

# Nanoscale Advances

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. M. Abdu Kaid, K. Alkanad, N. Abutaha, F. A. Almekhlafi, A. Padikkamannil, M. A. Bajiri, M. M. A. ALKANAD, M. Masri, Y. O. Waidi, K. Prashantha, U. Nagarajachari and L. N. Krishnappagowda, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D5NA00943J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## Plasmon-boosted S-scheme g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Ag 2D nanostructure for highly efficient visible-light antibacterial photocatalysis

Sanaa Abdu Kaid<sup>1||</sup>, Khaled Alkanad<sup>2||</sup>, Nael Abutaha<sup>3</sup>, Fahd A. Al-Mekhlafi<sup>3</sup>, Padikkamannil Abishad<sup>4</sup>, Mohammed A. Bajiri<sup>5</sup>, Maged Alkanad<sup>6</sup>, Mohamed Masri<sup>1</sup>, Yusuf Olatunji Waidi<sup>7</sup>, Kalappa Prashantha<sup>1</sup>, N. Upendra<sup>1\*</sup>, N. K. Lokanath<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Research and Innovations, BGS Institute of Technology, Adichunchanagiri University, B. G. Nagar, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Studies in Physics University of Mysore Manasagangothri, Mysuru 570 006, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Studies and Research in Microbiology, Mangalore University, Karnataka, India

<sup>5</sup>Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, São Carlos-SP, 13566-590, Brazil

<sup>6</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Sri. Adichunchanagiri College of Pharmacy, Adichunchanagiri University, B.G. Nagara, Mandya, Karnataka 571448, India

<sup>7</sup>Department of Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

Email: [lokanath@physics.uni-mysore.ac.in](mailto:lokanath@physics.uni-mysore.ac.in) (N. K. L.)

[nupendra90@gmail.com](mailto:nupendra90@gmail.com) (N. U.)

### Abstract

The rapid emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria has created an urgent need for safe and efficient antimicrobial strategies. Here, we present a rationally engineered 2D plasmonic photocatalyst based on g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> nanosheets coupled with Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Ag nanoparticles to construct an S-scheme heterojunction. The ternary g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-Ag (GCA) nanocomposite was successfully fabricated, as confirmed by XRD, TEM, and SEM analyses, while UV-Vis DRS revealed the strong surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect of Ag. The charge-transfer pathway was validated by XPS, ESR, and radical-trapping experiments, demonstrating the efficiency of the S-scheme mechanism in promoting charge separation and reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation. Under visible LED irradiation, the GCA nanocomposite exhibited outstanding antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), non-typhoidal Salmonella Enteritidis (NTS), and enteroaggregative Escherichia coli (EAEC), with MIC and



MBC values of 140 and 280  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively. The photocatalytic disinfection efficiency significantly surpassed that of pristine  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  and binary  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-Co}_3\text{O}_4$  composites. Importantly, negligible antibacterial effects were observed in dark conditions, underscoring the material's safety and selectivity. This study highlights the synergistic contribution of plasmonic Ag and S-scheme heterojunction engineering in enhancing visible-light-driven antibacterial performance. The findings provide a promising pathway for the development of advanced photocatalytic nanomaterials aimed at controlling healthcare-associated infections and addressing the global challenge of antibiotic resistance.

**Keywords:** Antimicrobial activity; Plasmonic S-Scheme;  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-Co}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Ag}$  nanocomposite.

## 1. Introduction

The escalating global threat of bacterial infections, exacerbated by the rise of antibiotic-resistant strains, affects hospitals worldwide and poses significant health challenges in societies. These infections have raised concerns among healthcare providers due to their serious impact on health and economics<sup>1,2</sup>. Based on a recent World Health Organisation projection, if proper mitigation is not done, antibiotic-resistant bacteria might result in 10 million fatalities yearly and economic losses of US\$100 trillion by 2050<sup>1,3</sup>. Therefore, novel approaches to biological activity treating infectious microorganisms are being developed rapidly despite triggering antibiotic resistance<sup>4-6</sup>. Bacteria extermination triggered by light showcases distinct benefits, functioning through either oxidative harm triggered by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROSs) or thermal stress from elevated temperatures, processes known as photodynamic and photothermal therapies, respectively<sup>7,8</sup>. Photocatalysis is a promising method due to its strong redox reactions, providing large amounts of ROSs, which leads to high antibacterial activity with straightforward/non-toxic byproducts<sup>9-11</sup>. Moreover, photocatalytic antibacterial methodologies leverage clean and readily available solar energy, offering a swift, effective, and less likely antibiotic resistance-inducing solution<sup>5,12</sup>. However, photocatalyst efficiency depends on numerous factors, including band edge location, narrow bandgap energy, decreased charge recombination, improved charge separation, transfer, and surface-active sites<sup>13</sup>.

Lately, two-dimensional (2D) photocatalysts have gained growing interest owing to their remarkable optical and optoelectronic advantages, leading to diverse applications<sup>14,15</sup>. The increased attention is a result of the abundance of active sites afforded by low-coordinated atoms at the borders and edges of grains of 2D semiconductors, which interact intensely with light<sup>16</sup>.



Despite their promised photocatalytic properties, pure 2D semiconductors encounter challenges such as layered reassembling. This limits their ability to absorb light and charge dynamics, leading to a high electron-hole ( $e^-/h^+$ ) recombination rate. Consequently, they have a low photonic cross-section and a poor quantum yield. Nonetheless, the electronic structures of the 2D semiconductor may be modified by doping, coupling, or surface integration of the intrinsically passivated interfaces with diverse low-dimensional photonic nanostructures. Developing 2D-based hybrid nanocomposites improves photocatalytic capabilities by offering greater charge generation, better interfacial charge separation, numerous active sites, and strong redox abilities<sup>9, 17</sup>. Recently, the S-scheme heterojunction has been invented due to its advantages over other heterojunction configurations<sup>18</sup>. These hybrid S-scheme nanocomposites provide better charge separation under light illumination for enhanced antibacterial activity<sup>19</sup>.

Additionally, incorporating plasmonic metals boosts photocatalytic efficiency by enhancing light-matter interaction through the migration of surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) or localised surface plasmon resonance (LSPR)<sup>19</sup>. This enhancement is not achievable with non-plasmonic metals because of their limited ability to absorb light, mostly resulting from interband shifts. In addition, using hot carriers generated by plasmon non-radiative decay enhances both the photochemical reaction efficiency and selectivity, even when subjected to low-intensity illumination. Plasmonic metals can also serve as co-catalysts, providing more active sites through electron capture, extending the lifespan of plasmonic  $e^-/h^+$  pairs, and facilitating photocatalytic processes under thermal and nonthermal methods<sup>20</sup>.

