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Succinylsulfathiazole (SST) is known to exist in seven different solid forms, namely two unsolvated polymorphic forms (SST-I, SST-II), a monohydrate (SST/H₂O), dihydrate (SST/2H₂O) and solvates of butanol (SST/But), pentanol (SST/Pnt) and dioxane (SST/Diox). Most of these forms were characterised only by IR and PXRD, while the single crystal structures determined for SST/But, SST/Pnt, SST/H₂O and SST/Diox solvates. Previous studies also noted a lack of reproducibility in preparation of these different forms. Here, we employed the fast evaporation (FE) crystallization method and identified two new solvates from acetone (SST/AcMe) and tetrahydrofuran (SST/THF), as well as determined the single crystal structures for SST-I, SST-II, SST/AcMe and SST/THF. This revealed that the SST adopts exclusively the imidine tautomeric form in all its solid form structures, but never the amidine form. The succinyl group of SST shows a conformational flexibility and adopts either anti- or syn-geometry to facilitate hydrogen bonding in different structures. The study also allowed us to rationalize the hydrogen bonding preferences of various functional groups in all the forms. Notably the neat grinding and liquid assisted grinding methods resulted in only the SST/H₂O from various solvents, while the FE method produced polymorphs or pseudopolymorphs from different solvents.

Succinylsulfathiazole (SST), also known as sulfasuxidine, is a sulfonamide drug and classified as ultra long acting drug. According to BCS it belongs to either class II or class IV drug molecule. SST is used for the prevention and treatment of gastrointestinal infections. This drug has solubility problem and unfavourable absorption. According to Moustafa et al., aqueous suspensions of succinylsulfathiazole exhibit physical instability to cause caking, formation of cement like precipitates and difficult resuspendability. Hence, the SST has been a topic of interest to solid-state pharmaceutical chemists since 1940s and many attempts have been made to identify its alternative solid forms with superior properties. The SST is known to exist in several solid forms, I-VI, as named in previous studies, along with an amorphous form. Among them, reportedly Forms I and IV are true polymorphs, Forms II and III contain two and one moles of water respectively, Form V contains one mole of butanol and Form VI contains one mole of pentanol. In the due course single crystal structures of the monohydrate and solvates, butanol, pentanol and 1,4-dioxan were reported, while the rest characterized only by IR and powder XRD. The lack of reproducibility in the preparation and confusion over the solvent ratios, as noted in some previous studies, makes the structural determination of these forms significant. As the naming of forms (Forms I-VI) in previous studies was also somewhat inconsistent, here we rename them as SST/H₂O (monohydrate), SST/2H₂O (dihydrate), SST/AcMe (acetone), SST/THF (tetrahydrofuran), SST/But (butanol), SST/Pnt (pentanol), SST/Diox (dioxane) and SST true polymorphs, SST-I and SST-II.

Scheme 1. Schematic representation of the tautomerism and conformational flexibility in succinylsulfathiazole (SST). Notice the alternate conformations, anti (black) or syn (grey) geometries, which can be adopted by the succinyl group.
The study also allowed us to examine the tautomerism and hydrogen bonding preferences in the SST solid forms and their selective preparation by using the fast evaporation (FE) method, which we have exploited recently in the context of polymorphs and co-crystal screening. The solid forms of succinylsulfathiazole have been characterized by powder and single crystal x-ray diffraction techniques, FTIR, differential scanning calorimetry and thermogravimetric analysis.

1. Experimental section

10 Materials

Succinylsulfathiazole drug (monohydrate form) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Commercially available solvents were used as received without further purification.

