Organic Chemistry Frontiers



An Efficient Synthesis of (NH)-Phenanthridinones via Ligand-Free Copper-Catalyzed Annulation

Journal:	Organic Chemistry Frontiers
Manuscript ID:	QO-RES-12-2013-000082.R1
Article Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	22-Jan-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Chen, Yan-Fu; Tamkang university, chemistry Wu, Yi-Sheng; Tamkang university, chemistry Jhan, Yu-Huei; Tamkang university, chemistry Hsieh, Jen-Chieh; Tamkang university, chemistry

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

An Efficient Synthesis of (NH)-Phenanthridinones via Ligand-Free Copper-Catalyzed Annulation

Yan-Fu Chen, Yi-Sheng Wu, Yu-Huei Jhan and Jen-Chieh Hsieh*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX 5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An efficient and concise procedure for the ligand-free copper-catalyzed cascade reaction of C–O and C– N bond coupling was developed, which afforded various (NH)-phenanthridinones in moderate to good yields with tolerance of a wide variety of substrates. This method could be useful for the syntheses of natural alkaloids.

10 Introduction

The phenanthridinone is an important building block for organic synthesis because it was frequently discovered in a wide variety of natural alkaloids¹ as well as possessed several bioactivities such as antilymphoma, antileukemia, antitumor, antiviral and ¹⁵ inhibitor of HIV-1 integrase etc.² Traditional approaches for the syntheses of phenanthridinones are *via* intramolecular annulation of the corresponding aminocarboxylatebiphenyls³ or the reductive cyclization of nitrocarbonylbiphenyls.⁴ Other common strategies include radical cyclization,⁵ Schmidt reaction/electrophilic ²⁰ aromatic substitution of the biphenylcarboxylic acids,⁶ Beckmann rearrangement of fluorenones,⁷ microwave-assisted anionic ring closure reaction,⁸ anionic cycloaromatization of 1-aryl-3-hexen-1,5-diynes,⁹ oxidative coupling reaction of *N*-arylbenzamide¹⁰ and Pd-catalyzed annulation of aryne with *o*-halobenzamides.¹¹

In recent years, palladium-catalyzed C–H functionalization has been well developed and used as a powerful method for carbon-carbon¹² and carbon-heteroatom¹³ bond formation. Development toward the syntheses of phenanthridinones has also turned to concerning with the palladium-catalyzed C–H bond activation. In
³⁰ particular, the palladium catalytic cyclization reactions of *N*-aryl-2-bromobenzamide¹⁴ and *N*-arylbenzamide¹⁵ are often reported. Domino process for the multiple C–H bond activation was also carried out by Wang¹⁶ and Cheng's¹⁷ research groups. However, these domino reactions were restricted on the synthesis of *N*-35 methoxyphenanthridinones and further photochemical reaction was required to provide corresponding (NH)-phenanthridinones for other synthetic applications.

Very recently, novel procedures for the synthesis of phenanth-

Department of Chemistry, Tamkang University, New Taipei City, 25137, Taiwan (R.O.C.). Tel: 886-2-26215656ext2545; Fax: 886-2-26209924; E-mail: jchsieh@mail.tku.edu.tw † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedure, characterization data, spectral data and copies of all compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/ ridinones through an oxidative insertion of carbon monoxide to 2-

⁴⁰ aminobiaryls were disclosed independently by Orito, Zhu and Chung.¹⁸ Their protocols selectively provide different sort of phenanthridinones. However, only one case among these reports is related to the synthesis of (NH)-phenanthridinones. Therefore, development of novel methods to address this issue is still
 ⁴⁵ desirable. Our experience in the catalytic coupling reactions involving nitriles¹⁹ encouraged us to explore the possibility for the synthesis of free (NH)-phenanthridinones by the coupling reaction of nitrile. Herein, we report the first example of an efficient and convenient synthetic pathway to form (NH) ⁵⁰ phenanthridinones through the copper-catalyzed cyclization reaction involving C–O and C–N bond coupling of nitrile.

Results and discussion

Our initial studies were using 2'-bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2carbonitrile (**1a**) as a model substrate (Table 1, entry 1), which ⁵⁵ was treated with 5 mol % CuI and 3 equiv of NaOH in 1.0 mL *t*BuOH at 120 °C for 24 h; and the corresponding phenanthridinone (**2a**) was obtained in 69% NMR yield. Product **2a** was confirmed by the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS analysis. We also observed small amount of undefined side products and ⁶⁰ biphenylamide after working up the reaction.

