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Abstract

We have performed a comprehensive first-principles study of the electronic and magnetic properties of two-dimensional (2D) transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMD) heterobilayers MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M = Mo, Cr, W, Fe, V; X = S, Se). For M = Mo, Cr, W; X=S, Se, all heterobilayers show semiconducting characteristics with an indirect bandgap with the exception of the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer which retains the direct-band-gap character of the constituent monolayer. For M = Fe, V; X = S, Se, the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers exhibit metallic characters. Particular attention of this study has been focused on engineering bandgap of the TMD heterobilayer materials via application of either a tensile strain or an external electric field. We find that with increasing either the biaxial or uniaxial tensile strain, the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, Cr, W; X=S, Se) heterobilayers can undergo a semiconductor-to-metal transition. For the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, a direct-to-indirect bandgap transition may occur beyond a critical biaxial or uniaxial strain. For M (=Fe, V) and X (=S, Se), the magnetic moments of both metal and chalcogen atoms are enhanced when the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers are under a biaxial tensile strain. Moreover, the bandgap of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, Cr, W; X=S, Se) heterobilayers can be reduced by the electric field. For two heterobilayers MSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, Cr), PBE calculations suggest that the indirect-to-direct bandgap transition may occur under an external electric field. The transition is attributed to the enhanced spontaneous polarization. The tunable
bandgaps in general and possible indirect-direct bandgap transitions due to tensile strain or external electric field endow the TMD heterobilayer materials a viable candidate for optoelectronic applications.
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Introduction

Two dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted intensive interest recently owing to their novel electronic and catalytic properties that differ from their bulk counterparts.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) For example, as a representative of 2D TMD materials, 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS\(_2\)) monolayer possesses a direct bandgap of 1.8 - 1.9 eV while the MoS\(_2\) bilayer possesses an indirect bandgap of ~1.53 eV; the MoS\(_2\) transistors exhibit a high on/off ratio of \(1 \times 10^8\) at room temperature. Moreover, the MoS\(_2\)-based integrated circuits have been fabricated and reported in the literature.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^7\)

Tunable electronic properties of 2D TMD materials are crucial for their applications in optoelectronics. Heterostructures have been widely used in conventional semiconductors for achieving tunable electronic properties. For the development of future 2D materials, the van der Waals heterostructures have been recognized as one of the most promising candidates\(^8\) and the TMD-based hybrid multilayered structures are a prototype van der Waals heterostructures. Recently, the vertical field-effect transistor and memory cell made of TMD/graphene heterostructures have been reported.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^12\) The Moiré pattern of nanometer-scale MoS\(_2\)/MoSe\(_2\) heterobilayer has been theoretically studied.\(^13\) Note however that although many MX\(_2\) (e.g., MoS\(_2\) and MoSe\(_2\)) monolayers are direct-gap semiconductors, their bilayers are indirect-gap semiconductors. Recent theoretical studies suggest that the direct-bandgap character can be retained only in several heterobilayer structures\(^14,\)\(^15\) and the heterobilayers are more desirable for optoelectronic applications.\(^16,\)\(^17\) To achieve tunable bandgaps for 2D materials, two
widely used engineering strategies are the application of either an external electric field or a tensile strain.\textsuperscript{18-31} Previous theoretical studies have also shown that the bandgap of MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayer is insensitive to the external electric field, whereas the indirect bandgap of MoS\textsubscript{2} bilayer decreases with the increase of the external electric field.\textsuperscript{18, 19} MoS\textsubscript{2} or MoSe\textsubscript{2} trilayer exhibits similar bandgap behavior as the bilayer counterpart when under the external electric field.\textsuperscript{20} On the other hand, previous theoretical studies show that monolayer of TMDs can undergo the direct-to-indirect transition under the increasing tensile strain, a promising way to tune the bandgap of TMD monolayers.\textsuperscript{21, 23} Photoluminescence spectroscopy measurements have confirmed that the optical bandgap of MoS\textsubscript{2} monolayer and bilayer decreases with the uniaxial strain and exhibits a direct-to-indirect transition.\textsuperscript{25} Moreover, ultra high strain tenability has been demonstrated in trilayer MoS\textsubscript{2} sheets.\textsuperscript{26} Also, under the tensile strain, the nonmagnetic NbS\textsubscript{2} and NbSe\textsubscript{2} layers can be changed to ferromagnetic.\textsuperscript{24}

