g/50 mL/450 mL) buffer solution was used as mobile phase and C₁₈
15
16 31 column as the stationary phase and variable wavelength UV detector was
17
18 32 carried out on samples of paraquat liquid chromatography separation and
19
20 33 determination. The linear range was 0.3 ~30 µg mL⁻¹ (R=0.9999) with the
21
22 34 minimum detection limit of paraquat 0.026 µg mL⁻¹ (S/N ≥ 3). The limit
23
24 35 of quantification for paraquat was 0.08 µg mL⁻¹. Moreover, the method
25
26 36 proposed here is sensitive, accurate. Furthermore, the method proposed
27
28 37 here will have a bright future in widely practical application.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 38 **Key words:** High performance liquid chromatography; Paraquat; Blood
37
38 39 analysis; Clinical analysis
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. Introduction

Paraquat (1,1-dimethyl-4,4-dipyridinium chloride) (PQ), a quaternary nitrogen herbicide, has been widely used in several crops in view of its great efficiency and low cost, and sold in about 130 countries for the use on farms, plantations and estates and in non-agricultural weed control¹. However, undesirable characteristics include high toxicity for plants and aquatic organisms² and increasing cases of accidental or intentional intoxication of humans have been widely reported.³ Due to the widely exists in rural, there are seventy percent of the people to commit suicide by it. The lung is one of the primary target organs of paraquat. It may cause lung congestion, pulmonary fibrosis, hemorrhage, edema, hyperplasia and degeneration, etc and pulmonary fibrosis is an important toxic effect of paraquat. It is also known to produce “Parkinsonism” in man.⁴ In China, the intentional ingestion of paraquat has caused a large number of human fatalities.

Thus far, there are lots of reports of the analysis of paraquat in water, such as using GC-MS⁵, flow system⁶, capillary electrophoresis⁷⁻⁹, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)¹⁰⁻¹², capillary electrophoresis mass spectrometry¹³ and thin layer chromatography¹⁴. Compared with other reported methods, HPLC method has attracted increasing attention due to its excellent properties such as high separation efficiency, highly sensitive, wide range of applications and it has been

1
2
3
4 71 developed and extensively researched among the biological fluids and the
5
6 72 practice. As far as we know, no reports have been published for a
7
8
9 73 quantitative determination method for paraquat in whole blood.

10
11 74 In this paper, a selective, sensitive, accurate and reliable method was
12
13 75 developed to determine the concentrations of paraquat by combining
14
15 76 preconcentration procedures using the HPLC with UV and fluorescence
16
17 77 detection and the design for pretreatment method has also been improved.
18
19 78 Twice extracted with an organic solvent acetonitrile, the filtrate was
20
21 79 blown with nitrogen stream, sodium heptane/acetonitrile/water (1.82 g/50
22
23 80 mL/450 mL) buffer solution as the mobile phase, C₁₈ column as the
24
25 81 stationary phase and a variable wavelength UV detector, paraquat
26
27 82 concentration 0.3 ~ 30 µg mL⁻¹ range and the peak area was a good linear
28
29 83 relationship; On the concentration of 0.30 µg mL⁻¹ of paraquat for 11
30
31 84 consecutive parallel determinations relative standard deviation was 4.7%
32
33 85 and the detection limit was 0.026 µg mL⁻¹ (calculated according to
34
35 86 IUPAC standard method). The method is sensitive, accurate and
36
37 87 satisfactory results.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **2. Experimental**

50 **2.1 Apparatus and Reagents**

51
52 91 Chromatographic experiments were performed using a Waters 600 pump
53
54 92 (Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a Rheodyne 7725i injector (Cotati,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 93 CA, USA) and a Waters 2487 dual λ absorbance detector.
5
6 94 Chromatographic data were acquired and processed by Empower
7
8
9 95 chromatography manager 2.0 software. The detection wavelengths were
10
11 96 set at 290 nm. The flow rate was set at 1.0 mL min⁻¹, and the column
12
13 97 temperature at 25 °C. Microsyringe (50 μ L) was used to inject a certain
14
15
16 98 volume of sample that the injection volume was 10 μ L. The hold-up time
17
18
19 99 was determined from the first perturbation of the base line. A
20
21 100 XTerraRP18 Chromatography column (150 mm*4.6 mm, 5 μ m, Waters
22
23
24 101 Corporation) was connected as stationary phase. High-speed centrifuge
25
26 102 (TG16G Xiamen Jingyi Industrial Technology Co.). PHS225 pH meter
27
28
29 103 (Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument Company). Ultrasound
30
31 104 equipment (ULTRASONIC CLEANER SB32000). Milli-Q Gradient pure
32
33
34 105 water manufacturing system, Filter device (membrane pore size of about
35
36 106 4.5 μ m).

