ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript





This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

ChemComm

COMMUNICATION

RSCPublishing

Preparation of size tunable giant vesicles from crosslinked dextran(ethylene glycol) hydrogels

Néstor López Mora,^{*a*} Jesper S. Hansen,^{*b*} Yue Gao,^{*a*} Andrew A. Ronald,^{*b*} Roxanne Kieltyka,^{*a*} Noah Malmstadt,^{*b*,*} and Alexander Kros^{*a*,*}

Received ooth January 2012, Accepted ooth January 2012

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/xoxxooooox

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

We present a novel chemically cross-linked dextranpoly(ethylene glycol) hydrogel substrate for the preparation of dense vesicle suspensions under physiological ionic strength. These vesicles can be easily diluted for individual study. Modulating the degree of cross-linking within the hydrogel network results in tuning of the vesicle size distribution.

The growth of high-quality giant (1-100 µm diameter) unilamellar lipid vesicles (GUVs) under physiologically relevant conditions (>300 mOsm/kg) is generally difficult using most common GUV fabrication methods.¹ For this aim, the most widely used methods are gentle hydration and electroformation.² Gentle hydration involves the deposition of a lipid on a glass substrate and swelling of the lipid lamella into vesicles by rehydration in aqueous solutions. To adapt this method to grow vesicles at moderate ionic strength (200 mOsm/kg), it is necessary to include negatively charged lipids and heat the lipids above their phase transition temperatures.³ Most often, the vesicle yield of this method is variable and occasionally low. However, the addition of non-electrolytic monosaccharides in the dry lipid film promotes lamellar lipid repulsion to increase vesicle yield.⁴ Electroformation can provide higher yields and more homogeneous GUVs through the application of an electric field during GUV growth. However, to grow GUVs under high ionic strength conditions, high field frequencies and longer hydration times are required with the main drawback that lipid hydrolysis and peroxidation can occur.⁵

More recently, hydrogel forming polymer substrates have been employed for the preparation of GUVs in order to reach physiological ionic strength conditions. These substrates include agarose gels,⁶ polyacrylamide⁶ and thin films of poly(vinyl alcohol).⁷ While these methods have allowed GUV formation at moderate ionic strengths (~200-280 mOsm/kg), they afford minimal ability to control the characteristics of the GUV in terms of morphology and size distribution.

Here, we form GUVs on a covalently cross-linked hydrogel substrate. We demonstrate that control over crosslink density can alter the size distribution of the GUVs formed. We use dextran polymers cross-linked by poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) chains using Michael addition to simultaneously prepare the hydrogel (Dex-PEG) and anchor it to a glass surface. Our hypothesis is that an anchored covalent hydrogel cannot be dissolved during the GUV formation process to potentially contaminate the lipid bilayer, which may be a concern with non-covalently crosslinked hydrogels.⁶ Moreover, covalent hydrogel matrices enable the possibility for control over GUV size distributions through modulation of cross-linker density and thus, network topology. Dextran (MW= 70 kDa) was modified with Nmaleoyl-\beta-alanine following a previously described protocol to provide polymer 1 to be used for Michael addition with reactive thiols on the PEG polymer and the glass surface.⁸ The degree of substitution (DS) on the polymer was controlled by the molar ratio of N-maleoyl-β-alanine relative to Dextran and subsequently validated by ¹H-NMR. Concurrently, microscope slides were prepared for anchoring of the chemically cross-linked hydrogel directly to the glass surface. A reactive thiol moiety was introduced on the glass slide surface with 3-mercaptopropyl trimetoxysilane. The thiol coated microscope slides were cross-linked to the hydrogel by drop-casting the dextran solution (2 wt %) and PEG dithiol 2 at various molar ratios at 40 °C (Fig. 1, step 2) until a homogeneous hydrogel film was formed. Following the formation of the hydrogel, the desired lipid mixture was deposited on the hydrogel surface and the solvents were evaporated in a vacuum oven for 30 minutes at 35 °C or at room temperature under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas to prevent lipid oxidation (Fig. 1, step 3). In a final step, the hydrogel and lipid film on the glass slide were rehydrated in aqueous buffer solutions for 1-2 hours to form free-floating vesicles (Fig. 1, step 5). In all cases, hydration of the lipid film was performed above the Tm of all the lipids used. To validate the widespread applicability of the Dex-PEG chemically cross-linked network for GUV growth, several buffers, lipid compositions, and cross-linking ratios were tested.

Journal Name



Fig 1. Formation of giant vesicles from of dextran(ethylene glycol) hydrogels. The method to produce GUVs from covalently cross-linked hydrogel is shown in the top. Confocal microscopy Z-projection images of GUVs formed with a variety of lipid compositions are shown. (See ESI for further details. scale bar = 10 μm).