To optimize the reaction condition, the effect of solvents, bases, reaction temperatures and copper sources were investigated (Table 1). We first examined the copper source for this reaction (entries 2–4). Among the various copper sources employed, CuI ⁶⁵ was found to be the most effective catalyst, providing the yield of desired product **2a** in 69% NMR yield (entry 1). Screening of the bases revealed that NaOH and KOH gave similar results (entries 1, 5). The cyclization reaction could not be completed within 24 h when using LiOH as base (entry 6). The use of NaOtBu/H₂O ⁷⁰ system (entry 7) also afforded desired product **2a** in lower yield. It was found that the amount of base significantly affected the yield of **2a**, and 4.0 equiv of NaOH provided the best yield for this cyclization reaction (entry 8). Some unidentified compounds and biphenylamide were detected when the temperature was ⁷⁵ increased to 140 °C (entry 9). However, only desired product **2a**

[journal], [year], [vol], 00–00 | 1

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

о н

was obtained when the reaction temperature was decreased to 100 °C (entry 10).

Table 1 Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

CN

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	[Cu] (5 mol %), base (n equiv)								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	solvent, t °C, air, 24 h								
1a 2a entry [Cu] base (n) solvent temp (°C) yield (%) ^b 1 Cul NaOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 69 2 CuBr NaOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 54 3 Cu ₂ O NaOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 62 4 Cu(OAc) ₂ NaOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 62 4 Cu(OAc) ₂ NaOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 64 5 Cul KOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 66 6 Cul LiOH (3) $tBuOH$ 120 0 65 7 Cul NaOtBu (4)/H ₂ O (1.1) $tBuOH$ 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) $tBuOH$ 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) $tBuOH$ 100 0 96 10 Cul NaOH (4)		Br				<u> </u>	=/		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1a				2a			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	entry	[Cu]	base (n)	solvent	temp (°C)	yield (%) ^b			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						1a	2a		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	CuI	NaOH (3)	tBuOH	120	0	69		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	CuBr	NaOH (3)	tBuOH	120	0	54		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	Cu ₂ O	NaOH (3)	tBuOH	120	0	62		
5 Cul KOH (3) tBuOH 120 0 66 6 Cul LiOH (3) tBuOH 120 6 52 7 Cul NaOtBu (4)/H ₂ O (1.1) tBuOH 120 23 35 8 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 140 0 65 10 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25	4	Cu(OAc) ₂	NaOH (3)	tBuOH	120	0	49		
6 Cul LiOH (3) rBuOH 120 6 52 7 Cul NaOrBu (4)/H ₂ O (1.1) rBuOH 120 23 35 8 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 140 0 65 10 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25	5	CuI	KOH (3)	tBuOH	120	0	66		
7 Cul NaOrBu (4)/H ₂ O (1.1) rBuOH 120 23 35 8 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 140 0 65 10 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) rBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25	6	CuI	LiOH (3)	tBuOH	120	6	52		
8 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 120 0 87 9 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 140 0 65 10 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 140 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25	7	CuI	NaOtBu (4)/H ₂ O (1.1)	tBuOH	120	23	35		
9 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 140 0 65 10 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25 145 Cerl Nicoll (4) DMSO 100 20 25	8	CuI	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	120	0	87		
10 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 100 0 96 11 Cul NaOH (4) tBuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25 145 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 20 25	9	CuI	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	140	0	65		
11 Cul NaOH (4) <i>t</i> BuOH 80 17 73 12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25 14 ^S Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 20 22	10	CuI	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	100	0	96		
12 Cul NaOH (4) DMF 100 39 32 13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25 14 ^S Cul NaOH (4) DWSO 100 20 22	11	CuI	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	80	17	73		
13 Cul NaOH (4) DMSO 100 21 25	12	CuI	NaOH (4)	DMF	100	39	32		
14° C-1 N-OH(4) (D-OH 100 0 02	13	CuI	NaOH (4)	DMSO	100	21	25		
14 Cui NaOH (4) <i>T</i> BUOH 100 0 93	14 ^c	CuI	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	100	0	93		
15 none NaOH (4) <i>t</i> BuOH 100 47 0	15	none	NaOH (4)	tBuOH	100	47	0		
^a Reactions were carried out using 0.1 mmol (1.0 equiv) 2'-bromo-[1,1'-									
biphenyl]-2-carbonitrile (1a) with 5 mol % [Cu] and base (n equiv) in									
1.0 mL solvent at t °C for 24 h. ^b ¹ H NMR yield based on internal									
standard mesitylene. ^c under N ₂ .									

