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#### Abstract

Allylic rearrangement or the migration of a double bond from its original position in the carbon skeleton to an adjacent site was observed when $3,4,5,6$-tetrahydrophthalate was hydrolyzed in a basic solution and in the presence of ${ }_{10} \mathbf{C o}$ (II) and $\mathbf{M n}$ (II) under hydrothermal conditions.


Metal-ligand reactions under solvo(hydro)thermal conditions frequently produce unexpected results. ${ }^{1,2}$ The in situ transformation of the original ligands leads to the production of ligands that are not readily accessible or at all possible if the 15 reactions are carried out under normal conditions, and the newly formed ligands are responsible for the formation of the often interesting structures of the resulting complexes. ${ }^{3-7}$ Albeit interesting and practically useful, except for a small number of thoroughly investigated cases, the majority of such reactions ${ }_{20}$ remain mechanistically unclear. As such, the "rational synthesis" of metal-ligand complexes with pre-designed structures under such conditions is an inexact science. Exploratory synthesis, with the hope of discovering new materials with useful properties, has been a common alternative. ${ }^{1,2}$
25 In this work we report a hitherto unknown example of allylic rearrangement reaction under hydrothermal conditions. More significantly, with the isolation of a complex featuring the coordination of both the original ligand and the newly formed ligand, and coupled with computational studies, the mechanism 30 of this rearrangement reaction can be reasonably established.

The unusual allylic rearrangement under hydrothermal conditions was observed when we attempted the synthesis of Co(II)-containing coordination polymers for magnetic studies. The ligand to be used was cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylate (1,235 chedc, 2), which can be generated by the basic hydrolysis of 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (THPA, 1). Unexpectedly, a crystalline product of the formula ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}(2,3\right.$-chedc $\left.)(\mathrm{DABCO})_{0.5}\right]$ (1Co), (2,3-chedc, cyclohexene-2,3-dicarboxylate; DABCO, 1,4diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) was isolated from the reaction of a 40 mixture $(\mathrm{pH} \approx 9)$ containing $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$, THPA, and DABCO under hydrothermal conditions (See Supporting Information for experimental details); the dicarboxylate ligand is 2,3 -chedc rather than 1,2-chedc anticipated from the hydrolysis of THPA (Fig. 1).

The structure of 1Co was determined crystallographically. As
45 shown in Fig. 2a, its asymmetric unit contains one Co, one 2,3cheds, and half a DABCO. The Co(II) ion adopts a slightly distorted square-pyramidal coordination geometry with its four basal carboxylato O atoms ( $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O} 2.026(3)-2.077(3) \AA$ ) from different 2,3-chedc ligands and one N from $\mathrm{DABCO}(\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ ${ }_{50} 2.113(3) \AA$ ). The position of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond can be unambiguously
determined to be between C 2 and C 3 as the metric value of the C2-C3 distance of $1.314(5) \AA$ is consistent with that of a normal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond and significantly shorter than that of a typical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 21.507(5) \AA$ ).


Fig. 1. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism for forming 1,2-chedc (2) and 2,3-chedc (2'). * indicates the chiral carbon site in $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$. We have isolated only the product 2' in $\mathbf{1 C o}$, whilst both 2 and 2' in $2 \mathbf{M n}$.

The most salient feature of 2,3-chedc is the existence of a 60 chiral center ( C 1 , Fig. 2a), leaving the adjacent carboxylate group "twisted" to the $\alpha$-position of the ring structure, lowering the symmetry of the ligand to $C_{s}$, and imparting the ligand with much enhanced flexibility in metal coordination over the anticipated 1,2-chedc whose two carboxylate groups 65 are rigidly cis-disposed with respect to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond. However, the crystalline solid is just a racemic conglomerate as the ligands are present as racemic pairs in the crystal structure. The overall structure can be conveniently described as the dinuclear unit of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ being bridged by carboxylate 70 groups of different 2,3-chedc ligands, resulting in a paddle-wheel-like structure which is further extended into a chain along the crystallographic $a$-axis (Fig. 2b) due to the bridging interactions between the repeating $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ units using the second carboxylate group of each of the four dicarboxylate ligands.
75 The individual chains are interlinked via the coordination of Co centers with DABCO at the apical position, affording a two-dimensional (2D) grid-like sheet (Fig. S1a), which stacks along the crystallographic $b$-direction in an offset ABAB fashion to generate the lamellar structure. It is interesting to 80 note that the two centrosymmetrically related coordination square-pyramids are not collinear but with the $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Co} 1 \mathrm{a}$ angle of $163.90(9)^{\circ}$ due to the significantly
"twisted" carboxylate groups; the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are forced to be closer to one of the carboxylate $O$ atom than to the other (Co1a…O2 $2.81 \AA$ versus Cola…O1a $3.57 \AA$ ), as shown by the green dotted lines in Fig. S1a.


