Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Reactions of Ruthenium Hydrides with Ethyl-Vinyl Sulfides

Fatme Dahcheh and Douglas W. Stephan^{*}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

5 The Ru-hydride precursors (Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)₂RuHCl (1) and (Me₂Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)₂RuHCl (2) reacted with ethyl-vinyl-sulfide to give $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_3H_2N_2(CH_2CH(OMe))RuCl(PPh_3)_2)$ (3) and $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_3Me_2N_2(CH_2CH(OMe))RuCl(PPh_3)_2$ (4), respectively. Dissolution of (4) in C_6D_6 prompts formation of $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_5H_6N_2(CHCH)RuCl(PPh_3)_2 (5))$. The analogous reactions of the bis-carbene Ru-hydride precursors (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl (6), 10 (Im(OMe)₂)(SIMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl (7)and (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes-Cl₂)(PPh₃)RuHCl (8)gave $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_3H_2N_2(CHCH)RuCl(PPh_3)(NHC) (NHC = IMes (9), SIMes (10), IMes-Cl₂ (11),$ respectively. The formation of compounds (3)-(5) and (9)-(11) is thought to go through an initial insertion of the vinyl-fragment into the Ru-H prompting subsequent C-H activation and loss of diethyl sulfide. This yields (3) and (4), while subsequent loss of methanol yields (5) and (9)-(11).

15 INTRODUCTION

The indisputable value of olefin metathesis in natural product synthesis, polymer, and pharmaceutical chemistry,¹ prompted the award of the 2005 Nobel prize to Grubbs, Shrock and Chauvin.^{1d, 1e, 2} While the Schrock based systems are highly active,^{1e} it is the

- $_{20}$ stability, activity and broad applications of the "Grubbs' catalysts" $(Cy_3P)_2Cl_2RuCHPh^3$ and $(Cy_3P)(SIMes)Cl_2RuCHPh^4$ that has led to a range of commercial applications. Nonetheless, efforts continue to develop new strategies to related derivatives. The incorporation of pendant donors in the Grubbs-Hoveyda⁵
- ²⁵ modification has been reported, while Fogg and coworkers⁶ have developed Ru-mono- or bidentate aryloxide derivatives and we have recently reported the tridentate dithiolate ligand derivative (O(CH₂CH₂S)₂)(SIMes)RuCHPh. Interestingly this latter species in inactive in metathesis, but is activated by the addition of the ³⁰ Lewis acid BCl₃.⁷



Scheme 1 Reaction of Ru-hydride with aryl-vinyl-sulfide.

A variety of methods to synthesize Ru-alkylidenes⁸ have been reviewed comprehensively by Fogg. For example, reactions of Ru

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

³⁵ precursors with cyclopropenes,⁹ sulfur-ylides,¹⁰ dihalomethanes¹¹ and diazomethanes¹² afford routes to Ru-alkylidenes. Alternatively, Ru-allenylidene, indenylidene,¹³ vinylidene^{11e} or cumulenylidenes¹⁴ provide alternative structures that also effect olefin metathesis. In seeking an alternative synthetic strategy to ⁴⁰ reactive Ru-alkylidenes, we have recently reported the reactions of aryl-vinyl-sulfides with Ru-hydrides.¹⁵ This reaction affords the installation of both an alkylidene and a thiolate ligand simultaneously (Scheme 1). In continuing the development of this strategy we have explored the reaction of Ru-hydrides with ethyl-

⁴⁵ vinyl-sulfide. These systems are shown to react in a different fashion ultimately effecting the C-H activation of the ligand substituents.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations: All manipulations were carried out ⁵⁰ under an atmosphere of dry, O₂-free N₂ employing a Vacuum Atmospheres glovebox and a Schlenk vacuum line. Solvents were purified with a Grubbs-type column system manufactured by Innovative Technology, dispensed into thick-walled Schlenk glass flasks equipped with Teflonvalve stopcocks (THF, toluene, ⁵⁵ pentane, hexanes, CH₂Cl₂) and stored over molecular sieves. Some solvents were dried over the appropriate agents, vacuumtransferred into storage flasks with Teflon stopcocks and degassed accordingly (C₆H₆, C₆D₆, CD₂Cl₂). ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on Bruker 400 MHz and ⁶⁰ Bruker 500 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts were given relative to SiMe₄ and referenced to the residual solvent signal

Page 2 of 7

 $({}^{1}H, {}^{13}C)$ or relative to an external standard $({}^{31}P: 85\% H_{3}PO_{4})$. In some instances, signal and/or coupling assignment was derived from two dimensional NMR experiments (HSQC). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants as scalary

⁵ values in Hz. Combustion analyses were performed in house employing a Perkin-Elmer CHN analyzer. Ethyl vinyl sulfide was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. SIMes, IMes,¹⁶ IMes-Cl₂¹⁷ were prepared according to literature procedures. (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl 1,