Fast evaporation method

Sufficient amount of SST was dissolved in various boiling solvents, taken in separate conical flasks. The solutions were filtered into round bottom flasks (rbf) and warmed once again gently to achieve the dilute and clear solutions with no solid particles, thus to prevent the self-seeding of original form. The clear solutions in rbf were dried rapidly at the rotovapor by setting the appropriate reduced pressure, water bath temperature (50 °C) and the revolution speed of rbf (130 rpm). Upon the completion of solvent evaporation, a continuous vacuum was applied in order to reach the minimum possible pressure (9-10 mbar) and held there for about 5 min before collecting the dry solids for characterization. As the solvates can convert to other forms over time, the characterization was done immediately after their preparation without much delay. Phase purity of the solids was established by DSC and comparing experimental PXRD patterns with those calculated from corresponding single crystal data (Fig. S3). IR spectroscopy was also performed using the same batches of samples (Fig. S1).

Single crystal preparation

Succinylsulfathiazole drug was dissolved in boiling solvents, acetonitrile (MeCN), ethyl acetate (EA), water (H2O), acetone (AcMe) or tetrahydrofuran (THF). The resulting clear solutions were boiled for 10 min before being filtered into a fresh conical flask. The filtrate was left to evaporate slowly at ambient conditions. The single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained in 4–6 days.

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

The PXRD patterns were collected on a Rigaku SmartLab with a Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.540 Å). The tube voltage and amperage were set at 40 kV and 50 mA, respectively. Each sample was scanned between 5 and 70° 2θ with a step size of 0.02°. The instrument was previously calibrated using a silicon standard.

Crystallography

Crystals of SST forms were individually mounted on a glass pipet. Intensity data were collected on a Bruker’s KAPPA APEX II CCD Duo system with graphite-monochromatic Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). All the data were collected at 100 K. Data reduction was performed using Bruker SAINT software. Crystal structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXL-97 and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F2 with anisotropic displacement parameters for non-H atoms using SHELXL-97. Hydrogen atoms associated with carbon atoms were fixed in geometrically constrained positions. Hydrogen atoms associated with oxygen and nitrogen atoms were included in the located positions. Structure graphics shown in the figures were created using the X-Seed software package version 2.0.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

DSC was conducted on a Mettler-Toledo DSI1 STAR® instrument. Accurately weighed samples (2–3 mg) were placed in hermetically sealed aluminium crucibles (40 µL) and scanned from 30 to 300 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min under a dry nitrogen atmosphere (flow rate 80 mL/min). The data were analyzed by using STAR® software.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

TGA was performed on a Mettler-Toledo TGA/SDTA 851® instrument. Approximately 10–15 mg of the sample was added to an aluminium crucible and heated from 30 to 500 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min under continuous nitrogen purge.

IR spectroscopy

Transmission infrared spectra of the solids were obtained using a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (PerkinElmer 502). KBr samples (2 mg in 20 mg of KBr) were prepared and 6 scans were collected at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution for each sample. The spectra were measured over the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹.

Computational details

Geometry optimization of the SST tautomers was performed with Gaussian 03® using the B3LYP method11 with the 6-31G(d) basis set,12 followed by single point energy calculations at the 6-311++G(2df, 2p) level, in a density functional theory (DFT) type calculation. The initial atomic coordinates for the molecules were always taken from the crystal structures.

2. Results and discussion

Screening by fast evaporation technique

The fast evaporation method was employed to conduct a screening for identifying possible new solid forms and to prepare all the known forms of SST from suitable solvents (Table S2). In our recent reports we established efficiency of the FE method for screening of co-crystals, co-crystal polymorphs13 and single component polymorphic systems14. Here our reinvestigation of the old drug, succinylsulfathiazole, allowed us to test utility of the FE method for identification and preparation of the API solvates. The characterization of FE products by PXRD, IR spectroscopy, DSC and TGA confirmed the formation of pure solids of previously known polymorphic forms, SST-I and SST-II, a monohydrate and two new solvates, SST/AcMe and SST/THF.

