

# Materials Advances

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. EL idrissi, J. Pöttker-Menke, Y. Kong, M. ABD-LEFDIL, L. Atourki and B. Mei, *Mater. Adv.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

## ARTICLE

## Design of Experiment guided Hydrothermal Synthesis of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes for Photoelectrochemical Hydrogen Evolution

Mohamed El Idrissi,<sup>a,b</sup> Jonathan Pöttker-Menke,<sup>a</sup> Ying Kong,<sup>a</sup> Mohammed Abd-Lefdil,<sup>b</sup> Lahoucine Atourki<sup>b</sup> and Bastian Timo Mei,<sup>\*a</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx,  
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The development of photocathodes exhibiting sufficient stability, strong visible light absorption, and the capacity to generate high photovoltages is essential for the success of photoelectrochemical hydrogen production. In this study, we report the synthesis and characterisation of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes via a one-step hydrothermal deposition process, guided by Design of Experiments (DoE) methodologies. The synthesis parameters, including hydrothermal temperature, hydrothermal time, annealing temperature, and annealing time, were systematically optimised through a Box-Behnken design. Phase pure CuFeO<sub>2</sub> delafossite was obtained, following optimized hydrothermal synthesis at 110 °C for 1 h and annealing at 650 °C for 1 h, the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photoelectrodes delivered a photocurrent density of 1.7 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> at 0.4 V vs RHE under one-sun illumination in N<sub>2</sub>-purged 1 M NaOH. Crucially, H<sub>2</sub> evolution was observed and quantified (Faradaic efficiency of 40%). Thus, the proposed approach, integrating a one-step hydrothermal synthesis with statistical optimisation, represents the first validation of PEC H<sub>2</sub> production from CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes. Still persistent self-reduction under strongly cathodic bias was not inhibited. Overall, this study establishes a pragmatic, statistically guided pathway for enhancing the efficiency of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>-based photocathodes, thereby addressing practical limitations typically associated with CuFeO<sub>2</sub> materials.

### Introduction

The global energy crisis and environmental pollution have intensified the demand for technologies that can effectively harness solar energy, a clean and abundant resource.<sup>1,2</sup> Photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting is a promising approach to convert solar energy into storable and transportable hydrogen fuel. For efficient water splitting, a PEC device must generate a photovoltage of at least 1.7 V using one photoabsorber or tandem absorber devices.<sup>3</sup> Tandem PEC cells, which combine an n-type semiconductor photoanode for water oxidation (H<sub>2</sub>O → O<sub>2</sub>) and a p-type semiconductor photocathode for water reduction (H<sup>+</sup> → H<sub>2</sub>), are a particularly attractive option. These cells have been shown to enable bias-free water splitting under sunlight,<sup>4–7</sup> which is a prerequisite for economic competitiveness with fossil fuel-based hydrogen production methods.<sup>8,9</sup> However, current lab-scale solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies for such systems remain low, typically around 1%.<sup>10,11</sup>

While significant progress has been made in developing n-type photoanodes (e.g., WO<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, BiVO<sub>4</sub><sup>12</sup>) identifying suitable p-type photocathode materials remains a challenge.<sup>13–17</sup> Materials like p-Si and copper-based compounds (e.g., p-

Cu<sub>2</sub>O,<sup>16,18,19</sup> p-CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>,<sup>20</sup> p-Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub><sup>21,22</sup>) have shown promise due to their high photoactivity and abundance. However, issues such as energy-intensive fabrication processes or the need for (toxic) protective overlayers (e.g., CdS) due to an inherently poor stability,<sup>16,19,22–25</sup> complicate production and increase costs, highlighting the need for intrinsically stable p-type materials for water photoreduction. While surface-modified polycrystalline Cu-based photocathodes can indeed deliver high photocurrents, Faradaic efficiencies and improved stability when protected, many of those gains rely on additional, complex processing (vacuum or epitaxial growth, thin-film deposition) or sacrificial/oxygenated testing conditions that are not directly comparable to scavenger-free operation. In this context, p-type delafossite materials have emerged as promising candidates for photocathodes. Delafossites (CuMO<sub>2</sub>, M = Cr, Al, Fe, Ga, Rh)<sup>26–31</sup> have been extensively studied for applications in catalysis,<sup>32–36</sup> sensors,<sup>37</sup> magnetic semiconductors,<sup>38–40</sup> light-emitting diodes,<sup>41</sup> and transparent p-type conducting oxides (p-TCOs).<sup>42,43</sup> CuFeO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>29</sup> in particular, exhibits favourable properties for water reduction, including a suitable bandgap (~1.5 eV),<sup>29,44</sup> high absorption coefficient (~10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), a favourable onset potential (~1.0 V vs. RHE),<sup>45</sup> and stability in aqueous environments. Its conduction band position (~0.4 V vs. RHE) is well-suited for water reduction,<sup>46</sup> and its p-type conductivity arises from Cu vacancies and interstitial oxygen in its crystalline structure.<sup>47</sup> Despite these advantages, for CuFeO<sub>2</sub> only modest photocurrents under inert conditions have been demonstrated. For example ~25 μA cm<sup>-2</sup> at +0.4 V vs RHE in an Ar-purged 1 M NaOH<sup>45</sup> have been reported as well as photocurrents of around ~100 μA cm<sup>-2</sup> in recent thin-film

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Industrial Chemistry, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44801 Bochum.

<sup>b</sup>MANAPSE Lab, Faculty of Sciences, avenue Ibn Batouta, P.B. 1014, Rabat-Morocco.

\* corresponding author, email: bastian.mei@rub.de



studies.<sup>48</sup> Importantly hydrogen evolution has not yet been convincingly revealed.<sup>49</sup>

Traditional synthesis methods for CuFeO<sub>2</sub>, such as solid-state reactions or sol-gel processes, require high temperatures (800–1200°C) and inert atmospheres.<sup>50–53</sup> This generally limits the potential for optimising the material and also makes these methods unsuitable for large-scale production. Alternative methods, such as hydrothermal synthesis, have gained attention due to their lower temperature requirements, environmental friendliness, and ability to produce high-purity materials with controllable morphologies.<sup>51,54,55</sup> A direct hydrothermal route for the fabrication of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films even allows for one-step growth of adherent films suitable for photoelectrochemical applications and testing.<sup>56</sup> This one step hydrothermal synthesis route also offers a practical pathway to mitigate common limitations of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>57,58</sup> Still a statistically rigorous synthesis optimization has not yet been performed.

Thus, in this study, we used Design of Experiments (DoE) guided hydrothermal synthesis approach to grow crystalline phase-pure CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films directly on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrates. Using a Box-Behnken design (BBD) coupled with the analysis of variance (ANOVA), we systematically optimised the synthesis conditions for photoelectrochemical currents of the bare (unmodified) CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films. In comparison with conventional trial-and-error methodologies, this design of experiment (DoE) approach provides a statistically robust framework to explore the multi-variable interactions of the synthesis strategy with a reduced number of experiments, ultimately enhancing the activity of bare CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes. Our optimized phase-pure CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films demonstrated photocurrents of 2.7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at +0.4 V vs. RHE in oxygen-purged 1 M NaOH and 1.7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at +0.4 V vs. RHE in N<sub>2</sub>-purged 1 M NaOH. Gas chromatography performed during controlled chronoamperometry verified photoelectrochemical H<sub>2</sub> evolution at a Faradaic efficiency of 40%. To our knowledge, this is the first confirmed report of PEC H<sub>2</sub> production from CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes in N<sub>2</sub>-purged alkaline electrolyte.

## Experimental section

### Reagents and Materials

Copper(II) sulphate pentahydrate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), iron(II) sulphate heptahydrate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), and urea (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received. The aqueous solution for the hydrothermal reaction was prepared using HPLC-grade water. Fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass slides with a sheet resistance of ~7 Ω/sq (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as substrates.

### Hydrothermal Synthesis of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>

The hydrothermal synthesis of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> thin films was conducted on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrates, using a modified version of a previously reported method (see **Figure 1**).<sup>59</sup> In short, CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films were grown on a thoroughly cleaned FTO substrate (1 cm × 2 cm), which was placed FTO-side down in the PTFE liner of the hydrothermal vessel, using aqueous

solutions of copper(II) sulphate (CuSO<sub>4</sub>), iron(II) sulphate (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) and urea (Step 1). After the hydrothermal reaction, the FTO substrates were rinsed with deionized water, dried, and annealed to obtain high-quality CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films (Step 2). To systematically investigate the influence of synthesis parameters on the photoelectrochemical (PEC) performance of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes, a Design of Experiments (DoE) approach was employed using the Box-Behnken Design (BBD). Four key synthesis parameters were selected as independent variables: hydrothermal synthesis temperature, hydrothermal synthesis time, annealing temperature, and annealing time. The design space was constructed to explore the linear, interaction, and quadratic effects of these variables on the photocurrent density. A total of 25 experiments were generated and executed based on the BBD matrix. The experimental data were analysed using the Design of Experiments (Pro) app in OriginLab, which integrates statistical modelling and graphical analysis tools. The app utilizes R-based packages (e.g., rsm, FrF2, qpcR) to fit response surface models, perform ANOVA, and visualize factor interactions through contour plots, surface plots, and desirability maps. Response surface regression analysis was applied to fit a quadratic model correlating synthesis parameters with photocurrent density. The model was validated using diagnostic plots and statistical metrics, including R<sup>2</sup>, adjusted R<sup>2</sup>, and variance inflation factors (VIF), confirming the absence of multicollinearity and the robustness of the predictive model. Optimization was performed using the built-in response optimizer in Origin, which identified the synthesis conditions yielding the highest photocurrent density. A validation experiment conducted under the optimized conditions confirmed the predicted photocurrent density, demonstrating the effectiveness of the BBD-guided optimization strategy.