The effect of solvents was investigated as well, and it was found that only highly polar solvents such as DMF and DMSO could successfully proceed the reaction (entries 12, 13). The substrate **1a** could be fully converted only when using *t*BuOH as 10 solvent. In addition, there was no significant difference when running reaction under nitrogen atmosphere or under air (entry 14). Moreover, the present cyclization reaction could not afford any desired product **2a** without copper source (entry 15).

The copper-catalyzed cyclization reaction was successfully 15 extended to various substrates (1), and the results are listed in table 2. The reaction required at least 24 h to fully consume the substrates (1). As indicated, reactions worked well for various substrates and both of the electron-donating and electronwithdrawing substituents on the moiety of aryl bromide were well 20 tolerated to give corresponding products in moderate to good yields (2a-2j). Substituents on the para position of bromide (2h-2j) dramatically affected cyclization. Thus, substrate with an electron-withdrawing group provided higher yield of the corresponding product (2h) than those with an electron-donating 25 group (2i, 2j). We frequently detected small amount of the corresponding 2-bromobiarylamides for the substrates with electron-donating group on the moiety of aryl bromide, which caused lower yields of their desired products (2c, 2d, 2i and 2j). Substituents on the moiety of benzonitrile were also well 30 tolerated (2k-2y); however, the yields of desired products were not only decided by the substituents on the moiety of benzonitrile but also by the substituents on the moiety of aryl bromide. When the hydrophilic substituents such as methoxy group, chloride or fluoride were introduced into the phenanthridinones, the yields of 35 desired products were generally lower (2n, 2o, 2p, 2q and 2r).

This is probably due to the partial solution of the products in water during the extraction. It is noteworthy that product 2k is a natural alkaloid known as phenaglydon, which has been isolated from the lipophilic leaf extract of *Glycosmis cyanocarpa* ⁴⁰ (Rutaceae).²⁰





^a Reactions were carried out using 0.5 mmol (1.0 equiv) substrate **1** with 5 mol % CuI, 4.0 equiv NaOH in 5.0 mL *t*BuOH at 100 °C ⁴⁵ for 24 h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c 36 h.

Reactions for the substrates with a substituent *ortho* to the nitrile group (**2t**, **2u**, **2v** and **2w**) hardly completed even for a longer reaction time. The *ortho* substituents would retard the addition of hydroxide to nitrile and reduce the yields of ⁵⁰ corresponding products. Substrates with a *meta* CF₃ group on the moiety of benzonitrile and with the moiety of naphthylnitrile were also well tolerated to afford the corresponding products **2x**

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

1

^{2 |} Journal Name, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00



and 2y in excellent yields. Substrates containing a picolinonitrile moiety or an electrophile such as ketone group were not tolerated and the reactions provided messy crude spectra.



Scheme 1 Syntheses of crinasiadine, trisphaeridine and analogues of crinasiadine.

In order to extend the application of the present method, we selectively synthesized two natural alkaloids crinasiadine and trisphaeridine by using our developed protocol as the key step 10 (Scheme 1). These two natural alkaloids represent the basic skeletons of the Amaryllidaceae alkaloids, which appear in a wide range of natural alkaloids and bioactive compounds. Our synthetic route began from a commercial available compound 6k. Transformation of the aldehyde to nitrile and the subsequent 15 Suzuki coupling reaction provided the substrate 1z. Under the standard condition of present copper catalysis, 1z was then converted to crinasiadine 2z in 4 steps with 36% overall yield. Further transformation of 2z to its corresponding phenanthridine afforded another natural alkaloid trisphaeridine 3b with two more 20 steps and 25% overall yield. Conversion of 2z or 3b to other natural alkaloids can be easily achieved by the N-alkylation. For example, N-methylation of 2z and 3b can lead to other two natural alkaloids N-methylcrinasiadine²¹ and bicolorine.²² In addition, more complicated crinasiadine analogues (C1, C2, C3 25 and C4) were able to be synthesized by this pathway in moderate to good yields.

The present methodology could be conducted in gram-scale synthesis as well. As shown in scheme 2, conversion of **1x** to **2x** under the standard condition were carried out in 5 mmol scale with 76% isolated yield, which implied the potential applications in industry. We also synthesized variously poly-substituted phenanthridine derivatives *via* formation of the corresponding triflate compound **3c** as an important building block in excellent yield, and various 6-substituents could be introduced into the phenanthridine through the palladium or nickel catalytic coupling reactions. The 6-aryl and 6-alkyl phenanthridine could be respectively afforded by Suzuki and Kumada type coupling reactions, and 6-*H*-phenanthridine could be provided by the palladium catalytic reduction with formic acid. Thus, the 6-40 phenylphenanthridine (**3d**), 6-ethylphenanth-ridine (**3e**) and 6-*H*- phenanthridine (**3f**) were successfully generated in 74%, 28% and 81% yields, respectively.