The commercial SST sample contained the monohydrate form (SST/H2O). The FE product obtained from water had a mixture of two hydrated forms (Fig. S2a) and also a possible unidentied form (in the PXRD two new peaks were observed at 27° and 29° which did not match to any known form). The PXRD pattern of SST/2H2O obtained by slow evaporation method showed a good agreement with the previously identified dihydrate form (Fig.
S2a). As reported in previous studies the SST formed a cake like particles in water.\textsuperscript{13} Despite several attempts, we could not obtain single crystals suitable for SCXRD. It is also observed that all the forms of SST converted to SST/H$_2$O on long exposure to atmosphere (Fig. S4), but not to SST/2H$_2$O which is contrary to in previous reports. However, SST-II converted to SST/2H$_2$O powder.

In case of polymorphs, the solvent used was different for slow and fast evaporation methods. The single crystals of SST-I and SST-II were obtained from MeCN and EA respectively, by slow evaporation but for the same, EA and EtOH were used in the FE method. In case of the solvates, a same solvent was used for both slow and fast evaporation methods. The FE product from MeCN, mixture of MeCN/MeOH, MeOH resulted only SST/H$_2$O (Fig. S3b). The two new solvate forms, SST/AcMe and SST/THF (see Fig. S1), obtained by the FE method, could not be prepared by the liquid assisted grinding (LAG) method (Fig. S5). The LAG\textsuperscript{14} always resulted in the SST/H$_2$O. Probably, the intake of moisture during the LAG promoted the exclusive formation of SST/H$_2$O. The results of FE method suggest that the closed environment and faster kinetics during the evaporation of solvent probably helped to prevent the water intake, thus resulting in the solvates, instead of hydrates. This study proves the unique advantage of the FE method for quick screening of solvates. Hence the FE method, which is complementary to the existing screening techniques, has a potential to become the regular screening tool in solid state pharmaceutical laboratories.

### Table 1. A comparison table of present and previous crystallization studies employed for preparing various solid forms of succinylsulfathiazole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous study</th>
<th>Present study</th>
<th>Present study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used condition</td>
<td>Resulted form</td>
<td>Used condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow evaporation</td>
<td>Slow evaporation</td>
<td>Fast evaporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaporation of a solution of AcMe to dryness on a water bath</td>
<td>polymorph I (earlier name, Form I)</td>
<td>slow evaporation from MeCN at r.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>SST/AcMe (new)</td>
<td>slow evaporation from AcMe at r.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suspension of any of the other crystal forms in water</td>
<td>SST/2H$_2$O (earlier name, Form II)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystallized from H$_2$O, MeOH or EtOH (or) precipitation from a solution in NaOH by the addition of HCl</td>
<td>SST/H$_2$O (earlier name, Form III)</td>
<td>crystallized from H$_2$O, MeOH or EtOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evaporate on a water bath of a AcMe solution until the first crystals separated, followed by evaporation at r.t.</td>
<td>polymorph II (earlier name, Form IV)</td>
<td>slow evaporation from EA at r.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>SST/THF (new)</td>
<td>slow evaporation from THF at r.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slow evaporation from $n$-butanol</td>
<td>SST/But (earlier name Form V)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slow evaporation from $n$-pentanol</td>
<td>SST/Pnt (earlier name Form VI)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Crystal structure analysis**

Single crystal structures are determined for two polymorphic forms, SST-I and SST-II, and two solvates, SST/AcMe and SST/THF. The structure of monohydrate (SST/H$_2$O) is a redetermination. Crystallographic data are listed in Table 2. Hydrogen bond table (Table S1) and the ORTEP diagrams (Figures S6–S10) for all the solid forms are included in the Supporting Information. Examination of all the structures revealed the tautomeric preference in its polymorphs and pseudopolymorphs where the SST molecule adopts *imidine*.  

---
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tautomeric form. The structure determination also allowed us to study the hydrogen bonding or synthon\(^\text{15}\) competition among various functional groups in different forms.

