


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2026, 16, 6090

# A regioselective sustainable neat approach to naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione: detailed mechanistic study and DFT analysis

 Soumen K. Manik,<sup>a</sup> Sk Asraf Ali,<sup>b,c</sup> Nayim Sepay,<sup>d</sup> Aniruddha Deb,<sup>e</sup> Manik Shit,<sup>f</sup> Paritosh Barik,<sup>b</sup> Jyotirmoy Rath,<sup>g</sup> Kankan K. Maity,<sup>h</sup> Sudhir C. Pal,<sup>a</sup> Shubhankar Samanta<sup>b,\*</sup> and Nirmal K. Hazra<sup>a\*</sup>

An environment friendly simple, solvent-free, and lower *E*-factor technique has been developed for synthesizing anticancer property-containing substituted naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives *via* a one-pot three-component reaction using active methylene compounds, alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate, and 1,4-naphthoquinone derivatives. The reaction proceeds through the regioselective Michael addition between the di-anionic intermediate and 1,4-naphthoquinone derivatives, followed by a domino reaction, which has been established *via* DFT study. Finally, it undergoes a Krapcho reaction followed by aromatization in a basic environment, yielding the desired product naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione. The reluctant addition of a di-anionic intermediate to the naphthalene-1,4-dione or 2-bromonaphthalene-1,4-dione in the solvent medium has been overcome using a neat approach. Practicability of the methodology has been enhanced *via* gram scale solvent-free cascade reaction. The wide substrate scope, biological activity, sustainability, and detailed mechanistic study make our reaction more acceptable in the current scenario.

 Received 30th October 2025  
 Accepted 22nd January 2026

DOI: 10.1039/d5ra08362a

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## Introduction

The naphthoquinone and thiophene moieties have shown their importance as a central core structure in various bioactive compounds. The naphthoquinone and thiophene scaffolds exhibit distinct chemical and structural properties that facilitate interactions with particular targets in biological systems. The significant therapeutic effects of naphthoquinone and thiophene-based drugs have prompted researchers to develop and evaluate numerous new molecules. Researchers have

successfully developed compounds with enhanced potency and efficacy by incorporating the thiophene moiety into naphthoquinone. Therefore, naphthoquinone-fused thiophene is a significant target for synthetic and medicinal chemists due to its extensive applications across pharmaceutical, biological, and industrial domain. Interestingly, naphthoquinone-fused thiophene exhibits significant biological activity, including anticancer,<sup>1-5</sup> antiprotozoal,<sup>6-9</sup> and antipsoriatic effects.<sup>10-12</sup> Naturally occurring Thioquinomycin A-D, and Serinoquinone exhibit potent cytotoxicity effects on several cancer cell lines (Fig. 1).<sup>13</sup> FDA [Food and Drug Administration] approved drug molecules in the quinone class include doxorubicin and mitoxantrone.<sup>14</sup> Commercially available drugs containing thiophene and benzothiophene motifs include zileuton, mobam, and sertaconazole (Fig. 1).<sup>15</sup> These drugs have a diverse range of medicinal applications. The naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione moiety has been shown to have substantial cytotoxicity against several human solid tumor cell lines that are resistant to doxorubicin and *cis*-platin.<sup>4</sup>

Inspired by the significance of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione, researchers employed a traditional method to synthesize these scaffolds, starting with thiophene or quinone. A. Acosta *et al.*<sup>16</sup> (Scheme 1) initially developed a microwave-assisted synthesis method for the fabrication of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione using an annulation approach, with thiophene as the starting material. In subsequent studies, several research groups employed two-component coupling processes

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Coastal Environmental Studies Research Centre, Egra Sarada Shashi Bhusan College, Vidyasagar University, Purba Medinipur, Egra, West Bengal, 721429, India. E-mail: nirmalkrhazra@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bidhannagar College, EB 2, Sector I, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700064, West Bengal, India. E-mail: chemshubha@gmail.com

<sup>c</sup>District Institute of Education and Training (DIET), Jhargram, West Bengal-721507, India

<sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, Lady Brabourne College, Kolkata-700017, West Bengal, India

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, Jharkhand, 835215, India

<sup>f</sup>Department of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Jadavpur, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal, India

<sup>g</sup>Department of Physics, Coastal Environmental Studies Research Centre, Egra Sarada Shashi Bhusan College, Vidyasagar University, Purba Medinipur, Egra, West Bengal, 721429, India

<sup>h</sup>Department of Chemistry, Belda College, Paschim Medinipur, Belda, West Bengal-721424, India








Fig. 1 Quinone and thiophene fused drugs and bioactive scaffold of thiophene fused naphthoquinones.



Scheme 1 Previous vs. present approach to the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-dione.





Scheme 2 Outlook of our present methodology for naphthothiophene.

to obtain the intended scaffolds. Typically, the researchers used a quinone-embedded framework that was combined with either  $\alpha$ -enolcithioesters/ $\beta$ -oxothioamides<sup>17</sup> or the Sonogashira coupling product involving 3-bromo-2-(dimethylamino)-5-hydroxynaphthalene-1,4-dione with but-3-yne-2-ol (Scheme 1).<sup>18</sup> Consequently, researchers are constantly encountering new obstacles in their pursuit of this objective. The three-component reaction is a novel method that enables the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione from either naphthoquinone or bromo naphthoquinone combined with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones or alkynes in the presence of a sulfur donor (Scheme 1).<sup>19,20</sup> Recently, we have established a methodology for the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives using a traditional solvent-added approach.<sup>21</sup>

The previously reported methods required a prolonged reaction period within a sealed tube, while the subsequent method operated in the presence of a transition metal catalyst in the hazardous dioxane solvent. The significant limitations of existing methodologies have motivated us to innovate a more comprehensive, efficient, and practical approach that is also easily implemented.

