# Analytical Methods

## **Accepted Manuscript**



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

www.rsc.org/methods

### **RSC**Publishing

### **Analytical Methods**

A table of contents entry

This should include:

- Colour graphic: maximum size 8cm x 4cm.

- Text: one sentence, of maximum 20 words, highlighting the novelty of the work.



Wavelength (nm)



a: 0.4 mmol/L CuSO<sub>4</sub> -0.0492 mol/L KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>-0.46 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-36 mg/mL NaBH<sub>4</sub>; b: a+0.025 mg/L Se;

c: a+0.25 mg/L Se; d: a+0.5 mg/L Se; e: a+0.75 mg/L Se; f: a+1.5 mg/L Se.



Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction graphs for the Se-Cu<sub>2</sub>O



Fig. 3 Working curve a: 374nm (F-7000); b: 405nm (F95S); c: 465nm (F95S); d: 515nm (F95S).

Highlighting the novelty of the work:

A 3.3 ng/mL Se can be determined by RRS, based on SeH<sub>2</sub> reducing Cu(II) to produce Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles.

| XXXXXXXX

# A novel hydride generation spectral method for trace Se based on resonance Rayleigh scattering of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se nanoparticles

Aihui Liang, Shanshan Huang, Xinghui Zhang<sup>\*</sup>, Zhiliang Jiang<sup>\*</sup>

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 200X First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Se(IV) was reduced by NaBH<sub>4</sub> to form SeH<sub>2</sub> gas that reduce Cu(II) to produce Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles with a strong resonance <sup>10</sup> Rayleigh scattering (RRS) peak at 374 nm. The RRS intensity was linear to the Se(IV) concentration from 0.008 to 1.5 mg/L, with a detection limit of  $3.3 \mu g/L$ .

Se is an essential trace element in human body. In nature, the <sup>15</sup> main existence forms are +4, +6, 0 and -2 <sup>[1]</sup>. Se cannot be synthesized by the body, and it must be absorbed from the outside. In recent years, epidemiological studies have shown that adequate intake of Se is beneficial to humans that can improve the ability of anticancer <sup>[2-4]</sup>. But when the Se intake overtops 400  $\mu$ g/d, it

 $_{20}$  will be harmful to human body. Reverse, a lower Se (50  $\mu g/d)$  was ingested long time that is also harmful to human body  $^{[5, \, 6]}$ . Thus, it is important to set up a simple, sensitive, economic and rapid method to detect Se.

At present, several methods have been reported to detect Se, <sup>25</sup> including fluorescence spectrometry <sup>[7-9]</sup>, atomic absorption spectrometry <sup>[10-12]</sup>, chromatography-mass spectrometry <sup>[13-15]</sup>, ICP-mass spectrometry <sup>[16]</sup>, and electrochemical method <sup>[17-19]</sup>. Atomic spectroscopy has the advantages of high sensitivity and good selectivity, but the substrate can influence the determination

- <sup>30</sup> of Se in complex samples. Hydride generation (HG) technology can separate efficiently the analyte from substrate, with high selectivity and strong enrichment effect, it has been coupled with several detection techniques including atomic spectroscopy <sup>[20-26]</sup>. The HG-atomic spectrometry for Se has the advantages of high
- <sup>35</sup> sensitivity and high selectivity. Mendez combined HG with atomic absorption spectrometry and atomic fluorescence to determine the content of selenium in the samples <sup>[1]</sup>, with high sensitivity and selectivity, but the equipment cost is high <sup>[10]</sup>. Resonance Rayleigh scattering (RRS) possesses some advantages
- <sup>40</sup> including simplicity and rapidity, high sensitivity and low-cost using simple fluorescence meter such as model F95S, and has been employed for the analysis of inorganic and organic compounds<sup>[27, 28]</sup>, with good results. The RRS method for Se has been reported by Liu research group <sup>[29]</sup> that combined iodide
- <sup>45</sup> with cation dye such as Victoria blue 4R to determine Se as low as ng/mL. The sensitivity is high, and the Se should be preseparated from the complicated samples. To improve the selectivity, highly selective reactions such as immuoreaction and aptamer reaction have been utilized in RRS analysis <sup>[30-32]</sup>.
- <sup>50</sup> However, the substrate interference exists still and the analyte should be separated from the samples. To our knowledge, there is no report about HG-RRS method for Se, combined organically

the hydride nanoreaction with the separation technique. In this work, NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduced Se(IV) to hydrogen selenide that reacted <sup>55</sup> with Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the absorption solution to form Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles with resonance Rayleigh scattering effect. Thus, a new HG spectral method was developed for sensitive detection of Se.

