Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript

Analytical Methods Advancing Methods and Applications

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **[Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp)**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **[Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp)** and the **[ethical guidelines](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/EthicalGuidelines/index.asp)** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/methods

Page 1 of 5 Analytical Methods Analytical Methods

A table of contents entry

This should include:

- Colour graphic: maximum size 8cm x 4cm.

- Text: one sentence, of maximum 20 words, highlighting the novelty of the work.

Wavelength (nm)

a: 0.4 mmol/L CuSO₄ -0.0492 mol/L KNaC₄H₄O₆-0.46 mol/L H₂SO₄-36 mg/mL NaBH₄; b: a+0.025 mg/L Se; c: a+0.25 mg/L Se; d: a+0.5 mg/L Se; e: a+0.75 mg/L Se; f: a+1.5 mg/L Se.

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction graphs for the Se-Cu₂O

Fig. 3 Working curve a: 374nm (F-7000); b: 405nm (F95S); c: 465nm (F95S); d: 515nm (F95S).

Highlighting the novelty of the work:

A 3.3 ng/mL Se can be determined by RRS, based on SeH₂ reducing Cu(II) to produce Cu₂O-Se particles.

A novel hydride generation spectral method for trace Se based on resonance Rayleigh scattering of Cu₂O-Se nanoparticles

Aihui Liang, Shanshan Huang, Xinghui Zhang* , Zhiliang Jiang*

⁵*Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X* **DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

 $Se($ **IV** $)$ was reduced by NaBH₄ to form $SeH₂$ gas that reduce Cu(II) to produce Cu₂O-Se particles with a strong resonance ¹⁰**Rayleigh scattering (RRS) peak at 374 nm. The RRS intensity** was linear to the $\text{Se}(\text{IV})$ concentration from 0.008 to 1.5 mg/L, **with a detection limit of 3.3** *μ***g/L.**

Se is an essential trace element in human body. In nature, the 15 main existence forms are $+4$, $+6$, 0 and -2 ^[1]. Se cannot be synthesized by the body, and it must be absorbed from the outside. In recent years, epidemiological studies have shown that adequate intake of Se is beneficial to humans that can improve the ability of anticancer $[2-4]$. But when the Se intake overtops 400 μg/d, it

20 will be harmful to human body. Reverse, a lower Se (50 μg/d) was ingested long time that is also harmful to human body $\left[5, 6\right]$. Thus, it is important to set up a simple, sensitive, economic and rapid method to detect Se.

At present, several methods have been reported to detect Se, 25 including fluorescence spectrometry $[7-9]$, atomic absorption spectrometry $[10-12]$, chromatography-mass spectrometry $[13-15]$ ICP-mass spectrometry [16], and electrochemical method [17-19]. Atomic spectroscopy has the advantages of high sensitivity and good selectivity, but the substrate can influence the determination

- 30 of Se in complex samples. Hydride generation (HG) technology can separate efficiently the analyte from substrate, with high selectivity and strong enrichment effect, it has been coupled with several detection techniques including atomic spectroscopy [20-26]. The HG-atomic spectrometry for Se has the advantages of high
- 35 sensitivity and high selectivity. Mendez combined HG with atomic absorption spectrometry and atomic fluorescence to determine the content of selenium in the samples $[1]$, with high sensitivity and selectivity, but the equipment cost is high $[10]$. Resonance Rayleigh scattering (RRS) possesses some advantages
- 40 including simplicity and rapidity, high sensitivity and low-cost using simple fluorescence meter such as model F95S, and has been employed for the analysis of inorganic and organic compounds [27, 28], with good results. The RRS method for Se has been reported by Liu research group $[29]$ that combined iodide
- 45 with cation dye such as Victoria blue 4R to determine Se as low as ng/mL. The sensitivity is high, and the Se should be preseparated from the complicated samples. To improve the selectivity, highly selective reactions such as immuoreaction and aptamer reaction have been utilized in RRS analysis [30-32].
- 50 However, the substrate interference exists still and the analyte should be separated from the samples. To our knowledge, there is no report about HG-RRS method for Se, combined organically

the hydride nanoreaction with the separation technique. In this work, N aBH₄ reduced Se(IV) to hydrogen selenide that reacted 55 with Cu^{2+} in the absorption solution to form $Cu₂O-Se$ particles with resonance Rayleigh scattering effect. Thus, a new HG spectral method was developed for sensitive detection of Se.