In continuation of heterocyclic synthesis under sustainable conditions, we want to synthesize anti-cancer bioactive molecules under solvent-free multicomponent reaction. The multicomponent reaction is a very pretty method as it produces complex molecules from single reagents without isolation of intermediates.<sup>22</sup> It not only avoids the toxic solvent and aqueous workup but also reduces the multiple use of column chromatography for each step's separation. Nowadays, it's a challenge to develop an eco-friendly and environmentally benign synthetic strategy. Presently, the multicomponent reaction has

become an increasingly important method in organic synthesis. They are regarded as efficient synthetic methodologies for the synthesis of diverse functionalized complex molecules in a timely and cost-effective manner.<sup>23</sup> MCRs are a combination of

Table 1 Optimisation of the three-component tandem approach<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Solvent	Base	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)
1	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	None	100 °C	9	NR
2	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	Et <sub>3</sub> N	60 °C	9	NR
3	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	DABCO	100 °C	9	NR
4	DMSO	DABCO	100 °C	9	NR
5	DMSO	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	80 °C	9	21
6	DMF	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	RT	16	35
7	DMF	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	80 °C	8	41
8	DMF	CS <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	80 °C	6	51
9	Neat	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	80 °C	1	64
10	Neat	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	80 °C	1	51
11	Neat	CH <sub>3</sub> COONa	80 °C	3	26

<sup>a</sup> Reagent & conditions: substrate 1 (0.1 mmol), substrate 2 (1.1 equiv.), substrate 3 (1 equiv.), base (2.5 equiv.).



three or more reactants in one pot procedure, resulting in a new compound with high yields.<sup>24–29</sup>

Herein, we present a novel and efficient one-pot three-component regioselective synthesis of naphthothiophene (**4**) using active methylene compounds, alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate, and 1,4-naphthoquinone and its derivatives facilitated by  $K_2CO_3$  under neat condition (Scheme 2). The limitation of the previous solvent-added reaction has been overcome using the substrates 2-bromonaphthalene-1,4-dione and naphthalene-1,4-dione for the naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-diones formation, where we got the desired product under only neat conditions. The protocol has a low *E*-factor compared to the existing methods, and it furnished a broad array of the naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-diones. Additionally, we have also introduced a brief computational mechanistic observation involving this protocol.

## Results and discussion

In concern to the environmental issue, we initiated our investigation by performing a model reaction utilizing ethyl acetoacetate, phenyl isothiocyanate, and 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone as the primary substrates (Table 1). The reaction was initiated in ethanol without a base by adding **1**, **2**, and **3A** simultaneously at 100 °C for 9 h (entry 1). Regrettably, no required product **4a** was found under these specified conditions. Moreover, the reaction was conducted using  $Et_3N$  (3.5 equiv.) as a base in ethanol at a temperature of 60 °C for 9 h, but the desired product **4a** was not obtained (entry 2). Similarly, in the presence of another strong base, DABCO (3.0 equiv.) was unable to produce **4a** in ethanol or DMSO medium (entries 3 and 4). The lack of success in this reaction motivated us to investigate a more effective combination of base and solvent for




Fig. 2 Schematic representation of the potassium carbonate-promoted neat reaction to produce naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives. (a) Reagent-dependent conversion efficiency of the neat reaction with different mmols of potassium carbonate at constant temperature and time. (b) Time-dependent plot to get the maximum yield at constant temperature and constant equivalent of potassium carbonate.





Fig. 3 Various synthetic approaches to naphthothiophene and *E*-factor.



**Table 2** Three component neat approach to naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives from 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone/2-bromo-1,4-naphthoquinone/1,4-naphthoquinone<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagent and conditions: substrate 1 (0.1 mmol), substrate 2 (1.1 equiv.), substrate 3 (1 equiv.), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.5 equiv.), temperature 80 °C, time 1 h.




our model reaction. Significantly, the replacement of the organic base with  $K_2CO_3$  resulted in the production of the desired product **4a** with a yield of 21% in DMSO (entry 5). This was achieved by adding **3A** to the anion species produced from the reaction with **1** and **2** in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$ . By substituting DMSO with DMF, a 41% yield of **4a** was obtained (entry 7). Furthermore, a 51% yield of compound **4a** was obtained by substituting  $K_2CO_3$  with  $Cs_2CO_3$  (entry 8). The reaction was easily progressed at ambient temperature by sequentially adding **1,2**, and **3A** (entry 6). Nevertheless, the production of the cyclized product (35%) remains unsatisfactory even after 16 h of stirring. In concern to the environment,

we want to establish our reaction under neat conditions, and surprisingly, **4a** was obtained effectively with 64% yield at 80 °C (entry 9). By replacing  $K_2CO_3$  with  $Na_2CO_3$  or  $CH_3COONa$ , the desired target product was obtained with a yield of 51% and 26%, respectively (entries 10 and 11).

Interestingly, the visual color change in a progressive manner helps us to monitor our reaction. A yellow color is observed when substrates **1** and **2** are heated in potassium carbonate, suggesting the formation of a dianion. Subsequently, the inclusion of **3A** in the generated dianion through the combination of **1** and **2** results in a color change from yellow


**Table 3** Three component neat approach to naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives from 2,3-dichloro 1,4-naphthoquinone/2-bromo-1,4-naphthoquinone/1,4-naphthoquinone<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagent and conditions: substrate **1** (0.1 mmol), substrate **2** (1.1 equiv.), substrate **3** (1 equiv.),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.5 equiv.), temperature 80 °C, time 1 h.



Table 4 Three component neat approach to naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives from 2,3-dichloro 1,4-naphthoquinone/2-bromo-1,4-naphthoquinone/1,4-naphthoquinone<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagent and conditions: substrate 1 (0.1 mmol), substrate 2 (1.1 equiv.), substrate 3 (1 equiv.), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (2.5 equiv.), temperature 80 °C, time 1 h.



to red, therefore indicating the formation of a significant quantity of the product (**4a**).