37
38
39 107 Sodium heptane (98%, chromatographic pure, Shanghai Jingchun
40
41 108 reagents Ltd.), Acetonitrile (99.9%, chromatographic pure, Shanghai
42
43
44 109 Chemical Reagent Research Institute), Hydrochloric acid (36.0~38.0%),
45
46 110 Phosphoric acid (\geq 85%), Triethylamine (\geq 99%, AR Shantou Xilong
47
48
49 111 Chemical Co.), Water (freshly distilled twice-distilled water). Paraquat
50
51 112 reference substance (99.5% U.S. Dima technology companies). Blood of
52
53
54 113 the poisoned person was proved by Zhangzhou Hospital. All of the
55
56 114 chemicals were used directly without further purification.
57
58
59
60

115 2.2 Preparation of standard solution

116 All working standard solutions were freshly prepared every week and
117 stored at 4 °C. 0.0305 g paraquat which was dried at 100 °C for 2 h
118 before use reference substance plus twice-distilled water was dissolved in
119 100 mL volumetric flask. Preparation of standard solution ($3.0 \times 10^{-4} \mu\text{g}$
120 mL^{-1}) was stored at 4 °C refrigerator. Standard solution was prepared by
121 diluting the working fluid.

122 2.3 Sample pretreatment

123 Healthy human blood and patient's blood were provided from
124 Zhangzhou Hospital. 1.0 mL healthy human blood (add a certain amount
125 of known concentrations of paraquat reference) is mixed with 0.40 mL of
126 hydrochloric acid ($0.50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), ultrasound 5 min, in a mixture of 2.0
127 mL of acetonitrile, ultrasound 15 min, then high-speed centrifuge to 8000
128 r min^{-1} for 15 min speed, remove the supernatant. Then mix 2.0 mL of
129 acetonitrile and centrifugation 10 min at 8000 r min^{-1} speed. Merge two
130 supernatants. The solution was filtered through 0.45 μm pore membrane
131 filter, the filtrate evaporated to a stream of nitrogen 1.0 mL. The same
132 manner to the paraquat of patient's blood sample. Adopted HPLC external
133 standard method. Human procedures were in agreement with the
134 guidelines of Nuremberg Code, Declaration of Helsinki, Belmont Report
135 and the regional ethics committee for human experiments. The human
136 experiments were approved by the Zhangzhou department of health.

137 **2.4 Operating conditions**

138 Mobile phase flow rate: 1.0 mL min⁻¹; column temperature: room
139 temperature 25 °C; detection wavelength: 290 nm; Injection volume: 10
140 µL; paraquat retention time: about 3.7 ~ 4.2 min.

141 **2.5 Analytical procedure**

142 10 µL sample injection in XTerraRP18 column (150 mm × 4.6 mm).
143 Triethylamine-phosphate buffer solution (approximately pH 2.0) and
144 sodium heptane acetonitrile-water as the mobile phase. To 1.0 mL min⁻¹
145 flow rate, column temperature of 25 °C in conditions, detected at 290 nm.
146 Set 1.0 mL min⁻¹ of water flow rate, 25 °C under the conditions of the
147 column and detected at 290 nm. This separation of work completed
148 within six minutes. The method has been successfully the analysis of real
149 samples, reproducibility and accuracy.

150 **3. Result and discussion**

151 **3.1 Optimization of sample preparation**

152 Sample pretreatment is a critical step in the analysis of drugs from
153 whole blood. Three methods including protein precipitation, solid-phase
154 extraction (SPE) and liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) are often used for
155 extraction of drugs. LLE is a traditional extraction method and useful for
156 extraction of paraquat from whole blood. However, LLE usually cause
157 emulsion formation and mutual solubility of the analytes in two phases,
158 or it is difficult to extract the drug from the tissues.

1
2
3
4 159 In previous reports of HPLC analysis of paraquat in water, the
5
6 160 retreatment procedure was conducted only by dilution and centrifugation
7
8
9 161 of the samples. It would be difficult to apply that method to whole blood.
10
11 162 Other method before the extraction, the hydrochloric acid was added, by
12
13 163 ultrasound, the acetonitrile was added, by ultrasound, centrifugation to
14
15
16 164 separate in our method. However, the sample was directly extracted by
17
18 165 trichloroacetic acid solution (TCA).¹⁵ The permeability of trichloroacetic
19
20
21 166 acid solution was not good enough for the direct extraction, because that
22
23 167 the blood samples are complicated (without the ultrasonic treatment,
24
25
26 168 many drugs are difficult to extract from the other components of the
27
28
29 169 blood). Therefore, the efficiency of the extraction in our methods is much
30
31
32 170 better. Moreover, it was without subsequent complex processing.