In H_2SO_4 medium, NaBH₄ is a strong reducing agent that generate new ecological hydrogen $[H]$ to reduce $Se(W)$ to form SeH2 60 (Figure 1S). Using CuSO4-potassium sodium tartrate $(KNaC₄H₄O₆)$ as absorption solution, $Cu²⁺$ was reduced by SeH₂ to form particles that resulted in the RRS intensity increasing. Owing to the potential of Se⁴⁺ being higher than the Cu²⁺ (equation 5 and 7) ^[1], there is no Se⁴⁺ in the system, and the 65 products include Cu₂O and Se particles, that is, Cu₂O-Se composite particles. The $\text{Se}(\text{IV})$ in sample has two contributions in enhancement of RRS intensity. One hand, the generated SeH_2 reduced $Cu(II)$ to the $Cu₂O$ particles that enhanced the RRS intensity. Other hand, the $\overline{\text{SeH}_2}$ was oxidized to the Se particles 70 that also enhanced the RRS intensity. When Se(IV) concentration increased, the RRS intensity increased duo to more $Cu₂O-Se$ particles forming. Thus, a new and sensitive RRS method for the detection of $\text{Se}(\mathbb{W})$ was set up. The main reactions are as follows, $NaBH_4 + H^+ + 3H_2O \rightarrow 8[H] + Na^+ + H_3BO_3$ (1) N aBH₄+ H⁺ + 3H₂O → 8[H] + Na⁺+ H₃BO₃ (1)

75 Se⁴⁺ + [H] → Se⁰ (2)

 $Se + 2H^{+} + 2e^{-} \leftrightarrow H_{2}Se - 0.369$ V

so $Cu^{2+} + e \leftrightarrow Cu^{+}$ 0.153 V (7)

The RRS spectra of $Cu₂O-Se$ particles showed that it has a strong RRS peak at 374 nm (Figure 1). The RRS intensity *I*374nm increased linearly with the concentration of Se(Ⅳ) increased, and 85 it was chosen for detection of Se(IV). The RRS spectra of SeH₂tartrate system have two RRS peaks at 283 nm and 375 nm(See, Fig. 2S). The two peaks are ascribed to the maximal emission of the lamp. The blank value is big that it is owing to the scattering of other particles such as H₂O molecules and the light source of 90 equipment is very strong. The signals increased with the increase of Se(IV) concentration that indicated Se particles forming from the SeH₂ decomposed. Although the sensitivity of SeH₂-tartrate system is also high, the accuracy and linear relationship between the RRS intensity and Se concentration are all bad. There are Se 95 particles in the Se(IV) absorption solution system because the Se(IV) was reduced by SeH_2 to form Se(Fig. 3S). This is also demonstrated that there are Se particles in the $\text{SeH}_2\text{-Cu(II)}$ tartrate system. The $Cu₂O$ particle RRS spectra in the glucose-Fehling reagent-Au nanoparticle catalytic system obtained as in 100 Figure 4S. There are two RRS peaks at 365 nm and about 525 nm. It is different with the RRS spectra of $Cu₂O-Se$ nanoparticles

(6)

owing to the influence of particle size and shape, lamp and free molecular absorption.

According to the procedure, the sample solution was obtained, then taken 1.0 mL sample solution in a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube,

- 5 and centrifuged for 30min at 12000 rpm, and the supernatant discarded. Then, added 1.0 mL water in the centrifuge tube, and dispersed with ultrasonic for 30 min, and the process was repeated 2 times. After that, 10 µL the solution was dropped on the silicon wafer and made it dry naturally. The samples were put
- 10 in a scanning electron microscope to obtain the scanning electron micrograph (Fig. 5S). The size of $Cu₂O-Se$ composite particles distributed from 40 nm to 150 nm, with an average size of 80 nm for the SeH₂-CuSO₄-KNaC₄H₄O₆ absorption system.

