Chemical Science

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

EDGE ARTICLE

Iron-mediated Intermolecular N-Group Transfer Chemistry with Olefinic Substrates

Elisabeth T. Hennessy, Richard Y. Liu, Diana Iovan, Ryan A. Duncan, and Theodore A. Betley*

Received (in XXX, XXX) XthXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 2011 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The dipyrrinato iron catalyst reacts with organic azides to generate a reactive, high-spin imido radical intermediate, distinct from nitrenoid or imido species commonly observed with low-spin transition metal complexes. The unique electronic structure of the putative group-transfer intermediate dictates the chemoselectivity for intermolecular nitrene transfer. The mechanism of nitrene group transfer was probed ¹⁰ via amination and aziridination of *para*-substituted toluene and styrene substrates, respectively. The

Hammett analysis of both catalytic amination and aziridination reactions indicate the rate of nitrene transfer is enhanced with functional groups capable of delocalizing spin. Intermolecular amination reactions with olefinic substrates bearing allylic C–H bonds give rise to exclusive allylic amination with no apparent aziridination products. Amination of substrates containing terminal olefins give rise

15 exclusively to allylic C–H bond abstraction, C–N recombination occurring at the terminal C with transposition of the double bond. A similar reaction is observed with *cis*-β-methylstyrene where exclusive amination of the allylic position is observed with isomerization of the olefin to the *trans*-configuration. The high levels of chemoselectivity are attributed to the high-spin electronic configuration of the reactive imido radical intermediate, while the steric demands of the ligand enforce regioselective amination at the

 $_{20}$ terminal position of linear α -olefins.

Introduction

The prevalence of nitrogen functionalities in bioactive molecules and materials has inspired the development of numerous techniques for direct C–H bond amination. Transition-²⁵ metal catalyzed approaches^{1,2} offer a unique opportunity to control the reactivity and selectivity of nitrene transfer. Careful modulation of metal identity and ligand design can drastically dictate the degree of bonding and electronic structure of the metal-bound nitrene intermediates, ranging from simple oxidant-³⁰ metal adducts, to singlet and triplet stabilized nitrenoids, to closed- and open-shell metal imidos (Figure 1). In particular, metal stabilized nitrene transfer using noble metal catalysts, specifically dirhodium,³ have been successfully applied in the context of complex molecule synthesis. These well-behaved ³⁵ catalysts have been extensively studied and are believed to

transfer functionality to both olefins and C–H bonds via a singlet

nitrenoid intermediate (Figure 1b) in a concerted asynchronous mechanism. $\!\!\!^4$

Despite the success of the dirhodium class of catalysts, a ⁴⁰ renewed interest in late, first-row transition metal nitrenoid catalysts, composed of copper,^{5–9}cobalt,^{10,11} manganese,^{12–15} nickel,^{16,17} and iron^{12,18,19} has emerged. Their high *d*-electron count and compressed ligand fields create a unique opportunity for ancillary ligands to strongly influence the bonding ⁴⁵ interactions between the nitrene moiety and the metal center. For instance, the binding of strong field ligands destabilizes metalligand antibonding orbitals and promotes low-spin electronic configurations. Similar to their second- and third-row congeners, closed-shell first-row transition metals will interact with nitrene ⁵⁰ precursors to generate either a metal-bound singlet nitrenoid (Figure 1b) or a covalently-bound metal imido (Figure 1c). Alternatively, weak field ligand environments favor population of





Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience



Figure 2. Ferrous dipyrrinato complexes **1** and **2** are competent catalysts for benzylic and allylic amination and styrene aziridination, operating through the proposed reactive imido ⁵ radical intermediate **3**.

metal-ligand antibonding orbitals and high-spin electronic configurations. Communication between highly paramagnetic metal centers and *N*-group transfer reagents has the potential to translate radical character directly to the *N*-atom or along the ¹⁰ metal-nitrogen bond vector (Figure 1d or 1e).