In H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> medium, NaBH<sub>4</sub> is a strong reducing agent that generate new ecological hydrogen [H] to reduce Se(IV) to form <sup>60</sup> SeH<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1S). Using CuSO<sub>4</sub>-potassium sodium tartrate (KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) as absorption solution, Cu<sup>2+</sup> was reduced by SeH<sub>2</sub> to form particles that resulted in the RRS intensity increasing. Owing to the potential of Se<sup>4+</sup> being higher than the Cu<sup>2+</sup> (equation 5 and 7)<sup>[1]</sup>, there is no Se<sup>4+</sup> in the system, and the <sup>65</sup> products include Cu<sub>2</sub>O and Se particles, that is, Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se composite particles. The Se(IV) in sample has two contributions in enhancement of RRS intensity. One hand, the generated SeH<sub>2</sub> reduced Cu(II) to the Cu<sub>2</sub>O particles that enhanced the RRS intensity. Other hand, the SeH<sub>2</sub> was oxidized to the Se particles <sup>70</sup> that also enhanced the RRS intensity. When Se(IV) concentration increased, the RRS intensity increased duo to more Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se

particles forming. Thus, a new and sensitive RRS method for the

| detection of Se(IV) was set up. The main reactions are as follow |  | e as follows, |
|--|--|---------------|
| NaBl   | $H_4 + H^+ + 3H_2O \rightarrow 8[H] + Na^+ + H_3BO_3$  | (1)           |
| 5 Se <sup>4+</sup>   | $+ [H] \rightarrow Se^0$   | (2)           |
| $Se^0 +$   | $[H] \rightarrow SeH_2 \uparrow$   | (3)           |
| $2Cu^2$  | $^{+}+$ SeH <sub>2</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O $\rightarrow$ (Cu <sub>2</sub> O+Se) + 4H <sup>+</sup> | (4)           |
| HSe  | $O_3^- + 6e^- + 7H^+ \leftrightarrow H_2Se + 3H_2O \ 0.386 \ V$                                    | (5)           |
| Se +   | $2H^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow H_2Se - 0.369 V$  | (6)           |
| 2  |  |               |

 ${}_{80} \operatorname{Cu}^{2+} + e^{-} \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Cu}^{+} 0.153 \operatorname{V}$   $\tag{7}$ 

The RRS spectra of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles showed that it has a strong RRS peak at 374 nm (Figure 1). The RRS intensity  $I_{374nm}$ increased linearly with the concentration of Se(IV) increased, and 85 it was chosen for detection of Se(IV). The RRS spectra of SeH<sub>2</sub>tartrate system have two RRS peaks at 283 nm and 375 nm(See, Fig. 2S). The two peaks are ascribed to the maximal emission of the lamp. The blank value is big that it is owing to the scattering of other particles such as H<sub>2</sub>O molecules and the light source of 90 equipment is very strong. The signals increased with the increase of Se(IV) concentration that indicated Se particles forming from the SeH<sub>2</sub> decomposed. Although the sensitivity of SeH<sub>2</sub>-tartrate system is also high, the accuracy and linear relationship between the RRS intensity and Se concentration are all bad. There are Se 95 particles in the Se(IV) absorption solution system because the Se(IV) was reduced by SeH<sub>2</sub> to form Se(Fig. 3S). This is also demonstrated that there are Se particles in the SeH<sub>2</sub>-Cu(II)tartrate system. The Cu<sub>2</sub>O particle RRS spectra in the glucose-Fehling reagent-Au nanoparticle catalytic system obtained as in <sup>100</sup> Figure 4S. There are two RRS peaks at 365 nm and about 525 nm. It is different with the RRS spectra of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se nanoparticles

owing to the influence of particle size and shape, lamp and free molecular absorption.

According to the procedure, the sample solution was obtained, then taken 1.0 mL sample solution in a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube,

- s and centrifuged for 30min at 12000 rpm, and the supernatant discarded. Then, added 1.0 mL water in the centrifuge tube, and dispersed with ultrasonic for 30 min, and the process was repeated 2 times. After that, 10  $\mu$ L the solution was dropped on the silicon wafer and made it dry naturally. The samples were put
- <sup>10</sup> in a scanning electron microscope to obtain the scanning electron micrograph (Fig. 5S). The size of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se composite particles distributed from 40 nm to 150 nm, with an average size of 80 nm for the SeH<sub>2</sub>-CuSO<sub>4</sub>-KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> absorption system.