 10 (Me₂Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)₂RuHCl
 (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl
 6, (Im(OMe)₂)(SIMes)(PPh₃) RuHCl 7 were prepared as previously reported.¹⁸

Synthesis of ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃H₂N₂(CH₂CH(OMe))RuCl

- $(PPh_3)_2$ (3) Ethyl vinyl sulfide (24 µL, 0.236 mmol) was added to 15 a solution of (1) (0.100 g, 0.118 mmol) in 5 mL C₆H₆ at room temperature. The solution was then stirred for 6 hours before the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL and 15 mL of pentane was added which caused a light orange precipitate to form. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with pentane and dried under
- ²⁰ high vacuum (0.080 g, 80%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from benzene/pentane at 25 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.71(br s, 10H, PPh₃), 7.39 (m, 1H, PPh₃), 7.03 (br s, 19H, PPh₃), 6.33 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.29 (m, 1H, Ru-CHOMe), 5.83 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 3.54 (br s, 4H, NCH₂CH₂OMe
- 5.85 (d, $J_{HH} = 2$ Hz, HI, III-CHJ, 5.34 (of \$, 4H, NCH₂CH₂OHe 25 + O(CH₃)), 3.45 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.77 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)), 2.55 (dd, $^{2}J_{HH} = 12$ Hz, $^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CHOMe), 2.48 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.37 (dd, $^{2}J_{HH} = 12$ Hz, $^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CHOMe). $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (161 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 41.9 (d, $^{2}J_{PP} = 319$ Hz, *P*Ph₃), 35.7 (d, $^{2}J_{PP} = 319$ Hz, *P*Ph₃). $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ 30 NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 192.8 (t, $^{2}J_{PC} = 14$ Hz, NCN), 138.0 (d,
- ³⁰ NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 192.8 (t, ²J_{PC} = 14 Hz, NCN), 138.0 (d, ²J_{PC} = 12 Hz, PPh₃), 134.7 (br s, PPh₃), 134.1 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 20 Hz, PPh₃), 129.0 (PPh₃), 128.8 (d, ³J_{PC} = 7 Hz, PPh₃), 128.5 (PPh₃), 120.4 (Im-CH), 116.7 (Im-CH), 82.5 (t, ²J_{PC} = 6Hz, NCH₂CHOMe), 71.1 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 58.8 (O(CH₃)), 58.1

Synthesis of $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_3Me_2N_2(CH_2CH(OMe))RuCl (PPh_3)_2 (4)$ Ethyl vinyl sulfide (24 µL, 0.234 mmol) was added to ⁴⁰ a solution of (2) (0.140 g, 0.161 mmol) in 5 mL CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. The solution was then stirred for 6 hours before the solution was filtered over celite and the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL. Pentane (15 mL) was added while stirring to precipitate a red solid which was collected on a frit and dried under high

- ⁴⁵ vacuum (0.071 g, 51%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from benzene/hexane at 25 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.90(br s, 6H, PPh₃), 7.11-6.88 (br m, 24H, PPh₃), 6.35 (ddd, ³J_{HH} = 11 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, ³J_{PH} = 4 Hz, 1H, Ru-CHOMe), 3.59 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 3.42 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)), 2.76 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)),
- ⁵⁰ 2.73-2.64 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₂OMe + NCH₂CHOMe), 2.61 (dt, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 10$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CHOMe), 2.22 (dd, ${}^{2}J_{HH} =$ 12 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CHOMe), 1.47 (s, 3H, Me₂Im(OMe)₂-4,5-CH₃), 1.27 (s, 3H, Me₂Im(OMe)₂-4,5-CH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (161 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 40.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 315$ Hz, *P*Ph₃),
- ⁵⁵ 33.0 (d, ²*J*_{PP} = 315 Hz, *PP*h₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 134.6 (t, ²*J*_{PC} = 6 Hz, PPh₃), 134.2 (d, ¹*J*_{PC} = 18 Hz, PPh₃), 129.2 (PPh₃), 127.7 (t, ³*J*_{PC} = 4 Hz, PPh₃), 124.6 (Im-4,5-

 C_{ipso}), 122.6 (Im-4,5- C_{ipso}), 80.6 (t, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 6Hz, NCH₂CHOMe), 71.3 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 58.5 (O(CH₃)), 58.1 (O(CH₃)), 56.1

 $_{60}$ (NCH₂CHOMe), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 9.2 (Me₂Im-4,5-CH₃), 8.9 (Me₂Im-4,5-CH₃), NCN peak not observed. Elemental Analysis for C₄₇H₄₉ClN₂O₂P₂Ru•(CH₂Cl₂)_{0.5}: C, 62.36; H, 5.51; N, 3.06. Found: C, 62.14; H, 5.76; N, 3.28.

