

# Green Chemistry

Cutting-edge research for a greener sustainable future

Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online  
View Journal

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: E. Plut, R. Narobe, T. B. Therambil, M. N. Perner, S. Kissel, T. Horsten, N. Aust and S. R. Waldvogel, *Green Chem.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D6GC02190E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1. We report a mild, safe, sustainable, and operationally very simple electrochemical Clemmensen-type carbonyl deoxygenation method of various aryl aldehydes and ketones, including biomass-derived vanillin and furfural. Reaction proceeds without excessive reagents and hazardous reagent waste, like amalgamated zinc, hydrazine, or compressed hydrogen gas.
2. The efficient electrochemical process, employing formic acid as green solvent, reusable electrodes, and electricity as renewable reducing agent, showed good yields for the deoxygenation of more than 40 compounds in batch-type cells and excellent yields for the gram-scale deoxygenation reactions of vanillin and syringaldehyde in a flow electrolysis system. With its practicality, this process opens up an opportunity to obtain higher-value chemicals or fuel additives from simple biomass-derived carbonyl compounds.
3. In future, further developments in metal-free carbon-based electrodes as well as valorizing anodic counter reaction may be investigated. The preparative synthesis can be applied to the deoxygenation of many other types of organic compounds.

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D6GGC02190E



# Scalable Electrochemical Clemmensen-type Deoxygenation of Aromatic Aldehydes and Ketones

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/C02190EReceived 00th January 20xx,  
Accepted 00th January 20xxEva Plut,<sup>a</sup> Rok Narobe,<sup>a</sup> Theertha Babu Therambil,<sup>a</sup> Marcel Nicolas Perner,<sup>a</sup> Sebastian Kissel,<sup>a</sup> Tomas Horsten,<sup>a</sup> Nicola C. Aust,<sup>b</sup> Siegfried R. Waldvogel<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Deoxygenation is an important approach for the conversion of abundant, oxygen-rich biomass-based compounds into higher value-added chemicals, typically performed under harsh reaction conditions producing excessive amounts of reagent waste. We report a mild, operationally simple electrochemical deoxygenation method based on the use of an inexpensive zinc cathode in a 2-electrode setup using formic acid as a green solvent and source of protons. This straightforward approach allowed to efficiently deoxygenate a variety of aryl-substituted aldehydes and ketones, expanding the scope and efficiency of previous reports. Notable highlights in the scope are conversions of biogenic furfural, vanillin and syringaldehyde in good to excellent yields. The simple reaction conditions developed in batch were readily transferred to a more practically relevant flow setup and used for the preparative, multi-gram scale preparation of deoxygenated value-added products. Systematic studies showed good reusability of all components of the reaction setup, like electrodes.

## Introduction

Biomass-derived carbonyl compounds represent appealing platform chemicals due to their abundance and structural diversity. In particular, aromatic aldehydes from lignocellulosic biomass, such as furfural, vanillin, and syringaldehyde, offer promising opportunities for their valorisation into higher-value chemicals, materials, or fuel additives (Figure 1, left).<sup>1-5</sup>

Up to date, many impressive transformations have been developed from these biomass-derived aldehydes giving access to compounds utilized across multiple industries. However, some of their transformations remain challenging. Among them is the deoxygenative reduction of carbonyl- to methylene moiety (Figure 1, middle), which is particularly difficult for sensitive substrates like furanic compounds<sup>6-11</sup> due to their tendency to undergo hydrolysis<sup>12, 13</sup> or polymerization.<sup>14, 15</sup> Despite these challenges, the resulting 2-methylfuran has a market potential as a biofuel additive, and its further reduced products, tetrahydrofuran analogues and diols, are valuable compounds as solvents and precursors in polymer industry.<sup>16-19</sup> Therefore, the development of a sustainable, efficient, and chemoselective synthetic route for this transformation remains a high-value objective in modern synthetic chemistry.

