# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

# **ARTICLE TYPE**

# **Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscript Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscript**

## **Highly Efficient Light-Harvesting Ruthenium Sensitizers for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells Featuring Triphenylamine Donor Antenna**

**Kun Cao,***<sup>a</sup>***Jianfeng Lu,***<sup>a</sup>* **Jin Cui,***<sup>a</sup>* **Yan Shen,***<sup>a</sup>*  **Wei Chen,** *<sup>a</sup>***Getachew Alemu,***<sup>a</sup>* **Zhong Wang,***<sup>a</sup>* **Huailiang Yuan,***<sup>a</sup>* **Jie Xu,\****<sup>b</sup>* **Mingkui Wang\****<sup>a</sup>* **and Yibing Cheng** *<sup>c</sup>* 

<sup>5</sup>*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX*  **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x** 

Two new heteroleptic ruthenium complexes, coded as KW1 and KW2, featuring with triphenylamine electron-donating antennas, have been synthesized and used in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). Compared to the reference dye Z907, these new dyes exhibit broad absorption and efficient light

- <sup>10</sup>harvesting properties. Particularly the KW2 dye exhibits a low-energy metal-ligand charge transfer band centred at 554 nm with high molar extinction coefficient of  $2.43 \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> arising from an extended conjugation in the donor-antenna ligand. Photovoltaic devices using these sensitizers in conjunction with a volatile electrolyte show high photovoltaic conversion efficiencies of  $\sim$ 10.7% under full sunlight irradiation (AM 1.5G, 100 mW  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ). This efficiency is nearly 20% higher than that of the Z907-based
- 15 reference device, which is attributed to a largely improved short circuit current. The distinctly effect of different donor-antennas incorporated in the ancillary ligands on the primary photovoltaic parameters in these devices are investigated with transient photoelectrical decays and impedance spectroscopy measurements. The devices utilizing these highly efficient light harvesting ruthenium sensitizers featuring triphenylamine donor antenna in combination with low volatility electrolyte exhibit good durability

20 during the accelerated tests (60 °C for 1000 h in a solar simulator, 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

### **Introduction**

 Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have received considerable attention due to their relatively low production cost and other attractive features, such as transparency and flexibility.<sup>[1]</sup> Photo-<sup>25</sup>sensitizer is one of the most important components of this type

- device, which is responsible for the light harvesting and electron injection. Various photo-sensitizers, including metal complexes, phthalocyanines, porphyrins and metal-free organic dyes, have been investigated and applied for DSSCs in the past decades.<sup>[2]</sup>
- <sup>30</sup>Particularly, ruthenium complexes have received intensive interest due to their favorable photo-electrochemical properties and high stability.<sup>[3]</sup> So far several DSSCs based on ruthenium complexes have achieved photovoltaic efficiencies of over 10% under standard measurement conditions and the highest value 35 being 11.5%.<sup>[4]</sup>

 A great effort has been made to optimize the molecular structures of ruthenium complexes by changing ancillary ligands, typically bipyridines (*bpy*) or terpyridines(*tpy*), which can be tuned by different substituents (alkyl, aryl, heterocycle, etc.) to

- <sup>40</sup>modulate their photochemical and photo-physical properties, and thus, improve the device photovoltaic performance.[5] Recently a novel class of thiocyanate free Ru(II) sensitizers with functionalized pyridyl azolate ancillary ligands has been reporrted, achieving high efficiencies over 10%. [6] It has been
- <sup>45</sup>well-accepted that enhancing the molar extinction coefficient of

sensitizer is an elegant strategy to improve the photovoltaic performance.<sup>[7]</sup> Incorporation high electron delocalization donorantenna substituents into the ancillary ligands can substantially improve the light-harvesting property of such photo-sensitizers, <sup>50</sup>therefore increasing the device overall power conversion efficiency  $(PCE)$ .<sup>[8]</sup> Ruthenium complexes with ligands functionalized by carbazole-thiophenyl groups were welldesigned to enhance the light-harvesting capacity, demonsting that carbazole moiety in the dye could enhance the performance 55 of the device.<sup>[9]</sup> A series of heteroleptic ruthenium(II)-polypyridyl sensitizers were reported recently, in which electron-donating NMe<sup>2</sup> were changed to electron-withdrawing CN incorporated in the benzene-substituted bipyridine ligand.<sup>[10]</sup> The DSSC devices using these sensitizers have achieved efficiencies close to  $60$  10 $~1$ %. It has been demonstrated that by introduction electrondonor groups, such as triphenylamine (TPA), into the ancillary moieties, a positive charge or 'hole' can be shifted on to this moiety, potentially increasing the distance between the dye-cation moiety and the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  surface, and thus retarding the interfacial  $65$  charge recombination dynamics.<sup>[11]</sup> Based on these strategies, several novel ruthenium dyes have been desiged and synthesized, whose ancillary bipyridine ligands are modified by a covalent attachment of triaryl amine-based electron donating groups.<sup>[12]</sup> DSSC devices utilized these dyes present relatively high PCEs in  $\pi$ <sup>0</sup> the range of  $7 \sim 10\%$ .<sup>[11]</sup> These sensitizer dyes exhibit not only efficient light harvesting capability, i.e., an extended absorption range and high molar absorption coefficient, but also an effective

intramolecular spatial charge separation of the excited-state of dye sensitizers, which are critically important for highly efficient DSSCs.

- Herein we communicate an investigation on the influence of <sup>5</sup>two electron-donating antennas upon the photo-physical and photo-electrochemical properties of ruthenium complexes and, especially, their photovoltaic performance in the DSSCs. Two new ruthenium sensitizers, coded as KW1 and KW2 (see Scheme 1), were designed and synthesized for this aim. For the KW1 dye
- <sup>10</sup>molecule, the TPA is directly attached to the *bpy* unit, however, for the KW2 dye a thiophene used as the conjugated spacer incorporates between the TPA and *bpy* unit. The thiophene conjugated spacer has been demonstrated having a profound effect on the photo-physical properties of  $\left[\text{Ru(tpy)}_{2}\right]^{2+}$  complexes
- 15 because of its polarizability and low resonance energy.<sup>[13]</sup> We investigated their influence on DSSC devices performance. Especially, the interfacial charge transfer processes were investigated with transient phototovoltage/ photocurrent decay measurements together with electrical impedance analysis.
- 20 Furthermore, for comparing the influences of the electrondonating groups on spectral and photovoltaic properties, we correlated these novel sensitizers with a model dye  $Z907^{[14]}$ , in which the aromatic amine electron donating groups is substituted by long alkyl chains.