Fig. 2. The ligand conformations of $\mathbf{1 C o}$ (a) and $\mathbf{2 M n}$ (c) show migrated and non-migrated double bonds; the racemic pair of 2,3-chedc and their organizations in the crystal packing of $\mathbf{1 C o}$ (b) and $\mathbf{2 M n}$ (d). Symmetry codes: 10 for 1Co, $a)-x+1,-y,-z ; b)-x,-y,-z ; c) x-1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} ; d)-x+1,-y, 1-z ; e) x+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$; for 2Mn: $b-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+2,-\mathrm{z} ; c-\mathrm{x}+3,-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z} ; e-\mathrm{x}+2,-\mathrm{y}+2,-\mathrm{z} ; f-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$.

Considering the reaction conditions, it is reasonable to hypothesize the formation of 2,3 -chedc by $S_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism as schematically shown in Fig. 1. With the presence of DABCO
15 as a Brønsted base, THPA undergoes hydrolysis to afford the anticipated 1,2 -chedc. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ site that is $\alpha$ to the carboxylate groups is activated toward deprotonation, and the resulting carbanion (3) is in resonance with a more stable tertiary cabanion form (3'). Re-protonation of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, 20 affords 1,2 -chedc and 2,3 -chedc, respectively. These different ligands can subsequently participate in metal coordination to produce the coordination polymers observed.

It is very difficult to uncover the mechanisms of complex organic reactions in hydrothermal reactions. So far only
${ }_{25}$ exceptional cases of such reactions have been thoroughly investigated. ${ }^{5}$ We cannot exclude an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ mechanism caused by the direct attack of electrophiles on the carbon backbone (Scheme S1) simply based on the crystal structure of 1Co. Meanwhile, it is well-known that some transition metal ${ }_{30}$ catalysts (complexes containing $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rh}$, or Ru , or metal carbonyl catalysts) can catalyze the double bond migration in two pathways (Scheme S2). ${ }^{8,13}$ Considering the transition metal ion $\left(\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right)$ and other ligands in our system, we also cannot exclude these possibilities, and need further concrete ${ }_{35}$ evidence for understanding the mechanism in our system. The
isolation of intermediates of the two resonance-stabilized cyclohexenedicarboxylates ( $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ) is obviously crucial.

After trying we were able to capture such intermediates in the solid structure of ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}{ }_{2}(1,2\right.$-chedc $)\left(2,3\right.$-chedc) $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ ${ }_{40}(\mathbf{2 M n}) . \mathbf{2 M n}$ crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P-1$. As shown in Figure 2c, the two cyclohexene-dicarboxylates have disparate coordination modes. For non-migrated product the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond locates between two carboxylates groups, so that carbon atoms connect to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ (herein: C 9 and C 10 ) are ${ }_{45}$ confined in the same plane, leaving only the carboxylato- $O$ atoms rotate about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ axes. For migrated product the chiral carbon atom (C6) forms with similar "twisted" $\alpha$ position of the ring structure as in 1Co. Again, the crystalline solid is just a racemic conglomerate as the ligands are present ${ }_{50}$ as racemic pairs in the crystal structure (Fig. 2d). Two kinds of coordination polyhedra of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ are found in the structure, one being a pseudo-octahedron and the other trigonal. The average $\mathrm{Mn} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}$ separation and $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Mn}$ angle in the $\left\{\mathrm{Mn}_{4}\right\}$ square are $3.70 \AA$ and $114.11(7)^{\circ}$, respectively. The ${ }_{55}$ nearest $\mathrm{Mn} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}$ separations between the $\left\{\mathrm{Mn}_{4}\right\}$ squares and between the chains are 4.20 and $4.92 \AA$, respectively. With the perpendicular fashion the two carboxylates in 1,2-chedc adopt the $\mu^{3}-\eta^{2}: \eta^{1}$ mode to form the basic corner-shared $\left\{\mathrm{Mn}_{4}\right\}$ square, which is further linked by quadruple $\mu$ ${ }_{60}$ carboxylates into a 1D chain running along the $a$-axis (Fig. S1b). The individual chains are further bridged by racemic pairs of 2,3 -chedc ligands in a more flexible $\operatorname{bis}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}: \eta^{1}\right)$ mode, generating a 2D layered structure. The layers stack into a 3D crystal structure via van der Waals interactions with the ${ }_{65}$ shortest $\mathrm{Mn} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}$ separation of $12.70 \AA$ along the crystallographic $c$-direction.