Given the range of accessible metal-nitrene electronic configurations, it is not surprising that the established catalysts exhibit dramatically different reactivity, particularly when considering the functionalization of olefinic substrates (Figure 1).

- ¹⁵ For instance, exposure of a macrocyclic tetracarbene-ligated Fecomplex exclusively catalyzes the aziridination of aliphatic olefins,¹⁹ while a phthalocyaninato Fe-complex facilitates preferential intramolecular allylic C–H amination,¹⁸ and an Feporphyrin complex generates mixtures of both aziridine and
- ²⁰ allylic amine products.¹² While each of these complexes is confined to a tetragonal field by tetra-chelating macrocyclic ligands, the ligand field strength, metal oxidation state, and nitrene source vary and are likely responsible for the changes in chemoselectivity. However, in each of these examples, the ²⁵ structure and electronic configuration of the reactive intermediate are only posited, as is the case for nearly all C–H amination catalysts.

Ideally, one would like to probe the influence a given metal,

Table 1. Scope of *N*-group transfer.

EDGE ARTICLE

ligand, and nitrene unit bears on reactivity and selectivity through 30 the isolation of the reactive metal-nitrenoid intermediate. We previously reported²⁰ intermolecular amination and aziridination with aryl and alkyl azides using ferrous dipyrrinato complexes $(^{R}L)FeCl(Et_{2}O)$ [R = 2,4,6-Ph₃C₆H₂ (Ar), 1-adamantyl (1), ^tBu (2)] (Figure 2). Isolation and characterization of the radical ³⁵ imido complex (^{Ar}L)FeCl(N($p^{-t}BuC_6H_4$)), wherein a high-spin Fe(III) $(S = \frac{5}{2})$ is antiferromagnetically coupled to the imido radical $(S = -\frac{1}{2})$ to give an overall high-spin ground state (S = 2), suggests a similar electronic structure for the proposed ironbound imido radical 3. Such an electronic structure places 40 significant radical character on both the Fe–N σ and π bond vectors, facilitating both H-atom abstraction and radical recombination pathways. In this report, we have explored the implications of the reactive intermediate's unique electronic structure on both the reaction mechanism and chemoselectivity of 45 intermolecular N-group transfer. We have established the catalyst's strong preference for allylic amination over aziridination with aliphatic olefin substrates. Additionally, we report on the functionalization of α -olefins to linear allylic amines with outstanding regioselectivity.

50 Results and Discussion

A. Benzylic Amination and Aziridination

We previously reported²⁰ that **1** catalyzes nitrogen group transfer to styrene and toluene with 1-azidoadamantane, resulting in the formation of 1-adamantyl-2-phenylazidridine (85% yield ⁵⁵ and benzyladamantylamine (60% yield) respectively. In attempts to expand the substrate scope, a series of *para*-substituted toluenes and styrenes were subjected to **1** (5-10 mol%) and 1azidoadamantane (Table 1). All substrates successfully underwent the desired intermolecular nitrogen group transfer, ⁶⁰ demonstrating that catalysis is amenable to substrates with both electron withdrawing and donating groups and ethereal C–H bonds (Table 1, entries 4 and 8). The yield for aziridination at

A	$r - Me = \frac{1}{23 \circ C}$	1%) → Ar—	NHAd		Ar—	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{10}$	20 mol% RN₃ 3 ℃) Ar—	Δ_{NR}		R ₁ —	$R_2 - \frac{2}{23}$	$\xrightarrow{-100 \text{ mol\%}}_{3 \text{ or } 60 ^{\circ}\text{C}} R_1$	H^{NHAd}
Benzylic Amination					Aziridination						Allylic Amination			
Entr	y Substrate	Yield (%) ^a	k _X /k _H b	Entry	Substrate	Yield (%) ^a	$k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm b}$	Entry	Azide	Yield (%) ^e	Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^f
1	н- Д-ме	60 ^c	1.00	6	н-	85 ^d	1.00	10	^t BuN ₃	86	16	Ph Me	Ph	38 ⁱ
2	^t Bu-	46	1.10	7		80	1.11	11	ⁿ BuN ₃	53	17			12 ^g 7(9) ^h 17(19) ⁱ
3	CI	52	1.14					12 13	PhN ₃	17 64	18	\bigcirc	NHAd	18 ⁱ
4	MeO-	47	1.08	8	MeO-	75	1.20	14	p-NO ₂ PhSO ₂	N ₃ 0	19	Me H2	Me H2 NHAd	77 ^g 29 ^h 43 ⁱ
5	Br- Me	47	1.18	9	Br -	80	1.09	15	TMSN ₃	0	20	<i>₽</i> ₩ ₃ ~∕	MHAd	52 ^g 15(15) ^h (38) [/]

