Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/obc Registered Charity Number 207890

Phenazine Antibiotic Inspired Discovery of Potent Bromophenazine Antibacterial Agents against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*

Nicholas V. Borrero, Fang Bai, Cristian Perez, Benjamin Q. Duong, James R. Rocca, Shouguang Jin, Robert W. Huigens III

University of Florida, Gainesville Florida 32610, United States. T: 352-273-7718

Nearly all clinically used antibiotics have been 1.) discovered from microorganisms 2.) using phenotype screens to identify inhibitors of bacterial growth. The effectiveness of these antibiotics is attributed to their endogenous roles as bacterial warfare agents against competing microorganisms. Unfortunately, every class of clinically used antibiotic has been met with drug resistant bacteria. In fact, the emergence of resistant bacterial infections coupled to the dismal pipeline of new antibacterial agents has resulted in a global health care crisis. There is an urgent need for innovative antibacterial strategies and treatment options to effectively combat drug resistant bacterial pathogens. Here, we describe the implementation of a Pseudomonas competition strategy, using redoxactive phenazines, to identify novel antibacterial leads against **Staphylococcus** aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. In this report, we describe the chemical synthesis and evaluation of a diverse 27-membered phenazine library. Using this microbial warfare inspired approach, we have identified several bromophenazines with potent antibacterial activities against S. aureus and S. epidermidis. The most potent bromophenazine analogue from this focused library demonstrated a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.78-1.56 µM, or 0.31-0.62 µg/mL, against S. aureus and S. epidermidis and proved to be 32- to 64-fold more potent than the phenazine antibiotic pyocyanin in head-to-head MIC experiments. In addition to the discovery of potent antibacterial agents against S. aureus and S. epidermidis, we also report a detailed structure-activity relationship for this class of bromophenazine small molecules.

Introduction

The emergence of multidrug resistant bacterial infections has lead to a serious global crisis. Every class of antibiotic that has been introduced into the clinic has been met with the development of drug resistant bacteria.^{1,2} Despite the growing need for new antibacterial agents, many pharmaceutical companies have abandoned their antibacterial discovery programs as the anticipated success with target-based, high-throughput screening (HTS) campaigns has yet to be realized.²⁻⁵ The health care emergency that has resulted from drug resistant bacterial infections has been gaining momentum over the past four decades as only two new classes of antibiotics have been introduced into the clinic.^{2,4} Innovative antibacterial strategies are desperately needed to meet the biomedical challenge of resistant bacterial infections.

It is without question that microorganisms produce potent antibiotics as agents of microbial warfare and competition. As a result, the large majority of our antibiotic arsenal is based on such natural products discovered in the antibiotic golden era between the 1940s and 1960s (i.e., penicillin, streptomycin, erythromycin, tetracycline, vancomycin) or their synthetic derivatives.² In fact, very few clinically useful treatment options for bacterial infections have been developed from purely synthetic origins (i.e., sulfonamides, quinolones, oxazolidinones).

Considering that many past successes in antibiotic discovery have been grounded on bacterial warfare agents/strategies from microorganisms, it stands to reason that future antibacterial treatments will also depend on the discovery and implementation of innovative microbial-inspired antibacterial strategies. One such strategy that we have become interested in is the use of redox-active phenazine antibiotics by Pseudomonas during competition with other bacteria and fungi through the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).^{6,7} One example of this competition is in young cystic fibrosis (CF) patients.⁷ Many times, individuals with CF first develop Staphylococcus aureus lung infections when they are young. As the CF patient ages, Pseudomonas aeruginosa co-infects the lung and successfully competes against S. aureus for this niche using redox-active phenazine antibiotics.