The classical carbonyl deoxygenation protocols in organic chemistry, namely Wolff-Kishner and Clemmensen reductions,<sup>20, 21</sup> rely on the use of large excess of toxic reagents, and generally harsh reaction conditions. For example, Clemmensen reaction (Figure 1, right) requires highly toxic mercury-containing amalgamated zinc, as well as concentrated

and corrosive HCl. Such conditions do not represent only a safety risk and environmental concern, but also limit functional group tolerance, and thereby general applicability of the method (e.g., it is not suitable for furfural). A more general alternative approach is based on a two-step hydrodeoxygenation strategy (Figure 1, middle). Typically, it requires a presence of homogeneous or heterogeneous transition metal catalyst. They are often based on costly precious metals, along with elevated reaction temperature and high hydrogen pressure. This requires expensive and safety relevant lab set-up. Under these conditions undesirable side reactions such as dehalogenation with halo-substituted substrates, or saturation of multiple bonds and aromatic entities are not uncommon.<sup>22</sup>

Among modern approaches, electrosynthesis proved itself as especially powerful tool enabling the direct deoxygenation under mild conditions (Figure 1, right). In general, electrochemical methods provide unique advantages in terms of inherent process safety, sustainable use of resources, lower amount of generated metal waste, and the possibility to consume intermittent variable renewable energy and thereby stabilize the electrical grid.<sup>23-25</sup> The lower amount of metal waste generated does not only make the process more cost-efficient, but also lowers metal contamination of the products obtained and makes purifications less demanding.

Our group reported an example of benzamides and sulfoxide deoxygenations at a lead cathode already more than a decade ago.<sup>26, 27</sup> Recently, we reported a more sustainable example of direct deoxygenation of alkyl substituted ketones in an undivided cell under galvanostatic conditions by utilizing a leaded bronze cathode.<sup>28-31</sup> Other notable electrochemical methods for direct deoxygenation of aryl ketones, include reactions in undivided cells at graphite, nickel, or platinum cathodes.<sup>32-35</sup> Despite the significant progress, these methods are not compatible with aldehydes. These substrates were only tolerated in undivided setup when the system was relying on a dissolution of a sacrificial anode thereby generating significant amount of waste. The reaction also works only in fluorinated alcohol as solvent which limits its practicality for large-scale applications.<sup>36</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Stiftstraße 34–36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany. Email: siegfried.waldvogel@cec.mpg.de; TEL: +49 208/306-3131

<sup>b</sup> BASF SE, 67056 Ludwigshafen, Germany.

<sup>c</sup> Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Biological and Chemical Systems – Functional Molecular Systems (IBCS-FMS), Kaiserstraße 12, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: General procedures and analytical data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x





**Figure 1.** Aldehydes derived from woody biomass found in agricultural waste (left). Reduction pathways of aldehyde group of furfural: two-step hydrodeoxygenation and direct deoxygenation (middle). Classical Clemmensen and electrochemical approach to deoxygenation (right).

Alternatively, using a divided cell, the direct deoxygenation was performed under potentiostatic conditions using zinc as cathode material, which was reported by Choi group.<sup>37</sup> While effective for aryl aldehydes, the method operates at low substrate concentrations in strongly acidic aqueous media and requires NaClO<sub>4</sub> as supporting electrolyte.

Beyond vanillin and syringaldehyde, the deoxygenation of furfural derivatives is of huge interest, yet remains challenging. Few representative reports include the successful work on deoxygenation of furfural, mostly on Cu electrode. However, these methods are not focused on the synthetic preparative aspect of the electrolysis.<sup>38-42</sup>

Despite all the impressive developments in the cathodic deoxygenations, we recognized a need for a general scalable electrochemical method applicable to aldehydes, and within this class especially biomass-derived aldehydes. To address this challenge, we report a general and synthetically useful Clemmensen-type electrochemical deoxygenation of both aldehyde and ketone functional groups. This transformation is performed on a readily available zinc cathode and employs formic acid as the solvent. Importantly, the reaction proceeds efficiently under ambient conditions, offering a practical and operationally simple approach. To support claims of scalability and practicality, we present a development from milligram scale in screening batch-type cells to multi-gram preparative scale in a flow reactor together with product isolation protocols, kinetic studies, as well as electrode reusability studies.