Among various 2D semiconductors, graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets (g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs) have exclusive properties, such as the n-type conductivity with ultraviolet–visible absorption ability of bandgap value (2.7 eV), appropriate charge transport capabilities, and numerous active sites.<sup>21, 22</sup> 2D g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> has been extensively studied and has shown great promise as a photocatalytic antibacterial nanomaterial against clinically isolated, multi-drug resistant microorganisms due to the material's proficiency, stability, and environmental sustainability<sup>23</sup>. However, pristine g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> has limited photocatalytic activity attributed to the low valence band (VB) potential and fast recombination rate of photoinduced charge carriers. Thus, to construct an effective heterojunction photocatalyst, it is crucial to select semiconductors with matched bandgap configurations and maintain an intimately interconnected interface between the two components<sup>13</sup>. In this context, cobalt oxide nanoparticles (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs) are a highly desirable p-type



semiconductor with a direct optical bandgap of 2.44 eV. These NPs have great promise for many applications, such as photocatalytic processes, pharmacology, biomedical visualisation, cancer treatment, pharmacology, and chemical sensing<sup>24-29</sup>. Considering the band structures,  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  are found to be promising photocatalysts to form an S-scheme heterojunction, which enables them to facilitate both oxidation and reduction reactions concurrently<sup>21, 30, 31</sup>. Recent studies showed the coupling of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  nanostructures formed nanoheterostructures with higher photocatalytic activity<sup>32, 33</sup>.

Beyond semiconductor coupling, the deposition of noble metals (such as platinum, gold, or silver) on the heterostructure surface can develop multiple photocatalytic mechanisms for enhanced antibacterial activity<sup>34</sup>. Ag NPs are great candidates for effective photocatalytic antibacterial photocatalysts given their strong local electromagnetic fields, excellent optical absorption, and cost-effective advantages.<sup>35</sup> The suitable Fermi level of the Ag NPs with the Fermi levels of  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  NSHs and  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs induces a shift in their band levels once combined, forming double Schottky barriers. The SPR effect by the Ag NPs results in  $e^-/h^+$  pairs transformation over the Schottky junctions to the more negative potentials, facilitating  $e^-/h^+$  pairs separation and increasing the quantum efficiency of the  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4\text{-Co}_3\text{O}_4$  heterostructure. Hence, this process maintains the redox ability of electrons that migrated to the conduction band (CB) of  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  and holes on the VB of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ .

Recent advances in visible-light photocatalytic antibacterial systems have demonstrated the effectiveness of hybrid architectures. For example,  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{ZnO}_2$  hybrids exhibited enhanced ROS generation and improved Gram-positive bacterial inactivation under visible light<sup>36</sup>. Graphene oxide-supported  $\text{CeO}_2$  composites showed reduced bandgap energy and superior antimicrobial activity under solar irradiation<sup>37</sup>. Green-synthesised Ag nanoparticles have also demonstrated dual antibiofilm and photocatalytic disinfection capabilities against multidrug-resistant pathogens<sup>38</sup>. In addition, engineered nanostructures designed to stabilise Ag nanoparticles have recently gained attention; notably, the  $\text{c-Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{C}/\text{PIDA}$  nanosphere system effectively stabilised Ag nanoparticles and exhibited enhanced bioactivity and antimicrobial performance through controlled Ag exposure and improved interfacial interactions<sup>39</sup>. Additionally,  $\text{TiO}_2$ -based/Ag visible-light photocatalysts have achieved complete inactivation of *E. coli* via ROS pathways under visible irradiation<sup>40, 41</sup>; however, the requirement for



peroxymonosulfate co-oxidants and the absence of an S-scheme heterojunction limit their broader applicability. While graphene-oxide-mediated Ag<sub>2</sub>O nanocomposites have shown plasmon-assisted antibacterial activity<sup>42</sup>, the rational integration of a 2D S-scheme heterojunction with plasmonic enhancement for antibacterial photocatalysis remains underexplored, particularly against clinically isolated multidrug-resistant bacteria<sup>43</sup>.

In this work, we report a novel 2D plasmonic S-scheme g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/Ag nanocomposite designed for efficient visible-light-driven photocatalytic disinfection. Unlike previously reported systems based on type-II heterojunctions or single-component plasmonic modification, the present strategy synergistically integrates p–n S-scheme charge transfer with Ag-induced plasmonic enhancement, enabling strong redox preservation, efficient charge separation, and sustained ROS generation. As a result, the proposed nanocomposite exhibits superior antibacterial performance, recyclability, and photocatalytic stability against clinically isolated multidrug-resistant pathogens, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, non-typhoidal *Salmonella Enteritidis*, and enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli*. This study provides a rational design framework for advanced photocatalytic disinfectants and addresses key challenges associated with antibiotic resistance and long-term antimicrobial efficacy.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Chemical reagents

The laboratory-grade chemicals were Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, AgNO<sub>3</sub> (Alfa Aesar), Urea, glycine (Sigma Aldrich), Baird-Parker (BP) agar, Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD) agar, and Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar, Mueller Hinton broth (cation-adjusted) (MHB-CA), and resazurin sodium (Himedia, India). In the examinations, 18.2 MΩcm of ultrapure water was also used.

### 2.2. Preparation of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs

In a crucible, 10 g of urea was calcinated for three hours. The first hour was 200 °C, the second hour was 400 °C, and the third hour was 550 °C. A yellowish g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> powder was obtained and labelled "G" for further studies.

### 2.3. Preparation of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs

The Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was prepared by solution combustion. A 0.1M amount of Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 50 mL of ultrapure water and mixed for 30 min in a hotplate stirrer. Then, 0.1M of glycine as fuel was added (fuel and oxidiser ratio maintained to be one ), and the temperature



was raised to 350 °C until it lit and burned with a bright flame. A fine powder was produced and calcinated in a furnace oven for 1 hour at 500 °C.  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticle was obtained and labelled as "C" for the other analyses.

#### 2.4. Preparation of g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ nanocomposite

The binary g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  was prepared by grinding 40 mg of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  with 10 g of urea for 30 minutes. A fine powder mixture was obtained and treated as the preparation of the pristine g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ . The formed g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanocomposite was kept in a clean vial labelled "GC".

#### 2.5. Preparation of g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ -Ag nanocomposite

The photo-deposition method was used to fabricate the g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ -Ag nanocomposite. Exactly 0.5 g of the binary g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  nanocomposite was stirred in a mixture of ultrapure water and ethanol (50 ml,1:1). Later, the prepared suspension was added with 1 ml (0.1M) of  $\text{AgNO}_3$  and exposed to a metal halide lamp for an hour to allow  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions to adhere to the surface. The attained sample was rinsed many times with ultrapure water and kept to air dry at ambient temperature. The dried powder was again exposed to a metal halide lamp for 30 minutes to ensure the conversion of  $\text{Ag}^+$  into  $\text{Ag}^0$  nanoparticles. Finally, the sample was thoroughly rinsed with ethanol and ultrapure water to eliminate any remaining free  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions; then, it was desiccated in a vacuum oven overnight at 60 °C, collected, and stored in a clean vial labelled "GCA" for further studies.