First, the effect of physiological ionic strength conditions on vesicle growth was examined. Hence, two buffers used ubiquitously in biological cell studies were examined for vesicle growth: phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and HEPES potassium chloride saline buffer (HBS). The osmolality of these buffers were experimentally determined by measuring the freezing point depression for both PBS (310 mOsm/kg) and HBS (320 mOsm/kg). In general, qualitative differences were noted between both buffers; HEPES buffer produced slightly greater yields and larger sized vesicles (see *ESI*). However, in both of these buffers high yields of free floating vesicles were easily obtained.

The capacity of the Dex-PEG network to support vesicle growth using a variety of lipid compositions was then explored. Binary mixtures of lipids containing both anionic and neutral lipids and ternary mixtures incorporated cholesterol were examined. The lipid compositions A – H (*see ESI Table S1*) were designed to examine the effect of three different parameters on vesicle formation; cholesterol (CH) content, negatively charged lipid content (POPG and DOPS), and liquid ordered lipid phases (DPPC). Free floating GUVs using lipid mixtures (**Fig. 1**. A, B and, F up to 25% CH provided a good yield of GUVs with a spherical morphology in PBS and HBS buffers. This result is in contrast to previous reports where gentle hydration methods showed exclusive formation of tubular morphologies and liposome networks connected by tubes using POPC/CH with 5–30% CH in HEPES.⁹ Using the Dex-PEG system, we found numerous spherical GUVs alongside such morphologies.

Another parameter that was explored was the effect of increasing the anionic lipid content in GUV formation. Previously, it was found that lipid mixtures containing more than 10-20% anionic lipids were difficult to grow by gentle hydration methods.¹⁰ Hence, we selected lipid mixtures ranging from 10-50% in POPG (**Fig. 1.** C and D). Using our method, we were able to obtain high yields of spherical vesicles under high ionic strength conditions.

Moreover, we examined mixtures with DOPS (10%); a lipid that is known to decrease vesicle yields at high ionic strength conditions. Consistent with this notion we also observed high yields of spherical GUVs with 10% DOPS (**Fig 1.** E). Finally, lipid mixtures known to undergo phase separation through phase coexistence of liquid ordered (Lo) and liquid disordered (Ld) phases were tested.¹¹ We found using the Dex-PEG system, that phase-separated GUVs consisting of 30-50% DPPC can be easily grown at 50 °C (**Fig. 2**). Moreover, we can prepare such kinetically trapped GUVs by the Dex-PEG method without the use of additional additives under high ionic strength conditions. Overall, all lipid compositions using the additive free Dex-PEG method to grow GUVs under high ionic strength conditions yielded high quantities of free floating vesicles. A Gaussian size distribution centered between 10-15 µm was found after 1 hour of GUV preparation for all lipid compositions when using an equimolar ratio between the dextran polymer and the cross-linker (*see ESI*). This result suggests a relationship between the method of vesicle growth and size.



Fig 2. Confocal microscopy Z-projections showing phase separated GUVs with the lipid composition 40% DPPC / 40% DPPC / 20% CH (mol %) in PBS at physiological ionic strength. The scale bars are 10 $\mu m.$

Excitingly, a correlation between cross-link density and vesicle size distribution was observed (**Fig. 3**). On average, a population of a hundred GUVs were sized for each experiment. Decreasing the molar ratio of PEG to 75 and 50 % mol with respect to dextran polymer resulted in a concomitant increase in the vesicle size distribution in a single step. Current methods used to gain control over vesicle size require a minimum of two-steps to achieve similar results whereas vesicle size can be tuned within the Dex-PEG system through modifying the composition of the chemical network in a single step.²²

The success of the Dex-PEG method in enabling growth of GUVs

Journal Name

based on various lipid compositions under high ionic strength arises from several pertinent chemical features and materials properties.



Fig. 3. Tuneability of GUVs size distribution (50 % DOPC / 25% DOPE / 25% CH) as a function of cross-link density of PEG relative to dextran in PBS. Average diameters were found to be $18 \pm 8 \,\mu$ m (N=215) for 1:1 ratio of dextran-PEG, $52 \pm 22 \,\mu$ m (N=171) for a 1:0.75 dextran-PEG and $83 \pm 33 \,\mu$ m for a 1: 0.5 dextran:PEG (N=139).

Based on our data we hypothesize that the driving force for generating free floating GUVs is due to the high swelling behavior of the hydrogel upon hydration. Specifically the water content differs within the hydrogel from 2% in the dry state to 90% in the wet states. The ability for the Dex-PEG hydrogel to imbibe a high percentage of an aqueous solution on the order of less 1 hour, most likely contributes to interlamellar repulsion that generates the necessary forces to facilitate efficient growth of giant vesicles under physiological ionic strength. In addition, the starting water content of the film plays an important role; vesicles are not formed without a pre-hydrated gel. Moreover, chemical ligation to the glass surface is essential due to the rapid growth of the hydrogel layer on the glass surface upon exposure to buffer. Earlier experiments showed that unligated hydrogels resulted in simultaneous detachment from the glass substrate during vesicle formation. To examine whether hydrogel components were dissociated from the surface or incorporated in vesicles, we synthesized a fluorescently labeled dextran polymer with 1 and 2.5 mol % of methoxycoumarin-3carboxylic acid (Dex-PEG-C) to be tracked by two-photon fluorescence microscopy. Analysis of free floating GUVs produced from Dex-PEG-C showed no fluorescence either in the membrane or inside the formed GUVs at room temperature (see ESI).