We have subsequently performed DFT calculations in seeking theoretical understanding of the experimental results, in particular the metal-dependence of the observed ${ }_{70}$ rearrangement. ${ }^{10}$ Fig. 3 shows the potential energy surface and the geometries of key stationary states of the reaction of cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylic acid ( 1,2 -chedcH $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~m} 1$ ) with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and two $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules. The first step along the reaction coordinate corresponds to the formation of an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ hydrogen 75 bond (m2) of $1.98 \AA$ between one O atom of the $\mathrm{OH}^{-} /\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ cluster and one of the H atom ( H 4 ) of the $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(s p^{3}-\right.$ hybridized C 1 ) of 1,2 -chedcH $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, accompanied by the lowering of energy of $12.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This H atom then moves closer to the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, resulting in a transition state (ts $2 / 3$ ) with an increase ${ }_{80}$ of energy of $3.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, followed by its complete departure from the $\alpha-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C} 1)$ and transfer onto the $\mathrm{OH}^{-} /\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ cluster (m3) with a small lowering of energy of $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The charge is then redistributed to extend the conjugation over the skeleton of $\mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 2$, and the now $s p^{2}$-hybridized C 1 . In the ${ }_{85}$ meantime, the newly formed $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ cluster migrates from above C1 to above C3, leading to state m3' which is more stable than m 3 by $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ that may be attributable to the extra hydrogen bonds formed between the water cluster and the two carboxyl groups. The process concludes by the 90 transformation of $\mathrm{m} 3^{\prime}$ to m 4 with the transition state of $\mathrm{ts} 3^{\prime} / 4$. In this step, a proton migrates from the water cluster to the $s p^{2}$-hybridized C 3 atom, resulting in the chiral $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right.$ hybridized C 3 ) centre in the rearranged product of
cyclohexene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (2,3-chedcH $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). Thus, the formation of double-bond migrated product can be well explained by this $\mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ assisted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism. Note that the energy differences of each well in this process are 5 merely in the energy scale of hydrogen bonds ${ }^{11}$ and thus the isolation of intermediate products becomes possible.


Fig. 3. Potential energy surface and structures corresponding to the stationary points in the reaction of the cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylic acid with OH $10\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (bond lengths in angstroms).

In experiments, the reactions were carried out with Mn (II) and Co (II) ions, and a preference for different double bond positions (C2-C3 and C1-C2) has been observed. To better represent the periodic molecular-metal chain products, for 15 each metal ion, we added two metal atoms to the current model by complexing them with the - $\mathrm{COO}^{-}$groups, furnishing $\mathrm{m}_{3}-\mathrm{Co}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{3}-\mathrm{Mn}$, respectively (Fig S2). The NBO analysis results indicate that $\mathrm{m} 3-\mathrm{Mn}$ has a natural charge of -0.25 e on C 1 and -0.26 e on C 3 . However, in $\mathrm{m} 3-\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{C} 1$ has a charge of $20-0.26$ e, while the charge on C3 is -0.30 e , a much bigger difference. The position of the double bond depends on the nucleophile strength of the proton-accepting carbon atoms, i.e. the bigger the negative charge on a C atom, the easier the protonation at that C position. As the charges on C 1 and C 3 in ${ }_{25} \mathrm{~m} 3-\mathrm{Mn}$ are very close, the protonation has approximately equal probability at either carbon positions and thus two isomeric products are obtained. In contrast, in m3-Co, the big charge difference leads to a much favored C3 protonation and only one product is detected. As such, the charge difference ${ }_{30}$ caused by $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and Mn (II) on the C 1 and C3 is likely to be the reason for observing different product preference. We would like to point out that the product preference might also be tuned by other metals and even substitution groups (Fig. S2).
35 To summarise, hydrolysis of 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (THPA, 1) in the presence of an organic base and transition metal ions ( $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{II})$ ) under hydrothermal conditions has generated two different dicarboxylate ligands that are formally related by allylic rearrangement within the ${ }_{40}$ cyclohexene ring structure. The completeness of the
rearrangement is dependent on the nature of the metal ions, producing distinctly different structures of the metal-ligand complexes. The co-existence of 1,2 -chedc and 2,3 -chedc in the same metal complex $\mathbf{2 M n}$ would lend strong support to 45 the proposed mechanism. DFT calculation suggests $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism is operative via an $\mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ assisted process. This work thus offers a rare and well-investigated example of in situ ligand transformation under hydrothermal conditions.
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Allylic rearrangement was first observed in a hydrothermal reaction of cyclic dicarboxylic anhydride with different metal centres, which can be rationalised as $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism via the isolation of two resonated intermediates and DFT
calculations.
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## 1. General Experimental Section

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and solvents were purchased commercially and used without further purification. Microanalyses (CHN) were carried out using an Elementar Vario-EL CHNS elemental analyzer.