**N N HOOC Ru N N N C S N C S COOH R R N S**  $KW1$ **KW2**: **Z907 : R=**

**Scheme 1** Molecular structures of the ruthenium complex sensitizers KW1, KW2, and Z907.

### **Experimental Section**

25

- <sup>30</sup>*Materials and characterization*: All solvents and reagents were of puriss grade quality and used as received except those specified. Dichloro(p-cymene) ruthenium (II) dimer and 4,4'- Dicarboxy-2,2'-bipyridine were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Ammonium thiocyanate and 3-phenylpropionic acid (PPA) were
- 35 purchased from Aldrich. THF was dried with sodium sand and dimethylformamide (DMF) was distilled prior to use. 4,4'- Dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine,[15] 2-(4-(diphenyamino)-4,4,5,5-tetra methyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane,<sup>[16]</sup> and N,N-diphenyl-4-(5-(4,4,5,5tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)thiophen-2-yl) aniline<sup>[17]</sup>
- $40$  were prepared according to the literature procedures.<sup>[3, 14]</sup> All reactions were performed under a dry argon atmosphere.

 The structures of KW1 and KW2 were verified by NMR, MS, UV-vis spectroscopy and electrochemical measurement. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV400 400 MHz with

<sup>45</sup>tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with a Bruker micro TOF mass spectrometer. Electronic absorption spectra were observed with a PE950 spectrophotometer and Fluorescent emission spectra were

obtained with a Jasco FP-6500 spectrophotometer. Square-wave <sup>50</sup>voltammograms of various dyes were measured on a CHI660C electrochemical workstation in a three-electrode singlecompartment cell with a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. All potentials were internally referenced to the  $55$  ferrocene/ferrocenium ( $Fc/Fc^+$ ) couple.

 *Synthesis of 4,4'-bis (N,N-diphenyl-4-aminophenyl)-2,2' bipyridine (Ligand-1)*: A mixture of compound 2-(4- (diphenyamino)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (0.408 g, 1.1 mmol), 4,4'-Dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine (0.157 g, 0.5 mmol), 60 and  $Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  (45 mg, 0.04 mmol) were dissolved in toluene/EtOH (2:1, v:v, 30 ml) and stirred for 10min.  $K_2CO_3(1.1)$ g, 8 mmol) in 10 mL H2O was subsequently added and the mixture was refluxed under argon for 40 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the organic layer was separated and 65 the product was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $3\times30$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by column chromatography  $(CH_2Cl_2/hexane$  1: 5) afforded Ligand-1 as a yellow solid  $(0.170 \text{ g}, \text{ yield } 53\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sup>3</sup> <sup>70</sup>, δ): 8.70 (s, 2H), 8.69 (d, J=5.2Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J=7.8Hz, 4H), 7.53 (d, 2H), 7.31-7.27 (t, J=7.5Hz, 8H), 7.17-7.14 (m, 12H), 7.06-7.09 (t, J=7.3Hz, 4H). MS (ESI) m/z

calcd for  $(C_{46}H_{34}N_4)$ : 642.79. Found: 643.4.  *Synthesis of 4,4'-bis(5-(N,N-diphenyl-4-aminophenyl)-* <sup>75</sup>*thiophen-2-yl)-2,2'- bipyridine (Ligand-2)*: N,N-diphenyl-4-(5- (4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2- yl) thiophen-2-yl) aniline (0.498 g, 1.1 mmol), 4,4'-Dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine (0.157 g, 0.5 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (0.552 g, 4 mmol), and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (45 mg, 0.04 mmol) were dissolved in THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (9:1, v:v, 50 ml). The <sup>80</sup>solution was degassed and heated at reflux for 24 h upon which a half equivalent of  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  (22 mg, 0.019 mmol) was added to the reaction. The reaction was heated at reflux for another 16 h and then the product was extracted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (3×30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried with anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and <sup>85</sup>after the rotary evaporation of solvents, the resulting solid was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 1:10  $CH<sub>3</sub>OH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  as an eluent to afford the target compound (0.194 g, 48% yield) as a yellow solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ ): 8.71 (d, J=5.3Hz, 2H), 8.69 (s, 2H), 7.65 (d, J=3.8Hz, 2H), 7.55 <sup>90</sup>(m, 6H), 7.33-7.29(m, 10H), 7.17-7.07(m, 16H). MS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $(C_{54}H_{38}N_4S_2)$ : 807.04. Found: 807.6.

 *Synthesis of KW1 (Ru[(dcbpy)(ligand-1)(NCS)2])*: Dichloro(pcymene)ruthenium (II) dimer (0.11 g, 0.18 mmol) and ligand-**1** (0.231 g, 0.36 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (50 mL) and the 95 solution was degassed. The reaction mixture was stirred at 75 °C for 4 h under Ar in the dark. Subsequently, 4,4'-dicarboxylic acid-2,2'-bipyridine (0.088 g, 0.36 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was heated up to 145 °C for 4 h. Next, an excess of Ammonium thiocyanate (0.82 g, 10.80 mmol) was added to 100 the resulting dark solution and it was continued for additional 4h at the same temperature. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed on a rotoevaporator until little DMF remained and water (100 ml) was added to obtain a suspended solution. The resulting solid was 105 collected on a sintered glass crucible by suction filtration, further washed with distilled water and diethyl ether, and dried under

vacuum. The crude product was dissolved in basic methanol (NaOH) and purified on a Sephadex LH-20 column with methanol as eluent. The main band was collected and the pH value of the collected solution was lowered to ca.3 by adding  $s$  dilute  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ . The collected precipitate was washed with water and dried under vacuum. After purification, 0.167 g (yield 42%) of KW1 was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$   $\delta$ ) 9.52 (d,