All reactions run with substrate as solvent, ^a Reaction with specified substrate and **1** (10 mol%) and AdN₂ 23 °C for 12 h. Yields determined by ¹H NMR, using ferrocene as an internal standard. ^b Ratio determined by ¹H NMR from single turnover competition experiment run with **1** and AdN₃ (1 equiv) in 1:1 substituted:parent toluene or styrene. ^c Reaction run at 60 °C. ^c Reaction run with 5 mol% **1**. ^e Reaction with styrene and **1** (20 mol%) and specified azide at 23 °C for 12 h. Yields determined by ¹H NMR, using ferrocene as an internal standard. ^J Yields were determined by C/MS (¹H NMR), ^g Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (100 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 20 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 23 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 20 °C for 12 h. ^J Reaction with specified substrate and **2** (20 mol%) and AdN₃ at 20 °C for 12 h.

Chemical Science



Figure 3. (a) Linear-free-energy correlation of log k_R vs σ^+ for the reactions of 1 with AdN₃ (1 equiv) and a series of para-substituted toluenes (red) and styrenes (blue). (b) Linear-free-energy correlation of log k_R vs (σ_{mb} , σ_{JJ}) for the reactions of 1 with AdN₃ (1 equiv) and a series of para-substituted toluenes (red) and styrenes (blue). For the amination reaction, ρ_{mb} , $\rho_{JJ} = 0.086$, 0.24. For the aziridination s reaction, ρ_{mb} , $\rho_{JJ} = -0.0073$, 0.18.

room temperature are overall higher than that for amination (75-85% vs. 46–60%); this trend correlates with the lower propensity for the aziridine product to bind to the catalyst and retard the rate of catalysis. Amination yields can be improved upon heating to 10 60 °C (entry 1) to encourage product dissociation from the electrophilic Fe center.

Styrene aziridination is amenable to a variety of organoazides. Exposure of 1 and styrene to 5 equivalents of *tert*-butyl azide (Table 1, entry 10) resulted in the corresponding aziridine in

- ¹⁵ good yield (86%). The yield for 1-azidobutane drops significantly (entry 11, 53%), likely due to imide decomposition pathways. In general, primary and secondary alkyl azides rapidly react with **1** to generate the imide intermediate, but are then prone to undergo either intramolecular C–H amination to yield *N*-heterocycles or
- ²⁰ α-hydrogen abstraction to yield linear imines²¹ rather than intermolecular group transfer chemistry. Copper⁹ and iridium²² complexes also generate imines upon exposure to primary and secondary azides through nitrenoid intermediates. Aryl azides are also compatible with the aziridination reaction. *Para*-substitution
- ²⁵ of the aryl ring eliminates a bimolecular imido decomposition pathway, and noticeably improves the yield of aziridination (entry 12 vs. entry 13). Unfortunately application of either sulfonyl (entry 14) or silyl (entry 15) azides does not result in productive N-group transfer but rather catalyst decomposition ³⁰ through unproductive H-atom abstraction or ligand amination
- pathways, respectively.