34 171 **3.2 Chromatogram of standard for mixtures of paraquat**

35
36 172 The calibration standards for paraquat were analyzed by HPLC.
37
38
39 173 Chromatogram of 15 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ paraquat reference substance solution of
40
41
42 174 HPLC was shown in Fig. 1, and healthy human blood added with 15 μg
43
44 175 mL^{-1} paraquat reference was shown in Fig. 2. The results of
45
46 176 representative chromatogram indicate that both of them have the same
47
48
49 177 retention time.

50
51
52 178

53
54 179

Fig. 1

55
56
57 180
58
59
60

Fig. 2**3.2 Linearity**

Linearity was checked by constructing the calibration curves using spiked drug which 1.0 mL blood from seven healthy human (whole blood), mixed with different concentrations of paraquat reference. Preparation of $0.30 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $1.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $3.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $6.0 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $12 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $15 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, $30 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ was used here. The relationship between the peak area and the amount added to the sample can be described by the following equations (Fig. 3): $y = 6189.7x - 786.51$. The regression equations of the calibration curves were then used to calculate the analyte concentrations in patient's blood. The correlation coefficient was 0.9999 for paraquat.

Fig. 3

At a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of 3.3, the limit of detection (LOD) for paraquat was calculated to be $0.026 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Taking $\text{SNR}=10$, the limit of quantification limit (LOQ) for paraquat was calculated to be $0.079 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. The detection limit illustrates that the paraquat at $0.30\sim 30 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ range has good sensitivity and a wide linear range.

3.3 Precision and accuracy

1
2
3
4 203 The accuracy and precision data of the proposed method can be seen in
5
6 204 Table 1. Linearity was checked by constructing the calibration curves
7
8
9 205 using 1.0 mL healthy human blood (whole blood), sequentially added
10
11 206 paraquat to 0.08 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, 0.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, 1.8 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, 3.0 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, 9.0 μg
12
13 207 mL^{-1} were evaluated, the relative standard deviation (RSD) values were
14
15
16 208 calculated which were 6.6%, 5.8%, 5.5%, 5.1% and 2.3%, respectively.
17
18
19 209 The RSD value of paraquat was improved by increasing of the spiking
20
21 210 level. In the same way, the RSD values for five spiking levels with
22
23 211 paraquat at 0.3, 0.6, 1.2, 2.4 and 8.4 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ were also evaluated, which
24
25 212 were 5.9%, 3.3%, 3.6%, 9.4% and 7.6%, respectively. In addition, on the
26
27 213 concentration of 0.30 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ of paraquat for 11 consecutive parallel
28
29 214 determinations RSD was 4.7%.

30
31
32
33
34 215 Average recovery values were calculated by the paraquat standard
35
36 216 solution added to the whole blood samples. Experimental recoveries of
37
38 217 analyte are shown in Table 1. The average recovery values were between
39
40 218 97.9~107.4% (n=5) for five spiking levels with paraquat.
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Table 1**
47
48
49

50 **3.4 Application of the method to analysis of paraquat in clinical tests**

51
52 223 This method was applied for toxicological examination in the case of
53
54 224 suspected paraquat intoxication. Blood samples from four patients with
55
56 225 paraquat poisoning were used as the HPLC analysis. The concentration of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 226 paraquat of their blood samples was detected before and after perfusion.
5
6 227 The analysis results to the two of cases in clinical tests are shown in table
7
8
9 228 2 and table 3, each chromatogram refers to each check in table 2 and table
10
11 229 3 was shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. Fig. 4 showed that they
12
13 230 did not interfere with absorption at 290 nm at range of 3.7 to 4.2. The
14
15 231 results proved the rapid and robustness of the method which was
16
17 232 successfully applied to the analysis of paraquat in clinical tests.
18
19
20
21 233
22
23 234 **Table 2**
24
25 235
26
27 236 **Fig. 4**
28
29 237
30
31 238 **Table 3**
32
33 239
34
35 240 **Fig. 5**
36
37 241
38
39

