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Lithium-ion-conducting solid electrolytes show promise for enabling high-energy secondary battery chemistries and solving safety issues associated with conventional lithium batteries. Achieving the combination of high ionic conductivity and outstanding chemical stability in solid electrolytes is a grand challenge for the synthesis of solid electrolytes. Herein we report the design of aliovalent substitution of LiSnS₄ to achieve high conduction and excellent air stability based on the hard and soft acids and bases theory. The composition of Li₃.33Sn₀.33As₀.16S₄ has a high ionic conductivity of 1.39 mS/cm⁻¹ at 25°C. Considering the high Li⁺ transference number, this phase conducts Li⁺ as well as carbonate-based liquid electrolytes. This research also addresses the compatibility of the sulfide-based solid electrolytes through chemical passivation.

Introduction

The future of sustainable energy lies in the harvesting and delivery of renewable energy supplies that are intermittent. Energy storage is critical to enable a stable supply of energy from intermittent energy sources. Although lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries are widely used in portable electronics, their limited energy density and relatively high cost prohibit their application in large-scale energy storage for wind and solar energy, or as the power supplies for fully electric vehicles with a desirable driving range of 300–500 miles per charge. To meet these needs, Li-sulfur (Li-S) batteries have the potential to improve the energy density of Li-ion batteries by a factor of 4 while significantly reducing battery material costs. High-energy Li-S batteries rely on conversion chemistry, rather than the insertion chemistry that is the signature of Li-ion batteries. Their practical application, though, is currently limited by the formation of polysulfides in the liquid electrolytes.¹ ² These polysulfide shuttles diminish the performance of Li anodes, leading to electrode degradation and decreased coulombic efficiency. In addition, the notorious safety issue associated with cycling metallic Li in flammable liquid electrolytes prevent the deployment of Li-S batteries.

Recently, we found that Li-S batteries can be cycled very well in an all-solid-state configuration.³ ⁴ All–solid-state Li secondary batteries employing inorganic solid electrolytes have attracted much attention because of their safety, reliability, resistance to leakage, compatibility with high-capacity active materials, and superior mechanical and thermal stability.⁵ ⁶ There are quite promising reports in the search for new solid electrolytes, such as β-Li₃PS₄,⁷ ⁸ β-berovskites,⁹ Li₂S-P₂S₅ glass-based sulfide solid electrolytes,¹⁰ ¹¹ and glass ceramic Li₃P₅S₁₁.¹² A novel Li superionic conductor, Li₁₀GeP₂S₁₂ (LGPS), a member of the thio-LISICON family,¹³ ¹⁴ has reached an unprecedented high ionic conductivity of 1.2 × 10⁻² S cm⁻¹.¹⁶ In general, sulfides have higher ionic conductivity than oxides but lower air and moisture stability than oxides.¹⁷ The hypersensitivity of the thio-LISICONs to air and moisture requires sophisticated and tedious treatment under a dry inert gas atmosphere, which increases their processing cost. The stability of the sulfides follows the rules of the hard and soft acids and bases (HSAB) theory. Soft acids are larger, have a more diffused distribution of electrons, and are more easily polarized compared with hard acids, which are small, compact, and non-polarizable. According to the HSAB theory, hard acids react preferentially with hard bases, and soft acids are more prone to react with soft bases. Based on the HSAB theory, thiophosphate-based superionic conductors are chemically unstable against oxygen because oxygen is a hard base that reacts preferentially with the hard acid P to replace the soft base S. We report herein a high-conduction sulfide-based solid electrolyte with superior air stability based on the HSAB theory.

The soft acids Sn and As were selected as the center elements to form compounds with sulfur and therefore impart excellent chemical stability against oxygen, which is a considerably harder base than sulfur. Tetralithium ortho-sulfidostannate Li₄SnS₄ has a promising Li⁺ ion conductivity of 7×10⁻⁵ S cm⁻¹ at 20°C.¹⁸ It is interesting to note that anhydrous Li₃SnS₄ can be produced from its hydrated form through direct dehydration without hydrolysis and oxidation from air. The same excellent stability against water and oxygen is expected for Li₃AsS₄ because As is a soft acid. Replacing P with As provides an obvious advantageous stability against oxygen and water. The issues addressed in this work are twofold: (1) Can an aliovalent cation of As enhance the conductivity of Li₃SnS₄? (2) Does the As-substituted Li₃SnS₄ have the expected air and moisture stability?
Experimental