B. Mechanism of Benzylic Amination and Aziridination Reactions

- We previously reported that the mechanism of catalytic ³⁵ toluene amination and styrene aziridination proceeds via oneelectron pathways, largely based on the non-classical KIE value (12.8) for toluene amination and the high-spin electronic structure of the putative intermediate **3**. Following this initial report, we have conducted a series of labelling and Hammett
- ⁴⁰ studies to further corroborate a mechanistic proposal in which short-lived carboradical intermediates are formed en route to the functionalized products.

Exposure of **1** and 1-azidoadamantane to excess $cis-\beta$ -deuterostyrene resulted in the isolation of cis-1-adamantyl-2-

⁴⁵ deutero-3-phenylaziridine, with no evidence of the *trans* isomer (Scheme 1). While isomerization of the *cis*-olefin to a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-aziridines is suggestive of a stepwise mechanism, lack of isomerization is less definitive. Either the reaction is occurring via a concerted mechanism or a two-step mechanism with radical recombination out-competing C–C bond rotation. The adamantyl units on both the dipyrrin and imido generate a very narrow cleft in which the substrate can orient itself. The crowded environment likely impedes C–C bond rotation and renders radical recombination more facile. However, we cannot



- **Scheme 1.** Aziridination of *cis*-deutero-styrene occurs without rotation about the C1–C2 bond, generating only *cis*-1-adamantyl-2-deutero-3-phenylaziridine. The coupling constant (6.3 Hz) between the vicinal hydrogens is indicative of a *cis* orientation.
- 60 rule out a concerted aziridination mechanism with this experiment alone.
- The electronic nature of the transition state was further studied by conducting a Hammett analysis of both the aziridination and amination reactions. The relative rates of catalytic aziridination ⁶⁵ and amination of a series of *para*-substituted styrenes and toluenes using **1** with one equivalent of 1-azidoadamantane were determined through pairwise competition experiments. Equimolar mixtures of styrene or toluene and a *para*-substituted styrene or toluene derivative, respectively, were treated with 1-⁷⁰ azidoadamantane in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of the Fe complex **1**. The ratios of the resulting aziridines and benzylamines were determined by GC/MS analysis and by ¹H NMR integration of the spectra taken on unpurified material. The results are summarized in Table 1.

⁷⁵ In all cases, $k_{\rm R}$ ($k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm H}$) slightly exceeds one, suggesting that the rate of amination and aziridination is marginally enhanced with substitution by both electron donating and electron withdrawing groups. Plotting log $k_{\rm R}$ versus σ^+ parameters (Figure 3a) results in a non-linear correlation between reaction rate and electron-⁸⁰ donating ability of the *para*-substituent. Linear correlations are consistent with cationic charge stabilization in the transition state and typically observed in concerted amination chemistry with Rh₂-carboxylate catalysts.⁴ The lack of correlation with our catalyst led us to consider the incorporation of a spin ⁸⁵ delocalization parameter, σ_{JJ} , developed by Jiang and coworkers.²³ The log $k_{\rm R}$ vs ($\sigma_{\rm mb}$, σ_{JJ}) plot (Figure 4) gave rise to an

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

EDGE ARTICLE



Figure 4. (a) Possible mechanisms of allylic amination. (b) Product analysis of reaction with *cis*- β -methylstyrene elucidates reaction mechanism proceeding through H–atom abstraction (HAA)/radical rebound (RR).

appreciably more linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.99$, 0.93 for 5 amination and aziridination respectively). Multiple coefficient linear regression for the dual-parameter equation

$$\log k_{\rm R} = \rho_{\rm mb} \sigma_{\rm mb} + \rho_{\rm JJ} \cdot \sigma_{\rm JJ} \cdot \tag{1}$$

provided $\rho_{mb} = 0.086$ and $\rho_{JJ} = 0.24$ for amination and $\rho_{mb} = -0.0073$ and $\rho_{JJ} = 0.18$ for aziridination. The positive ρ_{JJ} values reveal that the para-substituents delocalize spin in the transition state. In addition, the large $|\rho_{JJ} / \rho_{mb}|$ values (2.8 amination, 24.7 aziridination) indicate that the spin delocalization effect is dominant in the N-group transfer reactions. Octahedral (porphyrinato)Ru- $(|\rho_{JJ} / \rho_{mb}|_{aziridination} = 0.5)^{24}$ and tetrahedral (trispyrazolylborate)Cu-based $(|\rho_{JJ} / \rho_{mb}|_{aziridination} = 1.2)^{25}$ aziridination and amination catalysts behave similarly; the relative reaction rates were relatively insensitive to substituent

relative reaction rates were relatively insensitive to substituent effects. Such behaviour is indicative of a two-step reaction mechanism involving radical intermediates.