To date, most studies of TMD heterostructures are concerned about the Mo and W groups. In view of successful synthesis of nanosheets of V, Nb, Ti, Cu groups,\textsuperscript{32-35} it is timely to examine electronic properties of TMD heterostructures and the effect of the external electric field or tensile strain on their bandgaps.\textsuperscript{36, 37} In this study, our focus is placed on numerous MoS\textsubscript{2}-based heterobilayers, including CrS\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, CrSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, MoSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, WS\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, WSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, VS\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, and VSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}. For these heterobilayer systems, the lattice mismatch is typically less than 5\%. We find that under an external electric field the indirect-to-direct bandgap transition may occur for two heterobilayers. A direct-to-indirect bandgap transition may occur only for the WSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2} heterobilayer under an increasing tensile strain. In general, either the external electric field or the tensile strain can notably affect the bandgap of the TMD heterobilayers.

**Computational Methods:**
All calculations are performed within the framework of spin-polarized plane-wave density functional theory (PW-DFT), implemented in the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation
package (VASP).\textsuperscript{38, 39} The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials are used.\textsuperscript{40-42} The effect of van der Waals interaction is accounted for with using a dispersion-corrected PBE method.\textsuperscript{43, 44} More specifically, we adopt a 1 \times 1 unit cell for the investigation. The vacuum size is larger than 15 Å between two adjacent images. An energy cutoff of 500 eV is adopted for the plane-wave expansion of the electronic wave function. Geometry structures are relaxed until the force on each atom is less than 0.01 eV/Å and the energy convergence criteria of 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV} are met. The 2D Brillouin zone integration using the $\Gamma$-center scheme is sampled with $9 \times 9 \times 1$ grid for geometry optimizations and $15 \times 15 \times 1$ grid for static electronic structure calculations. For each heterobilayer system, the unit cell is optimized to obtain the lattice parameters at the lowest total energy.

Biaxial tensile strain is applied to all MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers in a symmetric manner while a uniaxial tensile strain is applied in either $x$- or $y$-direction (see Figure 1 below). The direction of the external electric field is normal to the plane of heterobilayer, and in VASP, the external uniform field is treated by adding an artificial dipole sheet (i.e., dipole correction) in the supercell.\textsuperscript{45} The geometries are kept fixed when applying the external electric field to neglect the geometric distributions to the electronic structures. The Bader’s atom in molecule (AIM) method (based on charge density topological analysis) is used for charge population calculation.\textsuperscript{46} For a few systems, the hybrid HSE06 functional is also used to confirm the trend of bandgap change.\textsuperscript{47} In particular, the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is treated as a special system for which both HSE06 calculation and PBE calculation with including the spin-orbit (SO) coupling effect\textsuperscript{48} are reported.
Results and Discussion

1. Heterobilayer of MX₂/MoS₂

**Figure 1.** Atomic models of the MX₂/MoS₂ heterobilayer with four different types of layer-on-layer stacking: (a) AA stacking, (b) C7 stacking, (c) C27 stacking and (d) T stacking. For each stacking configuration, the left and the right panel displays the side and top view, respectively. The $d_{sX}$ denotes the interlayer height difference between X (top-layer) and S (lower-layer) atoms. (e) The tensile strain can be applied along $x$- or/and $y$-directions.

It is known that monolayer MX₂ exhibits two possible structures, namely, 2H or 1T phase. The 2H structure is only considered here because it is more stable than 1T for most of the MX₂ structures considered in this study. Moreover, following a previous study, we consider four different types of bilayer stacking, namely, AA, C7, C27, and T stacking, to describe how a 2H-MX₂ monolayer is superimposed on the 2H-MoS₂ monolayer (see Figure 1). A testing calculation suggests that the electronic structure is more or less the same for the four different stacking, consistent with a previous study. Therefore, only the C7 stacking that gives rise to the lowest energy in most heterobilayer systems is reported for the electronic structure calculations. The optimized cell parameters and the vertical height differences between interlayer X and S atom ($d_{sX}$, as shown in Figure 1(a)) are listed in Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) Table S1. The $d_{sX}$ of X and S atom in different MX₂/MoS₂ heterobilayers is less than 3.2 Å due to van der Waals interaction between MX₂ and MoS₂ layers.
The computed electronic bandgaps of MX$_2$ monolayers, bilayers, and MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers, as well as the binding energies per supercell of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers are listed in Table 1. The binding energies are defined as $E_b = E$(MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer) – E(MX$_2$ monoayer) – E(MoS$_2$ monolayer), where $E$(MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer) is the total energy of the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer and E(MX$_2$ monoayer) is the total energy of the MX$_2$ monoayer. For M = Mo, W, Cr, the MX$_2$ monolayers are direct semiconductors with the conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maxima (VBM) being located at the K point (ESI Figure S1). However, their corresponding bilayers become indirect semiconductors. For example, the MoS$_2$ monolayer is a direct semiconductor with a computed bandgap of 1.67 eV (PBE), while the bilayer is an indirect semiconductor with a bandgap of 1.25 eV (PBE). As shown in Figure 2, the VBM of the bilayer structures relocates to the Γ point from the K point (for the monolayer). The partial charge density at the Γ point is contributed from both monolayers, and it exhibits a strong upward shift, overtaking the energy at the K point. For MoS$_2$, WS$_2$, CrS$_2$, and CrSe$_2$ bilayers, their CBM is still located at the K point. For MoSe$_2$, the CBM moves to the Λ point (Figure 2), and the energy at the Λ point is 5 meV below that at the K point. The WSe$_2$ bilayer has a nearly degenerate energy for the two valleys.