### Sample Characterization

The crystalline structure and phase purity of the hydrothermally synthesized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> powders were investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD). Measurements were performed on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE diffractometer equipped with a Cu Kα radiation source (λ = 0.1542 nm), operating in reflection geometry. The diffraction patterns were recorded over a 2θ range of 20° to 80° with a step size of 0.02°, using zero-background sample holders to accommodate small powder quantities.


Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning TEM (STEM) imaging (bright-field BF and high-angle annular dark-field HAADF) were performed using a JEOL JEM-2800 microscope equipped with a Schottky field emission cathode, operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Elemental mapping was conducted using dual silicon drift detectors (SDD) with a combined solid angle of 0.95 sr and a spectral resolution of 133 eV. The point-to-point resolution of the microscope is 0.2 nm, with an information limit of 0.09 nm, enabling detailed lattice imaging and phase identification. For TEM measurement 10 mg of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> powder was dispersed in 300 μL of ethanol and 5 μL of Nafion solution. After sonication and centrifugation a small aliquot of the supernatant was drop-



cast onto a carbon-coated gold grid and dried under ambient conditions.

photocathode. After hydrothermal deposition, the entire  $1 \times 2$  cm fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate was uniformly

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00085E



**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of the hydrothermal synthesis process for  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  on FTO glass substrates.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) were conducted using a Zeiss Merlin Gemini II field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM), equipped with a Schottky field emitter and operated at an accelerating voltage of 5–15 kV. A thin carbon coating to prevent charging effects and ensure accurate imaging and quantification was applied with a Cressington 208 carbon device before measurement.

The optical properties of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  thin-film photocathode were investigated using UV-Vis spectroscopy. Absorbance measurements in the UV-Vis range (200–900 nm) were performed using a PKI 100 mm integrating sphere accessory coupled to a PerkinElmer Lambda 650 spectrophotometer. Due to instrumental limitations, measurements beyond 900 nm could not be acquired using the integrating sphere. To extend the spectral analysis into the visible-near infrared (Vis-NIR) region, standard transmission mode measurements were performed. Spectra were recorded at room temperature, and baseline corrections were applied using a FTO substrate. The absorption data were processed using the Tauc plot method to estimate the optical band gap. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were carried out using a Kratos Axis Supra spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al  $K\alpha$  X-ray source ( $h\nu = 1486.68$  eV) under ultra-high vacuum conditions (base pressure  $< 10^{-9}$  mbar). Survey scans and high-resolution spectra were collected for Cu 2p, Fe 2p, and O 1s regions, with binding energies calibrated against the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. Data evaluation was performed using CasaXPS, applying Shirley background subtraction and Gaussian-Lorentzian peak fitting.

#### (Photo) electrochemical Measurements

Photoelectrochemical measurements were conducted to evaluate the activity and stability of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$

coated with  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ . To establish electrical contact with the potentiostat, a  $1 \times 1$  cm section of the  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  layer was carefully removed, exposing the underlying conductive FTO. PEC measurements were performed in a three-electrode configuration using a Metrohm Autolab multichannel potentiostat a low-profile Ag/AgCl electrode (Pine Research, 60 mm, sealed with 4 M KCl gel), and a homemade platinum wire ( $2 \times 2$  cm) as the counter electrode. All measurements were carried out in 1 M NaOH ( $\text{pH} = 13.8$ ), which was purged with high-purity  $\text{N}_2$  for 30 minutes prior to testing to eliminate dissolved oxygen and suppress parasitic reactions. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was recorded from 0 to  $-0.65$  V vs Ag/AgCl (equivalent to  $+1.00$  to  $+0.35$  V vs RHE) under chopped illumination (50 mV light-on / 50 mV light-off intervals) using a MAX-303 Xenon lamp (300 W, Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd.), equipped with a collimator lens and a filter wheel (**Figure S1**). Prior to measurements, the lamp was calibrated to deliver 1 sun illumination ( $100 \text{ mW}/\text{cm}^2$ ) at the sample surface using a certified reference photodiode (Thorlabs), ensuring consistency and comparability with literature-reported PEC data. The active area of the photocathode was defined as  $1 \text{ cm}^2$ , and all current densities were normalized accordingly.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to investigate the charge transfer dynamics and interfacial properties of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode. Nyquist plots were recorded over a frequency range of 10 Hz to 100 kHz under both dark and illuminated conditions using the set-up described above for PEC measurements. Mott-Schottky analysis was performed using two fixed frequencies (5 kHz and 10 kHz) under dark conditions to determine the flat-band potential and carrier density. The Mott-Schottky relationship applied:

$$\frac{1}{C_{SC}^2} = -\frac{2}{e\epsilon_r\epsilon_0 N_A A_s^2} \left( E - E_{FB} + \frac{KT}{e} \right) \quad (1)$$



Where  $C_{sc}$  is the space-charge capacitance,  $\epsilon$  is the dielectric constant (assumed 20 for  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ ),  $\epsilon_0$  is the vacuum permittivity,  $e$  is the elementary charge,  $N_A$  is the acceptor density,  $E$  is the applied potential,  $E_{FB}$  is the flat-band potential,  $k$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $T$  is the temperature, and  $A$  is the electrode area.

The measurements were conducted in 1 M NaOH electrolyte (pH = 13.8), purged with high-purity  $\text{N}_2$  gas to eliminate dissolved oxygen. The capacitance values were extracted from the impedance data and plotted as  $1/C^2$  versus applied potential, with the linear region fitted to extract semiconductor parameters. The impedance data were fitted using a two-time-constant equivalent circuit model of the form:

$$Z(\omega) = R_0 + (R_1 \parallel CPE_1) + (R_2 \parallel CPE_2)$$

where  $R_0$  represents the uncompensated solution resistance, and the two parallel  $R \parallel CPE$  elements capture fast and slow relaxation processes. This model was selected to account for both interfacial and bulk transport phenomena. Fitting was performed using distribution of relaxation times (DRT) analysis to resolve overlapping processes and extract physically meaningful time constants. All impedance spectra were acquired at 0.4 V vs. RHE in 1 M NaOH under dark and illuminated (1 Sun) conditions.

To evaluate the spectral response and quantum efficiency of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode, wavelength-dependent photocurrent measurements were performed using a photoelectrochemical measurement system (Instytut Fotonowy, ver. 5.3). The FTO/ $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode was used as working electrode (exposed active area of  $(0.9/2)^2\pi \text{ cm}^2$ ). A platinum wire, and a low-profile Ag/AgCl (4 M KCl gel) served as the counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. The electrolyte was 1 M NaOH (pH = 13.8), purged with high-purity  $\text{N}_2$  gas prior to measurement to eliminate dissolved oxygen and suppress side reactions. Wavelength-dependent photocurrent measurements were conducted under monochromatic illumination across the spectral range of 400–900 nm. The incident light was modulated using an internal shutter and filter wheel, and the intensity was calibrated using a photodiode positioned at the rear of the PEC cell. Absorbed photon-to-current efficiency (APCE) was determined by dividing the IPCE by the absorptance ( $\lambda$ ), see equation 2, which was measured using a calibrated integrating sphere.

$$APCE(\lambda) = \frac{IPCE(\lambda)}{A(\lambda)} \quad (2)$$

Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) was employed to spatially resolve the photoelectrochemical activity of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode. The measurements were performed using a commercial SECM system from Sensolytics GmbH (Bochum, Germany), equipped with a Metrohm Autolab multichannel potentiostat and a three-axis micromanipulator (Owis GmbH) for precise tip positioning. The system was operated via a custom Visual Basic 6.0 interface for scan control and data acquisition. A 25  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter gold microelectrode was used as the SECM tip, serving both as a localized light source and as an electrochemical probe. The tip was coupled to a 150 W Hg-Xe lamp (Hamamatsu LC8) via a HITRONIC POF Simplex PE optical fiber, allowing the glass sheath of the

microelectrode to act as a light guide for focused illumination of the sample surface. The tip was polished to achieve an RG value of approximately 8, ensuring efficient light transmission and spatial resolution. The  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode was mounted in a Teflon SECM cell sealed with an O-ring, exposing an active area of  $(0.9/2)^2\pi \text{ cm}^2$ . The electrolyte consisted of 5 mM potassium ferricyanide and 100 mM KCl, selected to probe charge transfer dynamics at the illuminated surface. Prior to scanning, the tip was positioned at a fixed distance from the sample using z-approach curves recorded in air-saturated buffer. Area scans were then performed under dark and illuminated conditions, with the sample biased at a potential relevant to its photoelectrochemical operation. The tip current and sample photocurrent were recorded simultaneously to generate spatial maps of local activity.