C. Allylic Amination

- ²⁰ Next, the reactivity of a series of olefins with **2** and 1azidoadamantane was investigated (Table 1, entries 16-19) in order to determine the preference for aziridination over allylic C– H bond amination. Reaction of **2** with *cis*- β -methylstyrene (Table 1, entry 16) resulted in the exclusive formation of *N*-²⁵ cinnamyladamantan-1-amine with complete isomerization to the *trans* olefin. Cyclic alkenes cyclohexene and cyclooctene
- similarly resulted in allylic amination products in comparatively poorer yields (17% and 18%, respectively). In fact, the stoichiometric reaction of 2 with 1 equivalent of 1-30 azidoadamantane in cyclohexene resulted in only 12% of the
- allylic amine. While some azide remained unconsumed, a significant amount of radically coupled bi-cyclohexenyl product was formed (as determined by GC/MS).
- Exposure of **2** and 1-azidoadamantane to linear, non-styrenyl ³⁵ terminal olefins resulted in exclusive formation of the linear allylic amine products (Table 2, entry 18 and 19) as a mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers. Stoichiometric application of catalyst **2** to 1-azidoadamantane at room temperature in excess 1-hexene resulted in the formation of the allylic amine *N*-adamantyl-*N*-hex-
- ⁴⁰ 2-enylamine in 77% yield, with the remaining mass balance belonging to 1-aminoadamantane and 1,2-diadamantyldiazene. Lowering the catalyst loading (20 mol%) and extending the

reaction time to 24 hours resulted in only a slight increase in product formation (29%) and a significant portion of unreacted 45 azide remains (64%). As the reaction temperature is increased, product dissociation from the Fe center is encouraged, allowing for catalyst turnover and increased yields (29% at 25 °C versus 43% at 60 °C) but without reaching full conversion. At elevated temperatures (100 °C), the azide is completely consumed, yet the 50 yield of the desired N-adamantyl-N-hex-2-enylamine is diminished. We suspect that free nitrene forms via thermal decomposition of 1-azidoadamantane under these conditions, generating significant amounts of diazene and 1aminoadamantane. Additionally, several new products 55 corresponding to N-group transfer to 1-hexene appear in the

GC/MS trace at this temperature. Importantly, the linear allylic amine is not formed to any extent in the absence of catalyst **2**, regardless of the reaction temperature.

Recently developed C–H amination protocols have highlighted ⁶⁰ the ability to run intermolecular reactions with limiting amounts of the C–H bond substrate.^{26–28} As such, we were interested in determining the effect of diluting the olefin substrate with a chemically inert solvent. As expected, the highest yields (46%) occurred when the reaction was conducted in 1-hexene without ⁶⁵ dilution (300 equivalents substrate). Addition of benzene to the reaction mixture (aryl C–H bonds are unreactive) significantly hampered catalysis [yield % (equiv. substrate, % v/v 1hexene/benzene)]: 26% (150, 50%); 18% (75, 25%), 12% (30, 10%); 6% (15, 5%). This trend can be attributed to increasingly 70 competitive diazene and 1-aminoadamantane formation as the substrate is diluted with benzene.

Under optimized conditions, we explored the reactivity of a terminal, linear diene (entry 19). Again, primary amination products were obtained in moderate yield favoring the *trans* 75 olefin isomer. Diamine formation was not observed due to the large excess of diene substrate. No reaction is observed with substrates lacking allylic C–H bonds (e.g. *tert*-butylethylene).