We have initiated a research program focused on the discovery of novel antibacterial agents against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* inspired by phenazine antibiotics (Figure 1, phenazines 1-5). *S. aureus* is a human pathogen that is notorious for life-threatening drug resistant infections in hospitals and the community.⁸ In fact, in the United States alone there are more annual deaths from methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) related infections than AIDS.⁹ *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is also a pathogen of great importance as it

is particularly prevalent in persistent catheter related infections.¹⁰

Here we describe the synthesis and evaluation of electronically diverse phenazine natural products and synthetic analogues against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*. Reduction potential and redox-cycling capabilities of the phenazine are electronically influenced by functional group substitutions on the phenazine heterocycle.^{6,11} We hypothesized that an electronically diverse library of phenazine small molecules would serve as a fruitful starting point for the discovery of promising lead antibacterial agents against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* based on a ROS-based competition strategy model employed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Results and Discussion

We first set out to synthesize a focused library of electronically diverse phenazines. We were able to rapidly synthesize five naturally occurring phenazines: pyocyanin 1, 1-hydroxyphenazine 2, phenazine-1carboxylic acid (PCA) 3, phenazine-1-carboxamide (PCN) 4, and 2-bromo-1-hydroxyphenazine 5. In addition to naturally occurring phenazine antibiotics, we also synthesized eight non-natural phenazine small molecules (compounds 6-13) for our initial screen against S. aureus and S. epidermidis (Scheme 1). Phenazines 1, 2, 5 and 11 were synthesized from phenazine methosulfate using previously reported protocols.¹²⁻¹⁴ synthetic In addition, several electronically diverse PCA analogues (compounds 3, 8-10, 12, 13) were synthesized using a previously described route.¹⁵ PCA **3** was diversified via amidation reaction to the naturally occurring phenazine PCN 4 using thionyl chloride followed directly by treatment with aqueous ammonia. Curtius rearrangement of PCA 3 readily afforded 1-aminophenazine 6 while oxidation of **3** with hydrogen peroxide yielded PCA *N*-oxide **7**.

This initial phenazine library was screened against S. aureus ATCC 25923 and S. epidermidis ATCC 12228 according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommendations for microdilution MIC experiments.¹⁶ For these MIC experiments, a concentration range of 0.1-100 µM was used for phenazine/antibiotic compound made from eleven 2-fold dilutions in 96-well microtiter plates. From the initial screen of 13 phenazines, we identified two phenazine small molecules that demonstrated potent growth inhibition activity against S. aureus and S. epidermidis. 2-Bromo-1-hydroxyphenazine 5 demonstrated impressive antibacterial activity with MIC values of 6.25 μ M (1.72 μ g/mL) against both S. aureus and S.

epidermidis while 2,4-dibromo-1-hydroxyphenazine **11** had improved potency against both *Staphylococcus* strains (MICs of 1.56 μ M / 0.55 μ g/mL against *S. aureus*; 0.78-1.56 μ M / 0.28-0.55 μ g/mL against *S.* epidermidis; see **Table 1**). To our surprise, bromophenazine **11** was found to be 32-fold more potent than the phenazine antibiotic pyocyanin **1** in head-to-head MIC experiments against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* (**Figure 1**). Kanamycin (aminoglycoside antibiotic) was used as a positive control against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*.

The potent antibacterial activity of bromophenazines 5 and 11 against S. aureus and S. epidermidis prompted us to synthesize a second small, yet diverse collection of bromophenazine small molecules (compounds 14 - 20; Scheme 2). This set of bromophenazine compounds was a combination of designed compounds to probe various structural elements of compound 11 to establish an SAR (compounds 16, 17, 19, 20) in addition to evaluating unrelated bromophenazines (compounds 14, 15, 18) against S. aureus. With this series of bromophenazines, we were primarily interested to know the impact of substituting the hydroxyl group in 11 for an amine group (compounds 16 and 17) since the synthetic route we used to make 1-amino-2,4dibromophenazines would be ideal for the synthesis of a more structurally diverse bromophenazine small molecule library.