Controlled potential chronoamperometry (1 h, +0.5 V vs RHE) was used to verify light driven  $\text{H}_2$  formation, and quantification of hydrogen was achieved using online gas analysis performed with a GC-BID (GC-2023, Shimadzu) equipped with Hayeseq Q and molecular sieve 13X columns. The  $\text{N}_2$  flow (99.999%, Air Liquide, 20  $\text{ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) into the reactor was controlled by a mass flow controller (Bronkhorst) and delivered through a frit to ensure efficient distribution; the reactor outlet stream was sampled periodically.  $\text{H}_2$  quantification was performed by external calibration with certified gas standards, and GC sampling was synchronized with the electrochemical measurements to calculate Faradaic efficiency from the integrated  $\text{H}_2$  evolution via:

$$FE = \frac{2 \cdot F \cdot n_{\text{H}_2}}{Q} \quad (3)$$

Where  $F$  is the Faraday constant,  $n$  is the number of moles of  $\text{H}_2$  detected, and  $Q$  is the total passed charge.


## Results and discussion

During the hydrothermal process, the formation of particles or thin films of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  occurred via redox reactions and in-situ hydroxy generation from urea. Particularly  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  ions derived from  $\text{FeSO}_4$  facilitated a redox reaction with  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions ( $\text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Cu}^+ + \text{Fe}^{3+}$ ), generating unstable  $\text{Cu}^+$  ions without the need for additional reducing agents. Urea ( $(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{CO}$ ), included as an ammonia-release agent, decomposed upon heating to produce ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3$ ) and isocyanic acid ( $\text{HNCO}$ ), which reacted with water to form ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) and hydroxide ( $\text{OH}^-$ ) ions resulting in hydroxide intermediate formation,  $[(\text{Cu,Fe})(\text{OH})_4]$ , which subsequently decomposed into  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  and water. The use of a mildly acidic urea solution (pH 3.9) was found to be critical, as it promoted nucleation and deposition of the thin films compared to highly alkaline conditions, which caused fine particle suspensions that hindered nucleation on the substrate surface. The presence of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  ensured that the pH remained within the optimal range for the synthesis process, thereby preventing any potential instability caused by excess acidity.



### Validation of Hydrothermal Synthesis Conditions

To establish a reliable baseline for the synthesis of phase-pure delafossite  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ , the influence of hydrothermal temperature on the material's structural, morphological, and compositional properties was systematically investigated. Therefore,  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  powders were synthesized in the absence of FTO glass at hydrothermal temperatures of 110 °C, 140 °C, and 170 °C (1 hour) and characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). XRD analysis (**Figure 2a**) confirmed formation of the delafossite phase, with diffraction patterns primarily indexed to the rhombohedral  $3\text{R-CuFeO}_2$  polytype (ICDD 01-075-2146). Both the 110 °C and 140 °C samples are essentially phase-pure  $3\text{R-CuFeO}_2$ . The powder synthesized at 170 °C shows a more pronounced contribution from a second phase assigned to hematite  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  (ICDD 00-033-0664), indicating partial oxidation or decomposition at the highest synthesis temperature. The powders obtained at 110 °C and 140



**Figure 2:** (a) XRD patterns of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  powders synthesized hydrothermally at 110 °C, 140 °C, and 170 °C for 1 hour. Reference patterns for phase-pure  $3\text{R-CuFeO}_2$  (ICDD 01-075-2146) and  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  (ICDD 00-033-0664) are provided. The samples synthesized at 110 and 140 °C shows the highest phase purity with no secondary phases. SEM top-view images of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  films grown at (b) 110 °C, (c) 140 °C, and (d) 170 °C after annealing at 650 °C for 1 hour. Annealing induces cracking and texturing, with films from the 140 °C and 170 °C powders exhibiting a more uniform and finely textured morphology.

°C exhibit the highest phase purity, characterized by sharp, intense reflections with no detectable secondary phases. A temperature dependent evolution in preferred orientation is observed: the (012) diffraction signal dominates at 110 °C, shifting to a dominant (110) reflection at 140 °C, consistent with a change in crystal growth dynamics (see SI for additional information, **Figure S2**).

The impact of synthesis temperature on particle morphology and elemental homogeneity was additionally examined by SEM and EDX. SEM imaging (**Figure S3a-c**) revealed a clear morphological evolution: at 110 °C, the powder consists of smooth spherical nanoparticles; at 140 °C, the particles transform into textured spheres with surface roughening, signifying enhanced crystallization; and at 170 °C, irregular, faceted aggregates form. Elemental mapping of the 110 and 140 °C samples (**Figure S3d**) demonstrates a uniform spatial

distribution of Cu, Fe, and O, confirming compositional homogeneity. Quantitative EDX analysis (**Figure S4**) further verified that the elemental composition (O ~50%, Cu ~25%, Fe ~25%) for all samples closely matched the expected stoichiometry of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ . Both 110 °C and 140 °C syntheses produce phase pure, stoichiometric  $3\text{R-CuFeO}_2$  with well-defined morphologies, representing the optimal balance of structural and compositional quality.

The morphological features observed in powders are reproduced in films grown directly by in-situ hydrothermal deposition on FTO. Films synthesized at 110 °C form a loose, discontinuous layer with visible gaps (**Figure S5**), whereas films grown at 140 °C and 170 °C develop denser, more continuous coatings with improved interparticle connectivity, indicating that higher hydrothermal temperatures promote tighter packing. Subsequent annealing at 650 °C for 1 h modifies surface texture: 110 °C films become cracked and poorly textured, while 140 °C and 170 °C films show more uniform, finely textured surfaces with regular cracking patterns (**Figure 2b-d**). In-situ hydrothermal growth therefore provides a reliable route to phase-pure delafossite  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  thin films with a robust electrical contact to the FTO substrate, enabling reproducible PEC testing. In order to systematically explore the influence of synthesis parameters, a statistical optimization approach was applied. Specifically Design of Experiments (DoE) strategy using the Box-Behnken Design (BBD, as detailed in the following section, was used for PEC performance optimization of the delafossite  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathodes.

### Design of Experiments (DoE) Optimization via Box-Behnken Design of in-situ grown $\text{CuFeO}_2$

Building upon the initial characterisation of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  powders, hydrothermal synthesis was verified to enable synthesis of phase-pure  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ . Thus, to optimise the full suite of synthesis parameters of in-situ grown photocathodes on FTO glass substrates and to maximise their photoelectrochemical (PEC) performance, a Design of Experiments (DoE) approach was employed. The complex parameter space, including the individual and interactive effects on the functional response, was explored using a Box-Behnken design, which allowed for the efficient modelling of potential nonlinear relationships with a minimised number of experimental runs. Four critical parameters were investigated (see also **Table S1**): hydrothermal synthesis temperature (parameter A, °C), hydrothermal synthesis time (parameter B, h), annealing temperature (parameter C, °C), and annealing time (parameter D, h). The photocurrent density (response parameter E, in  $\text{mA cm}^{-2}$ ) at 0.4 V vs. RHE, measured under 1 Sun illumination in  $\text{N}_2$ -purged 1 M NaOH electrolyte, was chosen as the response variable to quantify PEC performance. The experimental matrix and corresponding photocurrent densities for all 25 samples are provided in **Table S1**. A response surface regression analysis was performed on the data. Although a full quadratic model was fitted, statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that the PEC response was overwhelmingly governed by linear effects. Additionally it was determined that all quadratic and two-factor



interaction terms are statistically insignificant ( $p = 1.000$ ), with coefficients being effectively zero (see **Table S2**). Consequently, a simplified linear model was adopted, described by the following regression equation (eq. 4, in uncoded units):

$$E = -1.68263 + 0.010997 A + 0.044106 B - 0.002192 D \quad (4)$$


This equation was used to predict the PEC response and optimise the synthesis further. As shown in **Figure 3**, there is good agreement between the experimental and predicted values for the response parameter  $E$ , suggesting that the model accurately captures the response behaviour. The model fits the experimental data exceptionally well, with coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) and adjusted  $R^2$  ( $R^2(\text{adj})$ ) of 98% in both cases. While these values indicate that the model accounts for all observed variance in the response, they are a known characteristic of saturated designs with a specific structure of centre points and can reflect a highly controlled experimental system rather than overfitting in this context. The standard deviation ( $S$ ) of the model error is low ( $4.47 \times 10^{-6}$ ), and the variance inflation factors (VIFs) for all predictors ranged from 1.00 to 2.21, confirming the absence of multicollinearity and the robustness of the parameter estimates **Table 1**. Diagnostic plots including a normal probability plot of residuals, a plot of residuals versus fitted values, and a Pareto chart were used to validate the model's assumptions (**Figure S6 a-e**). The residuals were normally distributed and randomly scattered, confirming the homoscedasticity and independence of the error terms. The Pareto chart of standardized effects (**Figure S6e**) unequivocally identified hydrothermal synthesis temperature ( $A$ ) as the most significant factor influencing the PEC response, followed by the duration of the hydrothermal synthesis ( $B$ ) and annealing time ( $D$ ). Annealing temperature ( $C$ ) had a smaller, yet statistically significant influence on the response parameter. The main effects of each synthesis parameter on the PEC response are illustrated in **Figure S7**.