While the method has synthetic limitations, the interesting chemo- and regioselectivity of linear allylic amination inspired us ⁸⁰ to investigate the mechanism of this transformation. Typically, intermolecular transition metal-catalyzed allylic amination does not proceed with exclusive olefin transposition.^{29,30} However, precedence for this transformation has been demonstrated previously using simple Fe^{II} and Fe^{III} halide salts³⁰ and Fe

pthalocyanine complexes in the presence of phenylhydroxylamine.³¹ Recently, a hetero-bimetallic Pd and Cr catalytic protocol has also been developed to affect a similar amination reaction.²⁷

- ⁵ We have proposed two possible mechanistic pathways (Figure 4). Both mechanisms begin with formation of the reactive imido radical intermediate $\mathbf{3}$, consisting of three bulky adamantyl units (two on the dipyrinnato ligand, one on the imido *N*). The olefin then approaches the sterically crowded reaction centre *trans* to
- ¹⁰ the Cl ligand. As such, heightened reactivity is observed with the least bulky, mono-substituted olefin substrates (Table 1, entries 18 and 19). In pathway A (Figure 4a), approach of the olefin induces C–N bond formation at the primary carbon with homolytic cleavage of the π -bond. Next, the carboradical rapidly
- ¹⁵ recombines with the Fe-bound amide to generate an aziridine product. Finally, under the reaction conditions, the aziridine is ring opened to the primary allylamine through either a heterolytic (as drawn) or homolytic pathway. In pathway B, the Fe-imido intermediate abstracts an H-atom from the olefin substrate to
- ²⁰ generate an allylic radical, followed by radical recombination at the terminal carbon.

Analysis of the reaction with cis- β -methylstyrene was used to distinguish between pathways A and B (Figure 4b). If pathway A were operative, initial aziridination would generate 1-adamantyl-

- ²⁵ 2-methyl-3-phenylaziridine. *In situ* aziridine ring opening would then install the amino group at the benzylic carbon. If pathway B were operative, radical recombination would occur regioselectively at the less-hindered terminal position of the unsymmetrical allylic radical to generate *N*-cinnamyladamantan-
- ³⁰ 1-amine. As discussed earlier, the linear allylic amine is the only product of the reaction, suggesting that pathway B is operative.³² This is an appealing mechanistic possibility, as it correlates well with our proposed mechanism for the benzylic amination of toluenes.

35 Conclusions

In line with previous studies of this system, we have determined that one-electron reaction pathways dominate intermolecular N-group transfer chemistry when mediated by the dipyrrinato ferrous complexes. As such, an innate preference for amination

- ⁴⁰ over aziridination has been established for a range of allylic C–H bond containing substrates. We attribute the extremely high levels of chemoselectivity to the high-spin electronic configuration of the reactive imido radical intermediate **3**. Further, the steric demands of our ligand coupled with the bulky
- $_{45}$ adamantyl imido fragment enforce regioselective amination at the terminal position of linear α -olefins. The preservation of unsaturation following imido transfer furnishes products that are amenable to subsequent functionalization.

Acknowledgement

⁵⁰ This work was supported by a grant from the NSF (CHE-0955885) and Harvard University. E.T.H. is grateful for a Predoctoral Fellowship from the DOE SCGF (DE-AC05-060R23100) administered by ORISE-ORAU. T.A.B. is grateful for a George W. Merck Fellowship.