- J=5.8Hz, 1H), 9.21 (d, J=6.1Hz, 1H), 9.17 (s, 1H), 9.14 (s, 1H), 8.99 (s, 2H), 8.35 (d, J=5.6Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, J=5.9Hz, 1H), 8.12
- <sup>10</sup>(d, J=8.6Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, J=5.9Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, J=5.2Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J=6.2Hz, 1H), 7.68-7.36 (m, 10H), 7.19-7.10 (m, 14H), 7.00-6.98 (d, J=8.4Hz, 2H). HRMS m/z calcd for  $(C_{60}H_{42}N_8O_4RuS_2)$  [M-NCS]<sup>+</sup>, 1046.2062; found:1046.2069.
- *Synthesis of KW2 (Ru[(dcbpy)(ligand-2)(NCS)2])*: Subsequent <sup>15</sup>to the one-pot synthesis described above for KW1, except for starting from Ligand-**2** (0.291 g, 0.36 mmol), The solvent was removed on a roto-evaporator until little DMF remained and water (100ml) was added to obtain a suspended solution. The insoluble solid was collected and washed intensively with water,
- <sup>20</sup>further washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. The black solid was dissolved in DMF and precipitated after addition of diethyl ether. This procedure was repeated twice and the product was obtained as a black solid. After purification, 0.155 g (yield  $34\%$ ) of KW2 was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-
- d6 <sup>25</sup>δ) 9.49 (d, J=5.6Hz, 1H), 9.18 (d, J=6.0Hz, 1H), 9.13 (s, 1H), 9.11 (s, 1H), 8.98 (s, 1H), 8.96 (s, 1H), 8.34 (d, J=5.8Hz, 1H), 8.30 (d, J=2.1Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J=5.3Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J=3.2Hz, 1H), 7.99-7.96 (m, 2H), 7.75-7. 71 (m, 3H), 7.65-7.59 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.32 (m, 9H), 7.15-7.05(m, 14H), 7.00-6.98(d, J=8.8Hz,
- 30 2H). HRMS m/z calcd for  $(C_{68}H_{46}N_8O_4RuS_4)$  [M-NCS]<sup>+</sup>, 1210.1817; found: 1210.1760.

 *Device Fabrication*: A screen-printed single or double layer film of interconnected  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles was used as a mesoporous negative electrode. The 20-nm-sized  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles were first

- 35 printed on the fluorine-doped conducting glass (FTO) substrates and then coated with a 5-µm-thick second layer of 400-nm-sized light-scattering anatase particles (WER2-O, Dyesol) if needed. The film thickness was measured using a Profile-system (DEKTAK, VECCO, Bruker). The detailed preparation
- $40$  procedures of TiO<sub>2</sub> films have been described elsewhere.  $[4b]$  The  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film was first sintered at 500 °C for 30 min and then cooled to about 80  $^{\circ}$ C in air. Then the TiO<sub>2</sub> film electrodes were stained by immersing it into a dye solution containing KW1 or Z907 sensitizer (300 µM) in a mixture of acetonitrile and tert-butyl
- <sup>45</sup>alcohol (volume ratio: 1/1) or a dye solution containing KW2 (300 µM) with 10% DMSO in acetonitrile and tert-butyl alcohol (volume ratio: 1:1) mixture overnight. After washing with acetonitrile and drying by air flow, the sensitized titania electrode were assembled with a thermally platinized FTO electrode. The
- <sup>50</sup>electrodes were separated by a 45 µm thick hot melt ring (Surlyn, DuPont) and sealed by heating. The internal space was filled with a liquid electrolyte with use of a vacuum back filling system. In this study, a conventional liquid triiodide/iodide electrolyte (coded Z960) was used for high efficiency device, containing 0.1
- $55$  M LiI, 0.05 M I<sub>2</sub>, 0.6 M PMII, and 0.5 M 4-tert-butylpyridine in a mixture of valeronitrile and acetonitrile (volume ratio, 15: 85). A low volatility electrolyte was used for stability testing, containing 1.0 M DMII, 0.15 M I<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 M N-butylbenzimidazole, and 0.1 M

GNCS in methoxypropionitrile (MPN).

<sup>60</sup>*DSSC device characterization*: A 450 W xenon light source solar simulator (Oriel, model 9119) with an AM 1.5G filter (Oriel, model 91192) was used to give an irradiance of 100 mW  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  (1 sun light intensity) at the surface of solar cells. The current-voltage characteristics of the cell were obtained by <sup>65</sup>applying external potential bias to the sample and measuring the generated photocurrent with a Keithley model 2400 digital source meter (Keithley, USA). A similar data acquisition system was used to control the incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) measurement. A white light bias (1% sunlight  $70$  intensity) was used to bring the total light intensity on the device under test closer to operating conditions. The devices with the photoanode area of  $0.16 \text{ cm}^2$  were tested using a metal mask with an aperture area of  $0.09 \text{ cm}^2$ .

 *Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and transient*  <sup>75</sup>*photovoltage decay measurements:* Impedance measurements of DSSCs were carried out with an Autolab Frequency Analyzer setup, which consists of an Autolab PGSTAT 30 (Eco Chemie B.V., Utrecht, The Netherlands) producing a small-amplitude harmonic voltage, and a frequency response analyzer module. The <sup>80</sup>determination of the interfacial recombination rate constant was carried out by performing transient photovoltage decay measurements and charge extraction experiments. Details can be found in our previous report. [18]

 *Device Stability Test*: The test was performed under ss illumination with visible light (1 sun; 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) at 60 °C, and the photovoltaic performance during the test was recorded. The physicochemical measurements were conducted at room temperature after the cell was equilibrated and cooled down to room temperature.

### <sup>90</sup>**Results and Discussion**

 New ruthenium sensitizers coded as KW1 and KW2, which incorporate TPA- and TPA-thiophene-substituted bipyridines as the ancillary ligands, were synthesized with a stepwise synthetic protocol. The detailed synthesis procedures are illustrated in 95 supporting information (Scheme S1). The desired disubstituted bipyridine ancillary ligands were obtained from the corresponding boronic acid with dibromopyridine by means of a Suzuki coupling reaction. The typical one-pot synthetic procedure developed for heteroleptic polypyridyl ruthenium complexes was 100 employed for the preparation of the new sensitizers at a good yield.[14a] The detailed synthetic procedure for the new dyes and their identification with proton NMR (Figure S1 and Figure S2) and HRMS (Figure S3 and Figure S4), electronic absorption and emission spectroscopy (Figure 1) are described in the 105 experimental section, which are found to be in good agreement with their structures.