**Table 1:** Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) summary for the fitted linear model.

Statistic	Value	Interpretation
$R^2$	98 %	The model explains all variance in the response.
$R^2$ (adj)	98 %	The model maintains perfect predictive power after adjusting for the number of predictors.
$S$	$4.47 \times 10^{-6}$	The standard error of the model is extremely low.
VIF Range	1.00 - 2.21	Predictors are independent, with no significant multicollinearity.

Of particular significance is the observation that the photocurrent density becomes more negative, indicating enhanced performance for a photocathode with a decrease in hydrothermal synthesis temperature ( $A$ ). This finding is consistent with the structural characterization results (Figure 2a), which demonstrated that reduced temperatures hinder the formation of hematite impurities and promote the formation of phase-pure  $\text{CuFeO}_2$ . Furthermore, a discernible hydrothermal effect on morphology is evident (see Figures 2b-d): films synthesized at lower temperatures exhibit visible surface cracks

that likely enhance electrolyte penetration and charge transfer, whereas those prepared at higher temperatures appear smoother and crack-free, correlating with reduced PEC response. The phase purity of the in-situ grown films was also validated by Raman spectroscopy (see Figure S8). The PEC response further improved with shorter hydrothermal synthesis



**Figure 3:** Correlation between model-predicted and experimentally measured photocurrent density values at 0.4 V vs. RHE for the 25  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathodes synthesized according to the Box-Behnken Design. The perfect alignment demonstrates the exceptional predictive capability of the linear regression model.

time ( $B$ ) and shorter annealing time ( $D$ ), suggesting that prolonged processing may lead to grain coarsening or partial phase transformation. In contrast, a higher annealing temperature ( $C$ ) had a positive effect on performance, likely due to enhanced crystallinity and improved interfacial contact between  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  and the FTO substrate. Temperatures above  $650^\circ\text{C}$  could potentially yield even higher crystallinity, however, this limit was imposed by the melting point of the FTO substrate, which constrains further thermal optimization. Thus, the performed DoE (25 runs) shows that while hydrothermal and annealing conditions strongly control photocurrent through changes in crystallinity and morphology, degradation by cathodic self-reduction is observed across the tested parameter space and cannot be fully avoided under the present operating conditions, we attribute this behaviour to two linked effects: temperature-driven changes in crystallinity, grain boundaries and surface defect chemistry alter how the film responds to cathodic bias, with more defective or poorly connected microstructures being more susceptible to local reduction, and the working potential used here ( $+0.5\text{ V vs RHE}$ ) lies close to the thermodynamic and kinetic thresholds for  $\text{Cu}$  and  $\text{Fe}$  reduction, making partial reduction thermodynamically accessible even at relatively low applied bias. Together these factors explain why synthesis optimization improves initial photocurrent but does not eliminate progressive self-reduction during operation. The Box-Behnken model successfully identified the linear relationships between the four synthesis parameters and PEC performance, confirming that hydrothermal synthesis at  $110^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h followed by annealing at  $650^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h maximizes photocurrent density, and demonstrating that the statistical model provides a powerful, predictive framework for guiding the synthesis of higher-performance  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photoelectrodes.



View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F

**Table 2:** Impedance fitting parameters for optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes measured in 1 M NaOH at 0.4 V vs. RHE under dark and illuminated (1 Sun) conditions. Parameters were extracted using a two-time-constant equivalent circuit model ( $R_0 + (R_1 \parallel CPE_1) + (R_2 \parallel CPE_2)$ ), with values reflecting solution resistance, fast interfacial relaxation, and slower bulk/interfacial processes.

Sample	$R_0$ ( $\Omega$ )	$R_1$ ( $\Omega$ )	$R_2$ ( $\Omega$ )	$\alpha_1$	$\alpha_2$	$CPE_1$ (F)	$CPE_2$ (F)	$R^2$ (fit quality)
Dark	15.46	44.62	180.81	0.9067	0.5756	$1.07 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.10 \times 10^{-4}$	0.9986
1 Sun illumination	15.36	8.04	144.66	1.00	0.686	$1.37 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.68 \times 10^{-4}$	0.9971

### Characterization of Optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes


The optical properties of the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode was first characterized by UV-Vis spectroscopy. Standard transmission measurements (Figure S9a) exhibited a distinct absorption edge at ~650 nm. The corresponding Tauc plot for indirect transitions (Figure 4a) yielded an indirect bandgap of 1.58 eV. Measurements of the absorption spectrum using an integrating sphere (Figure S9b) revealed an additional absorption edge at ~400 nm and the associated Tauc plot (Figure 4b) associated with a direct bandgap of 3.01 eV. This dual bandgap nature (1.58 eV indirect and 3.01 eV direct) is consistent with the material's unique electronic structure,<sup>48,60</sup> where the valence band comprises hybridized Fe 3d, Cu 3d, and O 2p orbitals, and the conduction band consists of Fe 3d antibonding states.<sup>60</sup> The high absorption coefficient ( $>10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  across the visible spectrum) confirms the material's strong capacity for visible light harvesting.

To probe the charge transport and interfacial kinetics, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed at 0.4 V vs. RHE in 1 M NaOH in the dark and under 1 sun illumination. Nyquist plots (Figure 4c) exhibit two distinct semicircles, consistent with a dual-process relaxation behavior. The fitted curves using the two-time-constant equivalent circuit show excellent agreement with the experimental data ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ), and the extracted parameters are summarized in Table 2. Under illumination, both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  decrease significantly (from 44.62  $\Omega$  to 8.04  $\Omega$  and from 180.81  $\Omega$  to 144.66  $\Omega$ , respectively), indicating enhanced charge transfer and reduced recombination losses. The increase in CPE values under light (e.g.,  $CPE_2$  from  $2.10 \times 10^{-4}$  F to  $8.04 \times 10^{-4}$  F), suggesting a narrowing of the depletion layer width and an increase in the density of photogenerated holes, consistent with improved photoactivity.<sup>61</sup>

Mott-Schottky plots were constructed using the impedance in the high-frequency region. These measurements were performed in the dark over a potential window of +0.4 to +1.0 V vs RHE. The Mott-Schottky plot (Figure 1d) shows a clear linear region with a negative slope, confirming the p-type conductivity of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>. By linear extrapolation, a flat-band potential  $E_{FB}$  of 1.01 V vs RHE was obtained in excellent agreement with literature reports.<sup>44,45,48</sup> This value suggests that the conduction band minimum of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> is situated above to the thermodynamic potential of the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and thus hydrogen production is

thermodynamically feasible. Assuming a dielectric constant of 20,<sup>44</sup> the acceptor density  $N_A$  was calculated to be  $\sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , indicating a relatively high concentration of majority carriers, i.e. holes in this case.

Based on the combined optical and electrochemical results an energy band diagram was constructed for the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode (Figure S10). The flat-band potential ( $E_{FB}$ ), determined to be 1.01 V vs RHE from Mott-Schottky analysis, is characteristic of the valence band edge in p-type semiconductors, where holes are the majority carriers. Given the experimentally measured indirect bandgap of 1.58 eV, the conduction band minimum (CBM) is estimated to be at approximately -0.5 V vs RHE. This places the CBM well above the hydrogen evolution potential, enabling thermodynamically favourable electron transfer for solar-driven hydrogen production. The band positions are consistent with reported



**Figure 4:** Comprehensive optoelectronic characterization of the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode. (a) Tauc plot showing an indirect bandgap of 1.58 eV. (b) Tauc plot from transmission data indicating a direct bandgap of 3.01 eV. (c) Nyquist plots of optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes measured at 0.4 V vs. RHE in 1 M NaOH under dark and illuminated (1 Sun) conditions. Scatter points represent fits to the two-time-constant equivalent circuit model, while solid lines show the experimental data. Fitted parameters are summarized in Table 2. (d) Mott-Schottky plot measured in the dark, confirming p-type conductivity and yielding a flat-band potential of 1.01 V vs. RHE.

work function values ( $\sim 5.2 \text{ eV}$ ).<sup>62</sup> The favourable band alignment, high acceptor density ( $\sim 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), and enhanced charge transfer kinetics observed under illumination underscore the suitability of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> as a photocathode for PEC water splitting.