Phenazine 9 was converted into amine 14 through Curtius rearrangement. The carboxylic acid of 9 was also transformed to the corresponding primary amide in 15 using thionyl chloride followed directly by treatment with aqueous ammonia. The 1-aminophenazines 6 and 14 were dibrominated using N-bromosuccinimide to yield bromophenazines 16 and 17. 2,5-Dibromoaniline was converted to 6,9-dibromophenazine-1-carboxylic acid 18 using the 2-step protocol to make PCA analogues (Jourdan-Ullmann coupling, followed by reductive ring closure with sodium borohydride). Finally, 1-methoxyphenazine was brominated in the 4position to make 19, which was demethylated to make **20** using a known route.¹⁴ All bromophenazines **14-20** were evaluated against S. aureus in MIC experiments and found to be inactive as growth inhibitors at the highest concentrations tested (100 μ M). Although we were surprised at this result, this small set of compounds was useful in establishing a detailed structure-activity relationship (SAR) for this class of bromophenazines.

Phenazines **1-20** were then screened for growth inhibition activity against *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1. In

MIC experiments, none of these phenazines demonstrated growth inhibition against PAO1 at the highest concentration tested (100 μ M). It is well established that *Pseudomonas* is resistant to pyocyanin-induced death at very high concentrations.¹⁷ The lack of growth inhibition against PAO1 is supportive of phenazines **5** and **11** demonstrating potent antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* through a ROS-generating mechanism.

We then functionalized the phenolic hydroxyl group of bromophenazine 11. We synthesized a small collection of diverse ester analogues 21-26 by condensing bromophenazine 11 with various acid chlorides. Additionally, we synthesized the corresponding methyl ether 27 by refluxing bromophenazine 11 with methyl iodide in acetone.¹⁴

Bromophenazines 21-27 were evaluated against S. aureus and bromophenazine 21 demonstrated the most potent activity with an MIC of 0.78-1.56 µM (0.31-0.62 μ g/mL) against S. aureus and an MIC of 0.78 μ M (0.31 µg/mL) against S. epidermidis. The potent growth inhibition demonstrated by 21 corresponds to a 32- to 64-fold increase in potency against S. aureus and S. epidermidis when compared head-to-head against pyocyanin 1. Bromophenazines 22, 23 and 24 also demonstrated impressive growth inhibition activities against S. aureus and S. epidermidis (24 not tested against S. epidermidis) reporting MIC values of 1.56 µM while bromophenazine 25 had an MIC of 3.13 μ M against S. aureus and S. epidermidis. Interestingly, bromophenzines 26 and 27 were completely inactive against S. aureus at 100 µM in MIC experiments. Bromophenazines 11 and 21 were found to be more potent or equipotent to kanamycin in head-to-head MIC while the naturally experiments occurring bromophenazine 5 demonstrated slightly lower potency than kanamycin against S. aureus and S. epidermidis (Table 1).

If we use bromophenazine **11** (MIC 1.56 μ M) as a starting point to compare other analogs against, a detailed SAR emerges from our investigations against *S. aureus* (**Figure 3**). The loss of the bromine atom at the 4-position of **11** results in a 4-fold reduction in potency (phenazine **5**; MIC 6.25 μ M). Substituting an amine group (compound **17**; MIC >100 μ M) for the hydroxyl of **11** leads to a loss in activity against *S. aureus*. Ester analogues of **11** can either enhance potency (compound **21** MIC 0.78-1.56 μ M) or abolish growth inhibition (compound **26**; MIC >100 μ M), but most ester moieties evaluated during our investigation are well tolerated and potent against *S. aureus* (compounds **22-25** had MICs of

1.56-3.13 μ M). The methyl ether **27** demonstrated no growth inhibition against *S. aureus* in MIC experiments at the highest concentration tested (100 μ M). We can also note that the loss of a bromine atom at the 2-position of the phenazine ring (compounds **16** and **19**; not shown in Figure 3) results in the complete loss of activity against *S. aureus* (MIC >100 μ M). This SAR will be beneficial in guiding future analogue development pertaining to this class of bromophenazine small molecules.