## Methods of Calculation

Full geometry optimization of all stationary points involving the cyclohexene-1,2-dicarboxylic acid, hydroxide ions and water molecules has been carried out using the density functional theory (DFT) method based on the hybrid of Becke's three-parameter exchange functional and the Lee, Yang, and Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) [S1- S3]. ${ }_{10}$ The $6-31+\mathrm{g}^{*}$ basis set is selected for hydrogen, carbon and oxygen and LANL2DZ is adopted to describe the metals of Mn and Co [S4]. Analytical frequency calculations are done at the same theoretical level, and the stationary points are distinguished as either energy minima (the number of imaginary frequencies (NIMAG $=0$ ) or transition states (NIMAG $=1$ )). Furthermore, the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations [S5] are performed to confirm that the optimized transition states correctly connect the relevant reactants and products. All computations reported here ${ }_{15}$ are carried out using the GAUSSIAN 09 program suite [S6] and the electronic energies with the zero point energy correction are used to compute the potential energy surface.
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## Optimized structures and 0 K energies (electronic and ZPE) in Fig. 3.

m1
$E=-611.635300$ hartree

| C | -0.03467800 | -0.67719200 | -0.00362200 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ${ }^{40} \mathrm{C}$ | -0.26574700 | 0.65235300 | -0.01430700 |
| C | -1.14250900 | -1.70986600 | -0.01417200 |
| H | -0.87282800 | -2.49653100 | -0.73062500 |