Remarkably, we have found that the yield of the desired product was increased with a constant enhancement of the  $K_2CO_3$  equivalence, which became fixed after the addition of 2.5 equivalent with respect to the quinone substrate **3A**, and the yield of the cyclized product was increased up to 1 h (Fig. 2). Hence, the final optimized condition for the three-component coupling reaction under neat condition is substrate **1** (0.1 mmol), substrate **2** (1.1 equiv.), substrate **3** (1 equiv.),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.5 equiv.), temperature 80 °C, and time 1 h.


Furthermore, we have compared the *E* factor between the existing protocols with the current methodology using the equation  $E \text{ factor} = [\sum m(\text{raw materials}) + \sum m(\text{reagents}) + \sum m(\text{solvents}) + m(\text{water}) - m(\text{product})]/m(\text{product})$  and obtained a surprising result, which is shown in Fig. 3.<sup>30</sup> These observations indicated that our protocol furnished lower toxic chemicals compared to the reported one.

Once the optimal condition has been established (Table 1, entry 9), the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione was investigated using different active methylene compounds, alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate, and 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone **3A**. Several active methylene compounds easily react with various alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate derivatives and 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone **3A** to successfully synthesize the required naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione with moderate to good yields (Tables 2–4). Diethyl malonate, ethyl acetoacetate, diethyl 3-oxopentanedioate, methyl 3-oxobutanoate, methyl 4-methoxy-3-oxobutanoate, *tert*-butyl 3-oxobutanoate, and acetylacetone are active methylene compounds compatible with the reaction (See SI, Table S1). *Tert*-butyl 3-oxobutanoate exhibited superior efficacy with respect to other active methylene compounds. Phenyl isothiocyanate with an electron-donating group (*p*-OMe) (Table 2) provides higher reactivity, and electron-withdrawing


groups (*p*-Cl and *p*-NO<sub>2</sub>) (Table 3) provide lower reactivity and yields of moderate magnitude of **4a–4m**. The acetylacetone is reluctant to add with the alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate as the intermediate stabilized by the two electron-withdrawing-COME group, and hence the yields of the ultimate products **4d** and **4h** are low. The –ve charge on the sulfur atom in the dianion intermediate is stabilized by the chloro (–Cl)/nitro(–NO<sub>2</sub>) group on the isothiocyanate derivatives, resulting in low yields of compound **4l–4m** respectively. The beauty of this method is the removal of one ester or acyl group from the active methylene compound **1** after coupling with quinone **3** and alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate **2**. During aromatization, diethylmalonate removed one ester group, while ethyl acetoacetate/diethyl 3-oxopentanedioate/methyl 3-oxobutanoate/methyl 4-methoxy-3-oxobutanoate/*tert*-butyl 3-oxobutanoate/acetyl acetone removed the acetyl group in the final product **4**.

In the previous communications,<sup>21</sup> we have only prepared the naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione from the substrate 2,3-dichloronaphthalene-1,4-dione under solvent-added conditions, but this method has been modified *via* environmental benign conditions under neat conditions. Surprisingly, during the extension of the protocol in the presence of 2-bromonaphthalene-1,4-dione or naphthalene-1,4-dione, the reaction did not happen in the addition of solvent with phenyl isothiocyanate (Scheme 2). This problem has been overcome under neat conditions within 1 h. Consequently, the reaction was extended to 2-bromo-1,4-naphthoquinone **3B** in the presence of alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate and active methylene compounds at 80 °C under neat conditions. With the same substituted derivatives of alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate, the established technique was well tolerated, and the yield of the desired products **4a–4v** (total 22 instances) was significantly higher from **3B** than the product obtained from 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone **3A**. This observation suggests that the

Table 5 Three component neat approach to naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione from 5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reagent and conditions: substrate **1** (0.1 mmol), substrate **2** (1.1 equiv.), substrate **3** (1 equiv.),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.5 equiv.), temperature 80 °C, time 1 h.




Scheme 3 Synthesis of naphthothiophene **4g** on a gram scale.



presence of halide substitution in the quinone ring delayed the addition–elimination process. To investigate our methodology and enhance the yield of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione, we examined the advancement of our reaction with 1,4-naphthoquinone **3C** under neat conditions. We observed that

the desired product **4** exhibited the best yields compared to **3A** and **3B**. This observation provides more evidence that the removal of the halide group from the naphthoquinone moieties initiates the addition–elimination reaction, resulting in the formation of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione derivatives.



Scheme 4 Systematic observations to understand the mechanism.





within 30 minutes under neat conditions (case 2). Compound **4a** is obtained by the Krapcho reaction of the crystalline product **4'a** at 80 °C (case 3). The isolation of the intermediate **4'a** is the crucial factor for understanding the complete mechanism of the reaction. Curiously, it has been observed that the intermediate cannot stabilize under neat conditions, and three substrates can be easily coupled sequentially to form the required product **4a** (case 4). It has been noticed that the visual color change (yellow to red) is an interesting point to monitor the progress of the reaction (case 5).

Based on the controlled experiment and the isolation of the intermediate, we have proposed a mechanism for the three-component coupling reaction under neat conditions through density functional theory, as this study offers the best opportunity to understand the reaction dynamics (Scheme 5).

### Theoretical study of the mechanism

To thoroughly elucidate the reaction leading to the formation of compound **4**, we employed density functional theory (DFT). The

nucleophilic attack of **1aa** on the carbon center of **2** is decidedly spontaneous, yielding a free energy change of  $-12.2$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4a). This reaction produces **2aa'**, which possesses a negative charge on the nitrogen atom but exhibits slight instability ( $\Delta G^\circ = -0.4$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) when compared to its resonating structure **2ab'**, where the sulfur atom holds the negative charge (Fig. 4b). Importantly, both resonating structures (**2aa'** and **2ab'**) are nearly equally poised for reaction with **3A**. However, experimental results compellingly demonstrate that the reaction preferentially occurs through **2ab'**, leading to the formation of intermediate **3AA'**, a process requiring 35.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> of free energy. To explain the reason for this sulfur-selective nucleophilic attack, we investigated *N*-site attacks on **3A**, resulting in **3AA''** (Fig. 4c). Notably, the conversion from **3A** to **3AA''** demands a considerable 74.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> of free energy. The differences in stability between **3AA'** and **3AA''** are pivotal in this context. The  $\pi$ -stacking interaction between the naphthoquinone ring (blue) and the phenyl ring (wine red), along with the weak hydrogen bond between the naphthoquinone C=O and the methylene hydrogens of the diethyl malonate, significantly