## Results and discussion

### Screening in divided batch-type cell


With scale-up considerations in mind, the deoxygenation was optimized in a simple 2-electrode setup under galvanostatic conditions.<sup>43</sup> As a test substrate 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (**1a**) was chosen, conveniently allowing the analysis by <sup>19</sup>F NMR of crude reaction mixtures in the initial screening. Moreover, halo substituted substrates are considered challenging as they showed only limited success in the previous electrochemical deoxygenation studies.<sup>44</sup>

To expand the scope of prior methods, we selected formic acid as a solvent for its ability to donate protons, form hydrogen

bonds, and thus activate the carbonyl group and stabilize intermediates, while also serving as conductive medium. Green routes to formic acid are the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide or the biomass conversion methods.<sup>45, 46</sup> A successful cathodic deoxygenation of **1a** under galvanostatic conditions was indeed achieved in a divided batch-type screening cell with Zn as a cathode,<sup>47</sup> 10 F of amount of applied charge at 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> of current density with sodium formate as a supporting electrolyte (78% yield, Table 1). The test reaction displayed high selectivity, providing only 10% yield of 4-fluorobenzyl formate (**1c**) aside from the formation of desired **1b** (Table 1). Accordingly, this corresponds to an 88% selectivity for **1b** over **1c**. Moreover, no pinacol by-product was detected under these electrochemical conditions (Table 1). All the initial reactions were conducted in a divided-type cell using sulfonic acid-based cation exchange membrane (Nafion™ N324) as a separator to avoid undesired oxidative transformations of the starting material **1a** lowering the yield of **1b** (Entry 2, Table 1). Indeed, the control experiment in an undivided cell furnished trace amount of the desired **1b** (6% yield) in addition to the byproducts **1c** in 10% yield, pinacol **1d** in 16% yield, and other products, likely resulting from anodic oxidative transformations (Table 1). Alternatively, glass frit can be used as a separator giving slightly decreased yield of 68% (Entry 3).

Other cathode materials, like stainless steel, copper or leaded bronze (CuSn7Pb15) were found to yield only trace amount of the product (1%, Entry 4, Table 1 and Table S1). Low yields for Cu and CuSn7Pb15 are especially surprising as they have been previously reported in deoxygenated reduction reactions of alkyl and aryl carbonyl groups.<sup>28, 37, 48, 49</sup> No formation of **1b** was observed when nickel foam or boron-doped diamond (BDD) were used as the cathodes (Entry 5, Table 1). The reaction without the supporting electrolyte HCOONa in the catholyte led to overheating due to poorer conductivity, although the reaction yield remained almost unaffected (74% yield, Entry 6, Table 1). Other supporting or strong electrolytes, such as NBu<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, HCOOK, had a slightly detrimental effect on the yield of **1b** (Entry 7 in Table 1), with NEt<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> being the only supporting electrolyte to yield **1b** in 77% (see Table S1). Lowering the amount of NEt<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> in the catholyte decreased the yield slightly to 72% (see Table S1), demonstrating that alkyl



**Table 1.** Electrochemical deoxygenation of **1a**.<sup>a</sup>View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D6GC02190E

Entry	Deviation from standard conditions	Yield <b>1b</b> [%]	Yield <b>1c</b> [%]	Yield <b>1d</b> [%]
1	None	78	10	0
2	Undivided cell	6	10	16
3	Glass frit as a separator instead of Nafion™ N324	68	7	6
4	Stainless steel, Cu, CuSn7Pb15 as cathode	1	3–15	0–30
5	Ni foam, BDD as cathode	0	1–11	0–25
6	No supporting electrolyte in catholyte	74	11	0
7	NBu <sub>4</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> , NEt <sub>4</sub> BF <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , HCOOK instead of HCOONa	66–77	13–24	0
8	BDD, graphite, DSA or GC as anode	72–77	8–13	0
9	24 h without electricity	1	2	0

