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The complex (Me ${ }_{3}$ tacn) $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C M e}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right)$ is readily oxidized by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to yield the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}}$ complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathbf{O H})\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C M e}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$. Thermolysis of this product leads to the selective $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{s p}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ reductive elimination of 2-t-butyl-phenol, no $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{s p}^{3}\right)$-O elimination product being detected. This system represents a rare example of selective $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$-O bond formation that is relevant to Pd-catalyzed aerobic C-H hydroxylation reactions.

Palladium-catalyzed C-H functionalization reactions have been developed over the past two decades as important and versatile tools in organic synthesis. ${ }^{1,2,3}$ Despite the wide range of such synthetic methods, there is a dearth of oxidative $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization reactions using inexpensive and environmentally friendly oxidants such as $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. While the majority of aerobic Pd-catalyzed reactions involve a $\mathrm{Pd}^{0} / \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ catalytic cycle, ${ }^{4}$ several recent studies have proposed highvalent $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{III}}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ species as active intermediates in aerobic CH functionalization reactions. ${ }^{5}$ We have recently employed multidentate flexible ligands to stabilize high-valent $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ complexes and studied in detail their reactivity. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, such high-valent Pd species can be generated via aerobic oxidation of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precursors, ${ }^{6 c, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~g}}$ which represents an improvement over the expensive and hazardous oxidants typically used to generate high-valent Pd intermediates in catalytic or stoichiometric reactions. ${ }^{5}$ For example, we have reported that $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ can be oxidized by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to generate the isolable $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{Me}_{3}\right]^{+}$complex formed upon methyl group transfer upon the aerobic oxidation to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }} .{ }^{6 d}$ Herein we report the palladacycle complex $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ (1) that undergoes rapid oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to directly form the isolable complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}(\mathbf{2})$ without the need for alkyl group transfer (Scheme 1). Thermolysis of 2 leads to selective formation of 2-tert-butyl-phenol. Additional reactivity studies suggest that the tridentate ligand employed herein leads to selective $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation, while no C -halide bond formation was observed for the analogous $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$-halide complexes, suggesting that ligand denticity can be used to control the selectivity of these high-valent Pd complexes in various C -heteroatom bond formation reactions.

Complex $\mathbf{1}$ was prepared by reacting $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}$ with (COD) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ in diethyl ether. ${ }^{7}$ The single crystal X-ray characterization of $\mathbf{1}$ reveals a square planar geometry around the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ center that is bound to two C and two N atoms, while the third N atom of the $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tacn ligand points away from the Pd center (Fig. 1, left), similar to the previously reported $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ complex. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~d}}$ The Pd-C distances are $2.010 \AA$ and $2.016 \AA$, respectively, similar to those found for other palladacycles complexes supported by N -donor ligands. ${ }^{8}$ NMR analysis reveals one singlet peak for the three N -methyl groups of the $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tacn ligand, indicating the three N -Me groups are rapidly exchanging on the NMR timescale. In addition, the dynamic behavior of $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tacn leads to a plane of symmetry incorporating the palladacycle fragment, as suggested by the singlet NMR peaks observed for $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ and Pd $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe} e_{2}$ at 1.80 and 1.26 ppm , respectively.


Scheme 1 Oxidative reactivity of the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex 1.


Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of $\mathbf{1}$ (left) and the cation of [2]ClO ${ }_{4}$ (right). Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angels ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): 1, Pd1-C1, 2.015(3); Pd1-C8, $2.010(6)$; Pd1- N1, 2.215(4); Pd1-N3, 2.240(3); C1-Pd1-C8, 78.99(14); 2, Pd1-C1, 2.024(2); Pd1-C8, 2.061(2); Pd1-O1, 2.0185(17); Pd1-N1, 2.263(2); Pd1-N2, 2.117(2); Pd1-N3, 2.227(2); O1-Pd1-C1, 87.29(9); O1-Pd1-C8, 90.02(8); C1-Pd1-C8, 81.36(9).