Fig. 4 (a) An energy profile diagram for the formation of compound **4**. Energy-minimized structures of anionic species (b) **2ab'** and **2aa'**, (c) **3AA'** and **3AA''**, (d) **3AD'** and **3AD''**, and (e) molecules **4'a** and **4'ab**. Most of the hydrogens have been removed for clarity.



stabilizes **3AA'** (Fig. 4c). In stark contrast, **3AA''** lacks these stabilizing intramolecular forces, further supporting the observed selectivity.

A facile chloride elimination ( $\Delta G^\circ = -22.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) from **3AA'** leads directly to a fully conjugated intermediate **3AB'**. The deprotonation from the DEM unit of **3AC'** requires an energy input of  $5.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . However, the resulting anion **3AC'** is resonance-stabilised, benefiting from resonance with both the ester groups of the DEM part and the imidothioate part. This resonance (with the negative charge on the carbon centre) enables the formation of an ambident nucleophile, having a negative charge on the nitrogen centre, both of which exhibit comparable stability. The intramolecular nucleophilic cyclisation can proceed through either the carbon centre or the nitrogen centre. Converting **3AC'** to **3AD'** demands  $25.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ , while cyclisation through the nitrogen centre to form **3AD''** from the canonical structure of **3AC''** requires  $82.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . Notably, the anionic species **3AD''** is less stable than **3AD'** due to steric interactions between the *N*-phenyl ring and the ethyl groups of the malonate unit (Fig. 4d). This steric strain results in a twisted conformation, disrupting the planarity of the malonate unit and consequently impacting the degree of conjugation in **3AD''**. The transformation of compound **3AD'** into **4'a** via an elimination reaction significantly reduces steric congestion, yielding a conjugation-stabilised product. This reaction releases a substantial free energy of  $-62.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ , making it spontaneous. In contrast, the elimination reaction involving **3AD''** yields product **4'ab**, which shares the same destabilising structural features as **3AD''**. Consequently, **4'ab** is less stable compared to **4'a** (Fig. 4e). In the case of compound **4'a**, stabilisation is achieved through conjugation with minimal steric strain. The free energy difference between **4'a** and **4'ab** is  $91.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . The final product, identified as compound **4**, is generated through the removal of one ester group from the DEM unit via the Krapcho reaction. This mechanism involves a nucleophilic attack by a hydroxyl ion, which is produced from the reaction of  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  with water, targeting one of the ester centres in compound **4'a**. This interaction results in the formation of an intermediate, labelled **4'aa**, which is stabilised by hydrogen bonding. The free energy required for this step is estimated at  $8.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ . Following this, the decomposition of compound **4'aa** leads to the formation of **4a** and ethyl hydrogen carbonate, with the latter releasing  $\text{CO}_2$  as a gas. This gas release not only drives the reaction forward but also increases entropy, consistent with Le Châtelier's principle. This step is spontaneous by release  $-12.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ .

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we have established a three-component sustainable cascade protocol for synthesizing 2,3-disubstituted anti-cancer naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-diones in favourable yields utilizing active methylene compounds, alkyl/aryl isothiocyanates, and either 2,3-dichloro-1,4-naphthoquinone, 2-bromo-1,4-naphthoquinone, 1,4-naphthoquinone or 5-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone. The gram-

scale synthesis of fused naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-diones is another practical application of this method. The regioselective addition of dianion intermediate to quinone system has been recognized using DFT analysis.

## Experimental section

Reagents, starting materials, and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Analytical TLC was carried out on Merck silica gel GF 254 plates. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (100–200 mesh) with a 1 : 20 mixture of ethyl acetate and petroleum ether as the eluent to isolate the desired products.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker spectrometer at 300, 400, 500, 600 MHz and 75, 101, 126, 151 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  values (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\text{Me}_4\text{Si}$ ) as an internal standard, and coupling constants (*J*) are given in hertz (Hz). TOF MS ES+ spectra were recorded on a Bruker mass spectrometer.

### General procedure for the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-diones (**4a–4v**)

Active methylene compound (0.1 mmol), alkyl/aryl isothiocyanate (1.1 equiv., 0.11 mmol), and 2.5 equiv.  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  was added to a hard glass test tube. The mixture was heated at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 minutes in an oil bath. Once the dianion formation was complete, then 1,4-naphthoquinone (1 equiv. 0.1 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, and the heating was continued at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for an additional 30 minutes. Upon completion, checked by TLC, the reaction mixture was acidified with dilute HCl, extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10.0 \text{ mL}$ ), followed by washing with brine water ( $3 \times 10.0 \text{ mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ). After evaporating the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (100–200 mesh) using an ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1 : 20) eluent to get the pure desired products **4**.

**Diethyl (Z)-4,9-dioxo-2-(phenylimino)-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3,3(2H) dicarboxylate (4'a).** Yellow crystalline solid (71%, 31.8 mg), m.p.  $108\text{--}110^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.17–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.83–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.01–6.97 (m, 2H), 4.42–4.31 (m, 4H), 1.31 (t,  $J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}$ , 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  179.5, 177.1, 163.8, 159.0, 153.6, 149.9, 138.1, 134.9 (2C), 133.8 (2C), 132.6, 132.0, 129.6 (2C), 127.4, 126.9, 126.6, 119.8 (2C), 63.5 (2C), 14.1 (2C). LCMS (ESI) *m/z*:  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_6\text{S}$ : 450.1013 found 450.1046.