Synthesis of As-substituted Li$_2$Sn$_x$ [Li$_x$,Sn$_{1-x}$,As$_x$S$_4$ (where, x = 0 to 0.250)]

The as-received starting materials of Li$_2$S (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9% purity), SnS$_2$ (vendor, 99.9% purity), and As$_2$S$_3$ (vendor, 99.9% purity) were used in the synthesis without further purification. All materials were weighed and mixed in required molar ratios of Li$_2$S:As$_2$S$_3$:SnS$_2$ in an argon-filled glove box. The mixtures were placed in an agate mortar and pestle and hand ground for 30 min. The molar ratios were determined maintaining a trend in the As-to-Sn ratio based on the following two reactions:

$$3\text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{As}_2\text{S}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_3\text{AsS}_4$$ (1)

$$2\text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{SnS}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{SnS}_4$$ (2)

The powder mixture was then sealed in Pyrex glass tubes under house vacuum. The reaction was conducted at 450°C for 12 h and then slowly ramped down to 350°C in 12 h. The final product was slowly cooled down from 350°C to room temperature in 4 h.

Structural characterization

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on an X’pert Pro powder diffractometer (PANalytical) with a copper Kα line. Although the materials are not air-sensitive, to avoid possible interference from absorbed moisture, the XRD samples were covered by Kapton films to avoid moisture uptake.

Ionic conductivity measurements

All materials were cold-pressed into dense pellets under 300 Mpa for the measurement of ionic conductivity. Pellets (diameter 1.27 cm, thickness ~ 0.06 cm) were prepared by pressing the powder with carbon-coated aluminum foils (a free sample from Exopack) on both sides in an argon-filled glove box. The carbon-coated aluminum foils served as blocking electrodes. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out using a specially designed air-tight cell. The ac impedance measurements were conducted in the frequency range of 1 MHz to 1 Hz with an amplitude of 5 mV using a frequency response analyzer (Solartron 1260). For the Arrhenius plot, temperature was ramped from 25 to 100°C and returned to 25°C in a temperature chamber (Maccor). The accuracy of the temperature was within ± 0.5°C. An EIS spectrum is presented in Fig. S1. The Nyquist plot shows a typical semicircle at higher frequency region that represents the bulk and grain boundary resistance of the electrolyte and a spike at lower frequency region that represents the diffusion due to blocking electrode, a characteristic feature expected for pure ionic conductors. The intercept of the spike at the axis of $Z'(\Omega)$ was employed to determine the total ionic conductivity.

Symmetric cell fabrication and Li cyclability measurements

Because of the chemical reaction of the solid electrolyte with metallic Li, the symmetric cell test was conducted on a passivated pellet. The passivation solution is a mixture of Li borohydride and Li iodide with a molar ratio of 3:1 in tetrahydrofuran (THF). The concentration is 5 wt. of solid content in THF. The composition of the 3:1 molar ratio LiBH$_4$/LiI is expected to be a high-conduction solid electrolyte that is compatible with metallic Li. The coating was applied by dipping the Li$_{1.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ pellet into the composite solution and vacuum drying at 170°C for 1 h. Two pieces of Li foil were attached to the coated pellet for a symmetric cell test. The symmetric cells were cycled on a battery test system (Maccor 4000) with a current density of 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature.

Electrochemical Characterization

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) was measured on a 3LiBH$_4$-LiI in THF coated Li/Li$_{1.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$/Pt cell where Li and Pt serve as the reference and counter electrodes respectively. The potential was scanned from -0.5 to 5.0 V vs. Li/Li$^{+}$ at a scan rate of 1 mV s$^{-1}$ between -0.5 V and 5.0 V at room temperature by using a potentiostat (Bio-Logic VMP3).