 The photo-physical and electrochemical properties of the KW1, KW2, and Z907 dyes were investigated and summarized in Table 1. Three absorption bands were observed in the 300-800 nm <sup>110</sup>range both for the KW1 and KW2 dyes measured in DMF solution (Figure 1a, left ordinate). The intense absorption band around 300 nm are attributed to the intra-ligand  $π$ -π<sup>\*</sup> charge transition of 4, 4'-dicarboxylic acid-2, 2'-bipyridine (dcbpy) and the ancillary bipyridine ligand. Another absorption band in UV

region is observed at 397 nm for the KW1 dye and 434 nm for the KW2 dye, which can be attributed to the  $\pi$ - $\pi$ <sup>\*</sup> transition of the ancillary bipyridine ligand and higher-energy metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transition. The electronic absorption <sup>5</sup>spectra of the KW1 and KW2 dyes present the characteristic MLCT absorption bands in the visible region, similar to other



**Fig. 1** a) Electronic absorption spectrum (left coordinate) of the KW1, KW2, and Z907 sensitizers dissolved in DMF and the emission spectrum <sup>10</sup>(right coordinate) of KW1 and KW2. b) Electronic absorption spectrum of the KW1, KW2, and Z907 anchored on a 2.3-µm-thick mesoporous titania film.

**Table 1** Absorption wavelength, fluorescence maxima and redox potentials of various ruthenium complex (KW1, KW2, and Z907) in <sup>15</sup>DMF.



 $[a]$  Absorption and emission data were measured in DMF;  $[b]$  Recorded in  $DMF/0.1$  M TBAP/N<sub>2</sub>, GC working and Pt counter electrodes, Ag/AgCl reference electrode, scan rate =  $50$  mV s<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>[c]</sup> The band-gap,  $E_{0-0}$ , was 20 estimated from the intersection wavelengths of the normalized UV-vis absorption and the fluorescence spectra;  $\left[\text{d}\right]$  Excited-state oxidation potential was calculated according to the equation  $E_{ox}$ - $E_{0-0}$ ; <sup>[e]</sup> Z907 was synthesized according to reference [14a].

heteroleptic polypyridyl ruthenium (II) complexes.<sup>[19, 3c]</sup> In DMF, 25 the lower energy MLCT band centred at 538 nm for the KW1 dye and 554 nm for the KW2 dye can be observed, which is 18 nm and 34 nm red-shifted compared to that of the reference Z907 dye, respectively. The molar extinction coefficients (*ε*) of the low-energy MLCT absorption bands for KW1 and KW2 were 30 calculated to be  $18.4 \times 10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $24.3 \times 10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These values are significantly higher than the Z907 model sensitizer  $(12.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and the CYC-B6S sensitizer  $(16.1 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ .<sup>[9a]</sup> The later has alkyl-substituted carbazole moieties in the thiophene-substituted bipyridine ligand.<sup>[9a]</sup> The

<sup>35</sup>molar extinction coefficient enhancement and bathochromic shift come from the extension  $\pi$ -conjugation in the ancillary ligand by incorporating electron-rich moieties.<sup>[20]</sup> Clearly, the DSSCs can be benefited from dyes with a high molar extinction coefficient. Consideration of a same surface area being occupied by

- <sup>40</sup>sensitizers with different light harvesting efficiency, those with enhanced molar extinction coefficient allow to a high light harvesting yield, and eventually, a large reduction in film thickness. As shown in Figure 1b, the polypyridyl ruthenium (II) dyes containing TPA-donor antennas increased the visible light <sup>45</sup>harvesting capacity due to a larger absorption cross section. This would fairly augment the device overall PCE because of reduced transport losses in the nanoporous environment for a thinner film.[12c] The molar absorption coefficients for the MLCT bands of KW1 and KW2 are much higher than those of analogous <sup>50</sup>ruthenium complexes containing 5,5'-disubstituted-2,2'-
- bipyridine ancillary ligands (5,5'-bis(N,N-diphenyl-4-amino phenyl)-2,2'-bipyridine  $(6.4 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and  $5.5'$ -bis(5-(N,Ndiphenyl-4-aminophenyl)-thiophen-2-yl)-2,2'-bipyridine  $(3.2\times10^{3}$  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>[21]</sup> This could be the main reason for the enhanced 55 performance enhancement in devices with KW1 and KW2 dyes. The sensitizers display similar spectral features when adsorbed onto 2.3  $\mu$ m transparent TiO<sub>2</sub> films, except for a slight blue shift of the absorption maxima due to interaction of anchoring groups to the surface.
- <sup>60</sup>The excitation of the low energy MLCT transitions of the KW1 or KW2 sensitizer in DMF solvent produces an emission maximum located at 750 or 785 nm (as shown in Figure 1a, right ordinate). Based on the absorption and emission spectra, the excitation transition energies  $(E_{0,0})$  of the KW1 and KW2 dyes <sup>65</sup>was evaluated to be 1.86 and 1.78 eV, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed in DMF solution using 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as the supporting electrolyte. The redox potentials  $[\varphi^0$  (S<sup>+</sup> /S)] of the KW1 and KW2 sensitizers were estimated to be 0.84 and 0.90 V
- <sup>70</sup>(vs. NHE, see Table 1). These are 0.34 and 0.4 V higher than that of the iodide electron donor  $(-0.5 \text{ V} \text{ vs. } \text{NHE})$ , providing sufficient driving force for dye regeneration. Furthermore, their excited state formal redox potentials  $[\varphi^0(S^+\!/S^*)]$  were clculated to be -1.02 and -0.88 V (vs. NHE). The negative offset of  $[\varphi^0]$
- $\pi$ <sub>5</sub> (S<sup>+</sup>/S<sup>\*</sup>)] relative to the conduction band edge of TiO<sub>2</sub> ensures the thermodynamic driving force for charge generation. The excited state potentials of the two dyes obtained in DMF are more negative than the conduction band of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , benefiting electron injection from these excited states to  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ .
- 80 Frontier molecular orbitals for KW1 and KW2 were calculated via the density functional theory (DFT) method in DMF at the cam-B3LYP/lanl2dz level with the Gaussian 09 package.<sup>[22]</sup> The HOMO and LUMO profiles of these two dyes are displayed in Figure 2. The HOMO of Z907 has essentially ruthenium  $t_{2g}$ 85 character with sizable contribution coming from the NCS groups.[23] However, the HOMO of KW1 is delocalized partially over the NCS groups and TPA unit. In contrast, the HOMO of KW2 is located mainly on the TPA and thiophene moieties, resulting in an increased separation of the HOMO from the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ <sup>90</sup>surface compared to Z907 and KW1. Apparently, the thiophene moiety can significantly tune the HOMO due to the enhanced electronic delocalization.