**Ethyl 4,9-dioxo-2-(phenylamino)-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4a).** Red crystalline solid, 72% yield, 27.1 mg; m.p.  $142\text{--}144^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  10.05 (s, 1H), 8.15–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 1H), 4.46 (q,  $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 2H), 1.47 (t,  $J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$ , 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  178.6, 177.5, 165.9, 163.9, 141.6, 139.3, 134.6, 133.4, 133.1, 132.6, 130.0 (2C), 129.6, 127.3, 125.8, 125.6, 120.7 (2C), 107.3, 61.4, 14.1.



HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}NO_4S$ : 400.0722 found 400.0721.

**Methyl 4,9-dioxo-2-(phenylamino)-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4b).** Red crystalline solid, 71% yield, 25.7 mg; m.p. 125–127 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.05 (s, 1H), 8.15–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.24 (m, 1H), 4.00–3.99 (m, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.5, 177.5, 166.4, 164.2, 141.5, 139.3, 134.5, 133.4, 133.2, 132.6, 130.0 (2C), 127.4, 125.8, 125.8, 120.9 (2C), 106.7, 52.2. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M - H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{12}NO_4S$ : 362.0485 found 362.0482.

**Tert-butyl 4,9-dioxo-2-(phenylamino)-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4c).** Red crystalline solid, 77% yield, 31.2 mg; m.p. 152–154 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.01 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 1H), 1.67 (s, 9H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.7, 177.5, 165.2, 163.2, 142.0, 139.4, 134.8, 133.3, 133.0, 132.7, 129.9 (2C), 129.1, 128.4, 127.1, 125.8, 125.3, 120.4 (2C), 109.2, 82.7, 28.2. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M - H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}NO_4S$ : 404.0955 found 404.0947.

**3-Acetyl-2-(phenylamino)naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione (4d).** Red crystalline solid, 65% yield, 22.5 mg; m.p. 158–160 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  11.45 (s, 1H), 8.17–8.13 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.30 (m, 1H), 2.71 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  198.4, 180.5, 177.7, 164.9, 141.2, 139.2, 134.2, 133.6, 133.5, 132.6, 130.0 (2C), 127.4, 126.1, 125.9, 121.4 (2C), 115.8, 31.6. LCMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{13}NO_3S$   $[M + H]^+$ : 348.0616 found 348.0681.

**Ethyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4e).** Red solid, 75% yield, 30.5 mg; m.p. 128–130 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  9.75 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.71–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.97 (m, 2H), 4.45 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 1.46 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.6, 177.4, 166.5, 165.9, 158.0, 142.0, 134.6, 133.2, 132.9, 132.6, 132.5, 129.2, 127.2, 125.7, 123.9 (2C), 115.2 (2C), 106.1, 61.2, 55.6, 14.1. Mass calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}NO_5S$   $[M]^+$ : 407.0827 found: 407.2591.

**Methyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4f).** Red solid, 72% yield, 28.3 mg; m.p. 112–114 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  9.76 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.10 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.98 (m, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.9, 177.5, 166.8, 166.4, 158.1, 141.8, 134.6, 133.3, 133.1, 132.6, 132.4, 129.4, 127.3, 125.7, 124.1 (2C), 115.2 (2C), 105.5, 55.6, 52.1. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M - H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}NO_5S$ : 392.0591 found 392.0595.

**Tert-butyl 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4g).** Red solid, 81% yield, 35.2 mg; m.p. 136–138 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  9.72 (s, 1H), 8.11–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.30 (m, 2H), 6.99–6.96 (m, 2H), 3.86–3.85 (m, 3H), 1.66 (s, 9H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.8, 177.4, 165.8, 165.3, 157.8, 142.3, 134.8, 133.1, 132.9, 132.7, 132.6, 128.6, 127.0, 125.7, 123.6 (2C), 115.0 (2C), 107.9, 82.4, 55.6, 28.2 (3C). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M - H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{24}H_{20}NO_5S$ : 434.1060 found 434.1061.

**3-Acetyl-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)amino)naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione (4h).** Red solid, 67% yield, 25.2 mg; m.p. 170–172 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  11.15 (s, 1H), 8.12–8.07 (m, 2H), 7.71–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 6.97–6.95 (m, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  198.2, 180.5, 177.7, 167.4, 141.6, 134.3, 133.5 (3C), 132.7, 132.4, 127.4, 125.9, 124.4 (3C), 115.2 (2C), 115.0, 55.7, 31.6. Mass calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}NO_4S$   $[M]^+$ : 377.0722 found 377.8676.

**Ethyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4i).** Red solid, 70% yield, 28.7 mg; m.p. 168–170 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (300 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.04 (s, 1H), 8.16–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 2H), 4.46 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 1.46 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (75 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.5, 177.5, 165.9, 163.3, 141.5, 137.9, 134.5, 133.5, 133.1, 132.5, 130.7, 130.0 (2C), 129.0, 127.4, 125.8, 121.9 (2C), 107.7, 61.5, 14.1. Mass calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}ClNO_4S$   $[M]^+$ : 412.0410 found 412.4849.

**Methyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4j).** Red solid, 69% yield, 27.3 mg; m.p. 152–154 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.03 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.6, 177.5, 166.4, 163.7, 141.4, 137.9, 134.5, 133.5, 133.2, 132.5, 130.9, 130.0 (2C), 129.2, 127.4, 125.9, 122.1 (2C), 107.1, 52.3. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M - H]^+$  calcd for  $C_{20}H_{11}ClNO_4S$ : 396.0096 found 396.0091.

**Tert-butyl 2-((4-chlorophenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4k).** Red solid, 73% yield, 32.0 mg; m.p. 174–176 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (600 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.02 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.32 (m, 2H), 1.66 (s, 9H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (151 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.5, 177.5, 165.2, 162.7, 141.9, 138.0, 134.7, 133.4, 133.0, 132.6, 130.0 (2C), 128.7, 127.1, 125.8, 123.9, 121.6 (2C), 109.5, 82.9, 28.1 (3C). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[M + Na]^+$  calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}ClNO_4S$ : 462.0542 found 462.0531.