As shown in Figure 3, most MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers are indirect semiconductors, whereas only WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer possesses a direct bandgap of 0.57 eV. Different from their own bilayers, the CBM of heterostructures are all located at the K point, while the VBM are located at Γ point. For the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, however, the VBM is still located at the K point, resulting in a direct-bandgap semiconductor (PBE). The VBM of MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ at the Γ point (V1, Figure 3a) shows a mixing of densities from both monolayers. The CBM (C1, Figure 3(a)) and valence band edge (VBE, V2, Figure3a) at the K point are localized for MoSe$_2$ and MoS$_2$, respectively. The CBM and VBM positions of MX$_2$ monolayers are shown in ESI Figure S2. One can see that the band structures of WS$_2$/MoS$_2$, WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$, and CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ are similar to those of MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$, showing type II alignment of the
band edges, which may be of advantageous for the separation of electron-hole pairs.\textsuperscript{14}

For CrSe\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2}, the VBM at the $\Gamma$ point is over that at the $K$ point by 67 meV (Figure 3(b)), and the VBM at the $\Gamma$ point is mainly due to the CrSe\textsubscript{2} layer with little contribution from the MoS\textsubscript{2} layer. However, different from other heterobilayers, the CBM and VBM at the $K$ point are both due to the CrSe\textsubscript{2}, which exhibit the type I alignment. For MX\textsubscript{2} (M=Fe, V), the monolayer, bilayer, and MX\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2} heterobilayers all exhibit metallic character, while the ferromagnetism is still kept by the heterobilayer. As shown in Table 1, the binding energies of all the MX\textsubscript{2} and MoS\textsubscript{2} heterobilayers are in the range of -0.31 to -0.14 eV, further supporting the weak van der Waals interaction between the MX\textsubscript{2} and MoS\textsubscript{2} layers.

Table 1. Computed bandgap $E_{g1}$ (in eV) of the MX\textsubscript{2} monolayer, bilayer $E_{g2}$, and MX\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2} heterobilayer $E_{g3}$, as well as the binding energies per supercell $E_b$ (in eV) of the MX\textsubscript{2}/MoS\textsubscript{2} heterobilayers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_{g1}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{g2}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{g3}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$E_b$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MoS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.67 Direct</td>
<td>1.25 Indirect</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoSe\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.46 Direct</td>
<td>1.20 Indirect</td>
<td>0.74 Indirect</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.81 Direct</td>
<td>1.43 Indirect</td>
<td>1.16 Indirect</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSe\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1.55 Direct</td>
<td>1.38 Indirect</td>
<td>0.57 Direct</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.93 Direct</td>
<td>0.68 Indirect</td>
<td>0.39 Indirect</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrSe\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.74 Direct</td>
<td>0.60 Indirect</td>
<td>0.69 Indirect</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSe\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>metal</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Computed band structures (PBE) of the homogeneous bilayer of (a) MoS$_2$, (b) MoSe$_2$, (c) WS$_2$, (d) WSe$_2$, (e) CrS$_2$, and (f) CrSe$_2$. All bilayers show an indirect bandgap.