#### 2.6. Characterisation

The structure of the samples was recorded with X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation source (Rigaku, Japan). The chemical compositions were examined with an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS, Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi, USA). The morphology and intimate contact between the NPs and NSHs were studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S3400N) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Jeol/JEM 2100). The functional groups on the surface of the nanoparticles were determined using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. The UV–Vis spectra and the optical properties of the samples were verified using a Thermo Scientific Evolution 201 Spectrophotometer. The exchange rate of the charges was determined using A photoluminescence (PL, PerkinElmer LS55) spectrometer.

#### 2.7. Antimicrobial activity

Briefly, the minimum inhibition concentration (MIC) was estimated by incubating 100  $\mu\text{L}$  ( $1 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL) MDR isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), non-



typhoidal *Salmonella* Enteritidis (NTS) and enteroaggregative *E.coli* (EAEC) in 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plates with a decreasing concentration of the intended prepared photocatalyst load in 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of MHB-CA medium for 24 hours. Subsequently, 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of resazurin dye, at a concentration of 0.015%, was injected into each well to measure the amount of dye reduction (pink), which signifies the inhibition of bacterial growth. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the studied photocatalyst was determined by seeding 10  $\mu\text{L}$  culture from each well displaying no evident growth in the suitable selective agar plates, such as BP agar (MRSA), XLD agar (NTS), and EMB agar (EAEC). In contrast, the MIC in the presence of photocatalysts had the lowest concentration with no apparent bacterial growth. The MBC concentration of photocatalysts was determined to be the minimum amount of studied photocatalysts that exhibited a 99.90% death of the test culture <sup>44</sup>.

## 2.8. Photocatalytic disinfection

The photocatalytic disinfection property of all prepared samples was evaluated against MRSA, NTS, and EAEC, where CA-MH broth was used for bacterial growth media. The broth was poured into a 24-well flat-bottom plate (Tarsons, India) with MIC concentrations of the studied photocatalyst added to the MRSA suspensions ( $1 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL). Visible-light irradiation was provided by an LED light source (50 W,  $\lambda = 460$  nm) positioned at a fixed distance from the reaction plate, delivering a light intensity of approximately 63,400 lux at the sample surface. All photocatalytic disinfection experiments were conducted under identical illumination conditions with a total exposure time of 2 h. The reaction temperature was maintained at 37 °C throughout the experiment to exclude thermal inactivation effects. Control experiments were performed in parallel, including bacterial suspensions without photocatalyst under LED irradiation, and bacterial suspensions with photocatalyst kept in the dark, to distinguish photocatalytic disinfection from photolysis and dark toxicity effects. In addition, to check the viability of the test strains, the 1  $\mu\text{L}$  aliquots drawn at predetermined intervals were diluted in a series and cultured on BP agar media. These cultures were then placed in an incubator at 37 °C for 24 h, and the bacterial loads were demonstrated as  $\log_{10}$  CFU/mL. All quantitative measurements were performed in triplicate, and the data are presented as mean values with standard deviation.



### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Structural study

XRD investigation was applied to confirm the crystal structure of the fabricated samples (Fig. 1). The sample G displays two XRD peaks at  $13.1^\circ$  and  $27.3^\circ$ , which belong to the crystal planes (100) and (002) of pure g- $C_3N_4$  (JCPDS 87-1526), respectively. These peaks are likely attributed to the construction of the tri-s-triazine unit, which has a conjugated aromatic system with interplanar spacing [12]. The diffraction patterns of sample C show that it has a spinel face-centred cubic structure belonging to  $Co_3O_4$  (JCPDS Card No. 43-1003). XRD of the GC composite shows the presence of the highest intensity peaks of g- $C_3N_4$  (002) and  $Co_3O_4$  (311), indicating the well-fabrication of the g- $C_3N_4$ - $Co_3O_4$  nanocomposite. The (002) crystal plane in the GC sample showed a slight shift (Fig. 1), confirming an excellent interface heterojunction in the g- $C_3N_4$ - $Co_3O_4$  nanocomposite. This interaction between the g- $C_3N_4$  and  $Co_3O_4$  could slightly reduce the interfacial gap of g- $C_3N_4$  [13]. When Ag is added to the g- $C_3N_4$ - $Co_3O_4$  nanocomposite, a noticeable XRD peak at  $38.16^\circ$  corresponds to the crystal plane (111) of Ag NPs (JCPDS, 04-0783) was observed in the XRD spectrum of the GCA nanocomposite, confirming the successful deposition of the Ag NPs on the g- $C_3N_4$ - $Co_3O_4$  nanocomposite's surface. The observed low intensity of the Ag (111) peak is attributed to both the low doping concentration of Silver and its high dispersion (small particle size) within the GCA nanocomposite. Semi-quantitative EDX analysis confirms a low Ag content (1.9 atomic fraction %), which inherently results in a reduced diffraction contribution from the Ag phase (Fig. S1). Moreover, the high dispersion and nanoscale size of Ag nanoparticles lead to peak broadening and diminished XRD intensity, as commonly observed for well-dispersed plasmonic metals. Importantly, the weak Ag reflection, together with the uniform Ag distribution observed in EDX mapping (Fig. 3d), indicates that Ag is finely dispersed and strongly anchored on the g- $C_3N_4$ / $Co_3O_4$  heterostructure rather than forming large crystalline aggregates. This feature is beneficial for photocatalytic antibacterial activity, as it enhances interfacial charge transfer and plasmonic effects while avoiding agglomeration. However, the peak at (002) in the GCA sample also showed a further slight shift, suggesting Ag's interaction on the surface of the g- $C_3N_4$ - $Co_3O_4$  composite and the further reduction in the interlayer gap of g- $C_3N_4$ . The low content and uniform dispersion of  $Co_3O_4$  and Ag NPs in the GCA composite make it difficult to detect the remaining diffraction peaks of these two materials [14, 15].






Fig. 1. XRD patterns of studied nanostructures.

### 3.2. Morphological studies

The morphology of the produced materials was verified using the SEM technique. Fig. 2a displays the pristine  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ , which has an irregular geometry of layering smooth sheets. The pure  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  shows a 3D network-like arrangement of NPs with lots of irregular pores (Fig. 2b). The morphology of the binary GC composite exhibits a frothy cotton-like structure with minimal agglomeration (Fig. 2c), where the ternary GCA composite showed more stacked sheets and agglomeration structure due to deposited Ag NPs on the GC nanocomposite's surface (Fig. 2d).





Fig. 2. (a) SEM images of (a)  $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ , (b)  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ , (c) GC, and (d) GCA photocatalysts.