15

20

Figure 1 RRS spectra of the SeH<sub>2</sub>-Cu(II)-tartrate system a: 0.4 mmol/L CuSO<sub>4</sub> -0.0492 mol/L KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>-0.46 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-36 mg/mL NaBH<sub>4</sub>; b: a+0.025 mg/L Se; c: a+0.25 mg/L Se; d: a+0.5 mg/L Se; e: a+0.75 mg/L Se; f: a+1.5 mg/L Se.

According to the procedure, we obtained the reaction solution, then centrifuged and dried the solution, and it was used to do X-ray diffraction with a scanning range of  $0.0-90.0^{\circ}(2\theta)$ . The Figure 2 showed that there are Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se mixed-crystals with

 $^{25}$  five diffraction peaks at 36.2°, 42.1°, 52.9°, 61.2° and 73.3° being ascribed to Cu<sub>2</sub>O  $^{[32]}$ , and two peaks at 26.4° and 45.02° being ascribed to Se<sup>[33]</sup>. The strongest peak at 36.2° indicated the content of Cu<sub>2</sub>O is high.



Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction graphs for the Se-Cu<sub>2</sub>O

There is a maximal absorption peak at 300 nm for the Cu(II)tartrate complex. When the Se (IV) was added, the Cu<sup>2+</sup> was reduced by the generated SeH<sub>2</sub> to form Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles that <sup>35</sup> also has a strong surface plasmon absorption peak at 300 nm (Fig. 6S). The peak increased with the concentration of Se (IV) increased due to more particles forming. The increased value is linear to Se(IV) concentration in the range of 0.1-1.5 mg/L, with a regression equation is  $\Delta A_{300nm} = 0.0585C + 0.0037$ . However, <sup>40</sup> the sensitivity is low.

Effect of  $H_2SO_4$  concentration was considered. The  $\Delta I_{374 \text{ nm}}$ increased as the  $H_2SO_4$  concentration increased from 0 to 1.15 mol/L. When the  $H_2SO_4$  concentration was 0.46 mol/L, the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  was maximal. Thereafter the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  was stabilized <sup>45</sup> gradually with the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> concentration increased(Fig.7S). Thus, a 0.46 mol/L H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was chosen for use. The  $\Delta I_{374 \text{ nm}}$  increased as the NaBH<sub>4</sub> concentration is from 18 to 36 mg/mL. When the NaBH<sub>4</sub> concentration was 36 mg/mL, the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  was maximal. Thereafter the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  decreased with the NaBH<sub>4</sub> concentration 50 increased (Fig. 8S). Therefore, a 36 mg/mL NaBH<sub>4</sub>was selected. The effect of CuSO<sub>4</sub> concentration was tested (Fig. 9S). The  $\Delta I_{374}$ nm increased with the CuSO<sub>4</sub> concentration in the range of 0-0.4 mmol/L due to formation of more Cu<sub>2</sub>O nano-particles. When the CuSO<sub>4</sub> concentration was 0.4 mmol/L, the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  was maximal 55 due to formation of most Cu<sub>2</sub>O nano-particles. Thereafter the  $\Delta I_{374\text{nm}}$  decreased with the CuSO<sub>4</sub> concentration increased slowly, owing to the Cu<sub>2</sub>O nano-particles hold constant and the free molecular absorption of Cu(II) enhanced that caused the intensity decreased. Therefore, a 0.4 mmol/L CuSO<sub>4</sub> was selected. Effect

<sup>60</sup> of KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> concentration (0-0.0984 mol/L) was considered, and the  $\Delta I_{374\text{nm}}$  gradually increased as the KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> concentration increased. When the concentration was 0.0492 mol/L, the  $\Delta I_{374\text{nm}}$  was maximal (Fig. 10S). Thus, a 0.0492 mol/L KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> was chosen.

<sup>65</sup> According to the procedure, the influences of coexistent substances (CES) on the detection of 0.5 mg/L Se (6.33µmol/L Se) were examined, with a relative error of  $\pm$  10 %. The Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> have interferences, because of they generated sediment when adding NaBH<sub>4</sub> made hydrogen selenide <sup>70</sup> capture or decomposition <sup>[26]</sup>. Therefore, a 100 µL 1% K<sub>3</sub>Fe (CN)<sub>6</sub> was added in hydride generation reaction solution to eliminate the disturbance of those metal ions (Table 1S).