| H | -1.16717100 | -2.19791300 | 0.97315100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -2.51481800 | -1.11312600 | $-0.35056100$ |
| H | -3.30050100 | -1.83940500 | -0.11042200 |
| H | -2.57381400 | -0.92420700 | -1.43149500 |
| C | -2.72938400 | 0.19982300 | 0.40822000 |
| H | -2.67433000 | 0.00778200 | 1.48947400 |
| H | -3.72847500 | 0.60578100 | 0.20946400 |
| C | -1.66613700 | 1.23504400 | 0.01787300 |
| H | -1.68645800 | 2.08053500 | 0.71426400 |
| ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}$ | -1.89862000 | 1.66267300 | -0.96889800 |
| C | 1.34211600 | -1.28489200 | $-0.03617600$ |
| C | 0.89223400 | 1.58356500 | $-0.08920100$ |
| O | 2.06270300 | 1.26196300 | -0.17962100 |
| O | 1.82858800 | -1.79062700 | -1.02457100 |
| ${ }_{15} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.51332000 | 2.88991100 | -0.06177800 |
| H | 1.33156700 | 3.41641400 | $-0.13065600$ |
| O | 1.90752200 | -1.34544000 | 1.19046800 |
| H | 2.78710300 | -1.75583400 | 1.08142800 |
| $\mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| $20 \mathrm{E}=-228.670379$ |  |  |  |
| O | -2.26873800 | -0.40141900 | 0.03607400 |
| H | -1.39475600 | 0.15732900 | 0.07735600 |
| H | -1.95436700 | -1.25014700 | -0.30865100 |
| O | 0.00381500 | 0.87166600 | 0.07628100 |
| ${ }_{25} \mathrm{H}$ | -0.00415300 | 1.58541400 | -0.57952200 |
| H | 1.30762400 | 0.04049700 | -0.09124100 |
| O | 2.20344200 | -0.49252000 | -0.09946600 |
| H | 2.53750300 | $-0.35491100$ | 0.79894400 |
| m2 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{30} \mathrm{E}=-840.325862$ |  |  |  |
| C | -0.67203600 | 0.48996600 | -0.49086500 |
| C | -1.20037200 | -0.73478100 | -0.24557400 |
| C | 0.70738400 | 0.70219500 | -1.04870900 |
| H | 0.67000500 | 1.52871500 | -1.77203100 |
| H | 1.39526300 | 1.03117400 | $-0.23202300$ |
| C | 1.29631700 | -0.56636900 | -1.67762100 |
| H | 2.36688900 | $-0.40988000$ | -1.84624600 |
| H | 0.81900100 | $-0.76568700$ | -2.65141300 |
| C | 1.07905100 | -1.75624000 | -0.73788100 |
| ${ }_{40} \mathrm{H}$ | 1.57467900 | -1.54671500 | 0.21803300 |
| H | 1.53919100 | -2.66558900 | -1.14789000 |
| C | -0.41627100 | -2.01163600 | -0.49650700 |
| H | -0.54791600 | -2.68450000 | 0.35943500 |
| H | -0.86106400 | -2.53644400 | -1.35735400 |
| ${ }_{45} \mathrm{C}$ | -1.42967100 | 1.76614500 | $-0.25642300$ |
| C | -2.58684200 | -0.83921200 | 0.24645800 |
| O | -3.36368500 | 0.07890400 | 0.46871200 |
| O | -1.95082400 | 2.42396000 | -1.13637800 |
| O | -2.98955300 | -2.13527300 | 0.43698900 |
| ${ }_{50} \mathrm{H}$ | -3.91022500 | -2.07364600 | 0.74999800 |
| O | -1.35249900 | 2.19299500 | 1.02509000 |
| H | -1.84372500 | 3.03512400 | 1.06529300 |
| O | 4.75460400 | 0.05811300 | -0.80460300 |
| H | 4.08376600 | 0.47181900 | $-0.14450700$ |
| ${ }_{55} \mathrm{H}$ | 5.08873200 | -0.71456200 | -0.32626000 |
| O | 3.00707500 | 0.99759900 | 0.90893500 |
| H | 3.34357500 | 1.80790200 | 1.32049800 |
| H | 2.53180300 | $-0.02279500$ | 2.05206100 |
| O | 2.12760100 | $-0.66823800$ | 2.73967900 |
| ${ }_{60} \mathrm{H}$ | 1.22291100 | $-0.33980300$ | 2.84774100 |
| ts2/3 |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{E}=-840.320349$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.78391600 | 0.36734700 | 0.52486800 |
| C | 1.57214900 | -0.67568100 | 0.07689200 |
| C | -0.49680900 | 0.21133200 | 1.16542500 |
| H | -0.72699500 | 1.01430100 | 1.87445800 |
| H | -1.45744800 | 0.45321800 | 0.23075500 |
| C | -0.79907900 | -1.19150100 | 1.68494400 |
| H | -1.87680200 | -1.29102800 | 1.87544700 |
| H | -0.29062200 | $-1.38104600$ | 2.64663000 |
| C | -0.34582200 | -2.23927600 | 0.65820000 |
| H | -0.91921000 | -2.09189900 | -0.26701400 |
| H | -0.56324200 | -3.25635600 | 1.01548600 |
| C | 1.15477900 | -2.11403100 | 0.34523100 |
| H | 1.40265600 | -2.73918400 | -0.52101900 |
| H | 1.74249900 | -2.52456500 | 1.18288400 |
| C | 1.19933800 | 1.80077500 | 0.33126800 |
| C | 2.81296600 | -0.40252100 | -0.60909200 |
| O | 3.29029700 | 0.69281200 | -0.91305800 |
| O | 1.76109000 | 2.48334800 | 1.16539400 |
| O | 3.52598100 | -1.54691600 | -0.93172600 |
| H | 4.32611900 | -1.21228000 | $-1.37393200$ |
| O | 0.74690300 | 2.32025400 | -0.83980500 |
| H | 1.05741900 | 3.24484700 | -0.86265000 |
| O | -5.13850200 | 1.16743100 | 0.06476400 |
| ${ }_{25} \mathrm{H}$ | -4.15578300 | 1.19890400 | -0.02963400 |
| H | -5.33895200 | 0.33476500 | -0.39776400 |
| O | -2.45433600 | 0.62621700 | -0.55881400 |
| H | -2.07657400 | 1.16382700 | -1.27376300 |
| H | -3.41795100 | -0.64911600 | -1.15675400 |
| O | $-4.11388000$ | -1.33442200 | -1.38928900 |
| H | -3.93417400 | -2.07285300 | $-0.78928300$ |
| m3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-840.327030$ |  |  |  |
| C | 0.83068900 | 0.28472200 | 0.78872400 |
| C | 1.54808700 | -0.64069900 | -0.01998700 |
| C | -0.07485100 | -0.06890000 | 1.76998900 |
| H | -0.47845200 | 0.69317700 | 2.43443300 |
| H | -1.68955300 | 0.39004500 | 0.42318900 |
| C | -0.31829600 | -1.52540200 | 2.09511100 |
| H | -1.35567300 | -1.68071900 | 2.43081300 |
| H | 0.31867600 | -1.85605800 | 2.93620500 |
| C | -0.02756200 | -2.41278600 | 0.87093700 |
| H | -0.79293400 | -2.22025600 | 0.10445800 |
| H | -0.10640200 | -3.47573900 | 1.14235700 |
| C | 1.36088500 | -2.11851400 | 0.27259600 |
| H | 1.49762200 | -2.70440500 | -0.64406400 |
| H | 2.12915900 | -2.48410900 | 0.97825100 |
| C | 1.02474100 | 1.76235200 | 0.58456000 |
| C | 2.45781000 | -0.18064500 | -0.99360000 |
| O | 2.74503600 | 0.99539100 | -1.30191000 |
| O | 1.68198500 | 2.50721600 | 1.28087000 |
| O | 3.12748900 | -1.20093300 | -1.68740200 |
| H | 3.70120400 | $-0.70815700$ | -2.29902500 |
| O | 0.23821200 | 2.26520700 | -0.42828000 |
| ${ }_{55} \mathrm{H}$ | 0.49966600 | 3.19921600 | -0.52835200 |
| O | -5.25215700 | 0.66842800 | -0.05142600 |
| H | -4.35120600 | 0.99734900 | 0.12389500 |
| H | -5.08434400 | -0.02655700 | -0.71574400 |
| O | -2.26946400 | 0.78970300 | -0.27482000 |
| ${ }_{60} \mathrm{H}$ | -1.65070700 | 1.42227400 | -0.68593000 |
| H | -3.02135900 | -0.41550400 | -1.40884300 |
| O | -3.65783500 | -1.00357100 | -1.88288800 |
| H | -3.33083400 | -1.90284100 | -1.7347 |