**3-Acetyl-2-((4-chlorophenyl)amino)naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione (4l).** Red solid, 62% yield, 23.6 mg; m.p. 148–150 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  11.42 (s, 1H), 8.17–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.77–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.35 (m, 2H), 2.71 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  198.5, 180.1, 177.6, 164.4, 141.1, 137.7, 134.2, 133.6, 132.5, 131.3, 130.4, 130.1 (2C), 129.0, 127.5, 125.9, 122.6 (2C), 116.1, 31.6. Mass calcd for  $C_{20}H_{12}ClNO_3S$   $[M + Na + H]^+$ : 405.0201 found: 405.2555.

**Ethyl 2-((4-nitrophenyl)amino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4m).** Orange solid, 49% yield, 20.6 mg; m.p. 180–182 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  10.53 (s, 1H), 8.37–8.33 (m, 2H), 8.19–8.17 (m, 2H), 7.78–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 2H), 4.50 (q,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.48 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  178.1, 177.2, 169.9, 165.7, 159.1, 144.6, 143.3, 134.5, 133.8, 133.3, 132.4, 131.1, 127.5, 126.1, 126.0 (2C), 118.1 (2C), 110.8, 61.9, 14.0. Mass calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}N_2O_6S$   $[M + Na]^+$ : 445.0470 found 445.1604.

**Ethyl 2-(ethylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4n).** Deep red solid, 72% yield, 23.6 mg; m.p. 125–127 °C,  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz,  $CDCl_3$ )  $\delta$  8.12–



8.11 (m, 2H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 2H), 4.40 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.44–3.39 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.41 (m, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  178.9, 177.2, 169.1, 165.8, 142.8, 134.7, 133.0, 132.9, 132.7, 129.0, 127.2, 125.6, 103.8, 60.9, 42.6, 29.7, 14.2. Mass calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{15}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 330.0800 found 330.1377.

**Methyl 2-(ethylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4o).** Deep red solid, 70% yield, 22.0 mg; m.p. 120–122 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.11–8.09 (m, 3H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.44–3.37 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.40 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (151 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  179.0, 177.2, 169.3, 166.3, 142.6, 134.6, 133.2, 133.0, 132.6, 129.1, 127.3, 125.7, 103.3, 51.8, 42.7, 14.0. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{12}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$ : 314.0485 found 314.0487.

**Tert-butyl 2-(ethylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4p).** Deep red solid, 73% yield, 26.0 mg; m.p. 134–136 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.11–8.06 (m, 2H), 7.99–7.98 (m, 1H), 7.68–7.65 (m, 2H), 3.41–3.36 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 9H), 1.43–1.40 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (151 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  178.9, 177.1, 168.8, 165.1, 143.3, 134.9, 133.0, 132.8, 132.8, 128.3, 127.0, 125.6, 105.6, 81.9, 42.6, 28.3 (3C), 14.06. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$ : 356.0955 found 356.0949.

**3-Acetyl-2-(ethylamino)naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione (4q).** Deep red solid, 65% yield, 19.4 mg; m.p. 110–112 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  9.56 (s, 1H), 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H), 3.45–3.39 (m, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 1.43 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  197.5, 180.5, 177.3, 169.7, 142.2, 134.3, 133.4, 133.3, 132.7, 129.4, 127.3, 125.7, 113.2, 42.8, 31.4, 13.9. Mass calcd for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 300.0694 found 300.3356.

**Ethyl 2-(cyclohexylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4r).** Red solid, 64% yield, 24.5 mg; m.p. 126 °C–128 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.21–8.19 (m, 1H), 8.11–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 4.39 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 3.36–3.33 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.11 (m, 2H), 1.84–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.44–1.41 (m, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  178.8, 177.2, 168.0, 165.9, 142.9, 134.7, 133.0, 132.9, 132.7, 128.8, 127.2, 125.6, 103.7, 60.8, 57.3, 32.1 (2C), 25.3, 24.4 (2C), 14.2. Mass calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{21}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 384.1271 found 384.4041.

**Methyl 2-(cyclohexylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4s).** Red solid, 61% yield, 22.5 mg; m.p. 118–120 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.25–8.24 (m, 1H), 8.11–8.09 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.36–3.33 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.11 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.67 (m, 3H), 1.46–1.42 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (151 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  179.0, 177.2, 168.1, 166.4, 142.7, 134.6, 133.1, 133.0, 132.6, 129.0, 127.3, 125.6, 103.1, 57.3, 51.8, 32.1 (2C), 25.3, 24.4 (2C). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$ : 368.0955 found 368.0950.

**Tert-butyl 2-(cyclohexylamino)-4,9-dioxo-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate (4t).** Red solid, 68% yield, 27.9 mg; m.p. 138–140 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  8.10–8.08 (m, 1H), 8.07–8.05 (m, 2H), 7.67–7.65 (m, 2H), 3.34–3.28 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.12 (m, 2H), 1.83–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.61 (m, 9H), 1.44–1.41 (m, 3H), 1.30–1.27 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (151 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  178.9, 177.1, 167.6, 165.1, 143.4, 134.9, 132.9

(2C), 128.1, 127.0, 125.6, 105.5, 81.8, 57.3, 32.2 (2C), 29.7, 28.2(3C), 25.3, 24.5 (2C). HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{24}\text{NO}_4\text{S}$ : 410.1424 found 410.1419.

**3-Acetyl-2-(cyclohexylamino)naphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-4,9-dione (4u).** Deep red solid, 63% yield, 22.2 mg; m.p. 117–119 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  9.74–9.72 (m, 1H), 8.14–8.11 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.71 (m, 2H), 3.39–3.33 (m, 1H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.14–2.10 (m, 2H), 1.86–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.71 (s, 1H), 1.49–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.28–1.27 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  197.4, 180.5, 177.4, 168.5, 142.3, 134.3, 133.3, 133.2, 132.7, 127.3, 125.7, 113.1, 59.5, 38.2, 32.0, 31.2, 29.7 (2C), 29.4, 22.7. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{20}\text{NO}_3\text{S}$ : 354.1166 found 354.1169.