![Scheme 2. Synthons observed in the SST polymorphs, hydrate and solvates](image)

**Polymorph-I (SST-I)**

SST-I crystallizes in the triclinic \(P-1\) space group with two molecules in the asymmetric unit. Both the SST molecules adopt L-shape conformation and exist in *imidine* tautomeric form. Tautomerism, a common phenomenon in sulphonamide drugs,\(^\text{16-20}\) occurs via transfer of the proton from sulfonamide NH to the thiazole ring N as shown in Scheme 1. Notably, the \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\) of the succinyl group in both the SST molecules adopts the anti geometry (Fig. 1). Two independent SST molecules interact via two O–H···O hydrogen bonds between hydroxyl of CO\(_2\)H and SO\(_2\) groups by synthon 1 (see Scheme 2; O(6)–H(6A)···O(9); \(d/\AA\), \(\theta/º\): 1.9 Å, 160º and O(2)–H(2C)···O(4): 1.97 Å, 155º) to form linear chains which are further connected by \(\text{(amide)}\) \(\text{N–H}···\text{O=CO}_\text{(carboxyl)}\) hydrogen bonds via synthon 2 (Scheme 2; N(4)–H(4)···O(1)=C(1): 2.09 Å, 160º and N(1)–H(1)···O(7)=C(14): 2.04 Å, 174º) leading to a ladder type network (Fig. 1b). Adjacent ladders arranged in antiparallel fashion are connected via \(\text{(imidine)}\) \(\text{N–H}···\text{O=CO}_\text{(amide)}\) hydrogen bonds. Because of the L-shape conformation of the SST molecules, the thiazole rings lie nearly perpendicular to the direction of the ladder network. These thiazole rings from adjacent antiparallel ladders close pack as shown in Fig. 1a.

![Fig. 1. Polymorph I of succinylsulfathiazole (SST-I). (a) L-shape molecular geometry of two independent SST molecules with *anti* \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\) conformation. (b) Ladders formed by the combination of synthons 1 and 2 (see Scheme 2).](image)

**Polymorph-II (SST-II)**

SST-II crystallizes in the monoclinic \(P2_1/c\) space group with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The *head-to-tail* interaction by *imidine* and carboxylic acid groups (N3–H3···O1, 1.96 Å, 174º; O2–H2C···N2, 1.94 Å, 166º) of the adjacent SST molecules via a heterodimer, synthon 3, forms the wave like chains (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, the formation of heterodimer is facilitated by the S-shape conformation of SST molecules due to the *syn* geometry at \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\) group. The parallel wave like chains are orthogonally connected by \(\text{(sulfonamide)}\) \(\text{N–H}···\text{O}_\text{(sulfox)}\) hydrogen bonds via synthon 4 (N(1)–H(1)···O(5); 2.15 Å, 170º), leading to a 2D network. Thiazole rings of one 2D layer fill the voids in another adjacent layer to result in the interdigitation.