| m3' |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{E}=-840.333222$ |  |  |  |
| C | 1.57161200 | 0.30755200 | -0.04340900 |
| C | 0.32416000 | 0.12651000 | 0.68070600 |
| 5 C | 2.17709900 | 1.51436100 | -0.22933800 |
| H | 3.09704900 | 1.56779700 | $-0.80664900$ |
| C | 1.56030600 | 2.79048900 | 0.28612700 |
| H | 1.79276300 | 3.62515600 | -0.39272500 |
| H | 1.99729400 | 3.06815700 | 1.26198100 |
| ${ }_{10} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.03570100 | 2.63709000 | 0.43477100 |
| H | -0.41148300 | 2.55978100 | -0.56575300 |
| H | -0.39580900 | 3.52963500 | 0.90931300 |
| C | -0.32536200 | 1.38474200 | 1.24944800 |
| H | -1.41713100 | 1.28041800 | 1.27981300 |
| ${ }_{15} \mathrm{H}$ | -0.01239300 | 1.54690700 | 2.29694900 |
| C | 2.23016100 | -0.84181600 | -0.73798500 |
| C | 0.03475400 | -1.13803900 | 1.23425600 |
| O | 0.63608300 | -2.22166500 | 1.11676800 |
| O | 3.40670400 | -1.14990200 | -0.67121200 |
| 20 O | -1.14232600 | -1.15937300 | 2.03273800 |
| H | -1.16711600 | $-2.08076800$ | 2.34643300 |
| O | 1.39502100 | $-1.50211800$ | -1.60477000 |
| H | 1.90608000 | -2.27003000 | -1.91876800 |
| H | -0.88178800 | $-0.18022900$ | -0.90480000 |
| O | -3.95571000 | 1.20038500 | -1.43177200 |
| H | -3.09895800 | 0.93324000 | -1.81148500 |
| H | -4.07026300 | 0.54134400 | -0.71818300 |
| O | -1.44993700 | -0.42139900 | -1.69102100 |
| H | -0.83466700 | -0.96371100 | -2.21099200 |
| ${ }_{30} \mathrm{H}$ | -2.83864500 | -1.22144500 | -0.56597000 |
| O | -3.45016000 | -1.18821300 | 0.19953300 |
| H | -2.83291600 | $-1.08330100$ | 0.95127500 |
| ts3'/4 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-840.326229$ |  |  |  |
| C | -1.38703700 | 0.46194100 | -0.01885000 |
| C | -0.14718500 | -0.19321500 | -0.52781400 |
| C | -1.75771400 | 1.72086400 | -0.34225300 |
| H | -2.65749000 | 2.13100300 | 0.11209900 |
| C | -0.94172900 | 2.60988600 | $-1.24356400$ |
| H | -0.98676200 | 3.64610000 | -0.87712300 |
| H | -1.38847300 | 2.63080000 | -2.25323900 |
| C | 0.51677600 | 2.13171400 | -1.32190900 |
| H | 1.02179000 | 2.34142600 | -0.37108000 |
| H | 1.05578100 | 2.68794200 | -2.10189500 |
| ${ }_{45} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.58507900 | 0.62754400 | -1.61169400 |
| H | 1.63207000 | 0.30972200 | -1.66299500 |
| H | 0.14895500 | 0.43575300 | -2.60679000 |
| C | -2.22619800 | $-0.20705500$ | 1.01808800 |
| C | -0.31985000 | -1.60665000 | -0.86214600 |
| so O | -1.25190500 | $-2.35631500$ | -0.58199000 |
| O | -3.43868300 | $-0.11384800$ | 1.13295900 |
| O | 0.76292300 | -2.13921500 | -1.55200100 |
| H | 0.54286400 | -3.08298900 | -1.65192200 |
| O | -1.49225500 | $-0.89872200$ | 1.93340700 |
| ${ }_{55} \mathrm{H}$ | -2.14065900 | -1.32406200 | 2.52312700 |
| H | 0.74032900 | -0.19048100 | 0.47145000 |
| O | 3.13726400 | 2.17008600 | 1.70029900 |
| H | 2.54997300 | 1.36165000 | 1.62020100 |
| H | 3.97630800 | 1.87251300 | 1.31925600 |
| $6_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.70709600 | -0.09947300 | 1.35960500 |
| H | 1.28788300 | $-0.43019300$ | 2.16979200 |
| H | 2.88552000 | 1.23194300 | 0.66889700 |