The GCA nanocomposite's structure and layered shape were further confirmed using TEM analysis. Fig. 3a, b display the TEM image of the GCA sample, which showed aggregation of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs with Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs and the success of the Ag NPs deposition on the composite's surface. The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns implanted in Fig. 3b show lots of intense and bright circular spots, indicating the existence of heavy atoms in the heterostructure<sup>45</sup>. Hence, SAED analysis confirms the embedding of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs in the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs and the deposition of Ag NPs in the GC nanoheterostructure, forming an effective GCA nanoheterostructure. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) investigation for GCA (Fig. 3c) reveals two different lattice constants of 0.244 and 0.235 nm, belonging to the (311) and (111) crystal planes of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Ag NPs, respectively, embedded in layers of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs. HRTEM image discloses an intimate interface connection between the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Ag NPs, indicating stable heterojunction formation and promoting faster electron transportation and enhanced Ag<sup>0</sup> oxidation [21]. The presence and homogeneous distribution of C, N, O, Co, and Ag elements were confirmed by TEM–EDX elemental mapping (Fig S1 and Fig. 3d). The corresponding EDX spectrum further verifies the elemental composition of the GCA nanocomposite, with Ag contributing ~1.9 at. % (12.5 wt.%). The relatively low atomic fraction but uniform spatial distribution of Ag confirms its highly dispersed nature on the GC surface, in good agreement with the weak Ag (111) diffraction peak observed in XRD analysis. The different comparison of the elemental distribution of the Ag and O elements confirms the exclusive production of metallic Ag<sup>0</sup> NPs on the surface of the GC nanocomposite. This finding is in line with XRD results as well.






**Fig. 3. (a, b) TEM and SAED images, (c) HRTEM image, and (d) elemental mapping images of the prepared GCA sample.**

### 3.3. Surface study

XPS analysis was performed to investigate the elemental surface and bonding behaviour of the studied samples. Fig. 4a displays the XPS survey spectra of the GCA sample, revealing the purity of the sample where no other element was detected other than Co, Ag, N, O, and C. High-resolution XPS of all the elements was investigated. Initially, the C 1s core level was calibrated at 284.6 eV as a reference. Fig. 4b shows the HRXPS of the C1s core level, which has fitted into three peaks: 284.6 eV is the energy level associated with accidental carbon found on the surface of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (Sp<sup>2</sup> C-C and C=C); 286.3 eV refers to Sp<sup>3</sup> coordinated carbon bonds (C(N)<sub>3</sub>/C-O) species, while 288.07 eV is N-sp<sup>3</sup> carbon (N-C=N) in the s-triazine ring units<sup>46-48</sup>. The N1s core level spectra (Fig. 4c) exhibited three peaks located at 398.8, 400.1, and 401.5 eV, which represent sp<sup>2</sup>-bonded nitrogen in C=N-C, N-(C)<sub>3</sub>, and (C-N-H) groups, respectively<sup>46</sup>. The HRXPS spectrum of Co 2p showed two spin orbits of Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Co 2p<sub>1/2</sub> positioned at 780.3 and 795.8 eV, respectively, and two satellite peaks are also present at about 784.6 and 801.7 eV (Fig. 4d). These peaks and satellites are attributed to Co<sup>3+</sup> ions corresponding to the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase<sup>25, 49</sup>. The corresponding O 1s HRXPS spectrum exhibited two distinct peaks (Fig. 2e). One peak



is observed at 529.7 eV and is primarily attributed to the oxygen lattice in  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ . The other peak at 530.9 eV corresponds to  $\cdot\text{OH}$  species on the surfaces due to the physical and chemisorbed oxygen<sup>50</sup>. Fig. 4f depicts the HRXPS spectrum of Ag 3d, which presents two spin orbits, Ag  $3d_{5/2}$  and Ag  $3d_{3/2}$ , positioned at 368.0 and 374.0 eV, respectively. The spin-orbit splitting of the coupled 3d is 6.0 eV, corresponding to the metallic Ag<sup>0</sup> species<sup>51</sup>, indicating the presence of metallic silver on the surface of the GC nanocomposite.




**Fig. 4.** (a) XPS survey, HRXPS of (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s, (d) Co 2p, (e) O 1s, and (f) Ag 3d core levels of GCA nanocomposite.

### 3.4 Antibacterial studies

Using a microtiter broth dilution study, the MIC and MBC concentrations of the studied photocatalysts were calculated to measure the antibacterial activity against the selected MDR isolates. In general, the MIC of the studied photocatalysts was found to be 140  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  for all three tested MDR isolates. However, the MBC values were two times higher (280  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) than the MIC values. To determine the photocatalytic disinfection-susceptibility of the MDR isolates, MDR-MRSA was used as a representative bacterial strain. The bacteria were treated



with the studied photocatalysts at various concentrations, specifically MIC and MBC, and subjected to photo-irradiation during a controlled incubation. Untreated bacterial strains continued to grow with time. In contrast, neither MDR-MRSA strains exposed to MIC concentrations of pure G and C, binary GC, and ternary GCA nanocomposite after 420, 360, 300, and 180 minutes of incubation (Fig. 5a) nor MDR-MRSA strains treated with MBC concentrations after 360, 300, 180, and 90 minutes demonstrated any growth (Fig. 5b), respectively. The diminished antibacterial activity of the GCA nanocomposite in the absence of light showed a slight lag phase attributed to the activity from Ag NPs. This suggests that the antibacterial activity of GCA nanocomposites is minimal in dark conditions, and the developed composite is not intrinsically hazardous. The compilation and comparison of antimicrobial activity in the recent literature with this study are presented in Table S1, which confirms this study's superior activity. The GCA sample was also subjected to five successive photocatalytic disinfection cycles, with catalyst recovery and reutilization following each cycle. Fig. S2 illustrates that GCA maintained robust bactericidal efficacy across all cycles, attaining total MRSA inactivation in each instance, accompanied by only a moderate rise in the necessary irradiation duration. No bacterial regrowth was seen after any cycle, confirming persistent bactericidal effectiveness. The minor reduction in antibacterial efficacy from cycle I to cycle V can be ascribed to partial surface fouling by bacterial remnants, little photocatalyst loss during recovery, or diminished availability of active surface sites during repeated utilisation.




**Fig. 5. Photocatalytic disinfection activity of samples for two concentrations (a) MIC and (b) MBC; (c) determination of MIC blue colored well represents no bacterial growth and pink colored well represents bacterial growth, MBC was determined in *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella Enteritidis* for (d) EMB, (e) BP, and (f) XLD agars, respectively.**

The improved photocatalytic disinfection activity by GCA nanocomposite over the pristine  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  and  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and the binary GC nanocomposite is due to many factors, especially the ability of the GCA nanocomposite to harvest the maximum light wavelength range for the generation of a large number of charge carriers. Moreover, these carriers must have a long lifetime and a minimum recombination rate. These optical characteristics of the GCA and other samples were investigated using DRS UV-Vis and PL analyses. Fig. 6a depicts the light absorption characteristics of all studied samples and confirms that all can absorb light radiation in the visible range. Combining  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs with  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  NSHs significantly enhanced the absorption capacity in comparison with the pristine  $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$  NSHs. Interestingly, the inclusion of Ag metal in the composites resulted in redshift and absorption peak centred at 580 nm, potentially credited to the excitation of the SPR effect by the Ag NPs<sup>52</sup>. This phenomenon consequently improved the photocatalytic efficiency of the GCA nanocomposite, which aligns with previous research findings<sup>52,53</sup>. Therefore, improving the photocatalytic disinfection by the GCA sample is mainly credited to the boosted light absorption by the plasmonic effect. On the other side, Fig. 6b displays that the PL intensity of the GCA has the lowest intensity compared with the pristine sample and the binary composite, revealing negligible recombination of the photogenerated  $e^-/h^+$  pairs, which favours an efficient photocatalytic performance<sup>54</sup>.