 20

15 Wavelength (nm)

Figure 1 RRS spectra of the $\text{SeH}_2\text{-Cu(II)}$ -tartrate system a: 0.4 mmol/L CuSO₄ -0.0492 mol/L KNaC₄H₄O₆-0.46 mol/L H2SO4-36 mg/mL NaBH4; b: a+0.025 mg/L Se; c: a+0.25 mg/L Se; d: a+0.5 mg/L Se; e: a+0.75 mg/L Se; f: a+1.5 mg/L Se.

According to the procedure, we obtained the reaction solution, then centrifuged and dried the solution, and it was used to do X-ray diffraction with a scanning range of 0.0-90.0°(2θ). The Figure 2 showed that there are $Cu₂O-Se$ mixed-crystals with

25 five diffraction peaks at 36.2°, 42.1°, 52.9°, 61.2° and 73.3° being ascribed to Cu₂O ^[32], and two peaks at 26.4° and 45.02° being ascribed to $\text{Se}^{[33]}$. The strongest peak at 36.2° indicated the content of $Cu₂O$ is high.

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction graphs for the Se-Cu₂O

 There is a maximal absorption peak at 300 nm for the Cu(II) tartrate complex. When the Se (V) was added, the Cu²⁺ was reduced by the generated SeH_2 to form Cu₂O-Se particles that 35 also has a strong surface plasmon absorption peak at 300 nm (Fig. 6S). The peak increased with the concentration of Se (Ⅳ)

increased due to more particles forming. The increased value is linear to Se(IV) concentration in the range of 0.1-1.5 mg/L, with a regression equation is $\Delta A_{300nm} = 0.0585C + 0.0037$. However, 40 the sensitivity is low.

Effect of H₂SO₄ concentration was considered. The $\Delta I_{374 \text{ nm}}$ increased as the H_2SO_4 concentration increased from 0 to 1.15 mol/L. When the H_2SO_4 concentration was 0.46 mol/L, the ΔI_{374nm} was maximal. Thereafter the ΔI_{374nm} was stabilized 45 gradually with the H_2SO_4 concentration increased(Fig.7S). Thus, a 0.46 mol/L H₂SO₄ was chosen for use. The $\Delta I_{374 \text{ nm}}$ increased as the NaBH4 concentration is from 18 to 36 mg/mL. When the NaBH4 concentration was 36 mg/mL, the Δ*I*374nm was maximal. Thereafter the ΔI_{374nm} decreased with the NaBH₄ concentration 50 increased (Fig. 8S). Therefore, a 36 mg/mL NaBH4was selected. The effect of CuSO₄ concentration was tested (Fig. 9S). The ΔI_{374} $_{nm}$ increased with the CuSO₄ concentration in the range of 0-0.4 mmol/L due to formation of more $Cu₂O$ nano-particles. When the CuSO₄ concentration was 0.4 mmol/L, the ΔI_{374nm} was maximal 55 due to formation of most Cu₂O nano-particles. Thereafter the ΔI_{374nm} decreased with the CuSO₄ concentration increased slowly, owing to the $Cu₂O$ nano-particles hold constant and the free molecular absorption of Cu(II) enhanced that caused the intensity decreased. Therefore, a 0.4 mmol/L CuSO₄ was selected. Effect 60 of $KNaC_4H_4O_6$ concentration (0-0.0984 mol/L) was considered, and the ΔI_{374nm} gradually increased as the KNaC₄H₄O₆

concentration increased. When the concentration was 0.0492 mol/L, the Δ*I*374nm was maximal (Fig. 10S). Thus, a 0.0492 mol/L $KNaC_4H_4O_6$ was chosen.

65 According to the procedure, the influences of coexistent substances (CES) on the detection of 0.5 mg/L Se (6.33μmol/L Se) were examined, with a relative error of \pm 10 %. The Hg²⁺, Cu^{2+} , Ni²⁺, Co^{2+} and Zn^{2+} have interferences, because of they generated sediment when adding NaBH4 made hydrogen selenide ⁷⁰ capture or decomposition ^[26]. Therefore, a 100 μL 1% K₃Fe $(CN)₆$ was added in hydride generation reaction solution to eliminate the disturbance of those metal ions (Table 1S).