Synthesis of ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃Me₂N₂(CHCH)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (5)

- ⁶⁵ A solution of (4) (0.060 g, 0.068 mmol) in 3 mL C₆H₆ was left stirring for 24 hours before the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL and pentane was added while stirring to precipitate a yellow solid which was collected on a frit and dried under high vacuum (0.045 g, 77%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 7.67 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz,
- g, (17)(), (17) (18) (18) (18), (19) (19), (19) (19), (10) (10), (10) (10), (
- ⁷⁵ CH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (161 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 36.7 (s, PPh₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 135.6 (t, ²J_{PC} = 19 Hz, RuCHCHN), 134.6 (t, ²J_{PC} = 6 Hz, PPh₃), 134.2 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 18 Hz, PPh₃), 129.2 (PPh₃), 127.6 (t, ³J_{PC} = 4 Hz, PPh₃), 125.2 (Im-4,5-C_{inso}), 124.6 (br s, RuCHCHN), 122.6 (Im-4,5-C_{inso}), 71.8
- ²⁶ (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 58.7 (O(CH₃)), 46.4 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 9.2 (Me₂Im-4,5-CH₃), 8.9 (Me₂Im-4,5-CH₃), NCN peak not observed. Elemental Analysis for $C_{46}H_{45}ClN_2OP_2Ru \cdot (C_5H_{12})_{0.5}$: C, 66.47; H, 5.87; N, 3.20. Found: C, 66.49; H, 6.18; N, 2.98.
- Synthesis of (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes-Cl₂)(PPh₃)RuHCl (8) IMes-Cl₂ ⁸⁵ (0.174 g, 0.472 mmol) in 5 mL THF was added to a solution of (1) (0.200 g, 0.236 mmol) in 5 mL of THF and the mixture was heated at 60 °C for 48 h. All volatiles were removed in vacuum. The product was extracted with toluene (10 mL) and filtered through celite. The solution was concentrated to 2 mL and
- ⁵⁰ pentane (15 mL) was added to the red solution to precipitate the product. The red solid was collected on a frit and dried under vacuum (0.147 g, 65%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from toluene/pentane at 25 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.48 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 8 Hz, 6H, PP*h*₃), 6.96 (m, 5H, PP*h*₃ + Mes-C*H*), 6.90 (m,
- ⁵⁵ 8H, PPh₃ + Mes-CH), 6.68 (br s, 1H, In(Me)₂-CH), 6.67 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im(OMe)₂-CH), 4.61 (dd, ²J_{HH} = 15 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 4 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im(OMe)₂-CH₂), 3.88 (m, 1H, Im(OMe)₂-CH₂), 2.91 (s, 3H, Im(OMe)₂-CH₃), 2.87 (m, 1H, Im(OMe)₂-CH₂), 2.81-2.57 (m, 13H, Im(OMe)₂-CH₃ + Mes-CH₃ +
- CH_2), 2.81-2.37 (m, 13H, Im(OMe)₂- CH_3 + Mes- CH_3 + Im(OMe)₂- CH_2) 2.36-2.15 (m, 10H, Im(OMe)₂- CH_2 + Mes- CH_3), 2.05 (br s, 3H, Mes- CH_3), -28.11(d, ${}^2J_{PH}$ = 25 Hz, 1H, Ru-H). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (161 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 43.2 (s, PPh₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6 , partial): δ 140.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 31 Hz, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 134.9 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 11 Hz, o-C, PPh₃), 129.4 (br s, C_{ipso})128.3 (Mes-
- ¹⁰⁵ *C*H), 128.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC} = 2$ Hz, *p*-C, PPh₃), 127.6 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 8$ Hz, *m*-C, PPh₃), 120.0 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H), 118.8 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H), 72.4 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₂), 71.3 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₂), 58.2 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₃), 57.9 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₃), 48.1 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₂), 47.4 (Im(OMe)₂-*C*H₂), 21.3 (br s, Mes-*C*H₃), 18.2 (br s, Mes-*C*H₃). Elemental ¹¹⁰ Analysis for C₄₈H₅₄Cl₃N₄O₂PRu•C₆H₁₄: C, 62.15; H, 6.57; N, 5.37. Found: C, 62.64; H, 6.43; N, 5.45.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Synthesis} & \text{of} & ((\text{MeOCH}_2\text{CH}_2)\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{N}_2(\text{CHCH}) \\ \text{RuCl(PPh_3)(IMes) (9) Ethyl vinyl sulfide (14.0 \ \mu\text{L}, 0.138 \ \text{mmol}) \\ \text{was added to a solution of (6) (0.100 \ \text{g}, 0.112 \ \text{mmol}) in 5 \ \text{mL} \end{array}$