The G, GC, and GCA samples' surface area and porosity were assessed using nitrogen adsorption–desorption techniques. The mesoporous character of all samples is confirmed by type-IV isotherms with distinct hysteresis loops at intermediate to high relative pressures ( $P/P^2 > 0.4$ ), as seen in Fig. 6c. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface areas show a progressive enhancement upon composite formation, increasing from  $75.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (G) to  $95.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (GC) and  $103.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (GCA). The pore size distribution analysis (inset of Fig. 6c) reveals that the GCA has higher nitrogen uptake across all pressures, indicating enhanced pore capacity and accessibility. Inset of Fig. 6c shows prominent 2–10 nm mesopores, which are good for photocatalytic antibacterial activity. The GCA heterostructure reduces particle agglomeration and enhances interfacial porosity, creating a hierarchical mesoporous architecture. The increased



surface area and mesoporosity improve bacterial adsorption, active-site accessibility, and ROS diffusion, which, along with excellent S-scheme charge separation, make GCA a more effective photocatalytic disinfection option than G and GC.



**Fig. 6. (a) DRS UV-Vis, (b) PL spectra, and (c) BET specific surface areas of prepared samples.**

### 3.5. The mechanism of action

Microbial annihilation driven by illumination has various advantages, functioning through oxidative harm initiated by generating ROSs such as  $\cdot\text{OH}$ ,  $\cdot\text{O}_2$ ,  $^1\text{O}_2$ , etc. This process is known as photodynamic therapy, and each ROS has its own redox potential value for its production<sup>55</sup>. As a result, measuring the VB maximum (VBM) and CB minimum (CBM) to determine the photocatalyst's ability to produce one or more ROSs for antibacterial activation under LED light is critical in understanding the photocatalytic disinfection mechanism by the targeted nanocomposite towards the selected bacterial strains. From the above DRS-UV-vis analysis (Fig. 6a), a Tauc plot was calculated to examine the bandgap values of G and C samples (Fig. 7a)<sup>56</sup>. First, the optical bandgap ( $E_g$ ) for each sample was extracted from the Tauc plots (Fig. 7a) by plotting  $(\alpha h\nu)^{1/n}$  against the photon energy ( $h\nu$ ). For g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ , an exponent of  $n=2$  was used, identifying its indirect transition nature, while  $n=1/2$  was applied for the direct transition of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ . The  $E_g$  values were determined by extrapolating the linear portion of the curve to the abscissa, yielding 2.7 eV and 2.06 eV, respectively. Subsequently, these experimental values were integrated into the empirical equations (1) and (2) to calculate the absolute energy levels relative to the Normal Hydrogen Electrode (NHE):


$$\text{VBM} = \chi - E_{\text{H}} + 0.5 E_{\text{g}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{CBM} = \text{VBM} - E_{\text{g}} \quad (2)$$



Here,  $\chi$  represents the geometric mean of the absolute electronegativity of the constituent atoms (4.73 eV for g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and 5.908 eV for Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)<sup>25, 57</sup>, and  $E_H$  is the energy of free electrons on the hydrogen scale (4.5 eV). By substituting the Tauc-derived  $E_g$  into these equations (1 and 2), the VBMs were calculated at +1.58 eV and +2.43 eV, with corresponding CBMs at -1.12 eV and +0.37 eV for g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, respectively, to ensure that the predicted band alignments are grounded in the specific optical response of the synthesised materials. Fig. 7d shows the band diagram before their junction. The estimated type of the heterojunction, the charge migration pathway, and the active sites responsible for producing the ROSs involved in the efficient antibacterial activity of the GCA nanocomposite were investigated using XPS surface analysis and ESR trapping agent experiment. HR-XPS of the pristine g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> before the junction was investigated, and the N 1s and Co 2p core levels are shown in Fig. 7b, c. After the coupling of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs with Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs, the N 1s core level showed a slight positive shift (Fig. 7b), where the Co 2p core level had a slight negative shift (Fig. 7c). This positive shift of the N 1s core level, indicate the electrons transfer from g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> interface into the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, forming a positive charge layer on the surface of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs. In contrast, the negative shift of the Co 2p indicates the formation of a negative charge layer on the interface of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs. Hence, combining the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> results in a nanoscale heterojunction. This junction created a sufficient space charge layer and generated an internal electric field (IEF) directed from the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. This IEF causes the energy bands of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> to bend upward and those of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to bend downward; hence, a strong interface interaction between g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> indicates S-scheme heterojunction formation (Fig. 7e).





**Fig. 7.** (a) Tauc's plot of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, (b) energy band diagram of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> before and after the contact, HRXPS of (c) Co 2p and (d) N 1s core levels of pure Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, and GC nanocomposite.

Under light irradiation, the charge pathway is initiated with electrons excited from the VBs of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> into their CBs. The photoinduced electrons will accumulate on the CB of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> due to its upward bending. This CB will work as the active site for the production of the superoxide radicals ( $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$ ) as its potential is more negative than  $\text{O}_2/\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  standard potential (-0.046)<sup>25</sup> (Fig. 8a). Simultaneously, the photogenerated holes will accumulate on the VB of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> due to the downward bending of its VB. This VB will work as the active site for the production of the hydroxide radicals ( $\bullet\text{OH}$ ) due to its potential being more positive than  $\text{OH}/\bullet\text{OH}$  standard potential (+1.99)<sup>25</sup>. Meanwhile, the electrons on the CB of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the holes on the VB of the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> will be recombined at the heterojunction interface, where their potential levels cannot generate  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  ( $\text{O}_2/\bullet\text{O}_2^- = -0.046$ ) and  $\bullet\text{OH}$  ( $\text{OH}/\bullet\text{OH} = +1.99$ ), respectively (Fig. 8a).

When Ag NPs are deposited on the g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocomposite surface, the N 1s and the Co 2p core levels of the GCA composite (Fig. 4c,d) showed a slight positive and negative shift in comparison with N 1s and the Co 2p core levels of the GC composite (Fig. 7c,d), respectively.