Electronic Conductivity Measurements

The DC polarization measurement was conducted to determine the electronic conductivity of the solid electrolyte. Each side of a cold pressed pellet (diameter 1.27 cm, thickness ~ 0.06 cm) was coated with 100 nm Au (99.9999%) serving as the blocking electrodes. The pellet was sealed in a Swagelok cell in Ar filled glove box. Conductivity measurement was carried out using a potentiostat (Bio-Logic VMP3) with low-current probe where the lowest measurable current is smaller than 1 pA. The voltage was held at each step for 10 hours, and the stabilized current was recorded as an indication of the electronic conductivity. A Faraday cage was used during the measurement. The electronic conductivity and ionic transference number of Li$_{1.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ were measured.

Results and discussion

Effect of As substitution on the ionic conductivity of Li$_2$Sn$_x$

The As substitution into Li$_x$Sn$_{1-x}$ gives a general formula of Li$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$As$_x$S$_4$ (where x = 0 to 0.250). Li$_2$Sn$_x$S$_4$ serves as the base material to form the matrix. Li$_3$AsS$_4$ is the minor component. The ionic conductivity of the samples for various molar ratios of As to Sn were studied as a function of the temperature. Figure 1a represents typical Arrhenius plots for the Li-ion conductivity in the range of 25 to 100°C. The activation energies $E_a$ for the conduction were calculated using the equation

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/kT), \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma$ is the total electrical conductivity, $\sigma_0$ is the pre-exponential parameter, $T$ is absolute temperature, $E_a$ is the activation energy, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. Figure 1b presents the room-temperature ionic conductivity (left y-axis) and activation (right y-axis) energy versus composition. The pristine Li$_2$Sn$_x$S$_4$ has an ionic conductivity of 7.1×10$^{-5}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 25°C, which is consistent with the literature value. Low-concentration doping of As is actually causing a decrease in ionic conductivity. The composition of Li$_{1.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.125}$S$_4$ (x=0.125) has an ionic conductivity of 1.48×10$^{-5}$ Scm$^{-1}$. The ionic conductivity increases as the As substitution increases and reaches a maximum at x=0.166. The highest conductivity achieved is 1.39×10$^{-4}$ Scm$^{-1}$ at 25°C with the composition Li$_{1.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$. The electronic conductivity was measured through the DC polarization measurements, which gave a value of 1.5×10$^{-10}$ Scm$^{-1}$. The calculated Li$^{+}$ transference number is 0.9999, which is
considerably higher than liquid\cite{20, 21} and polymer\cite{22} electrolytes.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{(A) Arrhenius plot of ionic conductivity of Li$_4$Sn$_4$ and As-doped Li$_4$Sn$_4$, i.e., Li$_{4-x}$Sn$_{4-x}$As$_x$S$_4$ (where x=0 to 0.250) with various molar ratios of As:Sn. The top x-axis is temperature and the bottom x-axis is 1000/T. (B) Room-temperature ionic conductivity (left y-axis) and activation energies (right y-axis) as a function of composition.}
\end{figure}

As substitution forms solid solution phase

All XRD patterns (Fig. 2) show that the matrix of Li$_{4-x}$Sn$_{4-x}$As$_x$S$_4$ (where x=0 to 0.250) is Li$_4$Sn$_4$ (space group Pnma, a=13.812(3) Å, b=7.9624(16) Å, c=6.3670(13) Å).\cite{23, 24} A series of peaks from 2\( \theta \) of 10 to 35° are assigned as the following: 12.8° (200); 15.3° (101); 17.04° (210); 17.8° (011); 18.9° (201); 22.04° (211); 22.3° (020); 25.5° (400); 26.4° (220); 27.2° (311); and 28.1° (121).\cite{25} In the concentration range from x=0 to 0.25, no peak was identified as Li$_3$As$_2$S$_4$. This is a clear piece of evidence for the formation of solid solutions of Li$_3$As$_2$S$_4$ in Li$_4$Sn$_4$. Significant peak broadening was observed in all As-substituted samples. The ionic radius of As$^{5+}$ is 60 pm, which is considerably smaller than the 83 pm radius of Sn$^{4+}$.\cite{26} The peak broadening results from a disordering of the crystal structure due to the substitution of As atoms for the Sn atoms at the metal centers of the tetrahedron [SnS$_4$]$^{4-}$ units. The substitution creates interstitials or vacancies that are accounted from the enhanced ionic conductivity of the solid solutions. Three additional weak peaks appear at 2\( \theta \) of 14.56°, 29.42°, and 35.27°. These peaks could originate from the As atoms of the solid solutions.