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

C₆H₆ at room temperature. The solution was then stirred for 48 hours before the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL. Pentane (15 mL) was layered and left overnight at room temperature yielding red crystals. The pentane was decanted and the crystals were s dried under high vacuum (0.076 g, 79%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from benzene/hexane at 25 °C.¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.75 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 5 Hz, ³J_{PH} = 1 Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 7.32 (ddd, ³J_{PH} = 10 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 6H, C₆H₅, PPh₃), 7.06-6.92 (m, 11H, (9H) PPh₃ + (2H) Mes-CH), 6.45 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.45 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.12 (br s, 2H, IMes-CH), 6.09 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 5

- = 2 HZ, 1H, Im-CH), 0.12 (or s, 2H, IMes-CH), 0.09 (dd, $J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{PH} = 2$ Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 4.97 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.97 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.91 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.84 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)), 2.47 (app dt, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 10$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 2$ Hz, 15 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.79-2.05 (br s, 18H, Mes-CH₃). ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (161 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 38.4 (s, PPh₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (101
- MMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 36.4 (s, 7 H₃). C₁ H₂ MMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 185.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 16 Hz, NCN), 160.2 (d, ²J_{PC} = 12 Hz, RuCHCHN), 137.8 (C_{ipso}), 137.5 (C_{ipso}), 134.6 (d, ²J_{PC} = 11 Hz, PPh₃), 134.2 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 20 Hz, PPh₃), 129.3 (Mes-CH), 128.6
- ²⁰ (Mes-CH), 127.6 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 9$ Hz, PPh₃), 124.4 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC} = 2$ Hz, RuCHCHN), 122.9 (IMes-CH), 122.8 (IMes-CH), 118.7 (Im-CH), 114.5 (Im-CH), 73.0 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 58.0 (O(CH₃)), 48.5 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 21.2 (br s, Mes-CH₃), 19.8 (br s, Mes-CH₃). Elemental Analysis for C₄₇H₅₀ClN₄OPRu•(C₆H₆)_{0.5}: C, 67.21; H, 25 5.98; N, 6.27. Found: C, 66.90; H, 6.28; N, 6.22.
- Synthesisof((MeOCH2CH2)C3H2N2(CHCH)RuCl(PPh3)(SIMes)(10)Ethyl vinyl sulfide(13.0 μ L, 0.128mmol) was added to a solution of (7)(0.100 g, 0.112 mmol) in 5mL C6H6 at room temperature. The solution was then stirred for
- ³⁰ 16 hours before the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL and 15 mL of pentane was added to which caused a purple precipitate to form. The purple solid was collected by filtration, washed with pentane and dried under high vacuum (0.073 g, 76%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from benzene/pentane at 25 °C. ¹H
- ³⁵ NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.70 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5 Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 7.27 (t, ³J_{HH} = 8 Hz, 6H, C₆H₅, PPh₃), 7.04-6.90 (m, 13H, C₆H₅, PPh₃ + Mes-CH), 6.61 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.42 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2 Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.10 (dd, ³J_{HH} = 5 Hz, ⁴J_{PH} = 2 Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 4.95 (dt, ²J_{HH} = 14 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 3 Hz, 1H,
- ⁴⁰ NC*H*₂C*H*₂OMe), 3.44 (br m, 1H, SIMes-C*H*₂), 3.29 (br m, 1H, SIMes-C*H*₂), 3.09-2.96 (br m, 4H, SIMes-C*H*₂ + NC*H*₂C*H*₂OMe), 2.90 (dt, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 3$ Hz, 1H, NC*H*₂C*H*₂OMe), 2.83 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)), 2.74 (s, 3H, Mes-C*H*₃), 2.43 (s, 6H, Mes-C*H*₃), 2.25 (s, 3H, Mes-C*H*₃), 2.14 (s, 3H, Mes-C*H*₃), 1.74 (s,
- ⁴⁵ CH₃). ³¹P {¹H} NMR (161 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 37.0 (s, PPh₃). ¹³C {¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 202.6 (NCN), 184.9 (d, ²J_{PC} = 15 Hz, NCN), 159.6 (d, ²J_{PC} = 15 Hz, RuCHCHN), 137.6 (C_{ipso}), 137.3 (C_{ipso}), 136.3 (br, C_{ipso}), 134.6 (d, ²J_{PC} = 11 Hz, PPh₃), 130.4 (br, C_{ipso}), 129.7 (Mes-CH), 128.6 (Mes-CH), 127.6 (d, ³J_{PC} = 9 Hz,
- ⁵⁰ PPh₃), 124.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC} = 2$ Hz, RuCHCHN), 118.9 (Im-CH), 114.5 (Im-CH), 72.9 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 58.0 (O(CH₃)), 51.3 (br s, NCH₂CH₂N), 48.6 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 21.3 (Mes-CH₃), 21.0 (Mes-CH₃), 20.4 (Mes-CH₃), 20.1 (Mes-CH₃), 19.9 (Mes-CH₃), 17.9 (Mes-CH₃). Elemental Analysis for C₄₇H₅₂ClN₄OPRu•(C₆H₆)0.5: 55 C, 67.06; H, 6.19; N, 6.26. Found: C, 66.69; H, 6.65; N, 6.25.
- Synthesis of $((MeOCH_2CH_2)C_3H_2N_2(CHCH)RuCl(PPh_3)$ (IMes-Cl₂) (11) Ethyl vinyl sulfide (13.0 µL, 0.128 mmol) was