A collection of semiconductor and EIS parameters for bare CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films prepared by different scalable routes is summarized in **Table S3**. All three studies consistently place the indirect optical transition in the near-infrared to visible region ( $\approx 1.1$ - $1.6$  eV) in addition to a direct optical transition at 3.1-3.3 eV. The flat band potential is anodic in all reports ( $\approx +1.0$ - $+1.1$  V vs RHE), indicating favourable band alignment for driving reduction reactions when sufficient photovoltage is generated. In our optimized hydrothermal films we additionally extract standardized EIS metrics: a light-induced decrease in charge transfer resistance from  $104 \Omega\text{-cm}^2$  (dark) to  $89.2 \Omega\text{-cm}^2$  (light), and a space-charge capacitance that increases from  $7.7 \mu\text{F}\text{-cm}^2$  (dark) to  $15 \mu\text{F}\text{-cm}^2$  (light). These light dependent changes are consistent with increased photogenerated carrier density and improved interfacial charge transfer under illumination. The combined comparison highlights strong agreement in band edge positions across different fabrication methods.

### Photoelectrochemical Performance

The photoelectrochemical (PEC) performance of the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode was also systematically evaluated. The model predicted a maximum photocurrent density of  $-1.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , which was experimentally confirmed by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) chopped under 1 sun illumination ( $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ) in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M NaOH, as shown in **Figure 5a**. This close agreement validates the predictive power of the statistical optimization. Moreover, the photoelectrochemical performance of the photocathode was evaluated using oxygen-saturated electrolyte (1 M NaOH). In agreement with the favourable driving force for ORR a significantly higher photocurrent density of  $-2.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  was obtained at  $+0.4$  V vs RHE indicative of the material's catalytic activity for multi-electron transfer processes (**Figure S11**).

To deconvolute the spectral response of the optimized films, wavelength-dependent photocurrent measurements were performed (**Figure S12**). A pronounced cathodic photocurrent was observed, with distinct peaks at 400 nm ( $-10 \mu\text{A}$ ), 650 nm ( $-6.2 \mu\text{A}$ ), and 800 nm ( $-2.8 \mu\text{A}$ ). This multi-wavelength response aligns with the material's dual bandgap, confirming



**Figure 5:** Photoelectrochemical performance of the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode. (a) Averaged Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) measured in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M NaOH under chopped 1 Sun illumination ( $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ), confirming the predicted photocurrent density of  $-1.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at  $0.4$  V vs. RHE. The grey-shaded envelope shows the minimum and maximum response from independent scans. (b) 3D plot of incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) as a function of wavelength and applied potential, showing a maximum of 16% at 400 nm. (c) IPCE and absorbed photon-to-current efficiency (APCE) spectra measured at a fixed applied potential of  $0.4$  V vs. RHE. (d) Scanning photoelectrochemical microscopy (SPECM) photocurrent difference map (light – dark) showing uniform catalytic activity for Fe<sup>3+</sup> reduction across the electrode surface. The sample was synthesized at  $110 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h (hydrothermal) and annealed at  $650 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h.




that charge carrier generation is effective across the visible spectrum, with the most efficient absorption occurring at shorter wavelengths.

The photon conversion efficiency was further quantified by incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) and absorbed photon-to-current efficiency (APCE) measurements. The 3D IPCE plot (**Figure 5b**) demonstrates a strong dependence on both wavelength and applied potential, with a maximum efficiency of 16% achieved at 400 nm. Despite the decent IPCE efficiency, APCE (**Figure 5c**) indicate that recombination losses are still significant. To further validate the optical and electrochemical characterization, the solar photocurrent density was estimated by numerically integrating the IPCE spectrum with the AM1.5G solar photon flux. This calculation yielded a theoretical value of  $-2.24 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , which exceeds the experimentally measured photocurrent of  $-1.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ . This difference is primarily attributable to the use of two different illumination sources used for the individual measurements. The IPCE was recorded with using monochromatic light provided by the photoelectrochemical measurement system, while sample optimization and the corresponding LSVs were recorded with the broadband MAX-303 xenon lamp.

To probe the spatial homogeneity of the photoelectrochemical activity at the microscale, Scanning Photo electrochemical Microscopy (SPECM) was used (**Figure S13**). The measurements employed a  $25 \mu\text{m}$  Au microelectrode tip that served both as a localized irradiation guide and as the electrochemical probe. During the measurements the Au tip was polarized at  $+1.5 \text{ V}$  vs RHE to drive oxidation of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . The  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode was biased at  $+0.4 \text{ V}$  vs RHE to enable  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  reduction and to match the PEC operating condition used for bulk measurements. Tip positioning used z-approach curves (**Figure S14**) recorded at multiple x-y coordinates to correct for sample tilt and maintain a constant tip sample distance throughout the scan. Dark and illuminated tip currents were recorded at each grid point and the dark signal was subtracted to yield the local photocurrent difference (light - dark), which isolates photocatalytic activity related to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  reduction at the illuminated surface. **Figure 5d** illustrates the SECM surface scan of the  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode. The photocurrent increase observed under illumination was consistent with the reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ . Notably, the high resolution of the tip facilitates detection of microscopic regions with varying catalytic activity. However, in the case of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode, the photocurrent remained uniform across the entire scanned area, indicating excellent homogeneity of the material's surface in terms of its activity.

Finally, chronoamperometry (CA) **Figure 6** was performed in  $\text{N}_2$ -purged  $1 \text{ M NaOH}$  at an applied potential of  $+0.5 \text{ V}$  vs RHE to confirm hydrogen formation. The reactor outlet was sampled periodically and analysed online using a GC with BID detector. Sampling was synchronized with the CA experiment. Illumination was toggled during the CA run to correlate light-driven current with gas production. Upon illumination,  $\text{H}_2$  evolution was confirmed at a reproducible, steady rate of  $1.036 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}$  (**Figure S16**) in the outlet stream. Notably, immediately after switching the light off the  $\text{H}_2$  rate returned to

baseline, demonstrating light-dependent  $\text{H}_2$  generation. Integration of  $n(\text{H}_2)$  as obtained from the GC analysis together with the total charge passed ( $Q$ ) during the CA were used to calculate the Faradaic efficiency. We obtain an average FE of 40%, confirming that a substantial fraction of the photocurrent corresponds to true, GC-verified hydrogen evolution. At the same time, post-operation XPS, SEM, visible colour change and increased macroscopic conductivity consistently indicate progressive  $\text{Cu/Fe}$  reduction after extended operation, thus the initial light-driven photocurrent contains a genuine hydrogen-evolution component, and partial  $\text{Cu/Fe}$  self-reduction occurs during prolonged operation and contributes to the observed decay in activity. **Tables S3-S4** compile reported performance metrics for  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathodes. Direct comparison is limited by differences in experimental conditions: in this work PEC tests were performed in  $\text{N}_2$ -purged  $0.1 \text{ M NaOH}$ , while several literature reports used  $\text{O}_2$ -saturated electrolytes. Gas environment and electrolyte chemistry affect measured photocurrents, side reactions and apparent Faradaic efficiencies, for this reason directly comparable literature values for GC-verified FE under the same conditions are not available.<sup>48,49</sup> To quantify the energetic cost of hydrogen production we computed the electrical energy consumed per mole of  $\text{H}_2$  and an estimated solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiency using the 1h chronoamperometry at  $+0.5 \text{ V}$  vs RHE (active area  $1.0 \text{ cm}^2$ ), the online GC  $\text{H}_2$  rate and the measured Faradaic efficiency ( $\approx 40\%$ ). From the integrated charge and the GC-measured  $\text{H}_2$  production ( $1.036 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}$  during illumination) we obtain an electrical energy consumption of  $\approx 241 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$  ( $\approx 33.2 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1} \text{ H}_2$ ) and an estimated STH  $\approx 0.068\%$  under 1-sun illumination. These values are reported as operating-electrode metrics (they do not include additional cell or balance-of-system losses), full calculation details are provided in the Supporting Information (Energy-metric calculations, **Figures S14-S15**).



**Figure 6:** Chronoamperometry (CA) recorded at  $+0.5 \text{ V}$  vs RHE on the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode (active area  $1.0 \text{ cm}^2$ ) under chopped 1-sun illumination ( $100 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ).

Overall the optimized one-step hydrothermal films achieve the unmodified ORR photocurrent under one-sun illumination ( $2.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at  $+0.4 \text{ V}$  vs RHE) exceeding earlier reports and more importantly a significant HER photocurrent ( $1.7 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at  $+0.4 \text{ V}$  vs RHE) was obtained. Prévot et al.<sup>45</sup> report a photocurrent of



up to  $\approx 1.5 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$  under 1 sun illumination using sacrificial  $\text{O}_2$  and IPCE > 10% near 400 nm (measured at +0.4 V vs RHE), but bare films produced only marginal photocurrents in the absence of oxygen unless protective coatings and catalytic overlayers (AZO/ $\text{TiO}_2$ /Pt) were applied. For  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  thin films prepared by PLV Präg et al.<sup>48</sup> reported an IPCE of  $\approx 12.5\%$  at 400 nm (+0.4 V vs RHE) but photocurrents remained in the  $\mu\text{A}$  range unless electron scavengers were used. Clearly the one-step hydrothermal synthesis combined with systematic Box-Behnken DoE optimization results in PEC properties exceeding previous reports evidencing the effectiveness of the synthesis and optimization strategy in maximizing intrinsic PEC activity.