Figure 3. Computed band structures (PBE) and partial charge density of C1, V1, and V2 state of heterobilayer: (a) MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ and (b) CrSe$_2$/MoS$_2$. The isosurface value in (a) and (b) is 0.02 e/Å$^3$. Computed band structures (PES) of heterobilayer: (c) WS$_2$/MoS$_2$, (d) WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$, and (e) CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$. Only WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer exhibits a direct bandgap.
2. Tunable Bandgaps via Tensile Strain

Strain modulation has been commonly used in low-dimensional systems to tune the electronic structures. For TMD monolayers, the strain-induced bandgap modification has been predicted from recent first-principles calculations. Photoluminescence spectroscopy measurement has further confirmed the strain effect on the electronic structure of both monolayer and bilayer TMD systems. Hence, it is of both fundamental and practical interests to examine the effect of tensile strains on the electronic properties of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers. As such, first, we have applied in-plane tensile strain by stretching the hexagonal cell biaxially, and the biaxial strain is defined as $\varepsilon = \Delta a/a_0$, where $a_0$ is unstrained cell parameters and $\Delta a + a_0$ is strained cell parameters.

As mentioned above, among the heterobilayers considered in this study, only the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer exhibits the direct-bandgap character (Figure 3(d)). Nevertheless, we find that a 1% biaxial strain can turn the heterobilayer into an indirect semiconductor as the VBM is relocated from K to $\Gamma$ point. The latter is 16 meV higher than that of the K point. The CBM is still located at the K point regardless of the strain. As the energy difference between the valence band at the K point and $\Gamma$ point is just 100 meV for the unstrained WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, the mixing feature of the $\Gamma$ point renders it more easily affected by the tensile strain. Hence, even a relatively small strain (1%) can result in higher $\Gamma$ point than the K point in the energy diagram, leading to an indirect bandgap. With further increasing the biaxial strain, the energy difference between the valence band edges at these two points becomes greater. And the indirect bandgap decreases with the biaxial tensile strain, as shown in Figure 4.

The computed electronic bandgaps of the semiconducting MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr; X=S, Se) heterobilayers as a functional of the biaxial tensile strain is shown in Figure 4. For unstrained MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, it is an indirect semiconductor with a bandgap of 0.74 eV. With the 2% biaxial tensile strain, the bandgap is reduced to 0.39 eV but still indirect. When the tensile strain increases to 4%, the bandgap is
further reduced to 0.045 eV. Eventually the MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer turns into a metal when the biaxial strain reaches 6%. For the WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, it turns into a metal when the biaxial tensile strain reaches 8%.

![Computed electronic bandgaps (PBE) of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr) heterobilayers versus the biaxial tensile strain, ranging from 0 to 8%](image)

**Figure 4.** Computed electronic bandgaps (PBE) of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr) heterobilayers versus the biaxial tensile strain, ranging from 0 to 8%.

As shown in Figure 4, the bandgaps of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr; X=S, Se) generally decrease with the biaxial tensile strain, and undergo a semiconductor-to-metal transition at certain critical strains. To gain more insight into this transition, we have analyzed the band structures and partial density of states (PDOS) of the unstrained and strained MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer. Here, we use the PDOS of WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer as an example (see Figure 5(a)). The unstrained WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is an indirect semiconductor with a bandgap of 1.16 eV. The VBM is mainly contributed by the $d$ orbital of W in the WS$_2$ layer, while the CBM is mainly contributed by the $d$ orbital of Mo in the MoS$_2$ layer. With a 4% biaxial tensile strain, the CBM is shifted toward the Fermi level, resulting in a reduced (indirect)
bandgap (0.36 eV) for the WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer. With a 8% biaxial tensile strain, the shift of CBM leads to the semiconductor-to-metal transition (see the bottom panel of Figure 5(a)).

For the semiconducting CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, PBE calculation suggests that it becomes a metal with a 4% biaxial tensile strain. Here, a 2x2 supercell is used. Under a 2% biaxial tensile strain the CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is antiferromagnetic coupling and undergoes a nonmagnetic-to-antiferromagnetic transition. When the biaxial tensile strain increases to 10%, the CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer turns into a strong antiferromagnetic coupling metal. Bader charge analysis suggests that the charge transfer between CrS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ layer is nearly zero under the 0% strain, and increases to 0.01e under the 10% strain, indicating that the charge transfer between CrS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ layer increases with increasing the tensile strain, leading to spontaneous polarization between CrS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ layer. In stark contrast, the CrS$_2$ monolayer cannot become magnetic even under a tensile strain as high as 15%. These results indicate that the charge transfer between MoS$_2$ and CrS$_2$ layer plays a key role in the nonmagnetic-to-antiferromagnetic transition.