55 Notes and References

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. E-mail: <u>betley@chemistry.harvard.edu</u>

1 D. N. Zalatan and J. Du Bois, Top. Curr. Chem., 2010, 292, 347.

- 60 2 T. G. Driver, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 3831.
 - J. Du Bois, Org. Process. Res. Dev., 2011, 15, 758; J. L. Roizen, M. E. Harvey and J. Du Bois, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 911.
 - 4 K. Williams Fiori, C. G. Espino, B. H. Brodsky and J. Du Bois, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 3042.
- ⁶⁵ 5 D. A. Evans, M. M. Faul and M. T. Bilodeau, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 6744; D. A. Evans, M. M. Faul and M. T. Bilodeau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 2742.
 - 6 P. J. Pérez, M. Brookhart and J. L. Templeton, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 261.
- 70 7 Z. Li, K. R. Conser and E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 5326.
 - 8 Y. M. Badiei, A. Dinescu, X. Dai, R. M. Palomino, F. W. Heinemann, T. R. Cundari and T. H. Warren, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9961.
- 75 9 M. J. B. Aguila, Y. M. Badiei and T. H. Warren, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9399.
- 10 J. V. Ruppel, R. M. Kamble and X. P. Zhang. Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 4889; J. V. Ruppel, J. E. Jones, C. A. Huff, R. M. Kamble, Y. Chen and X. P. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 1995; V. Subbarayan, J. V.
- 80 Ruppel, S. Zhu, J. A. Perman and X. P. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 4266; H. Lu, J. Jiang, Y. Hu, L. Wojtas and X. P. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 2361.
 - 11 A. Caselli, E. Gallo, F. Ragaini, A. Oppezzo and S. Cenini, J. Organomet. Chem., 2005, 690, 2142.
- 85 12 D. Mansuy, J.-P. Mahy, A. Dureault, G. Bedi and P. Battioni, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 1161; J.-P. Mahy, G. Bedi, P. Battioni and D. Mansuy, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, 29, 1927.
 - 13 R. Breslow and S. H. Gellman, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 1400.
- 90 14 R. A. Eikey, S. I. Khan and M. M. Abu-Omar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 3591; M. J. Zdilla, J. L. Dexheimer and M. M. Abu-Omar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 11505.
- 15 J. Du Bois, C. S. Tomooka, J. Hong and E. M. Carreira, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 364.
- 95 16 S. Wiese, J. L. McAfee, D. R. Pahls, C. L. McMullin, T. R. Cundari and T. H. Warren, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, **134**, 10114.
 - 17 C. A. Laskowski, A. J. Miller, G. L. Hillhouse and T. R. Cundari, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 771.
- 18 S. M. Paradine and M. C. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 2036.
 - 19 S. A. Cramer and D. M. Jenkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19342.
 - 20 E. R. King, E. T. Hennessy, T. A. Betley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4917.
- 105 21 E. T. Hennessy and T. A. Betley, *Science*, 2013, **340**, 591.
 - 22 G. Albertin, S. Antoniutti, D. Baldan, J. Castro and S. García-Fontán, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 742.
 - 23 X.-K. Jiang, Acc. Chem. Res., 1997, 30, 283.
- 24 S.-M. Au, J.-S. Huang, W.-Y. Yu, W.-H. Fung and C.-M. Che, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 9120.
 - 25 M. M. Díaz-Requejo, P. J. Pérez, M. Brookhart and J. Templeton, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 4399.
 - 26 K. Williams Fiori and J. Du Bois, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 562.
 - 27 S. Reed and M. C. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 3316.
- ¹¹⁵ 28 C. Liang, F. Robert-Peillard, C. Fruit, P. Müller, R. H. Dodd and P. Dauban, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 4641; C. Liang, F. Collet, F. Robert-Peillard, P. Müller, R. H. Dodd and P. Dauban, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 343.
- 29 X. Q. Yu, Y. S. Huang, X. G. Zhou and C.-M. Che, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, 120 **2**, 2233.
 - 30 R. S. Srivastava and K. M. Nicholas, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 8739.
 - 31 M. Johannsen and K. A. Jørgensen, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 214.
 - 32 The amination of cyclooctene provides further support of pathway B
- over pathway A. The conformation of a potential cyclooctene aziridine may prohibit ring opening via deprotonation. As such, if pathway A were operative, we would anticipate isolation of the aziridine product as reported by S. Cenini, S. Tollari, A. Penoni, and C. Cereda, *J. Mol. Cat. A: Chemical*, 1999, **137**, 135.