242 **4. Conclusion**

243 In this study, HPLC method was developed and successfully applied for
244 the determination of paraquat in blood. The method of the pretreatment of
245 blood analysis sample was simple, and the treated samples have no
246 interference to the test results. In addition, the linear range was 0.30~30
247 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ with the minimum detection limit of paraquat $0.026 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$
248 ($S/N \geq 3$). The method proposed here is sensitive and accurate, which will
249 have a bright further in widely practical application.
59
60

1
2
3
4 2505
6 **Acknowledgement**
7

8
9 252 This project was supported by the Science and Technology Foundation
10
11 253 of the National General Administration of Quality Supervision in China
12
13 254 (2012QK053), the Fujian Province Natural Science Foundation
14
15 255 (2012D136), and the Fujian Province Undergraduate Innovative Training
16
17
18 256 Program (No.2008-1201).
19

20
21 25722
23 **References**
24

- 25
26 259 1. W. T. Tsai, M. F. Hsieh, H. F. Sun, S. F. Chien, H. P. Chen, *Bull.*
27
28 260 *Environ. Contam. Toxicol*, 2002, **69**, 189.
- 29
30
31 261 2. C. Tomlin (Ed.), *The Pesticide Manual: Incorporating the*
32
33 262 *Agrochemicals Handbook*, tenth ed., Cambridge: Farnham surrey, UK:
34
35 263 British Crop Protection Council, 1994.
- 36
37
38 264 3. C. Bismut, A.H. Hall (Eds.), *Paraquat Poisoning, Prevention,*
39
40 265 *Treatment*, Marcell Dekker, New York, 1995, pp. 1-16.
- 41
42
43 266 4. V.A. Simon, A. Taylor, *J. Chromatogr*, 1989, **479**, 158.
- 44
45
46 267 5. N. C. Posecion, E. M. Ostrea, D. M. Bielawski, *J. Chromatogr. B*,
47
48 268 2008, **862**, 93.
- 49
50
51 269 6. C. M. C. Infante, A. Morales-Rubio, M. Guardia, F. R. P. Rocha,
52
53 270 *Talanta*, 2008, 1376.
- 54
55
56 271 7. M. C. Carneiro, L. Puignou, M. T. Galceran, *J. Chromatogr. A* , 1994,
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 272 **669**, 217.
5
6 273 8. M. T. Galceran, M. C. Carneiro, L. Puignou, *Chromatographia*, 1994,
7
8 274 **39**, 581.
9
10 275 9. M. T. Galceran, M. C. Carneiro, M. Diez, L. Puignou, *J. Chromatogr.*
11
12 *A*, 1997, **782**, 289.
13
14 276
15
16 277 10. B. L. Worobey, *J. AOAC In*, 1993, **76**, 881.
17
18 278 11. M. C. Carneiro, L. Puignou, M. T. Galceran, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1994,
19
20 279 **669**, 217.
21
22 280 12. S. Ito, T. Nagata, K. Kudo, K. Kimura, T. Imamura, *J. Chromatogr.*
23
24 281 1993, **617**, 119.
25
26 282 13. E. Moyano, D. E. Games, M. T. Galceran, *Rapid Commun. Mass*
27
28 *Spectrom*, 1996, **10**, 1379.
29
30 283
31
32 284 14. J. P. Quirino, S. Terabe, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2000, **902**, 119.
33
34 285 15. C. Fuke, T. Arao, Y. Morinaga, H. J. Takaesu, K. Ameno, T.
35
36 286 Miyazaki, *Legal Medicine*, 2002, **4**, 156.
37
38 287
39 288
40 289
41 290
42 291
43 292
44 293
45 294
46 295
47 296
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

297 **Figure Captions**

298 **Fig. 1** Chromatogram of paraquat reference substance

299 **Fig. 2** Healthy human blood samples added with Paraquat reference
300 substance ($15 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) chromatogram

301 **Fig. 3** Working curve of blank whole blood plus reference substance

302 **Fig. 4** The relative chromatograms (a-f) of determination of the
303 concentration of paraquat in blood of case 1, Mr Huang. Inset is the
304 chromatograms of blank whole blood.

305 **Fig. 5** The relative chromatograms (a-f) of determination of the
306 concentration of paraquat in blood of case 2, Mr Chen.

307

308

309 **Table captions**

310 **Table 1.** Determination results and recoveries of paraquat in blood
311 samples ($n = 5$)

312 **Table 2.** Determination of the concentration of paraquat in blood of case
313 1, Mr Huang

314 **Table 3.** Determination of the concentration of paraquat in blood of case
315 2, Mr Chen