**Figure 5.** Computed partial density of states (PDOS) of the WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer under 0%, 4%, or 8% biaxial tensile strain. The vertical dashed line represents the Fermi level.
Note also that several metallic heterobilayers MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Fe, V; X=S, Se) still maintain their metallic character under the biaxial tensile strain. Nevertheless, we find that the magnetic moment of M and X atoms increases with the increase of the biaxial tensile strain from 0% to 10% (see Table 2). A close examination of the PDOS of VS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer with 0%, 4% or 8% biaxial tensile strain (Figure 6 (a)) reveals that the state corresponding to the Fermi level is mainly contributed by $d$-states of V, which becomes more localized with increasing the strain. As shown in Figure 6(b), the spin charge density of the VS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer with a 4% biaxial tensile strain suggests the magnetism is mainly contributed by the V atom (0.98 $\mu_B$) while the S atoms of VS$_2$ carry a small magnetic moment of -0.06 $\mu_B$, consistent with the analysis based on PDOS. As a result, nano-mechanical modulation of strain can turn the nonmagnetic CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer into antiferromagnetic. The strain can also enhance the spin polarization of the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Fe, V; X=S, Se) heterobilayers. This feature may be exploited in spintronic applications such as mechanical nano-switch for spin-polarized transport.

**Table 2.** Calculated magnetic moment $\mu$ ($\mu_B$) of the M and X atoms in MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Fe, V; X=S, Se) heterobilayers. The magnetic moment of X atoms is from MX$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>strain</th>
<th>FeS$_2$</th>
<th>VS$_2$</th>
<th>VSe$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. (a) Computed PDOS of VS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer under 0%, 4% or 8% biaxial tensile strain. The vertical dashed line represents the Fermi level. (b) The spin charge density of VS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer with a 4% biaxial tensile strain. The isosurface value is 0.01 e/Å$^3$. The blue indicates the positive values.

Besides biaxial tensile strains, we also investigate effects of a uniaxial tensile strain in either x- or y-direction (Figure 1(e)). Our calculations suggest that the bandgaps in both cases are reduced with increasing the strain, as shown in Figure 7. As mentioned above, MoSe$_2$ (WS$_2$, CrSe$_2$, CrS$_2$)/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers are indirect semiconductors. Under a uniaxial tensile strain these heterobilayers remain indirect semiconductors, the same behavior as under a biaxial tensile strain. However, the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is predicted to be a direct semiconductor based on the PBE calculation. With a 2% uniaxial tensile strain along either x- or y-direction, the heterobilayer still remains to be a direct semiconductor, very different from that under the biaxial tensile strain for the heterobilayer becomes an indirect semiconductor under only 1% biaxial tensile strain. When the uniaxial tensile strain increases to 4%, the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer turns into an indirect semiconductor.
Figure 7. Computed bandgaps of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr) heterobilayers versus the uniaxial tensile strain in (a) $x$- or (b) $y$-direction, ranging from 0 to 8%.

Since the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is the only system here showing a direct bandgap (Figure 3(d)), additional PBE calculations with including the spin-orbit coupling effects are presented in ESI Figures S3-S5. Under either the biaxial or uniaxial tensile strain, the bandgap is still direct but much smaller. Moreover, the direct-to-indirect transition is not seen with increasing the strain. Nevertheless, the bandgap still decreases with increasing the strain and exhibits a semiconductor-to-metal transition, consistent with the PBE results. Moreover, HSE06 calculations are also performed for the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer. Although HSE06 tends to overestimate the bandgap (see ESI Figure S6 for a test calculation with the bilayer MoS$_2$), the overall trend in bandgap reduction with increasing the strain is the same as that predicted from the PBE calculations (see ESI Figures S3-S5). However, the direct-to-indirect transition does not occur until at the 4% biaxial strain (ESI Figure S3(l)) or 6% uniaxial strain (ESP Figures S4 and S5).