Under the optimal conditions, the working curves between Se concentration (mg/L) and the  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  was drawn (Fig. 3). The 75  $\Delta I_{374nm}$  value is proportional to the Se concentration (C) in the range of 0.008 to 1.5 mg/L in 20 mL of hydride reaction solution, with a regression equations of  $\Delta I_{374nm}$ =1070.3 C + 10.7, a correlation coefficient of 0.9946, and a detection limit of 3.3 ng/mL Se. The reproducibility of the CuSO<sub>4</sub>-KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> system <sup>80</sup> was considered. The  $I_{374nm}$  of three concentrations of 0 mg/L, 0.5 mg/L, 0.75 mg/L Se(IV) was examined, and the RSD was 4.3%, 1.2% and 4.7 % respectively. The RRS intensity was recorded on a very low-cost fluorescence spectrophotometer (model of F95S) for CuSO<sub>4</sub>-KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub> absorption solution, and the working s5 curves were drawn (Fig. 3). The  $\Delta I_{405nm}$ ,  $\Delta I_{465nm}$  and  $\Delta I_{515nm}$ values are all proportional to the Se concentration (C) in the range of 0.025 to 1.5 mg/L. The results showed that the F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer has high sensitivity, but the instrument is expensive (about 220,000 Yuan). While the 90 sensitivity of F95S fluorescence spectrophotometer is low, their working curves are good and the price is very low (about 16,000 Yuan).

According to the procedure, a 5.0 mL tea sample solution was taken to the reaction bottle to determine the Se content. Then  $_{95}$  a 100  $\mu$ L 0.1 g/L Se standard solution was added in the tea sample

50

to obtain the recovery. The results showed that the relative standard deviations were in the range of 3.5%-7.8%, the recoveries were in the range of 99.4%-109.7% (Table 2S).



Fig. 3 Working curve a: 374nm (F-7000); b: 405nm (F95S); c: 465nm (F95S); d: 515nm (F95S).

In summary, in 0.46 mol/L  $H_2SO_4$  medium, the Se(IV) was <sup>10</sup> reduced by NaBH<sub>4</sub> to form SeH<sub>2</sub> that was trapped by CuSO<sub>4</sub>-KNaC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> absorption solution. In which, the Cu<sup>2+</sup> was reduced by SeH<sub>2</sub> to form Cu<sub>2</sub>O-Se particles that RRS spectra were studied in details. Thereby a new HG-RRS method was developed to detect the trace amounts of Se, with high sensitivity, good <sup>15</sup> selectivity, simplicity, and low-cost.

### Acknowledgements

This work supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21165005, 21267004, 21367005),

<sup>20</sup> the Research Funds of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control Theory and Technology, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (No. 2013GXNSFFA019003), and the Research Funds of Guangxi Education Department (No. 2013YB035).

#### 25

### Addresses

Key Laboratory of Ecology of Rare and Endangered Species and Environmental Protection of Ministry Education, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, P. R. China.

30 Tel. +086-0773-5846141, Fax: +086-0773-5846201

\* Corresponding author, E-mail: xhz6993@163.com and zljiang@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn.

### References

- 35
- 1 H. Mendez, I. Lavilla, C. Bendicho. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2004, 19, 1379.
- 2 C. J. Bates, C. W. Thane, A. Prentice, H. T. Delves, J. Gregory. Eur. J. Clin. Nutrition, 2002, 56, 873.
- <sup>10</sup> 3 L. C. Chen, F. M. Yang, J. Xu, Y. Hu, Q. H. Hu, Y. L. Zhang, G. X. Pan. J. Agr. food Chem., 2002, 50, 5128.
  - 4 K. Charalabopoulos, A. Kotsalos, A. Batistatou, A. Charalabopoulos, P. Vezyraki, D. Peschos, V. Kalfakakou, A. Evangelou. British J. Canc., 2006, 95, 674.
- 5 5 Y. Wang, X. D. Zhao. Foreign Med. Sci. Sect. Medgeograph., 1998, 19(1), 9.
  - 6 A. S. Reddi, J. S. Bollineni. Kidney International, 2001, 59, 1342.