**Ethyl 5-hydroxy-4,9-dioxo-2-(phenylamino)-4,9-dihydronaphtho[2,3-*b*]thiophene-3-carboxylate(4v).** Red crystalline solid, 81% yield, 31.8 mg, m.p. 148–150 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  12.06 (s, 1H), 10.08 (s, 1H), 7.64–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.18 (m, 1H), 4.47–4.43 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.44 (m, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  182.36, 177.94, 165.73, 164.38, 161.06, 142.56, 139.18, 135.59, 134.64, 129.98 (2C), 125.82, 123.63, 120.79 (2C), 120.73, 120.00, 115.11, 107.80, 61.49, 14.08. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_5\text{S}$ : 394.0751 found 394.0750.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Data availability

CCDC 2203321, 2257893, 2329614 and 2466758 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.<sup>31a–d</sup>

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the supplementary information (SI). Supplementary information: experimental details, characterization and DFT data. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra08362a>.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Department of Chemistry, Egra S. S. B. College, for financial support. The financial support from Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB TAR/2022/000070) is gratefully acknowledged. We also acknowledge the Department of Chemistry at Vidyasagar University and Jadavpur University, as well as the Central Research Facility (CRF) at IIT Kharagpur, for their instrumental support and BIT, Mesra, Ranchi, for the HRMS facility. We also acknowledge and appreciate the support from Prof. (Dr) Chittaranjan Sinha of Jadavpur University and Prof. (Dr) Anirban Bhunia of Bose Institute.

## References

- 1 J. Acuna, J. Piermattey, D. Caro, S. Bannwitz, L. Barrios, J. López, Y. Ocampo, R. Vivas-Reyes, F. Aristizábal, R. Gaitán, K. Muller and L. Franco, Synthesis, Anti-Proliferative Activity Evaluation and 3D-QSAR Study of



- Naphthoquinone Derivatives as Potential Anti-Colorectal Cancer Agents, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**, 186.
- 2 I. Gomez-Monterrey, P. Campiglia, C. Aquino, A. Bertamino, I. Granata, A. Carotenuto, D. Brancaccio, P. Stiuso, I. Scognamiglio, M. R. Rusciano, A. S. Maione, M. Illario, P. Grieco, B. Maresca and E. Novellino, Design, Synthesis, and Cytotoxic Evaluation of Acyl a Quinone-Based System, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 4077–4091.
  - 3 J. Valderrama, O. Espinoza, J. Rodriguez and C. Theoduloz, Synthesis and Antitumor Evaluation of Thiophene Analogs of Kigelinone, *Lett. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **6**, 278–281.
  - 4 L. J. Huang, S. C. Kuo, C. Y. Perng, Y. H. Chao, T. S. Wu, A. T. McPhail, A. Mauger, H. H. Cheng and K. H. Lee, Synthesis and Cytotoxicity of Acetyl-4H,9H-naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1998, **8**, 2763–2768.
  - 5 A. P. Krapcho, M. E. Petry and M. P. Hacker, Heterosubstituted Anthracene-9,10-dione Analogues. The Synthesis and Antitumor Evaluation of 5,8-Bis[(aminoalkyl)amino]naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1990, **33**, 2651–2655.
  - 6 J. A. Valderrama, C. Astudillo, R. A. Tapia, E. Prina, E. Estrabaud, R. Mahieux and A. Fournet, Studies on Quinones. Part 37. Synthesis and Biological Activity of *o*-Aminoester Functionalised Benzo- and Naphtho[2,3-b]thiophenequinones, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2002, **50**, 1215–1218.
  - 7 J. Valderrama, A. Fournet, C. Valderrama, S. Bastias, C. Astudillo, A. Rojas de Arias, A. Inchausti and G. Yaluff, Synthesis and in Vitro Antiprotozoal Activity of Thiophene Ring-Containing Quinones, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1999, **47**, 1221–1226.
  - 8 M. O. F. Goulart, C. L. Zani, J. Tonholo, F. C. de Abreu, A. B. Oliveira, D. S. Raslan, S. Starling and E. Chiari, Trypanocidal Activity and Redox Potential of Heterocyclic and 2-Hydroxynaphthoquinones, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1997, **7**, 2043–2048.
  - 9 C. L. Zani, E. Chiari, A. U. Krettli, S. M. F. Murta, M. L. Cunningham, A. H. Fairlamb and A. J. Romanha, Anti-Plasmodial and Anti-Trypanosomal Activity of Synthetic Naphtho[2,3-b]thiophen-4,9-quinones, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 1997, **5**, 2185–2192.
  - 10 C. Lindenschmidt, D. Krane, S. Vortherms, L. Hilbig, H. Prinz and K. Muller, 8-Halo-Substituted Naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones as Redox-Active Inhibitors of Keratinocyte Hyperproliferation with Reduced Membrane-Damaging Properties, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **110**, 280–290.
  - 11 A. Basoglu, S. Dirkmann, N. Zahedi Golpayegani, S. Vortherms, J. Tentrop, D. Nowotnik, H. Prinz, R. Fröhlich and K. Müller, Oxadiazole-Substituted Naphtho [2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones as Potent Inhibitors of Keratinocyte Hyperproliferation. Structure-Activity Relationships of the Tricyclic Quinone Skeleton and the Oxadiazole Substituent, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **134**, 119–132.
  - 12 S. Bannwitz, D. Krane, S. Vortherms, T. Kalin, C. Lindenschmidt, N. Zahedi Golpayegani, J. Tentrop, H. Prinz and K. Muller, Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of Lapacho Analogues. 2. Modification of the Basic Naphtho[2,3-b]furan-4,9-dione, Redox Activation, and Suppression of Human Keratinocyte Hyperproliferation by 8-Hydroxynaphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 6226–6239.
  - 13 (a) D. Zhang, Y. Jiang, J. Li, W. Ding, Z. Chen and Z. Ma, Thioquinomycins A-D, novel naphthothiophenediones from the marine-derived *Streptomyces* sp. SS17F, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, **74**, 6150–6154; (b) A. S. Hirata, J. J. La Clair, P. C. Jimenez, L. V. Costa-Lotufo and W. Fenical, Preclinical development of seriniquinones as selective dermcidin modulators for the treatment of melanoma, *Mar. Drugs*, 2022, **20**, 301; (c) R. D. A. Miguel, A. S. Hirata, G. C. Salata, A. C. Apolinário, V. M. Barroso, K. Ishida, J. J. L. Clair, W. Fenical, T. S. Martins, L. V. Costa-Lotufo and L. B. Lopes, Topical delivery of seriniquinone for treatment of skin cancer and fungal infections is enabled by a liquid crystalline lamellar phase, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2024, **192**, 106635.
  - 14 J. P. Shrestha, Y. P. Subedi, L. Chen and C. W. T. Chang, A mode of action study of cationic anthraquinone analogs: A new class of highly potent anticancer agents, *MedChemComm*, 2015, **6**, 2012–2022.
  - 15 R. S. Keri, K. Chand, S. Budagumpi, S. B. Somappa, S. A. Patil and B. M. Nagaraja, An overview of benzo [b] thiophene-based medicinal chemistry, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **138**, 1002–1033.
  - 16 A. Acosta, P. De la Cruz, P. De Miguel, E. Diez-Barra, A. De la Hoz, F. Langa, A. Loupy, M. Majdoub, N. Martin, C. Sanchez and C. Seoane, Microwave-assisted synthesis of heterocyclic fused quinones in dry media, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 2165–2168.
  - 17 G. Shukla, G. K. Verma, A. Nagaraju, R. K. Verma, K. Raghuvanshi and M. S. Singh, DMAP-mediated one-pot domino thienannulation: a versatile, regioselective and green mechanochemical route to naphtho[2,3-b]thiophenes, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 13811–13817.
  - 18 K. Ueda, M. Yamashita, K. Sakaguchi, H. Tokuda and A. Iida, Concise Synthesis of Heterocycle-Fused Naphthoquinones by Employing Sonogashira Coupling and Tandem Addition-Elimination/Intramolecular Cyclization, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2013, **61**, 648–654.
  - 19 Y. Liu, W. Ge, J. Han, Y. Zhu, Y. Shi and H. Wu, DABCO-catalyzed three-component reaction for the synthesis of naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-4,9-diones, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, **58**, 2665–2669.
  - 20 D. S. Baranov, A. A. Popov, D. A. Nevostuev, A. A. Dmitriev, Y. V. Gatilov and E. S. Kobeleva, One-Pot Synthesis of 2-R-Naphtho [2,3-b] thiophene-4, 9-diones via Cyclization of 2-(R-Ethynyl)-1, 4-naphthoquinones with Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 11361–11369.
  - 21 S. K. Manik, S. Haldar, A. Bhattacharya, U. Debnath, S. A. Ali, S. Jana, M. Shit, P. K. Haldar, S. Pradhan, D. K. Hazra, K. K. Maity, S. K. Ghorai, S. Samanta, A. K. Misra, K. Jana and N. K. Hazra, Design, synthesis, and in vitro and in vivo biological evaluation of 2-amino-naphtho[2,3-b]thiophene-