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of $\mathbf{1}$ in 0.1 M $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ shows a reversible oxidation wave at $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=$ $-0.53 \mathrm{~V}\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=71 \mathrm{mV}\right)$ vs. $\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc},{ }^{7}$ which is significantly lower than those for analogous $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ complexes with bidentate N -donor ligands, ${ }^{6 c}$ and only slightly higher than our previously reported complex $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2} .{ }^{6 \mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{We}$ attribute the low redox potential of $\mathbf{1}$ to the ability of the $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tacn ligand to provide an axial donor atom and thus stabilize the oxidized high-valent Pd species that generally adopt a geometry with a higher coordination number. ${ }^{\text {bi }}$

The observed low redox potential for $\mathbf{1}$ prompted us to study its oxidation by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Exposure of a colorless solution of 1 to $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{MeCN} \mathrm{v}\right.$ :v) generates rapidly a yellow solution, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis reveals the formation of a new species identified as the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$(2). The yield of 2 can be increased to $>98 \%$ when the oxidation is performed in presence of either $10 \% 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ phosphate buffer ( pH 7.4 ) or a slight excess of acid. ${ }^{7}$ These results suggest that aerobic oxidation of 1 requires the presence of protons for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reduction, and addition of acid or even use of a buffered solution ensures a rapid reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ over the course of the reaction. In addition, complex 2 can also be rapidly generated in almost quantitative yield upon addition of 5 equiv $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Scheme 1). The $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ product can isolated as the perchlorate salt, $[2] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$, and characterized by X-ray crystallography, NMR, and ESIMS. ${ }^{7}$ X-ray analysis reveals an octahedral Pd center with the two C atoms and two N atoms in the equatorial plane, while the third N donor from $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ tacn and the hydroxide ligand occupy the axial positions (Fig. 1, right). The Pd-C distances ( $2.024 \AA$ and $2.061 \AA$ ) are similar to the only other $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ complex supports by a tridentate N -donor ligand, ${ }^{9}$ while the Pd OH distance $(2.018 \AA$ ) is similar to those of other palladacycle $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}$ complexes. ${ }^{8 a}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 2 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ exhibits two doublets at 4.05 ppm and 3.97 ppm for the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group, supporting a geometry lacking a plane of symmetry. ${ }^{7}$


Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for aerobic oxidation of $\mathbf{1}$.
On the basis of previous mechanistic studies of the aerobic oxidation of ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~d}}$ we propose an analogous mechanism for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ activation by $\mathbf{1}$ that involves the formation of an $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ intermediate followed by the formation the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}$ product (Scheme 2). Indeed, the ESIMS of the oxidation reaction solution shows two peaks with $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ values of 426.1732 and 442.1685 , corresponding to $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}(2$, calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 426.1739)$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \text { tacn }\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{OOH})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$(3, calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 442.1688), respectively. ${ }^{7}$ The decrease of the peak intensity of 3 over time is accompanied by an increase of the relative peak intensity of 2, supporting the intermediacy of $\mathbf{3}$ during the formation of 2. A similar mechanism was also proposed for the aerobic oxidation of related $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ organometallic complexes. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}, 10}$ Compared to the aerobic oxidation of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$, the oxidation of $\mathbf{1}$ by $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to yield an isolable $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ product does not require and alkyl group transfer step that cannot occur for $2 .{ }^{6 \mathrm{~d}}$ Thus, it can expected that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ could be
used as an oxidant for oxidatively-induced C-heteroatom bond formation reactions (see below).

We next sought to study the C-O bond formation reactivity of 2, especially the selectivity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation, as C heteroatom bond formation studies from asymmetric dihydrocarbyl- $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ complexes are rare. ${ }^{11}$ When 2 was heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO, a new transient species (4) is observed followed by formation of 2-tert-butylphenol in up to $74 \%$ yield, as determined by NMR and GC-MS (Scheme 3). ${ }^{12}$ C-O bond formation was also observed in other polar aprotic solvents: thermolysis in DMF leads to the formation of 2-tertbutylphenol in a comparable yield. ${ }^{7}$ Interestingly, the organic product that would result from $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ - O reductive elimination, $\mathrm{PhCMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, was not detected under any of the experimental conditions examined. In addition, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation to form a benzocyclobutane derivative is unlikely due to the strain of the four-membered ring product.


Scheme 3 Aryl C-O bond reductive elimination upon thermolysis of 2.
The complex 4 was tentatively assigned as $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \text { tacn }\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$based on ESI-MS and NMR. ESI-MS of the reaction mixture shows the presence of a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 426.1717$ (calcd. [(Me $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{OH}-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+} 426.1731\right),{ }^{13}$ while the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum reveals a singlet at 2.16 ppm for the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group, similar to complex $\mathbf{1}$ (singlet at 1.80 ppm ) and in the typical range of $1.96-2.37 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)^{14}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-O-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{15}$ complexes with N donor ligands.