45 Scheme 2 Application to the large-scale synthesis and syntheses of various phenanthridines.

Although a more detailed study might be required to fully understand the mechanism of this copper-catalyzed annulation, a tentative pathway can be proposed according to the above results and previous report (Scheme 3).^{19b} Thus, the catalytic reaction is likely to be initiated by the coordination of nitrile on compound **1** to a Cu(I) complex, which accelerates the following nucleophilic addition by hydroxide to form complex **A**. The oxidative addition of complex **A** in an intramolecular manner is then occurred to segnerate Cu(II) species (complex **B**). The subsequent reductive elimination provides compound **3** and regenerates Cu(I) species. Tautomerization of **3** affords the desired product **2**.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism.

60 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a novel method for the copper-catalyzed cascade reaction of C–O and C–N bond coupling. This method efficiently provides poly-substituted (NH)-phenanthridinones in moderate to good yields with 65 tolerance of a wide variety of substrates. In addition, this method could be also applied to synthesize three natural alkaloids in short steps with good overall yields. Moreover, conversion of the (NH)-phenanthridinone to the corresponding phenanthridines

with various 6-substituents was carried out as well. Further studies to explore the possibility to extend the applications of this catalytic system are currently underway.

Experimental

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

5 General procedure for the copper-catalyzed cyclization

To a screw-capped vial (10-mL) were added CuI (0.025 mmol, 4.8 mg, 5 mol %), NaOH (2.0 mmol, 80 mg, 4.0 equiv), and substrate **1** (0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in *t*BuOH (5 mL). The vial was then sealed with cap and allowed to stir at 100 °C for 24 h. The ¹⁰ crude reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) and H₂O (10 mL). The mixture was then kept stirring at 70 °C for 30 min then the aqueous layer was removed and the organic layer was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was allowed to quickly flow through a short flash column chromatography by using ethyl ¹⁵ acetate as eluent and then concentrated *in vacuo*, following washed by CH₂Cl₂ to provide the pure product. Products **2** were obtained according to this procedure.

Acknowledgment

We thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China ²⁰ (NSC-101-2113-M-032-004-MY2) for the financial support of this research.

Notes and references

- For selected papers: (a) Jin, Z. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2011, 28, 1126. (b) Ghosal, S.; Rao, P. H.; Jaiswal, D. K.; Kumar, Y.; Frahm, A. W.
 Phytochemistry 1981, 20, 2003. (c) Llabrés, J. M.; Viladomat, F.; Bastida, J.; Codina, C.; Rubiralta, M. Phytochemistry 1986, 25, 2637. (d) Ghosal, S.; Saini, K. S.; Frahm, A. W. Phytochemistry 1983, 22,
- 2305. (e) Hu, J.; Zhang, W.-D.; Shen, Y.-H.; Zhang, C.; Xu, L.; Liu, R.-H.; Wang, B.; Xu, X.-K. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 2007, *35*, 114.
 ³⁰ 2 (a) Patil, S.; Kamath, S.; Sanchez, T.; Neamati, N.; Schinazi, R. F.;
- ³⁰ 2 (a) Fail, S., Kalladi, S., Salichez, T., Neamati, N., Seilindzi, K. F., Buolamwini, J. K. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 1212. (b) Zee-Cheng, R. K.-Y.; Yan, S.-J.; Cheng, C. C. J. Med. Chem. **1978**, *21*, 199. (c) Banasik, M.; Komura, H.; Shimoyama, M.; Ueda, K. J. *Biol. Chem.* **1992**, *267*, 1569. (d) Bellocchi, D.; Macchiarulo, A.; Costantino, G.; Pellicciari, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 1151.
- 3 (a) Lamba, J. J. S.; Tour, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11723.
 (b) Watanabe, T.; Ohashi, Y.; Yoshino, R.; Komano, N.; Eguchi, M.; Maruyama, S.; Ishikawa, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 3024. (c) Lv, P.; Huang, K.; Xie, L.; Xu, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 3133.
- 40 4 (a) Yawer, M. A.; Hussain, I.; Iqbal, I.; Spannenberg, A.; Langer, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 4467. (b) Riahi, A.; Shkoor, M.; Fatunsin, O.; Yawer, M. A.; Hussain, I.; Fischer, C.; Langer, P. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 9300. (c) Banwell, M. G.; Lupton, D. W.; Ma, X.; Renner, J.; Sydnes, M. O. *Org. Lett.* 2004, 6, 2741. (d) Genès, C.; Lenglet, G.;
- ⁴⁵ Depauw, S.; Nhili, R.; Prado, S.; David-Cordonnier, M.-H.; Michel, S.; Tillequin, F.; Porèe, F.-H. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *46*, 2117.
- 5 Bhakuni, B. S.; Kumar, A.; Balkrishna, S. J.; Sheikh, J. A.; Konar, S.; Kumar, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2838.
 6 Weather for Constraints of the New York Stream Processing Science (Science) (
- Woodroofe, C. C.; Zhong, B.; Lu, X.; Silverman, R. B. J. Chem. Soc.,
 Perkin Trans. 2, 2000, 55.
- 7 Horning, E. C.; Stromberg, V. L.; Lloyd, H. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 5153.
- 8 (a) Cailly, T.; Fabis, F.; Rault, S. *Tetrahedron* 2006, 62, 5862. (b)
 Dubost, E.; Magnelli, R.; Cailly, T.; Legay, R.; Fabis, F.; Rault S.
 Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 5008.
- 9 (a) Wu, M.-J.; Lin, C.-F.; Chen, S.-H. Org. Lett. **1999**, 1, 767. (b) Wu, M.-J.; Lin, C.-F.; Lu, W.-D. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 5907.
- 10 (a) Moreno, I.; Tellitu, I.; Etayo, J.; SanMartín, R.; Domínguez, E. *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 5403. (b) Ganton, M. D.; Kerr, M. A. *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 4777.
 - **4** | *Journal Name*, [year], **[vol]**, 00–00