- 4,9-dione derivatives as potent anticancer agents, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2025, **296**, 117728.
- 22 (a) M. Panda, A. Bera, D. Dandapat, P. Barik, S. Dhara, M. Iqbal, K. Pramanik, S. Pramanik and S. Samanta, Grindstone Telescopic Approach for Pyrrolidiazepines Through Pictet-Spengler-Type Reaction and Solvent-Free Synthesis of Quinazolines, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2025, e00118; (b) P. Zhang, C. Liu, L. Yu, H. Hou, W. Sun and F. Ke, Synthesis of benzimidazole by mortar-pestle grinding method, *Green Chem. Lett. Rev.*, 2021, **14**, 612–619.
- 23 Y. Gu, Multicomponent reactions in unconventional solvents: state of the art, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 2091–2128.
- 24 B. B. Toure and D. G. Hall, Natural product synthesis using multicomponent reaction strategies, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 4439–4486.
- 25 S. Zhi, X. Ma and W. Zhang, Consecutive multicomponent reactions for the synthesis of complex molecules, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 7632–7650.
- 26 E. Ruijter and R. V. Orru, Multicomponent reactions—opportunities for the pharmaceutical industry, *Drug Discovery Today: Technol.*, 2013, **10**, e15–e20.
- 27 (a) C. Greever, K. Ramirez-Aguilar and J. Connelly, Connections between laboratory research and climate change: what scientists and policy makers can do to reduce environmental impacts, *FEBS Lett.*, 2020, **594**, 3079–3085; (b) S. Kar, H. Sanderson, K. Roy, E. Benfenati and J. Leszczynski, Green chemistry in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **122**, 3637–3710.
- 28 P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, Green chemistry: principles and practice, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 301–312.
- 29 P. Barik, C. K. Pal, S. Chakraborty, S. A. Azad, P. Patra, R. Jana, M. R. Molla and S. Samanta, NaBH<sub>4</sub>-Induced Chemoselective Mechanochemical Neat Synthesis of Bio-Active Dihydrofuran/-Hydroxy- $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -Unsaturated Esters and Synthetic Application to Isoindoles, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **27**, e202400534.
- 30 R. A. Sheldon, The E factor 25 years on: the rise of green chemistry and sustainability, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 18–43.
- 31 (a) CCDC 2203321: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2016, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2cyqwy](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2cyqwy); (b) CCDC 2257893: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2016, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2fsj81](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2fsj81); (c) CCDC 2329614: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2016, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2j64vr](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2j64vr); (d) CCDC 2466758: Experimental Crystal Structure Determination, 2016, DOI: [10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nsvv5](https://doi.org/10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc2nsvv5).