- 7 X. W. Gu, J. Chen, K. Y. Zhu. Modern Agr. Sci. Technolog., 2011, (6), 33.
- 8 Y. W. Chen, N. Belzilea. Anal. Chim. Acta, 2010, 671, 9.
- 9 R. Skorek, E. Turek, B. Zawisza, E. Margui, I. Queralt, M. Stempin, P. Kucharski, R. Sitko. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2012, 27, 1688.
- <sup>55</sup> 10 L. P. Zhang, W. C. Li, G. L. Zhang, A. H. Zhang, L. Yan, J. Baotou Med. College, 2001, 18(4), 271.
  - 11 Y. Liu, H. L. Jing. Chin. J. Health Lab. Technol., 2008, 18(10), 2149.
- 12 G. Vale, A. Rodrigues, A. Rocha, R. Rial, A.M. Mota, M. L. Gonçalves, L.P. Fonseca, J. L. Capelo. Food Chem., 2010, 121, 268.
- 13 E. G. Silva, F. Augusto, M. A. Z. Arruda. Analyst, 2012, 137, 3841.
- 14 G. E. Juan, B. G. Elisa, M. B. Maria, S. M. Alfredo. J. Anal. 5 At. Spectrom., 2012, 27, 1949.
- 15 L. Yang, P. Maxwell, Z. Mester. Anal. Methods, 2013, 5, 525. 16 V. L. Dressler, F. G. Antes, C. M. Moreira, D. Pozebon, F. A.
- Duarte. Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 2011, 307,149-162. 17 A. Brockmann, C. Nonn, A. Golloch. RSC Advan, 2013, **3**, 10058
- 19 M. Ashournia, A. Aliakbar. J. Hazardous Mater., 2010, 174, 788.
- 20 D. Z. Zheng, R. F. Zheng, H. P. Wang. Rock and Mineral Analysis, 2008, 27(1),55.
- 75 21 H. P. Vieira, C. C. Nascentes, A. Germano, C. C. Windmoller. Anal. Methods, 2012, 4, 2068.
- 22 A. Tyburska, K. Jankowski, A. Ramsza, E. Reszke, M. Strzeleca, A. Andrzejczuka. J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 2010, 25: 210–214.
- <sup>80</sup> 23 W. B. Zhang, X. N. Yang, J. J. Xue, S. B. Wang, J. Anal. At. Spectrom, 2012, 27, 928.
  - 24 Y. Wang, J. Xie, Y. C. Wu, X. Y. Hu, C. Yang, Q. Xu. Talanta, 2013, 83, 014i.
- 25 W. F. Zhang. Shanxi J. Agricul. Sci., 2009, 2: 35.
- 85 26 R. Bye. Talanta, 1986, 33, 705.

105

- 27 a) J. Ling, C. Z. Huang, Y. F. Li, L. Zhang, L. Q. Chen, S. J. Zhen. *Tr. Anal. Chem.*, 2009, 28, 447; b) Z. G. Chen, S. H. Qian, X. Chen, J. H. Chen, Y. J. Yin and J. B. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 2562; c) S. T. Huang, G. L. Wang, N. B. Li, H. Q. Luo, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 10948.
- 28 a) Z. L. Jiang, L. P. Zhou, A. H.Liang. Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3162; b) G. Q. Wen, C. Y. Lin, M. L. Tang, G. S. Liu, A. H. Liang, Z. L. Jiang. RSC Advan., 2013, 3, 1941.
- 29 S. P. Liu, Z. F. Liu, H. Q. Luo. J. Southwest China Normal <sup>95</sup> Univ. (Natural Science), 2000, 25(4), 408.
- 30Z. L. Jiang, W. X. Huang, J. P. Li, M. S. Li, A. H. Liang, S. S. Zhang, B. Chen. Clin. Chem. 2008, 54, 116.
- 31Z. L. Jiang, Y. Zhang, A. H. Liang, C. Q. Chen, J. N. Tian, T. S. Li. Plasmonics, 2012, 7, 185.
- <sup>100</sup> 32 Z. L. Jiang, X. J. Liao, A. P. Deng, A. H. Liang, J. S Li, H. C. Pan, J. F. Li, S. M. Wang, Y. J. Huang. Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 8681.
  - 33 J. M. Song, S. Y. Zhang, M. Z. Liu, H. W. Shi. J. Anhui Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed. 2004, 28(6), 60.