Fig. 2 Structural evaluation. XRD patterns of Li$_4$Sn$_4$ and As-substituted Li$_4$Sn$_4$, i.e., Li$_{4-x}$Sn$_{4-x}$As$_x$S$_4$ (where x=0 to 0.250) with peaks indexed for orthorhombic crystal pattern.
sulfide-based solid electrolytes. According to the HSAB theory, the instability of the known Li P sulfides and Li Ge sulfides stems from the strong oxophilicity of the P and Ge atoms. The soft bases of Sn$^{4+}$ and As$^{5+}$ are expected to bind strongly with the soft base of S$^{2–}$. Therefore, these new solid electrolytes should be stable in air. To test the air stability, a representative sample of Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ was shaken in ambient air for over 48 h. For comparison purposes, a sample of β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ was synthesized and shaken in ambient air at identical conditions. Figure 3a shows XRD spectra of pristine Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ and after it had been exposed to laboratory ambiance (64°F and 80% humidity) for 48 h. The XRD pattern of Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ showed peak intensity broadening at 16° after air exposure could be due to some absorbed moisture in the sample. In order to address this issue, the air exposed sample was heated at 140°C for 1 hour to remove any residual moisture content in the solid electrolyte. As expected, the peak intensity decreased significantly after the heat treatment and all the other peaks remained the same as the pristine sample. This fact indicates that the electrolyte is air stable even with the small amount of absorbed moisture content, the sample showed very high ionic conductivity. This is a clear piece of evidence to prove that the air exposure does not affect the intrinsic property of the solid electrolyte. The XRD patterns (Fig. 3b) of the control sample of β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ before and after air exposure are completely different. The air exposure destroyed the crystal structure of β-Li$_3$PS$_4$.

The ionic conductivity of the pristine and air-exposed samples was measured by ac impedance. Figure 4 presents the temperature dependences of the conductivity of Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ and β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ before and after exposure to air. The room-temperature ionic conductivities of Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ before and after air exposure were 1.39×10$^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ and 9.95×10$^{-4}$ S cm$^{-1}$, respectively. There is only a very minor change in conductivity, which may be due to a very small amount of moisture uptake or to variance in sample preparation. In stark contrast to the stable Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ phase, the β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ showed more than an order of magnitude drop in ionic conductivity after air exposure.

Surface modification addresses chemical compatibility with

---

Fig. 3 Comparative structural evaluation upon air exposure. XRD patterns of (a) Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ and (b) Li$_3$PS$_4$ before and after air exposure.

Fig. 4 Comparative Arrhenius plots for Li$_{3.833}$Sn$_{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$ and β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ before and after air exposure.

Fig. 5 Symmetric cell test before (red line) and after (black line) 3LiBH$_4$·LiI coating, a current density of 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ was applied to the cells.
The most prominent advantage of a battery with a solid electrolyte is that it can employ a Li metal without the deleterious dendritic Li deposition typically seen in Li cells employing organic liquid electrolytes. Although some recently discovered sulfide-based solid electrolytes have sufficient ionic conductivity comparable to that of liquid electrolytes, the Ge and Sn atoms that impart the high Li-ion conductivity cause the incompatibility of these new materials with metallic Li. This work does not demonstrate an exception to that incompatibility with metallic Li. Since the electrolyte is in a solid form, it is possible to modify or passivate the surface of the electrolyte to achieve good compatibility with metallic Li. In this research, we demonstrate the concept of passivating the solid electrolyte by using a surface coating. The approach was to chemically passivate the surface of the solid electrolyte with a Li-compatible composite of 3LiBH₄·LiI in THF solvent. A pellet of Li₃ₓSn₀.₈₃₃As₀.₁₆₆·Sn₄ was dip-coated by a 5 wt. % 3LiBH₄·LiI solution in THF. The THF was removed by heating the coated pellet to 170°C. Shown in Fig. 5 is a comparison of the symmetric cell cycling data before and after coating. The pristine pellet is not compatible with metallic Li electrodes. The cell voltage is spiky as a result of the interfacial reaction between the solid electrolyte and the newly deposited metallic Li. A smooth cell voltage was achieved after the 3LiBH₄·LiI coating was applied. The coating material, 3LiBH₄·LiI, is a good ionic conductor that is compatible with metallic Li. The compatibility of the coated electrolyte with metallic lithium was further proved by the cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement of Li/Li₃ₓSn₀.₈₃₃As₀.₁₆₆·Sn₄/Pt cell (Fig. S3). Li was the working and pseudo reference electrode and Pt was the counter electrode. The potential was scanned from 0.5 to 5.0 V vs. Li/Li⁺ at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹. The cathodic current occurred right at 0V. This fact indicates that no side reaction happened during the lithium deposition. A sharp anodic peak was observed between 0 and 0.3 V referring to lithium dissolution. A small peak was observed at 0.53 V, which is attributed to the dealloying of Li-Pt alloy formed at the electrochemical cycling. No additional peak was observed in the entire 5V electrochemical window. This proof-of-principle experiment opens an avenue for solving the compatibility problem of high-conduction Li-ion conductors.