Under the optimal conditions, the working curves between Se concentration (mg/L) and the Δ*I*374nm was drawn (Fig. 3). The ΔI_{374nm} value is proportional to the Se concentration (C) in the range of 0.008 to 1.5 mg/L in 20 mL of hydride reaction solution, with a regression equations of ΔI_{374nm} =1070.3 *C* + 10.7, a correlation coefficient of 0.9946, and a detection limit of 3.3 ng/mL Se. The reproducibility of the $CuSO₄-KNaC₄H₄O₆$ system 80 was considered. The *I*374nm of three concentrations of 0 mg/L, 0.5 mg/L, 0.75 mg/L Se(IV) was examined, and the RSD was 4.3%, 1.2% and 4.7 % respectively. The RRS intensity was recorded on a very low-cost fluorescence spectrophotometer (model of F95S) for $CuSO_4$ -KNa $C_4H_4O_6$ absorption solution, and the working ες curves were drawn (Fig. 3). The ΔI_{405nm} , ΔI_{465nm} and ΔI_{515nm} values are all proportional to the Se concentration (*C*) in the range of 0.025 to 1.5 mg/L. The results showed that the F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer has high sensitivity, but the instrument is expensive (about 220,000 Yuan). While the 90 sensitivity of F95S fluorescence spectrophotometer is low, their working curves are good and the price is very low (about 16,000 Yuan).

According to the procedure, a 5.0 mL tea sample solution was taken to the reaction bottle to determine the Se content. Then 95 a 100 μL 0.1 g/L Se standard solution was added in the tea sample to obtain the recovery. The results showed that the relative standard deviations were in the range of 3.5%-7.8%, the recoveries were in the range of 99.4%-109.7% (Table 2S).

5 Fig. 3 Working curve a: 374nm (F-7000); b: 405nm (F95S); c: 465nm (F95S); d: 515nm (F95S).

In summary, in 0.46 mol/L H_2SO_4 medium, the Se(IV) was 10 reduced by NaBH₄ to form SeH₂ that was trapped by $CuSO₄$ - $KNaC_4H_4O_4$ absorption solution. In which, the Cu^{2+} was reduced by SeH_2 to form Cu₂O-Se particles that RRS spectra were studied in details. Thereby a new HG-RRS method was developed to detect the trace amounts of Se, with high sensitivity, good 15 selectivity, simplicity, and low-cost.

Acknowledgements

This work supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21165005, 21267004, 21367005),

20 the Research Funds of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution Control Theory and Technology, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi (No. 2013GXNSFFA019003), and the Research Funds of Guangxi Education Department (No. 2013YB035).

25

Addresses

Key Laboratory of Ecology of Rare and Endangered Species and Environmental Protection of Ministry Education, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin 541004, P. R. China.