**Fig**. **2** The HOMO and LUMO for the KW1 and KW2 dyes from the DFT calculations (isodensity contour = 0.02 a.u.).

 Some preliminary photovoltaic experiments were conducted to <sup>5</sup>evaluate the performance of the new dyes employing transparent and double-layer titania films with different thicknesses. Initially, the transparent  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  thin film (with the thick of 2.3  $\mu$ m) were used to fabricate DSSCs, taking the advantage of the high optical cross section of the new dyes compared to Z907 sensitizer. These <sup>10</sup>cells employed an a volatile acetonitrile-based electrolyte, containing 1.0 M 1,3-dimethylimidazolium iodide (DMII), 50 mM LiI,  $30 \text{ mM } I_2$ ,  $0.5 \text{ M }$  tert-butylpyridine, and  $0.1 \text{ M}$ guanidinium thiocyanate (GNCS) in the mixed solvent of acetonitrile and valeronitrile (v/v, 85/15). [<sup>14c]</sup> Typical values for

 $15$  the photovoltaic parameters (open circuit voltage  $(V_{OC})$ , short circuit photocurrent density  $(J_{\rm SC})$  and fill factor (FF) of all three devices measured under 1 sun irradiation condition (the KW1 dye for device A, the KW2 dye for device B and the Z907 dye for device C) are summarized in Table 2. Encouragingly, device A

- 20 (KW1 with TPA-substituted bipyridines) exhibited a  $V_{\text{OC}}$  of 0.764 V, a  $J_{SC}$  of 8.89 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, and a *FF* of 0.73, giving an overall PCE of about 5% when a 2.3  $\mu$ m-thick TiO<sub>2</sub> film was used. Even with such a thin transparent titania film  $(2.3 \mu m)$ , device B (the KW2 dye with TPA-thiophene-substituted bipyridines) exhibited
- 25 a significant increase in the short circuit current density  $(J<sub>SC</sub>=$ 11.24 mA  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ), yielding an overall PCE of 6.3% under illumination with standard AM 1.5G simulated sunlight (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). Under the same condition, the  $J_{SC}$  of device C (with the Z907 dye) was about 7.44 mA  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  and the PCE of device C
- $30$  ( $\sim$ 4%) was less than two-thirds of device B. These results clearly revealed the advantages of the ruthenium dyes with high molar extinction coefficient for DSSC application.<sup>[10d,10e]</sup> This observation most probably stems from the superior molar extinction coefficient and the red shift in the absorption spectrum
- <sup>35</sup>of KW2 dye relative to Z907 dye, which can be further verified from the corresponding incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra as exhibited in Figure S5.

**Table 2** Detailed photovoltaic parameters of DSSC devices with the KW1, KW2, and Z907 sensitizers of varying film thickness in the <sup>40</sup>presence and absence of PPA as co-adsorbent under full sunlight intensity

Device	$TiO2$ thickness $ \mu m $	$V_{\rm OC}$ ſИ	$J_{\rm SC}$ $\left[\text{mA cm}^{-2}\right]$	FF	<b>PCE</b> $\lceil\% \rceil$
A (KW1)	2.3	0.764	8.89	0.73	4.98
B(KW2)	2.3	0.755	11.24	0.74	6.30
C(Z907)	2.3	0.758	7.44	0.71	4.05
D(KW1)	$8.5 + 5$	0.740	18.47	0.74	10.08
$E$ (KW2)	$8.5 + 5$	0.725	18.90	0.74	10.07
F(Z907)	$8.5 + 5$	0.730	16.72	0.72	8.88
$G$ (KW1+PPA)	$8.5 + 5$	0.767	18.63	0.74	10.64
$H (KW2+PPA)$	$8.5 + 5$	0.747	19.40	0.74	10.73

The competitive light absorption of triiodide from  $\Gamma/I_3$  redox 45 couple could decrease the IPCE spectrum of a DSSC device at around 400 nm. <sup>[3d]</sup> In this work, there are strong absorption bands for the donor-antennas dyes with high molar extinction coefficient of about  $44\times10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $57\times10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> at 397 nm for KW1 and 434 nm for KW2, which are significantly higher so than those of Z907 dye ( $\varepsilon$ =10.9×10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) and I<sub>3</sub> ( $\varepsilon$ =25×10<sup>3</sup>)  $M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) at this wavelength.<sup>[3d]</sup> A large molar extinction coefficient in this region will offset the competitive visible light absorption due to  $I_3$ <sup>-</sup> and recover the dip in the IPCE spectra around 400 nm. Actually, we found an obvious peak in this <sup>55</sup>region in the IPCE spectra for KW1 and KW2-sensitized devices utilizing a thin film (with a thickness of 2.3 µm, Figure S5). It is noteworthy that devices A and B have an intensely increased IPCE response in the range of 400-450 nm.

The  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  film thickness plays a vital role on the DSSC devices <sup>60</sup>performance. To further explore the potential of these new dyes, solar cells were fabricated by using a double layer  $TiO<sub>2</sub> film (8.5)$  $\mu$ m 20 nm sized TiO<sub>2</sub> transparent film with 5  $\mu$ m 400 nm sized  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  scattering layer) in conjunction with a volatile electrolyte (see experimental section) and with or without coadsorbent (3- 65 phenylpropionic acid, PPA). Figure 3a presents the photocurrent density-voltage curves (*J*-*V*) characteristics of the devices based on these new donor-antenna sensitizers and Z907 dye, using the above mentioned volatile electrolyte under illumination with air mass (AM) 1.5 sunlight (100 mW  $cm<sup>-2</sup>$ ). The photovoltaic <sup>70</sup>parameters of various devices are tabulated in Table 2. The device D (with the KW1 dye) had a  $J_{SC}$  of 18.47 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, a  $V_{OC}$ of 0.74 V, and a *FF* of 0.74, yielding an overall conversion efficiency of 10.08%. For the cell (device E) with the KW2 dye the corresponding device parameters  $(J_{SC}, V_{OC}, FF,$  and PCE) 75 were 18.90 mA  $\text{cm}^2$ , 0.725 V, 0.74, and 10.07%, respectively. Here we observed that for the device E with the KW2 dye the improvement in the short-circuit current was accompanied by a lower open-circuit voltage compared to KW1-sensitized device D. A reduced interfacial charge recombination in device E was <sup>80</sup>also evident from the dark-current data for these devices (Figure S6), which addressed the voltage dependence of the recombination between the electrons in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and the electrolyte. The reduction in the dark current in device D relative to device E is consistent with the recombination dynamics as <sup>85</sup>discussed below. In the presence of PPA as a coadsorbent (1:1 molar ratio in the dye staining solution), both the  $J_{SC}$  and  $V_{OC}$ 

were increased for devices G and H (see Table 2), and conversion efficiency of 10.64% and 10.73% was achieved, respectively. This result can be attributed to the PPA was co-grafted with the dye molecules onto the surface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocrystals. The mixed <sup>5</sup>dye/PPA monolayer appears to be more robust to impair the escape of photo-injected electrons into electrolytes.<sup>[24]</sup>