### Stability and Degradation Mechanisms

Despite the promising photoelectrochemical (PEC) performance, the operational stability of the optimized  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  photocathode emerged as a remaining critical factor. A significant decay in photocurrent was observed under continuous operation. To elucidate the underlying degradation mechanisms, characterization was conducted using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), electrical conductivity measurements, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on photocathodes before and after PEC testing. The chemical state of the pristine photocathode was first established by XPS. The survey spectrum confirmed the presence of Cu, Fe, and O with no detectable impurities (Figure S17). High-resolution scans of the Cu 2p region (Figure 7a) exhibit a dominant  $\text{Cu}^+ 2p_{3/2}$  peak with a very weak satellite at  $\sim 945 \text{ eV}$ , characteristic of monovalent copper. The assignment of  $\text{Cu}^+$  is further supported by the Cu LMM Auger spectra (Figure 7b) which display a primary peak with a shoulder, consistent with  $\text{Cu}^+$ . The Fe 2p region (Figure 7c) reveals spin-orbit split doublets at  $\sim 710.9 \text{ eV}$  ( $\text{Fe} 2p_{3/2}$ ) and  $\sim 724.4 \text{ eV}$  ( $\text{Fe} 2p_{1/2}$ ), with multiple splitting characteristic of high-spin  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  in an octahedral coordination. The O 1s spectrum (Figure 7d) showed a single, broad

asymmetric peak at  $532.1 \text{ eV}$ , attributed to lattice oxygen in the delafossite structure.

DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F

Following PEC operation under cathodic bias, the electrode exhibits a distinct colour change from dark green to brown (Figure S18), alongside an increase in electrical conductivity. Changes induced during operation were subsequently analysed by XPS. Survey spectra (Figure S19) indicate the absence of contamination, yet substantial chemical transformations in the copper and iron states are discernible through region scans. The Cu 2p spectrum (Figure 7e) displays two narrow peaks at  $932.6 \text{ eV}$  ( $\text{Cu} 2p_{3/2}$ ) and  $952.5 \text{ eV}$  ( $\text{Cu} 2p_{1/2}$ ), without satellite



**Figure 7:** High-resolution XPS analysis of  $\text{CuFeO}_2$  before and after PEC operation. (a-d) Region scan spectra of the pristine photocathode: (a) Cu 2p, (b) Cu LMM Auger, (c) Fe 2p, and (d) O 1s regions, confirming the presence of  $\text{Cu}^+$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ , and lattice oxygen. (e-h) Corresponding spectra after PEC testing: (e) Cu 2p and (f) Cu LMM Auger spectra revealing complete reduction to metallic  $\text{Cu}^0$ ; (g) Fe 2p spectrum indicates partial reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ; (h) O 1s spectrum shows the appearance of a new component associated with surface hydroxides/defects. The sample was synthesized hydrothermally at  $110 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h and annealed at  $650 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h.

features. These results conclusively indicate the formation of metallic copper ( $\text{Cu}^0$ ). This finding is further supported by the Cu LMM Auger spectrum (Figure 7f), which shows a dominant peak at a kinetic energy of  $\sim 918.6 \text{ eV}$ . In the  $\text{Fe} 2p_{3/2}$  region scan (Figure 7g) the emergence of satellite peaks at  $\sim 715$  and  $\sim 731 \text{ eV}$  is noted, indicating partial reduction of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ . This suggests that not only  $\text{Cu}^+$  but also  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  undergoes redox transformation under cathodic bias. Finally, the O 1s spectrum (Figure 7h) displays a high-energy shoulder ( $\sim 533.5 \text{ eV}$ ), possibly due to the formation of surface hydroxides. These XPS findings are consistent with the observed increase in



conductivity, which are primarily attributed to the formation of a metallic copper. Furthermore, SEM imaging (**Figure S20**) revealed significant morphological degradation of the film after PEC operation, including agglomeration, which aligns with the extensive chemical reduction and loss of structural integrity. The degradation of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> under operating conditions is driven by the cathodic reduction of its constituent metal cations. The irreversible reduction of Cu<sup>+</sup> to Cu<sup>0</sup> and the partial reduction of Fe<sup>3+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup> fundamentally alter the electronic structure of the material. The cross-sectional SEM images of the optimized CuFeO<sub>2</sub> film before and after HER testing (see **Figure S21**) show a continuous, homogeneous film of approximately 1.6 μm thickness with no obvious delamination. The as-deposited and post-operation cross sections therefore appear largely similar, indicating that the macroscopic film architecture remains intact after the 1-h chronoamperometry test and thus the film remains mechanically continuous. Post-catalysis XRD analysis (**Figure S22**) further corroborates the XPS and SEM findings. The powder diffraction pattern of the tested CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode material reveals the emergence of several new reflections, the majority of which are assigned to Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Due to the presence of overlapping peaks in the XRD patterns of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, it is challenging to differentiate the CuFeO<sub>2</sub> phase solely based on XRD analysis. The presence of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is consistent with the XPS-detected reduction of Fe<sup>3+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup>. Additionally, weaker reflections corresponding to Cu, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, and FeO indicate additional surface redox transformations. Thus, the photocathode undergoes partial phase evolution under operation, dominated by Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> formation. Overall, the post-catalysis characterization suggest that cathodic reduction is likely not concentrated within the surface layer, but rather can propagate into the near-surface region in a manner that degrades electronic pathways without producing substantial through-film delamination. Based on these observations it is proposed that further developments should focus on the suppression of cathodic degradation which should allow for operation with reduced parasitic losses and in turn is expected to increase the H<sub>2</sub> production rate and Faradaic efficiency. In this regard, strategies such as co-catalyst modification of the CuFeO<sub>2</sub> surface or the formation of heterojunctions are considered suitable. Furthermore, the controlled doping of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>, an aspect that has been excluded from this study, using an adapted DoE-guided synthesis approach could serve as a reliable strategy to further enhance PEC performance.

## Conclusion

This study reports the systematic optimization of a one-step hydrothermal synthesis process for CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes fabrication using a Box-Behnken Design allowing us to identify hydrothermal temperature and annealing temperature as significant parameters to enhance PEC activity. The optimized photocathodes deliver a photocurrent of -1.7 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup> at +0.4 V vs RHE (N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 1 M NaOH), show dual bandgaps (1.58 eV indirect, 3.01 eV direct), exhibit favourable band alignment and light-induced improvements in interfacial charge transfer. Crucially, we have verified light-driven hydrogen evolution from

unmodified CuFeO<sub>2</sub> with a steady H<sub>2</sub> production rate of 1.036 μmol·h<sup>-1</sup> using online GC measurements and an average Faradaic efficiency of 40%. Post-operation characterization clearly identifies the severe degradation by cathodic self-reduction which must be addressed to enable full utilization of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes. Nevertheless, the optoelectronic and interfacial properties of the as-received bare CuFeO<sub>2</sub> appear to be superior and further optimization of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> delafossite materials should focus on chemical and interfacial modifications that enhance stability.

## Author contributions

M. E. I.: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, formal analysis, data curation, visualization, writing original draft, and writing review & editing. J. P. M.: investigation and methodology. M. A.-L.: supervision, resources, review & editing. L. A. supervision, resources, review & editing. B.T.M.: conceptualization, supervision, resources, and writing review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the supplementary information. The SI includes additional details of the DoE including statistical analysis (ANOVA), supporting characterization data (SEM, EDX, XPS, Raman, UV-Vis, IPCE) and raw data of photoelectrochemical performance, degradation studies. Additionally data are available at figshare <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.30521615>.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank The DAAD scholarship program “ERA Fellowships Green Hydrogen” for their support. M. E. & L.A. would like to thank the LEAP RE initiative, founded by the Ministry of Higher Education, Scientific Research, and Innovation (MESRSI), for financial support through the project “Environmentally friendly colloidal quantum dots for high performance solar cells”/(QDSOC). We also thank the Group of Industrial Chemistry for assistance with sample characterization and for valuable discussions. Special thanks to Busser Wilma and Hiepel Jonas for Raman measurements, Xia Wei for XPS, Diehl Patrick for XRD, Zhai Zhangjie for TEM and Anastasia Kotova for assistance with the EIS analysis. DeepL.com has been used for grammar and style corrections.