|  | O | 3.40507700 | $-1.85874300$ | 0.09601800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H | 2.76291600 | -2.05755800 | -0.60530000 |
| m4 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}=-840.328868$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | C | 1.27205100 | -0.66613200 | 0.00591900 |
|  | C | 0.19116300 | 0.32784000 | $-0.36714300$ |
|  | C | 1.30800500 | -1.92438900 | -0.47076300 |
|  | H | 2.10491200 | -2.57790400 | -0.12002100 |
|  | C | 0.29214800 | $-2.49475300$ | $-1.41875900$ |
| 10 | H | 0.04769200 | -3.52013600 | -1.10632700 |
|  | H | 0.75567400 | $-2.59037400$ | $-2.41681500$ |
|  | C | -0.97952600 | -1.63776900 | -1.48635000 |
|  | H | -1.58754500 | $-1.80457200$ | -0.58966700 |
|  | H | -1.58915800 | -1.94086400 | -2.34900400 |
| 15 | C | -0.62929400 | -0.15112500 | -1.60066100 |
|  | H | -1.54223400 | 0.44925800 | -1.65790900 |
|  | H | -0.05063100 | 0.02080200 | -2.52272400 |
|  | C | 2.28952200 | -0.31298200 | 1.02972800 |
|  | C | 0.76806400 | 1.68160800 | -0.70229600 |
| 20 | O | 1.90724800 | 1.93197800 | $-1.05727300$ |
|  | O | 3.32159400 | -0.92227500 | 1.27028600 |
|  | O | -0.16697900 | 2.67420400 | -0.63949900 |
|  | H | 0.28908900 | 3.48923300 | -0.91938200 |
|  | O | 1.94949100 | 0.79016200 | 1.75042700 |
| 25 | H | 2.67808800 | 0.93471500 | 2.38051000 |
|  | H | -0.54033500 | 0.42234000 | 0.47124700 |
|  | O | -3.18704000 | -2.20629600 | 1.23547500 |
|  | H | -2.77256200 | -1.27123500 | 1.38574600 |
|  | H | -4.09161700 | -2.00607300 | 0.95380300 |
|  | O | -2.18537800 | 0.17955200 | 1.48859300 |
|  | H | -2.08897500 | 0.41560400 | 2.42378200 |
|  | H | -2.96434400 | 1.40173300 | 0.70829900 |
|  | O | -3.29600700 | 2.18641400 | 0.14999500 |
|  | H | -2.46428400 | 2.57377300 | -0.16361600 |

## 2. Syntheses

### 2.1 Synthesis of ${ }_{\alpha}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathbf{2 , 3 - c h e d c})(\mathrm{DABCO})_{0.5}\right](\mathbf{1 C o})$


$\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, THPA ( $0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DABCO ( $0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 10 mL deioned water were mixed and stirred in the air for 10 minutes before transferred to a 15 mL Teflon-lined auto-clave and heated at $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hrs . The reactants were then cooled to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{h}$. After keeping 12 hrs at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the bomb was further cooled to room temperature naturally. Dark red block crystals were collected. Yield: $75 \%$ ${ }_{10}$ (calculated from $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ used). Elemental analysis, calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{CoNO}_{4}$ : C, 46.66; H, 4.98, N 4.95; Found: C, 46.78; H, 4.85; N, $4.83 \%$.

### 2.2 Synthesis of ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{II}}{ }_{2}\left(\mathbf{1}, 2\right.\right.$-chedc) $(2,3$-chedc $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right](2 \mathrm{Mn})$
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A mixture of $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.198 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, THPA $(0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaOH}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a molar ratio of 1:1:2 in deionized water ( 15 ml ) was quickly sealed in a $23-\mathrm{ml}$ Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hrs to give colourless plate-like crystals of $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{M n}$ (yield $33 \%$ based on THPA). Elemental analysis, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$, calcd.: C, 41.04; H, 4.74; found: 41.10; H, $4.69 \%$.

## 3. X-Ray Crystallography Data

Crystal data of 1Co and 2Mn were collected on a Bruker Apex CCD area-detector diffractometer by using MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda$ $=0.71073 \AA$ ) radiation. Absorption corrections were applied by using the multi-scan program SADABS [S7]. The ${ }_{5}$ structures were solved using direct methods and refined with a full-matrix least-squares technique with the SHELXTL program package [S8]. Anisotropic thermal parameters were assigned to all non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were generated geometrically. Data collection and structural refinement parameters are given in Table S1 and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table S2. CCDC-907607 and 907608 contains the crystallographic data that can be obtained via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge ${ }_{10}$ Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1Co and 2Mn.

|  | $\mathbf{1 C o}$ | $\mathbf{2 M n}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{CoNO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ |
| F.w. | 283.16 | 468.22 |
| $\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{~K})$ | $288(2)$ | $293(2)$ |
| Space group | $P_{2} / n$ | $P-1(\mathrm{No} 2)$. |
| $a(\AA)$ | $6.3144(6)$ | $7.5830(6)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $20.2085(19)$ | $9.8009(8)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $9.4966(9)$ | $13.5331(10)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | $71.8910(10)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $106.0050(10)$ | $86.0530(10)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{( }\right)$ | 90 | $71.7570(10)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $1164.84(19)$ | $907.46(12)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.615 | 1.714 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.475 | 1.439 |
| Data collected/unique | $6741 / 2245$ | $9383 / 3555$ |
| $R_{1}(>2 \sigma /$ all data $)$ | $0.0506 / 0.0547$ | $0.0346 / 0.0380$ |
| $w R_{2}(>2 \sigma / a l l$ |  |  |
| GOF data $)$ | $0.1351 / 0.1385$ | $0.0958 / 0.0982$ |
| Residues $\left(\mathrm{e} \AA \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.060 | 1.053 |
| CCDC No. | $-0.725 / 0.915$ | $-0.859 / 0.537$ |