added to a solution of (8) (0.100 g, 0.104 mmol) in 5 mL C_6H_6 at room temperature. The solution was then stirred for 48 hours 60 before the solvent was concentrated to 1 mL. Pentane (15 mL) was layered and left overnight at room temperature yielding red crystals. The pentane was decanted and the crystals were dried under high vacuum (0.079 g, 82%). X-ray quality crystals were grown from benzene/hexane at 25 °C.¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): 65 δ 7.66 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{PH}$ = 1 Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 7.27 (m, 6H, C₆H₅, PPh₃), 6.99 (m, 3H, C₆H₅, PPh₃), 6.94 (m, 6H, C₆H₅, PPh₃), 6.83 (br s, 2H, Mes-CH), 6.75 (br s, 2H, Mes-CH), 6.63 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 2$ Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.40 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 2$ Hz, 1H, Im-CH), 6.04 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, ${}^{4}J_{PH} = 2$ Hz, 1H, RuCHCHN), 4.89 (ddd, ${}^{70}{}^{2}J_{HH} = 10$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 3$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.99 (m, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.86 (ddd, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 14$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 4$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 2$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.82 (s, 3H, O(CH₃)), 2.44 (app dt, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 10$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 3$ Hz, 1H, NCH₂CH₂OMe), 2.16 (br s, 9H, Mes-CH₃), 2.11 (br s, 9H, Mes-CH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (161 ⁷⁵ MHz, C₆D₆): δ 38.4 (s, PPh₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 184.8 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 16 Hz, NCN), 159.2 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 12 Hz, RuCHCHN), 137.2 (C_{ipso}), 136.9 (C_{ipso}), 134.4 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 11$ Hz, PPh_3), 128.9 (Mes-CH), 128.8 (Mes-CH), 127.5 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 9$ Hz, PPh_3), 124.4 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC} = 2$ Hz, RuCHCHN), 118.8 (Im-CH), 114.5 ⁸⁰ (Im-CH), 72.6 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 57.9 (O(CH₃)), 48.5 (NCH₂CH₂OMe), 21.1 (Mes-CH₃), 20.9 (Mes-CH₃), 17.8 (Mes-CH₃). Elemental Analysis for C₄₇H₄₈Cl₃N₄OPRu: C, 61.14; H, 5.24; N, 6.07. Found: C, 56.84; H, 5.74; N, 6.08.

X-ray Data Collection, Reduction, Solution, and Refinement.
⁸⁵ Single crystals were coated in Paratone-N oil in the glove-box, mounted on a MiTegen Micromount and placed under an N₂ stream. The data were collected on a Bruker Apex II diffractometer. The data were collected at 150(±2) K for all crystals. Data reduction was performed using the SAINT
⁹⁰ software package, and an absorption correction was applied using SADABS. The structures were solved by direct methods using XS and refined by full-matrix least squares on F² using XL as implemented in the SHELXTL suite of programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Carbon-bound
⁹⁵ hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions using an appropriate riding model and coupled isotropic temperature factors.

DISCUSSION

The Ru-hydride precursors (Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)₂RuHCl (1) and ¹⁰⁰ (Me₂Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)₂RuHCl (2), were prepared using previous published methodologies. {Wang, 2013 #3779;Lund, 2012 #9770} and subsequently reacted with ethyl-vinyl-sulfide. In the case of (1), the mixture of ethyl vinyl sulfide with a benzene solution of (1) was allowed to react for 6 hours resulting in an ¹⁰⁵ orange solution. After workup, compound (3) was isolated as a light orange solid in 80% yield (Scheme 2). The presence of diethyl sulfide as a by-product was confirmed by GC analysis of the reaction mixture. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum revealed two doublets at 41.9 and 35.7 ppm with a coupling constant of 319 Hz ¹¹⁰ indicative of two phosphines in a *trans* disposition. A multiplet at 6.29 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum, which integrates to one proton, was assigned to the Ru-CHOMe proton. The ¹³C{¹H}

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

NMR spectrum displays a triplet at 82.5 with a ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ of 6 Hz which corresponds to the Ru-alkyl carbon. In addition to these NMR spectra, single crystals of **3** afforded the molecular structure determination (Fig. 1) which affirmed **3** as (MacOCH CH V) (CH CH (VOM)) BucCl(BPb)