![Fig. 2. Polymorph II of SST (SST-II). (a) Syn geometry of the SST at \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2-\) group. (b) Formation of wave like chains. (c) Interlocked 3D packing viewed along \(a\)-axis.](image)
Table 2. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters of different forms of SST.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SST-I</th>
<th>SST-II</th>
<th>SST/H₂O</th>
<th>SST/AcMe</th>
<th>SST/THF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chemical formula</td>
<td>C₁₃H₁₃N₃O₅S₂</td>
<td>C₁₃H₁₃N₃O₅S₂</td>
<td>C₁₃H₁₃N₃O₅S₂, H₂O</td>
<td>2(C₁₃H₁₁N₂O₄S₂), C₈H₈O</td>
<td>2(C₁₃H₁₁N₂O₄S₂), C₈H₈O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formula weight</td>
<td>355.38</td>
<td>355.38</td>
<td>373.40</td>
<td>768.85</td>
<td>782.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cryst sys</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>monoclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P₂₁/c</td>
<td>P₂₁/c</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>8.8478(4)</td>
<td>11.351(3)</td>
<td>16.190(6)</td>
<td>8.5229(4)</td>
<td>8.7032(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>13.3523(6)</td>
<td>8.577(2)</td>
<td>5.1460(14)</td>
<td>14.5887(7)</td>
<td>14.5604(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>14.1584(6)</td>
<td>16.684(3)</td>
<td>19.449(7)</td>
<td>15.2327(7)</td>
<td>15.5676(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>67.9870(10)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64.7770(10)</td>
<td>64.602(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>77.1140(10)</td>
<td>107.483(5)</td>
<td>94.028(17)</td>
<td>78.1610(10)</td>
<td>77.240(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>74.6120(10)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>82.0860(10)</td>
<td>83.635(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vol (Å³)</td>
<td>1480.77(11)</td>
<td>1549.4(6)</td>
<td>1616.4(9)</td>
<td>1674.27(14)</td>
<td>1737.79(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;calc&lt;/sub&gt; (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.594</td>
<td>1.523</td>
<td>1.534</td>
<td>1.525</td>
<td>1.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ range (°)</td>
<td>1.57 - 27.00</td>
<td>1.88 - 27.00</td>
<td>1.26 - 26.50</td>
<td>1.50 - 25.14</td>
<td>1.48 - 26.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range h</td>
<td>-11 to +11</td>
<td>-14 to +14</td>
<td>-20 to +19</td>
<td>-10 to +10</td>
<td>-11 to +11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range k</td>
<td>-17 to +17</td>
<td>-10 to +10</td>
<td>-6 to +6</td>
<td>-17 to +17</td>
<td>-18 to +17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range l</td>
<td>-18 to +13</td>
<td>-21 to +11</td>
<td>-24 to +24</td>
<td>-18 to +17</td>
<td>-19 to +17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reflns collected</td>
<td>25736</td>
<td>14705</td>
<td>13143</td>
<td>24403</td>
<td>27707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>independent reflns</td>
<td>6458</td>
<td>3370</td>
<td>3322</td>
<td>5957</td>
<td>7527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obsd reflns</td>
<td>5701</td>
<td>2241</td>
<td>2672</td>
<td>5375</td>
<td>5176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0.0344</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
<td>0.0391</td>
<td>0.0718</td>
<td>0.0440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2</td>
<td>0.1132</td>
<td>0.1170</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>0.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC No.</td>
<td>943847</td>
<td>943848</td>
<td>943849</td>
<td>943850</td>
<td>943851</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 Succinylsulfathiazole/monohydrate (SST/H₂O)

The hydrated form of SST crystallizes in the monoclinic P₂₁/c space group with one molecule of each SST and a water molecule in the asymmetric unit. The SST is in syn (or S-type) conformation. Here the imidene sites of SST molecules primarily form centrosymmetric homodimer by N–H···N hydrogen bonds via synthon 5 (N(3)–H(3)···N(2): 1.8 Å, 166°). The water molecules bridge the -CO₂H and amide carbonyl O- atom via synthon 6 (O(1)–H(1A)···O(6): 1.74 Å, 158° and O(6)–H(6A)···O(3): 1.84 Å, 174°) as shown in Figure 3b. The second proton of the water is linked to sulfoxy group of next layer, thus
Fig. 3. SST/monohydrate (SST/H$_2$O). (a) Syn geometry adopted at –CH$_2$–CH$_2$– group of the SST. (b) Packing of two adjacent tapes, showing the interactions formed by bridging water molecules between two SST molecules.