These shifts indicated the strong interaction and the interface between the Ag with g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs in the GCA composite. According to the previous studies, g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are n-type and p-type semiconductors, respectively<sup>21, 30, 31</sup>, and Ag has a Fermi level at +0.4 eV vs NHE<sup>58</sup>. Herein, Ag NPs on the GCA S-scheme system would play two roles: On one side, the Ag NPs will contact with g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, forming a Schottky barrier at the interface of Ag/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Hence, upon light irradiation, the Ag NPs will work as an electron sink for the accumulated electrons on the CB of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> due to the surface plasmon resonance effect and the lower Fermi level of the Ag NPs than the n-type g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub><sup>59, 60</sup>. This Ag/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> junction would reduce the chance of the recombination rate of the e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> pair in the GCA S-scheme system. Herein, the Ag NPs will work as the active site for the production of •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (Fig. 8b). On the other side, Ag NPs will contact the p-type Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, resulting in a Schottky barrier at the interface of Ag/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. At this junction, the Fermi level of Ag NPs is higher than the Fermi level of the p-type Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; hence, due to the Schottky junction and the SPR effect, the photogenerated e<sup>-</sup>/h<sup>+</sup> pairs tend to shift toward more negative redox potentials<sup>61, 62</sup>. Therefore, the electrons will accumulate on the CB of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and recombine with holes on the VB of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> as the CB of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is downward-pinned due to the junction formation with g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Hence, the lifetime of the holes on the VB has been prolonged to be the active site for the production of •OH and accelerating the photocatalytic reaction (Fig. 8b). In contrast, the Ag NPs in the junction would function as electron mediators promoting the charge carriers' transfer and separation processes in the GCA S-scheme system<sup>30, 31, 63, 64</sup>. The photogenerated holes and ROSs like •OH and •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> are very powerful, reactive, and non-selective, which can result in the oxidative modification of proteins, lipids, and DNA. The membrane lipid peroxidation results in cell membrane destruction, leading to the production of malonaldehydes and the release of cellular contents<sup>65, 66</sup>. The intracellular biomacromolecules undergo oxidative reactions, leading to permanent damage and ultimately resulting in cell mortality.

The ESR measurements were performed to validate the generation of •OH and •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> on the GCA heterostructure and confirm the construction of the S-scheme heterojunction. The investigations were carried out by monitoring the formation of •OH and •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> throughout the photocatalytic process using 5,5-dimethyl pyrroline N-oxide (DMPO) as the free radical scavenging mediator in both water and alcohol solutions, respectively. Fig. 8c, d shows the ESR signals for the G, C,



GC, and GCA samples in both water and alcohol solutions. The study's findings demonstrated strong ESR spectra in both aquatic media for the GC and GCA composites, suggesting the generation of  $\bullet\text{OH}$  and  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  by these composites. The pure G sample exhibited a weak signal in the alcohol medium and no signal in the aqueous medium. In contrast, the C sample showed the opposite behaviour. This suggests that the G sample only produced  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  while the C sample produced  $\bullet\text{OH}$ . These differences can be attributed to the higher CB and VB redox potentials of the G and C samples. The results propose that the active site for accumulated electrons in the GC heterostructure is the CBM of G, as its CBM exceeds the standard redox potential for  $\text{O}_2/\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  production (-0.045). Similarly, the VBM of C is identified as the active site for generated holes, with its redox potential surpassing that of the standard potential of  $\text{OH}/\bullet\text{OH}$  (+1.99 V). These data suggest that the charge pathway between G and C does not follow the usual type II heterojunction. Rather, the unique S-scheme heterojunction could explain the enhanced antibacterial prowess of GCA. The ESR signal of the GCA was greater than that of the GC due to the surface plasmon resonance effect of the deposited Ag-NPs on the surface of the GC sample. The presence of the Ag-NPs boosted the charge transit in the GCA heterostructure as it worked as an electron mediator. Simultaneously, Ag-NPs functioned as a photoinduced electron trap and worked as an active site for producing more  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$ . As a result, the ESR findings back up the XPS results regarding the charge migration pathway in the GC and GCA composites following the S-scheme heterojunction. Furthermore, Active species trapping studies were carried out to confirm the predominant free radicals for photocatalytic disinfection and to identify the antibacterial mechanism. The study followed the same procedure described in the experimental section of photocatalytic disinfection. Herein, we introduced the relevant species trapping agents before incubation, such as 1 mM of 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxy (TEMPOL), potassium dichromate ( $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ ), tert-butanol (TBA), and sodium oxalate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ ) to detect the  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$ ,  $e^-$ ,  $\bullet\text{OH}$ , and  $h^+$  active species, respectively. The results of each active species-trapping agent were measured in CFU, and their toxicities were calibrated as the controls; Fig. 8e and f display their corresponding efficiencies. The antibacterial activity in the binary GC S-scheme system and the ternary GCA plasmonic S-scheme system was varied with the addition of the identifying active species capturer. For the binary GC composite,  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  was the main dynamic species, followed by  $\bullet\text{OH}$  (Fig. 8e), which aligns with the ESR finding where the




spectrum intensity of  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  is higher than  $\bullet\text{OH}$ . This result also confirms the construction of an S-scheme junction in the GC nanoheterostructure. However,  $e^-$  and  $h^+$  were minor free radicals in this S-scheme system.

On the other hand, the  $h^+$  was the main dynamic species in the presence of the ternary GCA composite, indicating a number increment of photogenerated  $e^-/h^+$  pairs and a reduction in their recombination rate. This result reveals that Ag NPs acted as electron mediators, prolonging the charge separation. Moreover, the  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  was also dominated as a remarkably active species contributor. The large production of  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$  in the GCA composite due to the SPR effect, which increased the number of accumulated  $e^-$  on the CB of g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$  NSHs by the hot  $e^-$  transferred over the Schottky junction between the g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$  NSHs and Ag NPs, confirming the formation of plasmonic S-scheme heterojunction in the GCA composite. Similarly to the GC composite, the contribution of  $\bullet\text{OH}$  is lesser than  $\bullet\text{O}_2^-$ , which aligns with the ESR finding. Furthermore, Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was utilised to examine the interfacial charge-transfer properties and to clarify the function of the S-scheme heterojunction in improving charge separation<sup>54</sup>. The Nyquist plots (Fig. S3) demonstrate that the pure g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$  possesses the biggest semicircle diameter, signifying elevated charge-transfer resistance and fast recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. The semicircle radius diminishes upon coupling with  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  (GC S-scheme heterojunction), indicating increased interfacial contact and a moderate enhancement in charge movement. The plasmonic S-scheme heterojunction GCA composite exhibits the smallest semicircle diameter of all samples, indicating the lowest charge-transfer resistance and the most effective interfacial charge transport.

In conclusion, constructing a plasmonic S-scheme heterostructure using 2D materials enhanced the light absorption and charge separation, resulting in increased  $e^-/h^+$  generation, separation, and selective redox potential for improving the production of ROSs. Ultimately, the synthesised plasmonic S-scheme g- $\text{C}_3\text{N}_4$ - $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ -Ag nanocomposite has considerable efficacy against drug-resistant bacteria, proving suitable for disease treatment.