The selective formation of 2-tert-butylphenol from $\mathbf{2}$ represents a rare example of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}$ elimination from an organometallic $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ complex. While $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ary }} 1-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation reactions from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ have been reported (e.g., $\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond formation from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ monoaryl complexes ${ }^{16}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ary }} 1$-carboxylate elimination from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ bis-aryl complexes ${ }^{17}$ ), the selective $\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}{ }^{-}$ O vs. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {alkyl }}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation reactivity has not been observed before. The mechanism of this reaction likely involves a concerted $\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{O}$ elimination from a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ center, as proposed recently. ${ }^{16}$ Moreover, the effect of concentration of 2 on the yield of 2-tert-butylphenol suggests that a bimolecular mechanism for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {alkyl }} \mathrm{O}$ bond formation is unlikely.

In order to provide insight into the observed selective $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ O vs. $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-O bond formation reactivity for 2 , DFT calculations were employed to determine the activation parameters for the two possible C-O bond formation steps. First, the geometry optimized structure of 2 was determined using the M06/CEP-31G level of theory and with solvent correction, ${ }^{7}$ and then the transition states for both $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ vs. $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-O bond formation reactions were calculated to yield $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ values of 21.9 and $25.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Scheme 4 and Figure S27). The lower enthalpy of activation by $3.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the former transition state supports the observed selectivity and suggests that $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$-O bond-forming reductive elimination is preferred from a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ center supported by a tridentate ligand. Interestingly, the opposite selectivity was recently observed by Sanford et al. for $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ vs. $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ coupling from a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ center supported by a bidentate ligand (see below). ${ }^{11}$


Scheme 4 Calculated activation parameters for $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ - O vs. $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ - O bond formation reactivity of $\mathbf{2}$.

The oxidation reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ was also tested using other oxidants such as N -fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate, $\mathrm{PhICl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$. The corresponding products, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \text { tacn }\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{F})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+} \quad$ (5), $\quad\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{Cl})\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}(6)$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{I})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}$ (7) were isolated, and $[6] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ and $[7] \mathrm{I}$ were structurally characterized to reveal a coordination geometry similar to that of 2 (Fig. S28). ${ }^{7}$ Interestingly, no C-halide reductive elimination was observed upon prolonged heating of either $\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}$, or 7. By comparison, examples of $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ bond formation upon reductive elimination from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ complexes supported by bidentate ligands were recently reported, ${ }^{17 a, 18}$ and the formation of a five-coordinate intermediate via ligand dissociation ${ }^{11}$ or the presence of a hemi-labile sulfonamide ligand ${ }^{18}$ was proposed during C-F reductive elimination. This suggests that formation of a five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ intermediate is likely a prerequisite for facile $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ bond formation reactivity and such intermediate is not easily accessible for $\mathbf{2}$, most likely due to the presence of the three strong amine donors of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{tacn}$. The calculated transition states for the $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-F bond formation reactions from 5 yield comparable $\Delta H^{*}$ values of 29.4 and $30.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Figure S27), ${ }^{7}$ strongly suggesting that both types of C-halide bond formation from the $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \operatorname{tacn}\right) \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ center are disfavored. Overall, this observed ligand-controlled bond formation reactivity can be exploited for developing selective aerobicallyinduced C-O bond formation catalytic transformations, which are currently being investigated by us.
In summary, we report herein an organometallic $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex 1 that undergoes facile aerobic oxidation to form a stable $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ OH complex 2 that was isolated and fully characterized. The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ activation reactivity is due to the low oxidation potential of $\mathbf{1}$ supported by the tridentate amine ligand $\mathrm{Me}_{3}$ tacn that can effectively stabilize the octahedral geometry of the generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ center. Interestingly, thermolysis of the organometallic $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}$ complex 2 leads to selective $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ vs. $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation and formation of 2-tert-butylphenol. This represents a rare example of a selective $\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{O}$ reductive elimination from a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}-\mathrm{OH}$ complex that is formed via aerobic oxidation of a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ precursor and thus is relevant to $\mathrm{Pd}-$ catalyzed aerobic hydroxylation reactions. ${ }^{19}$ Moreover, the observed preference for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ vs. C-halide bond formation reactivity is currently explored for the development of selective aerobic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation transformations.
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