Conclusions

Solid electrolytes with fast Li-ion conduction are a key requisite for enabling high-energy and safer all–solid-state Li batteries. Achieving high ionic conductivity, along with impressive chemical stability that facilitates battery processing, remains a grand challenge for the development of solid electrolytes. A new Li-ion conductor, Li₃ₓSn₀.₈₃₃As₀.₁₆₆·Sn₄, was synthesized that showed a promising high Li ionic conductivity of 1.39×10⁻³ Scm⁻¹ at room temperature and superior chemical stability when processed under air and/or moisture conditions. Various compositions of Li₄ₓSnₓAs₂S₈ (where x=0 to 0.250) were systematically investigated through solid state synthesis. The results obtained were compared with the parent compound, Li₄SnS₈. The ionic conductivity reached a maximum at a substitution level of 16.6% of Sn atoms replaced by As atoms.

Air stability was achieved based on the HSAB theory. Soft acids of As⁺³ and Sn⁺⁴ are intended to form a compound stable against hydrolysis and oxidation. Although chemical compatibility with metallic Li was compromised by the Sn and As atoms, surface modification of the solid electrolyte was demonstrated as a viable approach to retarding the interfacial reactions and therefore imparting excellent cyclability with metallic Li. The toxicity and environmental impact of these new compounds are uncertain. Given the poisonous nature of As containing oxides, there could be a concern of toxicity regarding these sulfides. However, it is worth noting that many sulfur compounds of arsenic are known as occurring in nature, such as As₂S₃ in its α- and β-dimorphites.

Many others are produced industrially in bulk. Due to the insolubility of arsenic sulfides in water and acids, they are not dissolved in gastric juice and therefore they are not poisonous. It will be an appropriate future topic to evaluate the toxicity and environmental impact of these compounds for their broad use in batteries.

Solid electrolytes and all–solid-state batteries are emerging research areas that are full of opportunities to address the increasing challenges of energy technologies. The application of HSAB theory in the design and the passivation of solid electrolytes will have a far-reaching impact on the development of high-energy batteries that are intrinsically safe.
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Supporting Information

Fig. S1. Impedance spectrum of Li_{3.833}Sn_{0.833}As_{0.166}S_{4} measured at room temperature. The total conductivity is determined by using the intercept between the semi-arc and straight line as the total resistance.

Fig. S2. Powder XRD pattern of As-substituted Li_{4}SnS_{4} with a nominal composition of Li_{10}SnAs_{5}S_{12} synthesized at identical conditions of the Li_{3.833}Sn_{0.833}As_{0.166}S_{4} phase.

Fig. S3. Cyclic voltammogram of a Pt/Li_{3.833}Sn_{0.833}As_{0.166}S_{4}/Li cell with LiBH_{4}-LiI passivation layer at 1 mVs^{-1} showing plating and stripping of Li between -0.5 and 5.0V.
Table S1  Comparison of activation energy of various solid electrolytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solid Electrolytes</th>
<th>Activation Energy Ea (eV)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li$_{10}$GeP$<em>2$S$</em>{12}$ (LGPS)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoporous β-Li$_3$PS$_4$ (β-LPS)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk β-Li$_3$PS$_4$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot pressed cubic Li$_7$La$_3$Zr$<em>2$O$</em>{12}$ (LLZO)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$<em>{3.838}$Sn$</em>{0.833}$As$_{0.166}$S$_4$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Our new electrolyte</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>