In conclusion, we present a widely applicable method that facilitates the additive free growth of GUVs under physiological ionic strength conditions based on a variety of lipid compositions. The high swelling capacity of the Dex-PEG promotes the formation of high yields of spherical, free-floating GUVs. Additionally, this method enables the growth of GUVs possessing phase separated domains under physiological conditions. Finally, modulating the cross-link density of the Dex-PEG network provides a handle to tune vesicle size. This Dex-PEG hydrogel system is a powerful method that can be exploited to grow vesicles for applications such as membrane interactions, drug delivery, molecular recognition, lipid raft organization, and membrane fusion studies.¹³

We acknowledge Wim Jesse and Hans de Bont for technical assistance and *CONACyT*, *ONR*, *CSC*, *NWO* and *ERC* for financial support.

^{*a*} Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. <u>a.kros@chem.leidenuniv.nl</u> ^{*b*} Mork Family Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90007. <u>malmstad@usc.edu</u>

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: materials and methods, contact angle measurements, confocal fluorescence microscopy images of free floating GUVs and Dex-PEG-C hydrogel, emission spectra of DEX-PEG-C, See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

Notes and references

- N. F. Morales-Penningston, J. Wu, E. R. Farkas, S. L. Goh, T. M. Konyakhina, J. Y. Zheng, W. W. Webb and G. W. Feigenson, *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes*, 2010, **1798**, 1324-1332.
- a) J. P. Reeves and R. M. Dowben, *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 1969, **73**, 49-60; b) M. I. Angelova and D. S. Dimitrov, *Faraday Discuss.*, 1986, **81**, 303-+.
- K. Akashi, H. Miyata, H. Itoh and K. Kinosita Jr, *Biophysical Journal*, 1996, 71, 3242-3250.
- K. Tsumoto, H. Matsuo, M. Tomita and T. Yoshimura, *Colloid Surface B*, 2009, 68, 98-105.
- a) T. Pott, H. Bouvrais and P. Meleard, *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*, 2008, **154**, 115-119; b) L. R. Montes, A. Alonso, F. M. Goni and L. A. Bagatolli, *Biophysical Journal*, 2007, **93**, 3548-3554.
- K. S. Horger, D. J. Estes, R. Capone and M. Mayer, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2009, 131, 1810-1819.
- A. Weinberger, F.-C. Tsai, G.H. Koenderink, T.F. Schmidt, R. Itri, W. Meier, T. Schmatko, A. Schröder and C. Marques, *Biophysical Journal*, 2013, 105, 154-164.
- a) K. Peng, I. Tomatsu, B. van den Broek, C. Cui, A. V. Korobko, J. van Noort, A. H. Meijer, H. P. Spaink and A. Kros, *Soft Matter*, 2011, 7, 4881-4887; b) K. Peng, I. Tomatsu, A. V. Korobko and A. Kros, *Soft Matter*, 2010, 6, 85-87; c) K. Peng, I. Tomatsu and A. Kros, *Chemical Communications*, 2010, 46, 4094-4096; d) K. Peng, C. Cui, I. Tomatsu, F. Porta, A. H. Meijer, H. P. Spaink and A. Kros, *Soft Matter*, 2010, 6, 3778-3783.
- S.I. M. Nomura, Y. Mizutani, K. Kurita, A. Watanabe and K. Akiyoshi, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes*, 2005, 1669, 164-169.
- K. Akashi, H. Miyata, H. Itoh and K. Kinosita, *Biophysical Journal*, 1998, 74, 2973-2982.
- 11. S. L. Veatch and S. L. Keller, *Physical Review Letters*, 2002, 89.
- K. Nishimura, H. Suzuki, T. Toyota and T. Yomo, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2012, 376, 119-125.
- a) H. R. Zope, F. Versluis, A. Ordas, J. Voskuhl, H.P. Spaink and A. Kros, *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*, In press, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201306033 b) F. Versluis, J. Voskuhl, B. van Kolck, H. Zope, M. Bremmer, T. Albregtse and A. Kros, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2013, 135, 8057-8062; c) T. Zheng, J. Voskuhl, F. Versluis, H. R. Zope, I. Tomatsu, H. R. Marsden and A. Kros, *Chemical Communications*, 2013, 49, 3649-3651; d) H. Robson Marsden, N. A. Elbers, P. H. H. Bomans, N. A. J. M. Sommerdijk and A. Kros, *Angewandte Chemie (International ed. in English)*, 2009, 48, 2330-2333.