Conclusions:

We have discovered a class of bromophenazine antibacterial agents that demonstrate potent growth inhibition against S. aureus and S. epidermidis inspired bv а microbial warfare strategy. These bromophenazines originated from a focused library of 13 diverse phenazine compounds, including five naturally occurring "phenazine antibiotics" that was evaluated against S. auerus and S. epidermidis in MIC assays. These findings are indeed timely as novel compounds that are potent antibacterial against S. aureus and S. epidermidis are of great importance as these pathogens are notorious for their drug-resistant infections in humans.

Experimental Section:

Synthetic procedures, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and HRMS are reported in the Supporting Information.

Antibiotic Susceptibility Tests (MIC assay protocol):

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for each phenazine was determined by the broth microdilution method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).¹⁶ In a 96-well plate, eleven two-fold serial dilutions of each compound were made in a final volume of 100 µL Luria Broth (one column served as a blank). Each well was inoculated with 10^5 bacterial cells at the initial time of incubation, prepared from a fresh log phase culture (OD_{600} of 0.5). The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of compound that prevented bacterial growth after incubating 16 to 20 hours at 37 °C. The concentration range tested for each phenazine/antibiotic during this study was 0.10 to 100 All phenazine compounds were prepared for μM. biological evaluation as 10 mM DMSO stock solutions and were stored at room temperature in the absence of light. DMSO served as our vehicle and negative control in each microdilution MIC assay. DMSO was serially diluted at the same concentration as the phenazine compounds with a top concentration of 1% v/v.

Bacterial strains used during these investigations were *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923), *S. epidermidis* (ATCC 12228) and *P. aeruginosa* (PAO1).

Acknowledgements:

The authors gratefully acknowledge research support from the University of Florida (UF College of Pharmacy and Division of Sponsored Research). We would like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation through the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which supported our NMR studies, in part, at the Advanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Spectroscopy (AMRIS) Facility in the McKnight Brain Institute of the University of Florida. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Mass Spectrometry facility (Chemistry Department) at the University of Florida. We would like to thank Professor Hendrik Luesch for helpful discussions regarding various aspects of this project and Nicholas Paciaroni for synthesis assistance.

Notes and References:

- A. E. Clatworthy, E. Pierson and D. T. Hung, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2007, 3, 541-548.
- 2. K. Lewis, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov., 2013, 12, 371-387.
- 3. S. J. Projan, Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 2003, 6, 427-430.
- 4. E. D. Brown and G. D. Wright, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 759-774.
- 5. D. J. Payne, M. N. Gwynn, D. J. Holmes and D. L. Pompliano, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2007, **6**, 29-40.
- 6. A. Price-Whelan, L. E. P. Dietrich and D. K. Newman, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2006, **2**, 71-78.
- Z. A. Machan, T. L. Pitt, W. White, D. Watson, G. W. Taylor, P. J. Cole and R. Wilson, *J. Med. Microbiol.*, 1991, 34, 213-217.
- H. F. Chambers and F. R. DeLeo, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2009, 7, 629-641.
- 9. IDSA Policy Paper d CID 2011:52 (Suppl 5) d S397.
- I. Uckay, D. Pittet, P. Vaudaux, H. Sax, D. Lew and F. Waldvogel, *Ann. Med.*, 2009, 41, 109-119.
- Y. Wang, S. E. Kern and D. K. Newman, J. Bacteriol., 2010, 192, 365-369.
- 12. E. Breitmaier, J. Org. Chem., 1976, 41, 2104-2108.
- 13. D. L. Vivan, Nature, 1956, 178, 753.
- M. Conda-Sheridan, L. Marler, E. J. Park, T. P. Kondratyuk, K. Jermihov, A. D. Mesecar, J. M. Pezzulo, R. N. Asolkar, W. Fenical and M. Cushman, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 8688-8699.
- G. W. Rewcastle, W. A. Denny and B. C. Baguley, J. Med. Chem., 1987, 30, 843-851.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard, 8th