**Fig. 8.** Photocatalytic antibacterial disinfection mechanism for the (a) S-scheme GC and (b) plasmonic S-scheme GCA nanocomposites; ESR spectra of (c)  $\text{DMPO}\cdot\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$  and (d)  $\text{DMPO}\cdot\text{OH}$  for all samples under LED illumination; Scavenging experiment of photocatalytic antibacterial efficiency over (e) GC and (f) GCA nanocomposites.



#### 4. Conclusion

This work presents a rational design strategy for a 2D plasmonic nanocomposite comprising g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> NSHs, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and Ag NPs. The nanocomposite exhibits excellent antibacterial properties when exposed to LED light. The successful construction of the ternary heterostructure was verified using various methods for characterising, including XRD, TEM, SEM, XPS, DRS UV-Vis, and PL analyses. The S-scheme charge migration pathway was investigated using XPS, ESR, and species-trapping agent techniques, which verified the establishment of an effective charge separation mechanism inside the GC and GCA nanocomposites. The inclusion of Ag NPs resulted in the occurrence of the SPR effect, which improved light absorption and the separation of charges. Under LED light irradiation, the GCA nanocomposite demonstrates remarkable antibacterial activity against MRSA, NTS, and EAEC, with consistent MIC and MBC values recorded at 140 and 280 µg/mL across all tested strains, respectively. The photocatalytic disinfection efficacy was evaluated, highlighting the nanocomposite's potential for combating drug-resistant bacteria. The enhanced antibacterial activity of the GCA nanocomposite over binary and pristine samples emphasises the synergistic effects of plasmonic and S-scheme heterojunction, addressing the critical need for innovative approaches for combating drug-resistant organisms. Importantly, minimal antimicrobial effects are detected in the absence of visible light, demonstrating the safety of the developed composite for practical applications. The GCA nanocomposite's excellent visible-light-driven antibacterial activity, stability, and low dark toxicity demonstrate its application in water disinfection systems, antimicrobial surface coatings, and medical or hospital applications that require light-triggered sterilisation. These findings suggest a possible framework for developing enhanced photocatalytic antibacterial materials to combat antibiotic-resistant organisms.

#### Data availability

The data utilised in this study will be made available upon request.



## Author contributions

**Sanaa Abdu Kaid:** formal analysis, data curation, methodology, writing – original draft, discussion, investigation. **Khaled Alkanad:** conceptualization, data curation, methodology, writing – original draft, discussion, investigation, proofreading, writing – reviewing, editing, and formal analysis. **Nael Abutaha:** methodology, supporting acquisition, project administration, writing – reviewing, and editing. **Fahd A. Al-Mekhlafi:** resources, discussion, reviewing, and editing. **Padikkamannil Abishad:** resources, methodology, formal analysis, writing – reviewing, and editing. **Mohammed A. Bajiri:** discussion, formal analysis, data curation, writing – reviewing, and editing. **Maged Alkanad:** methodology, resources, discussion, writing – reviewing, and editing. **Mohamed Masri:** formal analysis, reviewing, and editing. **Yusuf Olatunji Waidi:** discussion, reviewing, and editing. **Kalappa Prashantha:** discussion, reviewing, and editing. **N. Upendra:** supervision, resources, investigation, discussion, reviewing, and editing. **N. K. Lokanath:** conceptualization, resources, supervision, discussion, writing – reviewing, and editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgments

Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2025R112), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## References:

1. M.-j. Medina, H. Legido-Quigley and L. Y. Hsu, *Global Health Security: Recognizing Vulnerabilities, Creating Opportunities*, 2020, 209-229.
2. J. A. Johnson, *Critical Care*, 2021, 82-83.
3. W. H. Organization, 2022.
4. M. Suleiman, A. Alali, N. Aljayyousi, K. Alkanad, N. El-khatatneh, M. Almaqashah, A. Zarrouk and A. Abu-Rayyan, *Moroccan Journal of Chemistry*, 2023, **11**, 11-12 (2023) 2434-2443.



5. J. M. V. Makabenta, A. Nabawy, C.-H. Li, S. Schmidt-Malan, R. Patel and V. M. Rotello, *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 2021, **19**, 23-36.
6. A. AlAli, M. Alkanad, K. Alkanad, A. Venkatappa, N. Sirawase, I. Warad and S. A. Khanum, *Bioorganic Chemistry*, 2025, 108422.
7. H. Shen, C. Jiang, W. Li, Q. Wei, R. A. Ghiladi and Q. Wang, *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 31193-31205.
8. S. Alfei, G. C. Schito, A. M. Schito and G. Zuccari, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2024, **25**, 7182.
9. N. Talreja, D. Chauhan and M. Ashfaq, *Antibiotics*, 2023, **12**, 398.
10. S. N. Kulkarni, R. R. Nath, K. Alkanad, A. Amasegowda, S.-C. Ke and N. Lokanath, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2025, 178876.
11. O. Ali, K. Alkanad, G. S. Shekar, A. Hezam and N. Lokanath, *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2023, **92**, 796-800.
12. J. Murugaiyan, P. A. Kumar, G. S. Rao, K. Iskandar, S. Hawser, J. P. Hays, Y. Mohsen, S. Adukkadukkam, W. A. Awuah and R. A. M. Jose, *Antibiotics*, 2022, **11**, 200.
13. T. F. Qahtan, T. O. Owolabi, O. E. Olubi and A. Hezam, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 2023, **492**, 215276.
14. S. Hu and M. Zhu, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 6147-6165.
15. M. Masri, K. Girisha, A. Hezam, T. F. Qahtan, K. Alkanad, F. Masri, K. Namratha and K. Byrappa, *Colloids and Surfaces C: Environmental Aspects*, 2024, **2**, 100024.
16. Y. Wang, B. Ren, J. Z. Ou, K. Xu, C. Yang, Y. Li and H. Zhang, *Science Bulletin*, 2021, **66**, 1228-1252.
17. M. Masri, K. Girisha, A. Hezam, K. Alkanad, T. F. Qahtan, Q. A. Drmosh, K. Prashantha, S. Manjunath, S. M. A. Kaid and K. Byrappa, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2025.
18. Y. Bao, S. Song, G. Yao and S. Jiang, *Solar RRL*, 2021, **5**, 2100118.
19. Y. Li, S. Shu, L. Huang, J. Liu, J. Liu, J. Yao, S. Liu, M. Zhu and L. Huang, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2023, **633**, 60-71.
20. N. L. Reddy, V. N. Rao, M. Vijayakumar, R. Santhosh, S. Anandan, M. Karthik, M. Shankar, K. R. Reddy, N. P. Shetti and M. Nadagouda, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, **44**, 10453-10472.