**Succinylsulfathiazole/acetone solvate (SST/AcMe)**

The SST/AcMe solvate crystallizes in the monoclinic $P\Gamma$ space group with two molecules of SST and one molecule of AcMe in the asymmetric unit. Interestingly, in this structure the two independent SST molecules adopt different conformations at the succinyl group; the first SST molecule is in the anti (L-shape) geometry whereas the second is in syn (S-shape) geometry. Hence, both the conformational variants of the SST are simultaneously seen in this structure. SST molecules of the same symmetry form synthon 5 homodimers involving their imidine sites via N–H···N hydrogen bonds (N(3)–H(3)···N(2): 2.0 Å, 176º and N(6)–H(6)···N(5): 1.77 Å, 154º). The two different conformers facilitate the carboxylic acid groups to form different synthons. Two linear SST molecules are connected through synthon 2 (N(1)–H(1A)···O(2)=C(1): 2.1 Å, 171º). The leftover OH group of acid is linked to the amide C=O of bent SST conformers. Whereas the acid OH of the bent SST molecule is linked to amide C=O of linear SST to complete the loop by O–H···O [O(1)–H(1)···O(8): 1.9 Å, 159º and O(6)–H(6B)···O(3): 2.07 Å, 136º]. The solvent, AcMe molecules are linked to the two S-shape SST dimers via bifurcated C–H···O interactions, and occupy channels formed by host molecules along the $a$-axis (Fig. 4b).

**Fig. 4.** Packing of SST/AcMe solvate. (a) Syn and anti geometries of two independent SST molecules at the –CH$_2$–CH$_2$–, respectively. (b) Crystal packing showing the different types of interactions. (c) Occupation of solvent molecules, AcMe, in channels formed by host SST molecules.

**Succinylsulfathiazole/tetrahydrofuran solvate (SST/THF)**

SST/THF also crystallizes in the triclinic $P\Gamma$ space group with two molecules of SST and one molecule of THF in the asymmetric unit. Indeed, this is isostructural$^{16}$ to the SST/AcMe, hence the synthons formed are same, except some minor differences in the solvent THF interactions with the neighbouring molecules (Fig. 5b).
Tautomeric preference: density functional theory calculations

Both tautomerism and polymorphism are common phenomena in sulfonamide drugs.\textsuperscript{17-21} In this series of succinylsulfathiazole polymorphs and pseudopolymorphs, the API exists only in the \textit{imidine} tautomeric form, in that the proton transfer occurs from sulfonamide N-atom to the thiazole N-atom (Scheme 1). Hence, the \textit{amidine} tautomeric form of SST is not seen in any of the structures, including in the earlier reported solvates of butanol and pentanol.\textsuperscript{5} A search in Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) (V 5.34) for sulfonamide drugs, with at least $>3$ hits (exclusively) in \textit{imidine} tautomeric form, revealed that such a preference is present only in case of sulfathiazole and sulfapyridine solid forms (Scheme S1.). To rationalize the tautomeric preference in the solid forms of SST, we performed DFT calculations using Gaussian software. In all the cases, the molecules were taken from corresponding crystal structures and performed geometry optimization, before proceeding for the single point energy calculations. The calculated energy difference between the \textit{amidine} and corresponding \textit{imidine} tautomeric forms revealed that the \textit{imidine} tautomeric form is more stable than the \textit{amidine} tautomeric form by 0.1816 kcal/mol, which is consistent with the observed tautomeric preference of the former. It is to be noted that this energy difference between the two tautomeric forms corresponds to the isolated gaseous state molecules, but in crystalline environment, with stabilizing hydrogen bonds, the difference could increase further to promote the \textit{imidine} form. A more detailed computational study may unravel the fundamental reasons behind the \textit{imidine} tautomeric preference in the solid forms of SST and other sulfonamide drugs.