**Fig. 3** a) J-V characterization for DSSC devices D (with the KW1 dye), 10 device E (with the KW2 dye), device F (with the Z907 dye), device G (with the KW1 and PPA), and device H (with the KW2 and PPA) under AM 1.5G full-sunlight intensity. b) The photocurrent action spectra of DSSC devices D, E, and F, respectively.

 The IPCE spectra of devices D (with the KW1 dye), E (with 15 the KW2 dye) and F (with the Z907 dye) were illustrated in Figure 3b. The broad bands covered almost the entire visible spectrum from 450 nm to 700 nm with the maxima of 90%, 91% and 86% for devices D, E, and F, respectively. These results indicated that the increased  $J_{\rm SC}$  values for device D and device E <sup>20</sup>stemed from the higher IPCE response, which were attained a broad plateau of  $\sim$ 90% in the region around 600 nm. We can conclude that the substitution of donor-antennas for long alkyl

chains improves the absorbed photon-to-current conversion efficiency and thus, its capacity to develop photon-generated <sup>25</sup>charge.

 The photovoltage of a DSSC is intrinsically determined by the potential difference between the quasi-Fermi level of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and the redox potential of the electrolyte.<sup>[25]</sup> The  $V_{\text{OC}}$  can be affected by a shift of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  conduction band edge<sup>[26]</sup> as well as the degree 30 of electron recombination reaction.<sup>[27]</sup> Unraveling of the details of

- the electron recombination dynamics between the photoinjected electrons at the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and the oxidized electrolyte in various devices was undertaken by employing transient photovoltage measurements and charge-extraction measurements. Figure 4a
- <sup>35</sup>illustrates the plot of the extracted charge density versus open circuit voltage for devices D, E, and F. The charge density was chosen as the abscissa in order to compare the  $V_{OC}$  values obtained from the three photoanodes at the same electron concentration in the dye-sensitized  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  mesoporous films. The
- <sup>40</sup>charge density for various dyes increased exponentially along with the increase of  $V_{\text{OC}}$ , which was generated by applying a gradually enhanced light intensity. This change in  $V_{\text{OC}}$  value can be explained qualitatively by a shift in the conduction-band edge  $(E<sub>ch</sub>)$  with respect to the quasi-redox potential of the electrolytes.
- 45 We observed that the conduction-band edge of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ underwent an upward displacement in devices with compared to that of Z907. These energy shifts principally influence the

devices' open-circuit voltage.[28] At an identical photo-induced charge density  $(1\times10^{18} \text{ cm}^3)$ , the photovoltage of device D <sup>50</sup>(sensitized with the KW1 dye) was approximately 36 mV higher than that of F (sensitized with Z907 dye). It indicated that addition of TPA into ancillary ligand shifted the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  conduction band edge upward by about 36 mV, neglecting the change in the Nernst potential of the electrolyte. Figure 4b shows the <sup>55</sup>recombination lifetime for various devices against the charge density. At a given charge density, the device F had the longest lifetime. This result indicated that the charge recombination was faster for devices D and E than for device F. Though the incorporation TPA- and TPA-thiophene-substituted bipyridines <sup>60</sup>as the ancillary ligands increased the interfacial recombination as indicated in devices D and E, the effect was compensated by an increase in the conduction-band edge of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  of these devices. These results indicated that different donor-antennas incorporated in the ruthenium sensitizers had an effect on the  $65$  conduction band edge of TiO<sub>2</sub> as well as the recombination process at the same time.



**Fig. 4** Transient photovoltage decay measurements of the device D (with the KW1 dye), device E (with the KW2 dye), and device F (with the Z907 dye): 70 a) the relationship between open circuit voltage and the photo-induced charge density and b) the relationship between recombination lifetime and the photo-induced charge density; c) electron transport resistance  $R<sub>t</sub>$  of the TiO<sub>2</sub> film obtained from impedance measurement in the dark at 20 $\degree$ C as a function of applied forward bias voltage for the devices.

<sup>75</sup>Electronic impedance measurements (EIS) in dark were further performed to understand the differences in photovoltaic behavior of devices with various dye sensitizers. The impedance spectra for the DSSC devices (D, E, and F) revealed comparable characteristic information about the various kinetic processes. As  $\infty$  presented in Figure 4c, the logarithm of the  $R_t$  shows parallel behavior for the three devices, which implies that the shift of the resistance for the steady state electron transport in these devices is caused by a change in position of the conduction band edge  $(E<sub>cb</sub>)$ .<sup>[14c]</sup> Presuming that electron mobility is the same among  $\delta$ <sup>85</sup> various devices, the TiO<sub>2</sub> conduction band edge energy level ( $E_{cb}$ ) relative to the quasi-equilibrium potential for the redox couple can be determined by linear extrapolation of the experimental points in Figure 4c to their intersection with the abscissa. The *R*<sub>t</sub> data from device D (sensitized with KW1) were shifted upward  $90$  ( $\sim$ 38 mV) from those of device F (Z907 used as sensitizer). Since the conduction band edge of the photoanode (dye coated  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ ) film) is independent of the redox potential of electrolytes, the shift of the potentials in devices D and F can be attributed to a band edge modification<sup>[14c]</sup> induced by changing the molecular 95 dipoles.<sup>[29]</sup> Clearly, the trend of the electron transport resistance of the various devices is well in agreement with that of the above

measured transient photovoltage decay values.