21. M. A. Bajiri, K. Alkanad, G. Alnaggar, S. S. GC, B. M. Al-Maswari, M. M. Abdullah, A. Al-khawlani, N. Lokanath, B. Neppolian and B. N. HS, *Surfaces and Interfaces*, 2023, **42**, 103511.
22. Y. Olatunji Waidi, K. Alkanad, M. Abdullah Bajiri, T. F. Qahtan, A. Al-Aswad, T. N. Baroud, S. A. Onaizi and Q. Drmosh, *Chemistry–An Asian Journal*, 2024, **19**, e202400822.
23. K. Yan, C. Mu, L. Meng, Z. Fei and P. J. Dyson, *Nanoscale Advances*, 2021, **3**, 3708-3729.
24. F. Zhao and H. Ma, *Crystals*, 2023, **13**, 634.
25. K. Alkanad, A. Hezam, G. S. Shekar, Q. Drmosh, A. A. Kala, M. Q. AL-Gunaid and N. Lokanath, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2021.
26. G. S. Shekar, K. Alkanad, A. Hezam and N. Lokanath, *IRJET*, 2020, **7**, 194-196.
27. S. R. Yousefi, H. A. Alshamsi, O. Amiri and M. Salavati-Niasari, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2021, **337**, 116405.
28. X. Huang, H. Cai, H. Zhou, T. Li, H. Jin, C. E. Evans, J. Cai and J. Pi, *Acta biomaterialia*, 2021, **121**, 605-620.
29. A. Waris, M. Din, A. Ali, S. Afridi, A. Baset, A. U. Khan and M. Ali, *Open life sciences*, 2021, **16**, 14-30.
30. J. Wan, P. Xue, R. Wang, L. Liu, E. Liu, X. Bai, J. Fan and X. Hu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **483**, 677-687.
31. D. Gogoi, A. K. Shah, M. Qureshi, A. K. Golder and N. R. Peela, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **558**, 149900.
32. T. Ni, H. Zhang, Z. Yang, L. Zhou, L. Pan, C. Li, Z. Yang and D. Liu, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2022, **625**, 466-478.
33. S. Dai, L. Xiao, Q. Li, G. Hao, Y. Hu and W. Jiang, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2022, **297**, 121481.
34. J. J. Giner-Casares and L. M. Liz-Marzán, *Nano Today*, 2014, **9**, 365-377.
35. I. Ullah, K. Tahir, A. U. Khan, K. Albalawi, B. Li, A. A. El-Zahhar, V. Jevtovic, H. S. Al-Shehri, B. H. Asghar and M. M. Alghamdi, *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, 2022, **141**, 109539.



36. E. Kargar and A. Meshkini, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 2024, **452**, 115577.
37. Fauzia, M. A. Khan, M. Chaman and A. Azam, *Scientific reports*, 2024, **14**, 6606.
38. B. Mohan, P. Abishad, P. R. Arya, M. Dias, V. K. Vinod, A. Karthikeyan, S. Juliet, N. V. Kurkure, S. B. Barbuddhe and D. B. Rawool, *Gut Pathogens*, 2024, **16**, 51.
39. S. Nazari, H. Alamgholiloo, E. Asgari, H. R. Moghaddam, H. N. Saleh, S. Parastar and A. Niapour, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2022, **358**, 119227.
40. J. Jia, S. Giannakis, D. Li, B. Yan and T. Lin, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2023, **901**, 166376.
41. S. A. Matboo, S. Nazari, A. Niapour, M. V. Niri, E. Asgari and S. A. Mokhtari, *Water Science and Technology*, 2022, **85**, 605-616.
42. Fauzia, M. A. Khan, A. Parveen, A. Almohammedi and A. Azam, *Optical and Quantum Electronics*, 2024, **56**, 1422.
43. A. Sheikhmohammadi, H. Alamgholiloo, M. Azarsa and E. Asgari, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2025, 117332.
44. P. Abishad, M. Jayashankar, K. Namratha, B. Srinath, N. Kurkure, S. Barbuddhe, D. Rawool, J. Vergis and K. Byrappa, *Russian Journal of Bioorganic Chemistry*, 2023, 1-7.
45. K. B. Narayanan and N. Sakthivel, *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2011, **46**, 1708-1713.
46. H. Shao, X. Zhao, Y. Wang, R. Mao, Y. Wang, M. Qiao, S. Zhao and Y. Zhu, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2017, **218**, 810-818.
47. L. Wang, M. Yu, C. Wu, N. Deng, C. Wang and X. Yao, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, 2016, **358**, 2631-2641.
48. A. R. Z. Almotairy, B. M. Al-Maswari, K. Alkanad, N. Lokanath, R. Radhika and B. Venkatesha, *Heliyon*, 2023, **9**.
49. A. N. Naveen and S. Selladurai, *Physica B: Condensed Matter*, 2015, **457**, 251-262.
50. C. Yan, G. Chen, J. Sun, X. Zhou and C. Lv, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2016, **18**, 19531-19535.
51. L. Yang, C. Bo, R. Wu, S. Xu, Q. Li, Y. Ding and C. Gao, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2023.
52. X. Lü, J. Shen, Z. Wu, J. Wang and J. Xie, *Journal of Materials Research*, 2014, **29**, 2170-2178.



53. F. Ding, T. Ming, H. Zhang, Y. Gao, V. Dragutan, Y. Sun, I. Dragutan and Z. Xu, *Resources Chemicals and Materials*, 2022, **1**, 1-7.
54. A. H. Bashal, K. Alkanad, M. Al-Ghorbani, S. B. Aoun and M. A. Bajiri, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2023, 109545.
55. Y. Nosaka and A. Y. Nosaka, *Chemical reviews*, 2017, **117**, 11302-11336.
56. M. Q. Al-Gunaid, B. Shashikala, H. Gayitri, K. Alkanad, N. Al-Zaqri, A. Boshala and F. H. Al-Ostoot, *Macromolecular Research*, 2022, **30**, 650-658.
57. M. M. Sanad, T. A. Taha, A. Helal and M. H. Mahmoud, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2023, **30**, 60225-60239.
58. Y. Yang, Y. Guo, F. Liu, X. Yuan, Y. Guo, S. Zhang, W. Guo and M. Huo, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2013, **142**, 828-837.
59. F. Li, R. Zhao, B. Yang, W. Wang, Y. Liu, J. Gao and Y. Gong, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, **44**, 30185-30195.
60. J. Qin, J. Huo, P. Zhang, J. Zeng, T. Wang and H. Zeng, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 2249-2259.
61. X. Gao, Z. Bai, S. Zhang, J. Liu and Z. Li, *RSC advances*, 2020, **10**, 45067-45075.
62. H. Chen, C. Xue, D. Cui, M. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Li and W. Zhang, *RSC advances*, 2020, **10**, 15245-15251.
63. D. Lu, H. Wang, X. Zhao, K. K. Kondamareddy, J. Ding, C. Li and P. Fang, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 1436-1445.
64. B. Zhou, H. Hong, H. Zhang, S. Yu and H. Tian, *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 2019, **94**, 3806-3814.
65. B. Song, C. Zhang, G. Zeng, J. Gong, Y. Chang and Y. Jiang, *Archives of biochemistry and biophysics*, 2016, **604**, 167-176.
66. E. Cadenas and K. J. Davies, *Free radical biology and medicine*, 2000, **29**, 222-230.



## Data availability

The data utilized in this study will be made available upon request.