- 11 Lu, C.; Dubrovskiy, A. V.; Larock, R. C. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 8648.
- 12 (a) Mousseau, J. J.; Charette, A. B. *Accounts Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 412. (b) Hashiguchi, B. G.; Bischof, S. M.; Konnick, M. M.; Periana,
- ⁶⁵ R. A. Accounts Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 885. (c) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Accounts Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788. (d) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (e) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. Accounts Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1074.
- (a) Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. Accounts Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 936.
 (b) Campbell, A. N.; Stahl, S. S. Accounts Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 851.
 (c) McDonald, R. I.; Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2981.
 (d) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1147.
- 14 (a) Harayama, T.; Akiyama, T.; Nakano, Y.; Nishioka, H.; Abe, H.; Takeuchi, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 519. (b) Harayama, T.;
- Kawata, Y.; Nagura, C.; Sato, T.; Miyagoe, T.; Abe, H.; Takeuchi, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 6091. (c) Ma, Z.; Xiang, Z.; Luo, T.; Lu, K.; Xu, Z.; Chen, J.; Yang, Z. J. Comb. Chem. 2006, 8, 696. (d) Bernini, R.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Sferrazza, A. Synthesis 2008, 729. (e) Zhang, G.; Zhao, X.; Yan, Y.; Ding, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 669.
- (a) Borduas, N.; Lough, A. J.; Dong, V. M. Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2011, 369, 247. (b) Yeung, C. S.; Zhao, X.; Borduas, N.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Sci. 2010, 1, 331. (c) Ishida, N.; Nakanishi, Y.; Moriya, T.; Murakami, M. Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1047.
- 85 16 Wang, G.-W.; Yuan, T.-T.; Li, D.-D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1380.
 - 17 Karthikeyan, J.; Cheng, C.-H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9880.
- 18 (a) Kumazawa, E.; Tokuhashi, T.; Horibata, A.; Kurono, N.; Senboku, H.; Tokuda, M.; Ohkuma, T.; Orito, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012,
- 4622. (b) Liang, D.; Hu, Z.; Peng, J.; Huang, J.; Zhu, Q. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 173. (c) Rajeshkumar, V.; Lee, T.-H.; Chuang, S.-C. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1468.
- (a) Hsieh, J.-C.; Chen, Y.-C.; Cheng, A.-Y.; Tseng, H.-C. Org. Lett.
 2012, 14, 1282. (b) Hsieh, J.-C.; Cheng, A.-Y.; Fu, J.-H.; Kang, T.-W.
 Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 6404. (c) Wan, J.-C.; Huang, J.-M.;
- Jhan, Y.-H.; Hsieh, J.-C. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 2742.
- 20 Wurz, G.; Hofer, O.; Greger, H. Nat. Prod. Lett. **1993**, *3*, 177.
- 21 Suau, R.; Gómez, A. I.; Rico, R. *Phytochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 1710.
- 22 Viladomat, F.; Bastida, J.; Tribo, G.; Codina, C.; Rubiralta, M. 100 *Phytochemistry* **1990**, *29*, 1307.