- 5 ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃H₂N₂(CH₂CH(OMe))RuCl(PPh₃)₂. The distorted square pyramidal geometry about the ruthenium center consists of a square plane of two phosphine donors, the chloride and the carbon of the NHC with an alkyl carbon fragment occupying the pseudo-axial position. The corresponding Ru-Cl
- ¹⁰ bond length was determined to be 2.4468(8) Å and the Ru-P bond lengths were found to be 2.3407(8) and 2.3515(8) Å. A Ru-C bond length of 1.961(3) Å was observed for the NHC carbon which is similar to previously reported bond lengths for Ru complexes employing such ligands.^{15, 18} The Ru-C bond length ¹⁵ for the alkyl fragment (2.061(7) Å) is slightly shorter than typical
- Ru-C single bonds.¹⁹ Chelation of the two carbons to the Ru center leads to the formation of a five-membered metallo-ring with a C-Ru-C angle of 78.2(2)°. It is interesting to note that subjecting a solution of (**3**) in C₆D₆ to 4 atm of H₂ at room ²⁰ temperature leads to the quantitative reformation of (**1**).



Figure 1 POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of (3) Ru: dark green, O: red; Cl: green; N: aquamarine, P: orange, C: black. H-atoms omitted for clarity.



Figure 2 POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of (4) Ru: dark green, O: red; CI: green; N: aquamarine, P: orange, C: black. H-atoms omitted for clarity.

The corresponding reaction of (2) with ethyl vinyl sulfide in ³⁰ CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature results in the isolation of a red solid (4) in 69% yield (Scheme 2). Similar to (3), the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum revealed doublets at 40.8 and 33.0 ppm, while the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a doublet of doublet of doublet at 6.35 ppm and the corresponding carbon shift afforded a triplet at 80.6 ³⁵ ppm. An X-ray structure determination (Fig. 2) confirmed (4) to be ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃Me₂N₂(CH₂CH(OMe))RuCl(PPh₃)₂, the analogue of (3). The geometry about the ruthenium center in (4) is directly analogous to (3) with a Ru-C_{NHC} distance of 1.986(3) Å and the Ru-C_{alkyl} bond length of 2.066(4) Å and the C-Ru-C ⁴⁰ angle of 79.2(2) °.



Interestingly, dissolution of (4) in C₆D₆ prompts formation of a new product (5) which gives rise to a singlet in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR ⁴⁵ spectrum at 36.7 ppm. Isolation of (5) after standing in benzene at room temperature for 24 hours gave a yellow solid in 77% yield. Loss of methanol from (4) was inferred by the ¹H NMR spectrum. Moreover, the ¹H NMR data showed a doublet at 7.67 ppm with a coupling constant of 5 Hz and a doublet of triplet at ⁵⁰ 5.66 with ³J_{HH} of 5 Hz and ⁴J_{PH} of 3 Hz. As each of these signals integrated to one proton, they were assigned to Ru-vinyl protons (RuC*HCH*N). The corresponding carbon signals were observed as a triplet at 135.6 ppm (²J_{PC} of 19 Hz) and a broad singlet at 124.6 ppm. These data were consistent with the formulation of ⁵⁵ (5) as ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃H₆N₂(CHCH)RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (Scheme 2).

Exploring this reactivity further, the *bis*-carbene Ru-hydride precursors (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl (6), (Im(OMe)₂)(SIMes)(PPh₃)RuHCl (7) and (Im(OMe)₂)(IMes-Cl₂)(PPh₃)RuHCl (8) were prepared using previously published ⁶⁰ methodologies. {Dahcheh, 2014 #5794;Lund, 2012 #9770;Wang, 2013 #3779;Dahcheh, 2014 #5794;Lund, 2012 #9770;Wang, 2013 #3779} While (6) and (7) are known species, compound (8) is a new derivative and was prepared in 65% yield. The ¹H NMR spectrum of (8) revealed a doublet at -28.11 ppm with ²J_{PH} of 25 ⁶⁵ Hz indicative of a hydride coupled to a single phosphine ligand.

25

15

The ³¹P {¹H} NMR revealed a singlet at 43.2 ppm, while a singlecrystal X-ray analysis of (**8**) revealed a five-coordinate squarepyramidal ruthenium where the base of the pyramid is formed by the two NHCs, chloride, and phosphine ligands and the hydride ⁵ occupies the apex: thus, the formulation as (IMes-Cl₂)(Im(OMe)₂)(PPh₃)RuHCl (Fig. 3). The Ru-C distances for IMes-Cl₂ and Im(OMe)₂ were found to be 2.058(5) and 1.976(5) Å, respectively. The Ru-P and Ru-Cl distances of 2.314(1) and 2.452(1) Å, respectively, were observed. The Ru-H distance was ¹⁰ determined to be 1.51(4) Å and the *cis* disposition of the carbene

ligands in (8) results in a C-Ru-C angle of $97.3(2)^\circ$.