30 Tel. +086-0773-5846141, Fax: +086-0773-5846201

***** Corresponding author, E-mail: xhz6993@163.com and zljiang@mailbox.gxnu.edu.cn.

References

- 35
- 1 H. Mendez, I. Lavilla, C. Bendicho. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2004, 19, 1379.
- 2 C. J. Bates, C. W. Thane, A. Prentice, H. T. Delves, J. Gregory. Eur. J. Clin. Nutrition, 2002, 56, 873.
- 40 3 L. C. Chen, F. M. Yang, J. Xu, Y. Hu, Q. H. Hu, Y. L. Zhang, G. X. Pan. J. Agr. food Chem., 2002, 50, 5128.
	- 4 K. Charalabopoulos, A. Kotsalos, A. Batistatou, A. Charalabopoulos, P. Vezyraki, D. Peschos, V. Kalfakakou, A. Evangelou. British J. Canc., 2006, 95, 674.
- 45 5 Y. Wang, X. D. Zhao. Foreign Med. Sci. Sect. Medgeograph., 1998, 19(1), 9.
	- 6 A. S. Reddi, J. S. Bollineni. Kidney International, 2001, 59, 1342.
- 7 X. W. Gu, J. Chen, K. Y. Zhu. Modern Agr. Sci. Technolog., $50 \quad 2011, (6)$, 33.
	- 8 Y. W. Chen, N. Belzilea. Anal. Chim. Acta, 2010, 671, 9.
	- 9 R. Skorek, E. Turek, B. Zawisza, E. Marguı, I. Queralt, M. Stempin, P. Kucharski, R. Sitko. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2012, 27, 1688.
- 55 10 L. P. Zhang, W. C. Li, G. L. Zhang, A. H. Zhang, L. Yan. J. Baotou Med. College, 2001, 18(4), 271.
	- 11 Y. Liu, H. L. Jing. Chin. J. Health Lab. Technol., 2008, 18(10), 2149.
- 12 G. Vale, A. Rodrigues, A. Rocha, R. Rial, A.M. Mota, M. L. 60 Gonçalves, L.P. Fonseca, J. L. Capelo. Food Chem., 2010, 121, 268.
- 13 E. G. Silva, F. Augusto, M. A. Z. Arruda. Analyst, 2012, 137, 3841.
- 14 G. E. Juan, B. G. Elisa, M. B. Maria, S. M. Alfredo. J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 2012, 27, 1949.
- 15 L. Yang, P. Maxwell, Z. Mester. Anal. Methods, 2013, 5, 525. 16 V. L. Dressler, F. G. Antes, C. M. Moreira, D. Pozebon, F. A.
- Duarte. Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 2011, 307,149- 162. 17 A. Brockmann, C. Nonn, A. Golloch. RSC Advan, 2013, **3**,
- 10058.
- 19 M. Ashournia, A. Aliakbar. J. Hazardous Mater., 2010, 174, 788.
- 20 D. Z. Zheng, R. F. Zheng, H. P. Wang. Rock and Mineral Analysis, 2008, 27(1),55.
- 75 21 H. P. Vieira, C. C. Nascentes, A. Germano, C. C. Windmoller. Anal. Methods, 2012, 4, 2068.
- 22 A. Tyburska, K. Jankowski, A. Ramsza, E. Reszke, M. Strzeleca, A. Andrzejczuka. J. Anal. Atom. Spectrom., 2010, 25: 210–214.
- 80 23 W. B. Zhang, X. N. Yang, J. J. Xue, S. B. Wang. J. Anal. At. Spectrom, 2012, 27, 928.
	- 24 Y. Wang, J. Xie, Y. C. Wu, X. Y. Hu, C. Yang, Q. Xu. Talanta, 2013, 83, 014i.
- 25 W. F. Zhang. Shanxi J. Agricul. Sci., 2009, 2: 35.
- 85 26 R. Bye. Talanta, 1986, 33, 705.

105

- 27 a) J. Ling, C. Z. Huang, Y. F. Li, L. Zhang, L. Q. Chen, S. J. Zhen. *Tr. Anal. Chem*., 2009, **28**, 447; b) Z. G. Chen, S. H. Qian, X. Chen, J. H. Chen, Y. J. Yin and J. B. Liu, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 2562; c) S. T. Huang, G. L. Wang, N. B. Li, H. Q. 90 Luo, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2** , 10948.
- 28 a) Z. L. Jiang, L. P. Zhou, A. H.Liang. Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 3162; b) G. Q. Wen, C. Y. Lin, M. L. Tang, G. S. Liu, A. H. Liang, Z. L. Jiang. RSC Advan., 2013, 3, 1941.
- 29 S. P. Liu, Z. F. Liu, H. Q. Luo. J. Southwest China Normal 95 Univ. (Natural Science), 2000, 25(4), 408.
- 30Z. L. Jiang, W. X. Huang, J. P. Li, M. S. Li, A. H. Liang, S. S. Zhang, B. Chen. Clin. Chem. 2008, 54, 116.
- 31Z. L. Jiang, Y. Zhang, A. H. Liang, C. Q. Chen, J. N. Tian, T. S. Li. Plasmonics, 2012, 7, 185.
- 100 32 Z. L. Jiang, X. J. Liao, A. P. Deng, A. H. Liang, J. S Li, H. C. Pan, J. F. Li, S. M. Wang, Y. J. Huang. Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 8681.
	- 33 J. M. Song, S. Y. Zhang, M. Z. Liu, H. W. Shi. J. Anhui Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed. 2004, 28(6), 60.