 As discussed in the absorption spectroscopy, impedance and transient photovoltage investigations, these new donor-antennas sensitizers with different TPA-donor moieties have a beneficial  $\frac{1}{5}$  influence on the conduction band edge energy levels of TiO<sub>2</sub> and

- light harvesting capability for the improvement of photovoltage and photocurrent. However, the electron recombination increases along with the large dimension of donor-antennas moieties compared to that bearing long alkyl chains. Thus, a high
- 10 photovoltage would be expected in these devices with donorantennas sensitizers if an efficient modification on the interface of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/dye$  was adopted. Actually, when a co-adsorbent (PPA) was added, an augment in photovoltage was obviously observed, showing high PCEs close to 11% (see Table 2). The KW2-
- 15 sensitized device H provides a  $J_{SC}$  of 19.40 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, a  $V_{OC}$  of 0.744 V and a FF of 0.74, yielding an overall PCE of 10.73%.

 A low volatility electrolyte (detailed compositions giving in the experimental section) based devices was used to evaluate the preliminary stability of the new sensitizers under moderate

- <sup>20</sup>thermal stress and visible-light soaking. Both KW1 and KW2 showed similar behaviour during the stability testing. Figure 5 presents the representative variation in the photovoltaic parameters of KW2 sensitized cells when subjected to the accelerating test in a solar simulator at full sunlight (100 mW cm-
- $Z_{25}$ <sup>2</sup>) and 60 °C. The photovoltaic parameters, i.e., the  $V_{\text{OC}}$ , FF,  $J_{\text{SC}}$ and PCE of the fresh low volatility electrolyte based device with the KW2 dye were 0.69 V, 0.74, 18.4 mA  $\text{cm}^2$ , and 9.29%, respectively. Over the 1000 hour light-soaking test period, the photovoltaic parameters  $J_{\rm SC}$ ,  $V_{\rm OC}$ , FF, and PCE of the cell varied
- 30 only slightly from the initial values. During the aging process, the value of the efficiency retained 95% of its initial value after 1000 hrs light soaking, a small drop of 46 mV in  $V_{OC}$  being compensated by an increase of 0.6 mA  $\text{cm}^2$  in the J<sub>SC</sub> values. Such an impressively stable performance implies the robustness <sup>35</sup>of the KW2 dye itself as well as the dye sensitized heterojunction
- interface.



Fig. 5 Evolution of the photovoltaic parameters (J<sub>SC</sub>, V<sub>OC</sub>, FF and PCE) for the device based on  $(8.5+5)$  um TiO<sub>2</sub> double layer film sensitized with KW2 40 in the presence of PPA (1:1 molar ratio) as a coadsorbent and low volatility of liquid electrolyte (with MPN solvent) during the visible light soaking (100

### **Conclusions**

mW cm<sup>-2</sup>) at 60  $^{\circ}$ C.

 In summary, we have designed and synthesized two new <sup>45</sup>heteroleptic ruthenium sensitizers with a feature of incorporating high electron delocalization TPA-donor antennas into the ancillary ligands. These new dyes exhibit remarkable lightharvesting capacities and the corresponding DSSC cells achieved impressive power-conversion efficiencies close to 11% under <sup>50</sup>AM 1.5G simulated sunlight. The different spacer between bipyridyl and TPA-donor will clearly influence the photophysical and electrochemical properties of this class of ruthenium complexes as well as their interface behaviors on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ , which were scrutinized by impedance and transient photovoltage decay 55 measurements. Based on these studies and findings, the photo-

activity of ruthenium sensitizers containing donor-antennas can be successfully improved by careful molecular engineering.

### **Notes and references**

*a* <sup>60</sup>*Michael Grätzel Center for Mesoscopic Solar Cells, Wuhan National Laboratory for Optoelectronics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 1037 Luoyu Road, 430074 Wuhan, P. R. China, E-mail:mingkui.wang@mail.hust.edu.cn* 

*b School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan Textile University,*  <sup>65</sup>*FangZhi Road, 430073, Wuhan, P. R. China, E-mail:* 