3. External electric field in the normal direction

MX$_2$ (M = Mo, W, Cr; X = S, Se) monolayers are direct-bandgap semiconductors, whereas their homogeneous bilayers are indirect-gap semiconductors. Importantly,
among the TMD heterobilayers considered, only the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is a direct-bandgap semiconductor, while the MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer possesses a quasi-direct bandgap with only 0.1 eV difference between the direct and indirect bandgap (Figure 3(a)), consistent with the previous study.\textsuperscript{15} Note that the HSE06 calculation suggests that the MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is a direct bandgap semiconductor (ESI Figure S6(b)). Previous studies also predicted direct-bandgap characters of WS$_2$/WSe$_2$ and MoTe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers.\textsuperscript{14,15} We have computed the dipole moments of WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers, and found that the dipole moments of both systems are about 0.01 e\textsuperscript{+}Å greater than those of the MS$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr) systems, suggesting the stronger spontaneous polarization in the MSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ systems is responsible for the underlying direct-bandgap or quasi-direct-bandgap characters. This large difference in spontaneous polarization may stem from the electronegativity difference between S and Se. Assuming this explanation is valid, one could ask if an external electric field is applied to the system to increase the spontaneous polarization in MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$, will the system undergoes an indirect-to-direct bandgap transition? Our test calculation shows that the answer to this question is yes. As shown in Figure 8(b), the applied 0.1 V/Å electric field can induce the indirect-to-direct bandgap transition in the MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer. Indeed, the VBM is moved from the $\Gamma$ point to $K$ point, and the direct transition of $K$-$K$ is 0.03 eV narrower than the indirect transition of $\Gamma$-$K$. Further increasing the external field will reduce the direct bandgap more significantly than the indirect bandgap (Figure 8(a)). Results of a Bader charge population analysis are presented in ESI Table S2. One can see that the charge transfer between the MoS$_2$ and MoSe$_2$ layer indeed increases with the external electric field. We have also examined the bandgaps of MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer with the geometry optimized under different electric field; the results are nearly the same as those without the geometric optimization under the electric field (see ESI Table S3).

We have also examined the spontaneous polarization in the CrSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer which possesses a dipole moment of 0.005 e\textsuperscript{-}Å. Under the external field
of 0.5 V/Å, an indirect-to-direct bandgap transition is predicted. The WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer always retains the direct-bandgap feature under the external electric field (Figure 8(a)), and its direct bandgap exhibits a steeper reduction with the increase of external electric field. Lastly, although the indirect-to-direct bandgap transition is not observed for WS$_2$/MoS$_2$ and CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers, their indirect bandgaps exhibit a nearly linear reduction with increase of the electric field. In summary, it appears that the external electric field not only can modify bandgaps of these heterobilayers but also can induce an indirect-to-direct bandgap semiconducting transition beyond a critical field.

**Figure 8.** (a) Computed bandgaps (PBE) of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr; X=S, Se) heterobilayers versus the applied electric field in the normal direction, whose strength varies from 0 to 0.6 V/Å. $E_D$ indicates the direct bandgap of K-K transition, $E_I$ indicates the indirect bandgap of Γ-K transition. A crossover of the $E_D$ and $E_I$ curves for the heterobilayer (MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ and CrSe$_2$/MoS$_2$) indicates an indirect-direct bandgap transition. The WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer is always a direct-bandgap semiconductor for field strength < 0.6 V/Å. (b) Computed band structures of MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer under the electric field of 0.1 V/Å or 0.6 V/Å.
**Conclusion**

We have performed a systematic study of electronic and magnetic properties of MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr, Fe, V; X=S, Se) heterobilayers. Our PBE calculations suggest that MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ (M=Mo, W, Cr; X=S, Se) heterobilayers are indirect-bandgap semiconductors with the exception of WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer which can retain the direct-bandgap semiconducting character. Either an external electric field or a tensile strain can induce modulation of the bandgaps for these systems. Typically, increase of the tensile strain decreases the bandgap of heterobilayers. Beyond a critical strain, the semiconductor-to-metal transition may occur. For the WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer, a direct-to-indirect bandgap transition may occur beyond a critical biaxial or uniaxial strain; however, its bandgap is always direct regardless of the strength of external electric field (< 0.6 V/Å). Moreover, unusual antiferromagnetism is observed in the CrS$_2$/MoS$_2$ system with a 2% biaxial tensile strain. The magnetic moment of M and X atoms (M=Fe, V; X=S, Se) increases with increase of the biaxial tensile strain for the MX$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayers. The spontaneous polarization in the S/Se interface is much enhanced than the S/S interface. When an electric field is applied in the same direction as the spontaneous polarization, the indirect-to-direct bandgap semiconducting transition can be observed in two heterobilayers (MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ and CrSe$_2$/CrS$_2$). These theoretical predictions suggest that TMD heterobilayer materials are very promising for optoelectronic applications due to their tunable bandgaps by applying tensile strain or external electric field, possible direct-to-indirect bandgap transition in WSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ heterobilayer by the strain, and possible indirect-to-direct bandgap transition in MoSe$_2$/MoS$_2$ and CrSe$_2$/CrS$_2$ by the external electric field.
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