## Notes and references

- 1 D. Zhou, F. Hu, Q. Zhu and Q. Wang, Regional allocation of renewable energy quota in China under the policy of renewable



- portfolio standards, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 2022, **176**, 105904.
- 2 S. D. Tilley, Recent Advances and Emerging Trends in Photo-Electrochemical Solar Energy Conversion, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2019, **9**. DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201802877.
  - 3 B. Mei, G. Mul and B. Seger, Beyond Water Splitting: Efficiencies of Photo-Electrochemical Devices Producing Hydrogen and Valuable Oxidation Products, *Advanced Sustainable Systems*, 2017, **1**. DOI: 10.1002/adsu.201600035.
  - 4 M. Sun, R.-T. Gao, J. He, X. Liu, T. Nakajima, X. Zhang and L. Wang, Photo-driven Oxygen Vacancies Extends Charge Carrier Lifetime for Efficient Solar Water Splitting, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2021, **60**, 17601–17607.
  - 5 S. Hu, C. Xiang, S. Haussener, A. D. Berger and N. S. Lewis, An analysis of the optimal band gaps of light absorbers in integrated tandem photoelectrochemical water-splitting systems, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 2984.
  - 6 M. S. Prévot and K. Sivula, Photoelectrochemical Tandem Cells for Solar Water Splitting, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 17879–17893.
  - 7 B. Seger, I. E. Castelli, P. C. K. Vesborg, K. W. Jacobsen, O. Hansen and I. Chorkendorff, 2-Photon tandem device for water splitting: comparing photocathode first versus photoanode first designs, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 2397–2413.
  - 8 B. A. Pinaud, J. D. Benck, L. C. Seitz, A. J. Forman, Z. Chen, T. G. Deutsch, B. D. James, K. N. Baum, G. N. Baum, S. Ardo, H. Wang, E. Miller and T. F. Jaramillo, Technical and economic feasibility of centralized facilities for solar hydrogen production via photocatalysis and photoelectrochemistry, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, **6**, 1983.
  - 9 J. Luo, S. D. Tilley, L. Steier, M. Schreier, M. T. Mayer, H. J. Fan and M. Grätzel, Solution transformation of Cu<sub>2</sub>O into CuInS<sub>2</sub> for solar water splitting, *Nano letters*, 2015, **15**, 1395–1402.
  - 10 Q. Wang, T. Hisatomi, Q. Jia, H. Tokudome, M. Zhong, C. Wang, Z. Pan, T. Takata, M. Nakabayashi, N. Shibata, Y. Li, I. D. Sharp, A. Kudo, T. Yamada and K. Domen, Scalable water splitting on particulate photocatalyst sheets with a solar-to-hydrogen energy conversion efficiency exceeding 1%, *Nature materials*, 2016, **15**, 611–615.
  - 11 Q. Wang, T. Hisatomi, Y. Suzuki, Z. Pan, J. Seo, M. Katayama, T. Minegishi, H. Nishiyama, T. Takata, K. Seki, A. Kudo, T. Yamada and K. Domen, Particulate Photocatalyst Sheets Based on Carbon Conductor Layer for Efficient Z-Scheme Pure-Water Splitting at Ambient Pressure, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2017, **139**, 1675–1683.
  - 12 A. Milbrat, W. Vrijsselaar, Y. Guo, B. Mei, J. Huskens and G. Mul, Integration of Molybdenum-Doped, Hydrogen-Annealed BiVO<sub>2</sub> with Silicon Microwires for Photoelectrochemical Applications, *ACS sustainable chemistry & engineering*, 2019, **7**, 5034–5044. DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F
  - 13 Y. J. Jang, I. Jeong, J. Lee, J. Lee, M. J. Ko and J. S. Lee, Unbiased Sunlight-Driven Artificial Photosynthesis of Carbon Monoxide from CO<sub>2</sub> Using a ZnTe-Based Photocathode and a Perovskite Solar Cell in Tandem, *ACS nano*, 2016, **10**, 6980–6987.
  - 14 Y. J. Jang, J. Ryu, D. Hong, S. Park and J. S. Lee, A multi-stacked hyperporous silicon flake for highly active solar hydrogen production, *Chemical communications (Cambridge, England)*, 2016, **52**, 10221–10224.
  - 15 J. Y. Kim, G. Magesh, D. H. Youn, J.-W. Jang, J. Kubota, K. Domen and J. S. Lee, Single-crystalline, wormlike hematite photoanodes for efficient solar water splitting, *Scientific reports*, 2013, **3**, 2681.
  - 16 A. Paracchino, V. Laporte, K. Sivula, M. Grätzel and E. Thimsen, Highly active oxide photocathode for photoelectrochemical water reduction, *Nature materials*, 2011, **10**, 456–461.
  - 17 X. Shi, I. Y. Choi, K. Zhang, J. Kwon, D. Y. Kim, J. K. Lee, S. H. Oh, J. K. Kim and J. H. Park, Efficient photoelectrochemical hydrogen production from bismuth vanadate-decorated tungsten trioxide helix nanostructures, *Nature communications*, 2014, **5**, 4775.
  - 18 S. D. Tilley, M. Schreier, J. Azevedo, M. Stefik and M. Grätzel, Ruthenium Oxide Hydrogen Evolution Catalysis on Composite Cuprous Oxide Water-Splitting Photocathodes, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2014, **24**, 303–311.
  - 19 Z. Zhang, R. Dua, L. Zhang, H. Zhu, H. Zhang and P. Wang, Carbon-layer-protected cuprous oxide nanowire arrays for efficient water reduction, *ACS nano*, 2013, **7**, 1709–1717.
  - 20 M. Moriya, T. Minegishi, H. Kumagai, M. Katayama, J. Kubota and K. Domen, Stable hydrogen evolution from CdS-modified CuGaSe<sub>2</sub> photoelectrode under visible-light irradiation, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2013, **135**, 3733–3735.
  - 21 X. Wen, W. Luo and Z. Zou, Photocurrent improvement in nanocrystalline Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub> photocathodes by introducing porous structures, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 15479.
  - 22 L. Rovelli, S. D. Tilley and K. Sivula, Optimization and stabilization of electrodeposited Cu<sub>2</sub>ZnSnS<sub>4</sub> photocathodes for solar water reduction, *ACS applied materials & interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 8018–8024.
  - 23 P. Dai, J. Xie, M. T. Mayer, X. Yang, J. Zhan and D. Wang, Solar hydrogen generation by silicon nanowires modified with platinum nanoparticle catalysts by atomic layer deposition, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2013, **52**, 11119–11123.
  - 24 B. Seger, T. Pedersen, A. B. Laursen, P. C. K. Vesborg, O. Hansen and I. Chorkendorff, Using TiO<sub>2</sub> as a conductive protective layer for photocathodic H<sub>2</sub> evolution, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2013, **135**, 1057–1064.