Table S2 Selected bond lengths ( $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 C o}$ and $2 \mathbf{M n}$.

| 1Co |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co(1)-O(4)\#1 | 2.026(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) \# 2$ | 2.029(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 3$ | 2.031(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2.077(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.113(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1) \# 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.805(3) | Co1 $\cdots$ Co(1)\#2 | 2.7572(9) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.512(4) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.313(5) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.510(7) | C(4)-C(5) | 1.515(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.512(7) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.517(6) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.516(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.493(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}$ | (1)\#2 | 94.64(13) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \# 3-\mathrm{Co}$ | (1)-O(2) | 86.17(13) |  |  |
| 2 Mn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $2.0848(14)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.0952(14) | $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $2.1232(13)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 2$ | 2.1812(13) |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.2039(16)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 3$ | 2.0949(14) | $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.1318(14)$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8) \# 4$ | $2.1338(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.1620 (14) | $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(5) \# 5$ | 2.1674(13) | $\mathrm{Mn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7) \# 5$ | 2.3843(13) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.323(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.495(3) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.510(3) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.499(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.526(4) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.503(4) | C(5)-C(6) | $1.536(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.528(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.336(3) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.510(3) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.488(3) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.507(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1-\mathrm{Mn}(1)-\mathrm{O}$ | (1W) | 78.65(6) | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{Mn}(1)$ | -O(7)\#2 | 96.66(5) |  |  |

Symmetry codes: for 1Co: \#1 x+1,y,z; \#2 -x+1,-y,-z; \#3-x,-y,-z; \#4 x-1,y,z; \#5 -x+1,-y,-z+1; for 1’'Co: \#1 x,-y,z; \#2 -x+1,-y,-z+2; \#3 $-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+2$; \#4 -x,-y,-z+1; \#5 -x,y,-z+1; \#6 -x+1,y,-z+3; for 2Mn: \#1 -x+2,-y+1,-z; \#2 -x+1,-y+2,-z; \#3 -x+3,-y+1,-z; \#4 x+1,y,z; \#5-$\mathrm{x}+2,-\mathrm{y}+2,-\mathrm{z} ; \# 6 \mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$.
[S7] Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS 2.05, University Göttingen, Germany, 2002.
${ }_{20}$ [S8] SHELXTL 6.10, Bruker Analytical Instrumentation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2000.
(a) $\xrightarrow{b} \rightarrow a$



Fig. S1. The layer structures of $\mathbf{1 C o}$ (a) and $\mathbf{2 M n}$ (b). The carboxylate-free skeleton carbons of the 2,3-chedc ligands ${ }_{5}$ were omitted for clarity in (b). Dotted lines: suspected (green) and disordered (gray) coordination bonds.
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Fig. S2. Deprotonated structure 3 with no-metal (m3), Mn (m3-Mn), Co (m3-Co), electron withdrawing group ${ }_{10}$ substitution ( $\mathrm{m} 3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), and electron donating group substitution ( $\mathrm{m} 3-\mathrm{OMe}$ ). The structures are optimized with DFT and the NBO charges are labelled for C 1 and C3. The water cluster is not included in the model.

## 4. Mechanisms

## Nucleophilic mechanism

Allylic rearrangements in the presence of nucleophiles can undergo via two mechanisms: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ (Scheme ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~S} 1$ ). In $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ mechanism, a leaving atom or group is first removed, giving a resonance-stabilized allylic carbocation, which then attacks a nucleophile, forming two products: the original one and the rearranged one. When it undergoes the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ mechanism, nucleophile attack the $\gamma$ carbon, and simultaneously, the leaving atom or group is removed, completing the double bond migration, but with just one form.

## Electrophilic Mechanism

${ }_{10} \quad$ Electrophilic substitution also have two pathways, namely $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$. In the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 1$ mechanism, the leaving atom or group is removed to form a resonance-stabilized allylic carboanion. Followed by attacking an electrophile, the reaction eventually generates two resonated products (Scheme S 1 ). Differently, in the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ mechanism the $\pi$-bond attacks the electrophile while the leaving atom or group is removed simultaneously, generating only one kind of product.






Scheme S1. nucleophilic and eletrophilic pathways of double-bond re-arrangement.

## Noble Metal Catalysed Mechanism

In the present of noble metal catalysts (complexes containing $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rh}$, or Ru , or metal carbonyl catalysts), the double bond also can be obtained This mechanism mainly contains two ways which are illustrated in the Scheme S2.

(a)

(b)
${ }_{5}$ Scheme S2. Two pathways of double-bond re-arrangement catalyzed by noble metal complexes.