*xujie0@mail.ustc.edu.cn* 

- *c Department of Materials Engineering, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, 3800, Australia*
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Synthesis routs <sup>70</sup>of ancillary ligands KW-Ll and KW-L2, and their NMR and HRMS
- characterization, and rark-current measurement]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 1 G. Vougioukalakis, A. Philippopoulos, T. Stergiopoulos, P. Falaras, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *255*, 2602.
- <sup>75</sup>2 a) J. Yina, M. Velayudham, D. Bhattacharya, H. Lin, K. Lu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256*, 3008; b) J. Clifford, M. Planells, E. Palomares, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22*, 24195; c) L. Li, E. W. Diau, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 291; d) M. Liang, J. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 3453.
- <sup>80</sup>3 a) O. Kohle, M. Grätzel, A. Meyer, T. Meyer, *Adv. Mater.* **1997**, *9*, 904; b) F. Gao, Y. Wang, D. Shi, J. Zhang, M. Wang, X. Jing, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Wang, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 10720; c) Y. Cao, Y. Bai, Q. Yu, Y. Cheng, S. Liu, D. Shi, F. Gao, P. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, 113, 6290;
- <sup>85</sup>d) L. Han, A. Islam, H. Chen, C. Malapaka, B. Chiranjeevi, S. Zhang, X. Yang , M. Yanagida, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 6057.
- 4 C. Chen, M. Wang, J. Li, N. Pootrakulchote, L. Alibabaei, C. Ngocle, J. Decoppet, J. Tsai, C. Grätzel, C. Wu, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 3103.
- <sup>90</sup>5 A. Hagfeldt, G. Boschloo, L. Sun, L. Kloo, H. Pettersson. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 6595.
- 6 a) K. Wu, W. Ku, J. N. Clifford, E. Palomares, S. Ho, Y. Chi, S. Liu, P. Chou, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, Energy Environ. Sci. 2013, 6, 859; b) S. Wang, K. Wu, E. Ghadiri, M. G. Lobello, S. Ho,
- <sup>95</sup>Y. Chi, J. Moser, F. D. Angelis, M. Grätzel, M. K. Nazeeruddin, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 423.
	- 7 P. Wang, S. M. Zakeeruddin, J. E. Moser, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Comte, V. Aranyos, A. Hagfeldt, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Adv. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1806.
- 8 a) C. Chen, N. Pootrakulchote, S. Wu, M. Wang, J. Li, J. Tsai, C. Wu, S. M. Zakeeruddin , M. Grätzel. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 20752; b) J. Yum, I. Jung, C. Baik, J. Ko, M. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 100; c) K. Willinger, K.
- <sup>5</sup>Fischer, R. Kisselev, M. Thelakkat, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2009**, *19*, 5364; d) M. Wang, S. Moon, D. Zhou, F. Formal, N. Cevey-Ha, R. Humphry-Baker, C. Grätzel, P. Wang, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2010**, *20*, 1821; e) A. Mishra, N Pootrakulchote, M. Wang, S. Moon, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, P. Bäuerle, *Adv.*  <sup>10</sup>*Funct. Mater.* **2011**, *21*, 963.
- 9 a) C. Chen, J. Chen, S. Wu, J. Li, C. Wu, K. Ho, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7342; b) S. Fan, C. Kim, B. Fang, K. Liao, G. Yang, C. Li, J. Kim, J. Ko, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 7747.
- 10 W. Han, J. Han, H. Kim, M. J. Choi, Y. Kang, C. Pac, S. O. Kang, 15 Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 3271.
- 11 a) S. Haque, S. Handa, K. Peter, E. Palomares, M. Thelakkat, J. Durrant, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 5740; b) N. Hirata, J. Lagref, E. Palomares, J. Durrant, M. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, D. Censo, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 595.
- <sup>20</sup>12 a) C. Karthikeyan, H. Wietasch, M. Thelakkat. *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 1091; b) H. Snaith, C. Karthikeyan, A. Petrozza, J. Teuscher, J. Moser, M. Nazeeruddin, M. Thelakkat, M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112*, 7562; c) J. Yum, S. Moon, C. Karthikeyan, H. Wietasch, M. Thelakkat, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Nazeeruddin, M. <sup>25</sup>Grätzel, *Nano Energy* **2012**, *1*, 6.
- 13 K. Robson, B. Koivisto, T. Gordon, T. Baumgartner, C. Berlinguette, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 5335.
- 14 a) M. Nazeeruddin, S. Zakeeruddin, J. Lagref, P. Liska, P. Comte, C. Barolo, G. Viscardi, K. Schenk, M. Grätzel, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*
- <sup>30</sup>**2004**, *248*, 1317; b) P. Wang, S. Zakeeruddin, J. Moser, M. Nazeeruddin,T. Sekiguchi, M. Grätzel, *Nat. Mater.* **2003**, *2*, 402; c) M. Wang, P. Chen, R. Humphry-Baker, S. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *ChemPhysChem* **2009**, *10*, 290.
- 15 H. Staats, F. Eggers, O. Haß, F. Fahrenkrug, J. Matthey, U. Lüning, <sup>35</sup>A. Lützen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 4777.
	- 16 B. Liang, L. Wang, Y. Xu, H. Shi, Y. Cao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2007**, *17*, 3580.
	- 17 S. Li, X. Yang, M. Cheng, J. Zhao, Y. Wang, L. Sun, *Tetrahedron*  **2012**, *53*, 3425.
- <sup>40</sup>18 J. Lu, X. Xu, Z. Li, K. Cao, J. Cui, Y. Zhang, Y. Shen, Y. Li, J. Zhu, S. Dai, W. Chen, Y. Cheng, M. Wang, *Chem. Asian J.* **2013**, *8*, 956.
- 19 a) S. Zakeeruddin, M. Nazeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Pechy, P. Quagliotto, C. Barolo, G. Viscard, M. Grätzel,*Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 952; b) P. Wang, S. Zakeeruddin, J. Moser, R. Humphry-Baker, P. <sup>45</sup>Comte, V. Aranyos, A. Hagfeldt, M. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Adv.*
- *Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1806. 20 Q. Yu, S. Liu, M. Zhang, N. Cai, Y. Wang, P. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 14559.
- 21 F. Dai, W. Wu, Q. Wang, H. Tian, W. Wong, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 50 2314
- 22 M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. Hratchian, A. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R.
- <sup>55</sup>Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, J. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. Kudin, V. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. Burant, S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. Millam, M. Klene, J.
- <sup>60</sup>Knox, J. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. Ochterski, R. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. Zakrzewski, G. Voth, P. Salvador, J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. Foresman, J. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. Fox, Gaussian Inc., <sup>65</sup>Wallingford CT **2009**.
- 23 S. Handa, H. Wietasch, M. Thelakkat, J. Durrant, S. Haque, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *17*, 1725.
- 24 a) P. Wang, S. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, J. Moser, M. Grätzel, *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 2101; b) P. Wang, S. M.
- <sup>70</sup>Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, M. Grätzel, *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 2694.
	- 25 D. Hagberg, J. Yum, H. Lee, F. Angelis, T. Marinado, K. Karlsson, R. Humphry-Baker, L. Sun, A. Hagfeldt, M. Grätzel, M. Nazeeruddin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6259.
- <sup>75</sup>26 Z. Zhang, S. Zakeeruddin, B. O'Regan, R. Humphry-Baker, M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 21818.
- 27 a) A. Reynal, A. Forneli, E. Martinez-Ferrero, A. Sánchez-Díaz, A. Vidal-Ferran, B. O'Regan, E. Palomares, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13558; b) B. O'Regan, K. Walley, M. Juozapavicius, A. <sup>80</sup>Anderson, F. Mata, T. Ghaddar, S. Zakeeruddin, C. Klein, J.
	- Durrant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3541. 28 a) E. Barea, J. Ortiz, F. Paya, F. Fernandez-Lazaro, F. Fabregat-Santiago, A. Sastre-Santos, J. Bisquert, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2010**, *3*,1985; b) A. Allegrucci, N. Lewcenko, A. Mozer, L. Dennany,P. <sup>85</sup>Wagner, D. Officer, K. Sunahara, S. Mori, L. Spiccia, *Energy*
	- *Environ. Sci.* **2009**, *2*, 1069. 29 a) M. Wang, C. Grätzel, S. Moon, R. Humphry-Baker, N. Rossier-Iten, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Adv. Funct.Mater.* **2009,** *19*, 2163; b) P. Chen, J. Yum, F. Angelis, E. Mosconi, S. Fantacci, S.
- <sup>90</sup>Moon, R. Baker, J. Ko, M. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, *Nano Lett.*  **2009**, *9*, 2487; c) J. Krüger, U. Bach, M. Grätzel, *Adv. Mater.* **2000**, *12*, 447.