- 25 N. P. Dasgupta, C. Liu, S. Andrews, F. B. Prinz and P. Yang, Atomic layer deposition of platinum catalysts on nanowire surfaces for photoelectrochemical water reduction, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2013, **135**, 12932–12935.
- 26 A. Scheid, Q. Song, S. Ribet, C. Ophus, Y. E. Suyolcu, D. G. Schlom, T. Heil and P. A. van Aken, Atomic-Scale Mechanisms of Nucleation and Stabilization in CuCrO<sub>2</sub> and CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Delafossite Thin Films on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, *Adv Materials Inter*, 2025, **12**. DOI: 10.1002/admi.202500218.
- 27 S. Saadi, A. Bouguelia and M. Trari, Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution over CuCrO<sub>2</sub>, *Solar Energy*, 2006, **80**, 272–280.
- 28 I. Herraiz-Cardona, F. Fabregat-Santiago, A. Renaud, B. Julián-López, F. Odobel, L. Cario, S. Jobic and S. Giménez, Hole conductivity and acceptor density of p-type CuGaO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles determined by impedance spectroscopy: The effect of Mg doping, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2013, **113**, 570–574.
- 29 C. G. Read, Y. Park and K.-S. Choi, Electrochemical Synthesis of p-Type CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Electrodes for Use in a Photoelectrochemical Cell, *The journal of physical chemistry letters*, 2012, **3**, 1872–1876.
- 30 M. El Idrissi, B. Mei, M. Abd-Lefdil and L. Atourki, Making Solar Hydrogen: A Review of the Challenges and Strategies of Synthesizing CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting, *Molecules*, 2025, **30**. DOI: 10.3390/molecules30051152.
- 31 S. Ardo, D. Fernandez Rivas, M. A. Modestino, V. Schulze Greiving, F. F. Abdi, E. Alarcon Llado, V. Artero, K. Ayers, C. Battaglia, J.-P. Becker, D. Bederak, A. Berger, F. Buda, E. Chinello, B. Dam, V. Di Palma, T. Edvinsson, K. Fujii, H. Gardeniers, H. Geerlings, S. M. H. Hashemi, S. Haussener, F. Houle, J. Huskens, B. D. James, K. Konrad, A. Kudo, P. P. Kunturu, D. Lohse, B. Mei, E. L. Miller, G. F. Moore, J. Muller, K. L. Orchard, T. E. Rosser, F. H. Saadi, J.-W. Schüttauf, B. Seger, S. W. Sheehan, W. A. Smith, J. Spurgeon, M. H. Tang, R. van de Krol, P. C. K. Vesborg and P. Westerik, Pathways to electrochemical solar-hydrogen technologies, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 2768–2783.
- 32 Y. Cheng, S. Li, H. Li, Di Meng, S. Zhang, M. Qing, M. Pan, F. Yang, C. Wang, L. Li, G. Liu, P. Qin, C. Liu, H. Song, H. Feng, H. Chen, J. Li, C.-L. Liu, N. Tsubaki and W.-S. Dong, CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Integrated with Orderly Stacked Multilamellar ZSM-5 Nanosheets for Highly Active and Selective Synthesis of Aromatics from CO<sub>2</sub> Hydrogenation, *ACS Catal.*, 2025, **15**, 15706–15721.
- 33 R.-P. Li, S.-M. Yu and Z.-Y. Zhao, Facet engineering for improved carrier separation and transport in CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathodes, *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 2024, **311**, 128582.
- 34 S. Bassaid, M. Chaib, S. Omeiri, A. Bouguelia and M. Trari, Photocatalytic reduction of cadmium over CuFeO<sub>2</sub> synthesized by sol-gel, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 2009, **201**, 62–68. DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F
- 35 S. Kato, R. Fujimaki, M. Ogasawara, T. Wakabayashi, Y. Nakahara and S. Nakata, Oxygen storage capacity of CuMO<sub>2</sub> (M=Al, Fe, Mn, Ga) with a delafossite-type structure, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2009, **89**, 183–188.
- 36 W. Ketir, A. Bouguelia and M. Trari, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removal with a new delafossite CuCrO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst.
- 37 S. Zhou, X. Fang, Z. Deng, Da Li, W. Dong, R. Tao, G. Meng and T. Wang, Room temperature ozone sensing properties of p-type CuCrO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2009, **143**, 119–123.
- 38 C. J. Dong, W. X. Yu, M. Xu, J. J. Cao, Y. Zhang, Y. H. Chuai and Y. D. Wang, Evidence of room temperature ferromagnetism in Co-doped transparent CuAlO<sub>2</sub> semiconductor, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2012, **512**, 195–198.
- 39 C. Gao, F. Lin, X. Zhou, W. Shi and A. Liu, Fe concentration dependences of microstructure and magnetic properties for Cu(Cr<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>)O<sub>2</sub> ceramics, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2013, **565**, 154–158.
- 40 F. Lin, W. Shi and A. Liu, Optical bandgap modulation and magnetic characterization of Fe-doped CuCrO<sub>2</sub> nanopowders, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2012, **529**, 21–24.
- 41 B. Ling, J. L. Zhao, X. W. Sun, S. T. Tan, A. K. K. Kyaw, Y. Divayana and Z. L. Dong, Color tunable light-emitting diodes based on p+-Si/p-CuAlO<sub>2</sub>/n-ZnO nanorod array heterojunctions, *Applied Physics Letters*, 2010, **97**. DOI: 10.1063/1.3459963.
- 42 A. S. Reddy, H.-H. Park, G. M. Rao, S. Uthanna and P. S. Reddy, Effect of substrate temperature on the physical properties of dc magnetron sputtered CuAlO<sub>2</sub> films, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2009, **474**, 401–405.
- 43 H. F. Jiang, H. C. Lei, X. B. Zhu, G. Li, Z. R. Yang, W. H. Song, J. M. Dai, Y. P. Sun and Y. K. Fu, Effects of citric acid on properties of single phase CuAlO<sub>2</sub> thin films derived by chemical solution deposition, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2009, **487**, 404–408.
- 44 M. S. Prévot, X. A. Jeanbourquin, W. S. Bourée, F. Abdi, D. Friedrich, R. van de Krol, N. Guijarro, F. Le Formal and K. Sivula, Evaluating Charge Carrier Transport and Surface States in CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4952–4962.
- 45 M. S. Prévot, N. Guijarro and K. Sivula, Enhancing the Performance of a robust sol-gel-processed p-type delafossite CuFeO<sub>2</sub> photocathode for solar water reduction, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1359–1367.
- 46 S. Omeiri, B. Bellal, A. Bouguelia, Y. Bessekhouad and M. Trari, Electrochemical and photoelectrochemical characterization of



- CuFeO<sub>2</sub> single crystal, *J Solid State Electrochem*, 2009, **13**, 1395–1401.
- 47 M. A. Marquardt, N. A. Ashmore and D. P. Cann, Crystal chemistry and electrical properties of the delafossite structure, *Thin Solid Films*, 2006, **496**, 146–156.
- 48 R. Präg, M. Kölbach, F. F. Abdi, I. Y. Ahmet, M. Schleuning, D. Friedrich and R. van de Krol, Photoelectrochemical Properties of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes Prepared by Pulsed Laser Deposition, *Chem. Mater.*, 2024. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c00903.
- 49 M. S. Prévot, Y. Li, N. Guijarro and K. Sivula, Improving charge collection with delafossite photocathodes: a host–guest CuAlO<sub>2</sub>/CuFeO<sub>2</sub> approach, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 3018–3026.
- 50 J. Gu, A. Wuttig, J. W. Krizan, Y. Hu, Z. M. Detweiler, R. J. Cava and A. B. Bocarsly, Mg-Doped CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathodes for Photoelectrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 12415–12422.
- 51 D. Xiong, Y. Qi, X. Li, X. Liu, H. Tao, W. Chen and X. Zhao, Hydrothermal synthesis of delafossite CuFeO<sub>2</sub> crystals at 100 °C, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 49280–49286.
- 52 A. P. Amrute, Z. Łodziana, C. Mondelli, F. Krumeich and J. Pérez-Ramírez, Solid-State Chemistry of Cuprous Delafossites: Synthesis and Stability Aspects, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4423–4435.
- 53 Z. Deng, X. Fang, S. Wu, Y. Zhao, W. Dong, J. Shao and S. Wang, Structure and optoelectronic properties of Mg-doped CuFeO<sub>2</sub> thin films prepared by sol–gel method, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2013, **577**, 658–662.
- 54 C.-Q. Guo, H.-S. Zheng, J. Yang, X. Xiang and Z.-Y. Zhao, Photocatalytic-Fenton synergistic reaction of CuFeO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> heterostructure for tetracycline hydrochloride degradation, *Applied Surface Science*, 2025, **685**, 162063.
- 55 Q.-L. Liu, Z.-Y. Zhao, R.-D. Zhao and J.-H. Yi, Fundamental properties of delafossite CuFeO<sub>2</sub> as photocatalyst for solar energy conversion, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2020, **819**, 153032.
- 56 M. Ito, C. Izawa and T. Watanabe, Direct Fabrication of a CuFeO<sub>2</sub>/Fe Photocathode for Solar Hydrogen Production by Hydrothermal Method, *Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **46**, 814–816.
- 57 Y. J. Jang, Y. B. Park, H. E. Kim, Y. H. Choi, S. H. Choi and J. S. Lee, Oxygen-Intercalated CuFeO<sub>2</sub> Photocathode Fabricated by Hybrid Microwave Annealing for Efficient Solar Hydrogen Production, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6054–6061.
- 58 M. Ferri, J. D. Elliott, M. F. Camellone, S. Fabris and S. Piccinin, CuFeO<sub>2</sub>–Water Interface under Illumination: Structural, Electronic, and Catalytic Implications for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 1897–1910.
- 59 T. Shinagawa, W. Tachibori, T. Nishii and A. Ohtaka, One-pot hydrothermal synthesis of orientated delafossite CuFeO<sub>2</sub> films from a mildly acidic solution on substrates, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2023, **11**, 8616–8625. DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F
- 60 H. Xu, R. Wu, J.-Y. Zhang, W. Han, L. Chen, X. Liang, C. Y. Haw, P. Mazzolini, O. Bierwagen, D.-C. Qi and K. H. L. Zhang, Revealing the Electronic Structure and Optical Properties of CuFeO<sub>2</sub> as a p-Type Oxide Semiconductor, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.*, 2021, **3**, 1834–1841.
- 61 S. R. Nersesyan and S. G. Petrosyan, Depletion length and space charge layer capacitance in doped semiconductor nanosphere, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.*, 2012, **27**, 125009.
- 62 Y. Hermans, A. Klein, H. P. Sarker, M. N. Huda, H. Junge, T. Toupance and W. Jaegermann, Pinning of the Fermi Level in CuFeO<sub>2</sub> by Polaron Formation Limiting the Photovoltage for Photochemical Water Splitting, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2020, **30**. DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201910432.



Data for this article, including raw Raman, XRD, XPS, SECM, GC, and photoelectrochemical measurements together with analysis scripts, are available at Figshare at <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.30521615>. The dataset contains files in the following formats: XLSX (Excel spreadsheets), PSM (instrument output), TXT (plain text), PY (Python scripts), DAT (raw measurement files), VMS (instrument-specific data), SFF (spectroscopy files), XY (two-column data), and PNG (figures).

Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D6MA00088F