Thermal properties of SST solid forms

The thermal behaviour of all the polymorphs and pseudopolymorphs of SST, studied by DSC and TGA experiments (Fig. 6 and 7). The DSC thermograms for SST-I and SST-II showed a single endothermic transition peak each at 207.6 $^\circ$C and 192.5 $^\circ$C, respectively, corresponding to the melting. The DSC thermogram of SST/H\textsubscript{2}O showed two endotherms, a minor peak at 148.33 $^\circ$C, corresponding to the loss of water molecule, and a major peak at 193.02 $^\circ$C, corresponding to the melting. The two solvates, SST/AcMe and SST/THF, also showed two endotherms each. Major endotherms corresponding to the melting were observed at 191.7 $^\circ$C and 189.5 $^\circ$C for SST/AcMe and SST/THF solvates, respectively, while the minor endotherms appeared at 169.08 $^\circ$C (for the loss of AcMe) and 173.94 $^\circ$C (for the loss of THF). The analyses of the DSC results suggest that the SST-I is thermodynamically more stable compared to all the other forms.
single weight loss associated with the decomposition after melting. In case of the hydrate and solvates two weight losses were observed. For SST monohydrate, the first small endotherm corresponding to the loss of water (~4.99 % of the total weight) matched approximately to one mol of water. The slurry product of SST/H₂O in water also showed the similar weight loss (4.82%), hence this suggests that there is no conversion of SST/H₂O to SST/2H₂O. But the weight loss of the solid obtained from slow evaporation method is (9.12%), equivalent to two mol’s of water (Fig. S2b). For SST/THF and SST/AcMe solvates, the first broad weight loss corresponding to the loss of solvent molecules (~17.49 % and ~12.0 % of the total weight, respectively) is equivalent to one mol of THF and AcMe, respectively.

Fig. 7. Thermogravimetric analysis plots of different forms of SST.

Synthon competition study

SST has a total of three strong hydrogen bond donors, from acid (–OH), amide (–NH) and imidin (–NH) groups, and five strong acceptors, namely from acid (C=O), amide (C=O), SO₂ (two S=O) and imidin (N-atom) groups. Hence, there is an imbalance of acceptors and donors, which potentially can lead to multiple solid forms. Analysis of the synthons formed in the current and previously reported SST/But, SST/Pnt and SST/H₂O suggests the following. The most popular interaction is synthon 5, a homo dimer between imidin groups, which is observed in all of the five solvates (or pseudopolymorphs), but absent in both the polymorphs. The second most popular is synthon 2, which is formed between acid (C=O) and amide (NH) groups when there is at least one SST conformer in the anti geometry. As can be expected, the most dominating groups are imidin site and the carboxylic acid group. But the synthon 3, formed between these two groups, is observed only once in SST-II. The remaining synthons 1, 4 and 6, which are seen occasionally, involve the less effective SO₂ and amide (C=O/NH) groups. However, notably, the most stable form SST-I, with synthon 1 and 2, and anti geometry at –CH₂–CH₂– groups, has the highest density of crystal packing. The balance among the large number of competing functional groups in a conformationally flexible molecule can easily be influenced by different solvent conditions. Hence formation of the several solid forms by SST is in line with the general observations in solid state pharmaceutical chemistry and crystal engineering.

Conclusions

Screening of succynilsulfathiazole by fast evaporation (FE) method for the possible new solid forms and to prepare all the known forms of SST from various solvents, demonstrated the efficiency of the technique for identification and preparation of API solvates. Two new solvate forms, SST/AcMe and SST/THF are identified successfully by the FE method, which could not be obtained by the liquid assisted grinding (LAG) method. Probably, the intake of moisture during the LAG promotes the exclusive formation of SST/H₂O. Hence the study demonstrates the complementary nature of the FE method to the existing screening techniques and its potential to become a good screening tool in solid state pharmaceutical laboratories. In contrary to the previous studies, we observed that the SST/H₂O is more stable under humid conditions, but not the SST/2H₂O. The DSC study reveals that the SST-I is the thermodynamically more stable form compared to any other form. In this study, all the solid forms of SST are seen exclusively in imidin form which is rationalized by performing DFT calculations. The energy difference between the two tautomeric forms is consistent with the imidin preference in structures. The crystal structure analysis revealed that the homodimer formed by imidin sites is the most popular synthon, which is observed in all of the SST solvates.
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