Figure 3 POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of (8) Ru: dark green, O: red; Cl: green; N: aquamarine, P: orange, C: black, H: gray. Hatoms except for Ru-H omitted for clarity.



Figure 4 POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of (9) Ru: dark green, O: red; CI: green; N: aquamarine, P: orange, C: black, H: gray. H- atoms except for vinylic and IMes-CH protons omitted for clarity.

- ²⁰ Subsequent reaction of these *bis*-carbene Ru-hydride species with ethyl vinyl sulfide was investigated. In the case of (6), the reaction results in the isolation of (9) as red crystals in 79% yield. Spectral data for (9) are consistent with the formulation as ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃H₂N₂(CHCH)RuCl(PPh₃)(IMes). In particular,
- ²⁵ in the ¹H NMR spectrum, the two doublet of doublet at 7.75 and 6.09 ppm, with H-H and P-H couplings were assigned to Ruvinyl protons (RuC*HCH*N). This was also consistent with the

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

 $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR doublets at 160.2 and 124.4 ppm. X-ray data affirmed this formulation of (9) (Fig. 4) in which there is a ³⁰ distorted square pyramidal coordination sphere about ruthenium with the carbene carbon of the chelated NHC occupying the pseudo-axial position. The Ru-C_{NHC} and Ru-C_{IMes} bond lengths were found to be 1.940(3) Å and 2.114(2) Å, respectively, while the Ru-C_{vinyl} bond length is 2.033(3) Å and the vinyl C-C bond is 35 1.325(4) Å. The chelation of the two carbons at Ru gives a C-Ru-C angle of 75.7(1) °.

In a similar fashion, reaction of ethyl vinyl sulfide with (7) and (8) afforded (10) and (11) as purple and red solids in 76 and 82% yields, respectively (Scheme 3). In these cases, the generation of ⁴⁰ diethyl sulfide and methanol as by-products were confirmed by GC analysis and ¹H NMR spectroscopy, respectively. Compounds (10) and (11) gave rise to ³¹P{¹H}, ¹H and ¹³C{¹H}signals similar to 9 inferring the formulation of (10) and (11) as ((MeOCH₂CH₂)C₃H₂N₂(CHCH)RuCl(PPh₃)(NHC) (NHC ⁴⁵ = (SIMes (10), IMes-Cl₂ (11)). Crystallography data confirmed this formulation in the case of (10) (Fig. 5) as analogous to (8) and (9). The Ru-C_{NHC} and Ru-C_{SIMes} bond lengths of 1.940(2) Å and 2.113(2) Å, Ru-C_{vinyl} of 2.031(3) Å and chelate C-Ru-C angle of 75.7(1) ° are similar to those seen in (9).



Figure 5 POV-ray depiction of the molecular structure of (10) Ru: dark green, O: red; Cl: green; N: aquamarine, P: orange, C: black, H: gray.. Hatoms except for vinylic protons omitted for clarity.



Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00-00 | 5

95

105

115

Scheme 3 Synthesis of compounds (9)-(11).

The formation of compounds (3)-(11) are thought to be initiated through the initial insertion of the vinyl-fragment into the Ru-H (Scheme 2). Donation from the thioether sulfur enhances electron

- ⁵ density at Ru and prompts C-H activation of the pendant ether arm affording loss of diethyl sulfide. In this fashion compounds (3) and (4) are generated. Loss of methanol from (4) gives the *bis*-phosphine Ru-vinyl species (5). Compounds (9)-(11) are thought to form in a similar fashion, although the increased ¹⁰ electron density on Ru derived from the additional carbene ligand
- facilitates loss of both Et_2S and MeOH.

Conclusion

This manuscript has described the reactions of a series of Ruhydrides with ethyl-vinyl-sulfide. In contrast to the previously ¹⁵ reported reactions with aryl-vinyl-sulfides which yield Rualkylidenes, these reactions proceed with initial loss of diethyl sulfide generating a Ru-alkyl species. In some cases, these reactions proceed further with loss of methanol to give Ru-vinyl derivatives. This unique reactivity pathway is attributed to the

²⁰ stronger donor character of the ethyl-sulfide derivative. The ability of the reactions of Ru-hydrides with vinyl-sulfides to provide access to Ru-alkylidene, alkyl and vinyl derivatives continues to be a subject of further development. Specifically we are targeting use of this reactivity in the synthesis of related

25 compounds suitable for catalysis.