- edition (M7-A8). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 17. S. S. Barron and J. J. Rowe, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 1981, **20**, 814-820.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 13 diverse phenazines for screening against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*. (a) H_2O , sunlight, 50%; (b) H_2O , sunlight; NaOH, 37%; (c) 1.2 eq NBS, PhMe, 21%; (d) 2.2 eq NBS, PhMe, 99%; (e) 2-bromo-3-nitrobenzoic acid, CuCl, Cu^o, *N*-ethylmorpholine, 2,3-butanediol, 36-73% (6 analogues); (f) NaBH₄, NaOEt, 7-54% (6 analogues); (g) SOCl₂, PhMe; NH₃ (aq), 53%; (h) DPPA, THF:TEA; H_2O , 61%; (i). H_2O_2 , AcOH, 58%.



Figure 1. Initial library of 5 phenazine natural products and 8 synthetic phenazines in head-to-head MIC experiments against *Staphylococcus aureus*.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 7 diverse bromophenazines for screening against *S. aureus*. (a) DPPA, THF:TEA; H₂O, 69% for 14; (b) 2.1 eq NBS, PhMe, 8% for 20, 62% for 17; (c) SOCl₂, PhMe; NH₃ (aq) 98%; (d) 2-bromo-3-nitrobenzoic acid, CuCl, Cu°, *N*-ethylmorpholine, 2,3-butanediol, 29%; (e) NaBH₄, NaOH, 32%; (f) 1 eq NBS, PhMe:MeCN, 89%; (g) BBr₃, CH₂Cl₂, 27%.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of 7 diverse ester/ether bromophenazine analogues for screening against *S. aureus*. (a) acid chloride, TEA, CH_2Cl_2 , 76-94% (6 analogues); (b) K_2CO_3 , acetone; iodomethane, 29%.



Figure 2. Lead bromophenazines 11 and 21 in head-tohead evaluation in MIC experiments against *S. aureus*.



Figure 3. Detailed structure-activity relationship (SAR) for this novel class of antibacterial agents against *S. aureus*.

Table 1.	<i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC 25923)		S. epidermidis (ATCC 12228)		P. aeruginosa (PAO1)	
Phenazine	MIC (µM)	MIC (µg/mL)	MIC (µM)	MIC (µg/mL)	ΜΙC (μΜ)	
Pyocyanin 1	50	10.6	50	10.6	> 100	
2	> 100	> 19.7	> 100	> 19.7	> 100	
3	> 100	> 22.5	> 100	> 22.5	> 100	
4	> 100	> 22.4	> 100	> 22.4	> 100	
5	6.25	1.72	6.25	1.72	> 100	
6	> 100	> 19.6	> 100	> 19.6	> 100	
7	> 100	> 24.1	> 100	> 24.1	> 100	
8	> 100	> 25.5	> 100	> 25.5	> 100	
9	100	30.3	100	30.3	> 100	
10	50	13.0	50	13.0	> 100	
11	1.56	0.55	0.78-1.56	0.28-0.55	> 100	
12	> 100	> 31.5	> 100	> 31.5	> 100	
13	> 100	> 25.3	> 100	> 25.3	> 100	
14	> 100	> 27.4			> 100	
15	> 100	> 30.2			> 100	
16	> 100	> 43.2			> 100	
17	> 100	> 35.4			> 100	
18	> 100	> 38.3			> 100	
19	> 100	> 28.9			> 100	
20	> 100	> 27.5			> 100	
21	0.78-1.56	0.31-0.62	0.78	0.31		
22	1.56	0.66	1.56	0.66		
23	1.56	0.72	1.56	0.72		
24	1.56	0.74				
25	3.13	1.68	3.13	1.68		
26	> 100	> 46.5				
27	> 100	> 36.9				
Kanamycin	1.56-6.25	0.76-3.03	0.78-1.56	0.38-0.76		

(MIC experiments were carried out in duplicate, several active compounds were assayed up to 5 times during these investigations; -- is designated for compounds that were not tested against a particular bacterium)