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George St Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S3H6 E-mail: dstephan@chem.utoronto.ca ‡ Crystallographic data have been deposited in the Cambridge Datbase

- 30 CCDC 973557-973561.
- (a) P. Van de Weghe, J. Eustache and J. Cossy, *Curr Top Med Chem*, 2005, **5**, 1461-1472; (b) C. W. Bielawski and R. H. Grubbs, *Progress in Polymer Science*, 2007, **32**, 1-29; (c) R. Tobias, H. Andrew, G. W.
- Anna, W. F. Timothy and H. G. Robert, *Organometallics*, 2006, 25;
 (d) R. H. Grubbs, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 3760-3765; (e) R. Schrock, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 3748-3759.
 - 2. Y. Chauvin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 3740-3747.
- 3. P. Schwab, M. B. France, J. W. Ziller and R. H. Grubbs, *Angew.* 10 *Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1995, **34**, 2039-2041.
- 4. M. Scholl, S. Ding, C. W. Lee and R. H. Grubbs, *Organic Letters*, 1999, **1**, 953-956.
- S. B. Garber, J. S. Kingsbury, B. L. Gray and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 8168-8179.
- (a) J. C. Conrad, H. H. Parnas, J. L. Snelgrove and D. E. Fogg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11882-11883; (b) J. C. Conrad, D. Amoroso, P. Czechura, G. P. A. Yap and D. E. Fogg, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 3634-3636; (c) S. Monfette, K. D. Camm, S. I. Gorelsky and D. E. Fogg, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 944-946; (d) S. Monfette, J. M.
 Blacquiere, J. C. Conrad, N. J. Beach and D. E. Fogg, *Nato Sci Ser Ii*
- Math, 2007, **243**, 79-90. 7. A. M. McKinty, C. Lund and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2013,
- 17, 4730-4732.
 D. E. Fogg and H. M. Foucault, *Comp. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, II,
- 623-652.
 (a) P. Binger, P. Muller, R. Benn and R. Mynott, Angew. Chem. Int.
- (a) P. Binger, P. Muner, K. Benn and K. Mynott, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1989, 28, 610-611; (b) M. R. Gagne, R. H. Grubbs, J. Feldman and J. W. Ziller, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 3933-3935; (c) S. T. Nguyen, L. K. Johnson, R. H. Grubbs and J. W. Ziller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 3974-3975.

6 | Journal Name, [year], [vol], 00–00

- M. Gandelman, B. Rybtchinski, N. Ashkenazi, R. M. Gauvin and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5372-5373.
- (a) T. R. Belderrain and R. H. Grubbs, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 4001-4003;
 (b) M. Olivan and K. G. Caulton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 566-570;
 (c) J. Wolf, W. Stuer, C. Grunwald, H. Werner, P.
- Schwab and M. Schulz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1124-1126.
 P. Schwab, R. H. Grubbs and J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118** 100-110
- (a) R. Castarlenas, C. Fischmeister, C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, J
 Mol Catal a-Chem, 2004, 213, 31-37; (b) A. Fürstner, O. Guth, A. Duffels, G. Seidel, M. Liebl, B. Gabor and R. Mynott, Chem-Eur J, 2001. 7, 4811-4820
- 14. J. Louie and R. H. Grubbs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 247-249.
- 75 15. (a) F. Dahcheh and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 5253-5255; (b) USPO 61827152, 2013.
 - A. J. Arduengo, R. Krafczyk, R. Schmutzler, H. A. Craig, J. R. Goerlich, W. J. Marshall and M. Unverzagt, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, 55, 14523-14534.
- 80 17. A. J. Arduengo, F. Davidson, H. V. R. Dias, J. R. Goerlich, D. Khasnis, W. J. Marshall and T. K. Prakasha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 12742-12749.
- (a) C. L. Lund, M. J. Sgro, R. Cariou and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 802-805; (b) T. E. Wang, C. Pranckevicius, C. L. Lund, M. J. Sgro and D. W. Stephan, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 2168-2177.
- (a) A. E. Corrochano, F. A. Jalon, A. Otero, M. M. Kubicki and P. Richard, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 145-148; (b) A. Takahashi, Y. Mizobe, T. Tanase and M. Hidai, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, 496,
- ⁹⁰ 109-115; (c) L. J. L. Haller, M. J. Page, S. A. Macgregor, M. F. Mahon and M. K. Whittlesey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 4604-4605; (d) S. Burling, E. Mas-Marza, J. E. V. Valpuesta, M. F. Mahon and M. K. Whittlesey, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 6676-6686.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

TOC GRAPHIC

Ru-hydride precursors containing the OCO-carbene ligand react with ethyl-vinyl-sulfide to give Ru alkyl and vinyl derivatives via an initial insertion of the vinyl-fragment into the Ru-H, subsequent 5 C-H activation and loss of diethyl sulfide.



30