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: anolyte 2.8 mmol HCOONa in 7 mL formic acid, catholyte 0.35 mmol 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (**1a**) and 2.8 mmol HCOONa in 7 mL formic acid. Reactions performed using platinum anode and zinc cathode, 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 F in divided cell (Nafion™ N324) at 30 °C under air. Reported current density is calculated based on the geometric area of the electrode. <sup>1</sup>H NMR yields were determined using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. Further details are reported in Table S1. BDD, boron-doped diamond; DSA, dimensionally stable anode (IrO<sub>2</sub> on Ti); GC, glassy carbon.

ammonium salts are a suitable alternative to alkali formates for this type of transformation. We continued with sodium formate as the supporting electrolyte of choice due to its low cost and simple subsequent purification process.

The clean decarboxylative counter reaction of sodium formate in the anolyte (Scheme S1) worked well with multiple electrodes.<sup>50, 51</sup> Of the anodes tested, glassy carbon (GC) provided a result comparable to Pt, but the electrode was not used further due to colour changes on the surface upon a single electrolysis (Entry 8, Table 1). Other electrodes like BDD,<sup>52, 53</sup> dimensionally stable anode (DSA, IrO<sub>2</sub> on Ti),<sup>54, 55</sup> and graphite gave approximately 5% lower yields. Therefore, we continued using Pt as anode.

Lastly, the control experiment conducted without electricity and 12-fold longer reaction time revealed only traces of conversion to **1b** (1%, Entry 9, Table 1). A control electrochemical reaction starting from 4-fluorobenzyl alcohol (**1c'**) did not yield any **1b** ruling out **1c'** as an intermediate (Scheme S3).

### Influence of solvent mixtures

With the optimized reaction conditions described in Table 1, the influence of solvent mixtures was investigated (Figure 2). The aim of these experiments was to identify compounds applicable to substrates that are either insoluble in pure formic acid or sensitive to the acidity of the electrolyte system. When formic acid was diluted with water, the yields of **1b** decreased: the larger the amount of water, the lower the yield (Figure 2A). Apart from the lowest yield of the deoxygenation, the mixture HCOOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (25:75, v/v%) gave also the highest yield of hydrogenation product 4-fluorobenzyl alcohol (**1c'**), which, under these reaction conditions, was not immediately esterified to the corresponding 4-fluorobenzyl formate (**1c**).


The 1:1 mixtures of formic acid with acetonitrile instead of water showed higher yields (64%). However, still 10% lower yield than the reaction in formic acid (Figure 2B). Similar observation was made with the 2-butanol<sup>56</sup> (62% yield), but not with MeOH as solvent which resulted in significantly lower yield of 33%. The significant difference in yields could be attributed to hindered formation of the acetal in the case of sterically bulkier 2-butanol.

Based on these results, we tested the best performing cosolvent acetonitrile in different ratios with formic acid. With progressively higher amount of acetonitrile, the yields drop from 78% in pure acid to 56% of **1b** in formic acid-acetonitrile mixture (20:80). Formic acid-acetonitrile mixture (80:20) turned out to give high 76% yield, which gives the opportunity to react also compounds that are poorly soluble in pure formic acid.

The superiority of formic acid for the deoxygenation-type reactions is proven when compared to the reaction using acetic acid (Figure 2D). In strong contrast to formic acid, the acetic acid system required the addition of water or another supporting electrolyte to achieve the desired constant current. To compare the reaction yields in formic and acetic acid, the optimized reaction conditions were slightly adapted to account for low NaOAc solubility and therefore poor conductivity (7 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and NBu<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> instead of 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and HCOONa). The results show that the medium with higher pH was working selectively good only for hydrogenation to the alcohol **1c'** (64% yield) but not for hydrogenolysis to the **1b** (27% yield).

Notably, no signs of zinc electrode decomposition caused by electrolysis were observed in either pure formic acid or any of the tested solvent mixtures.





**Figure 2.** Influence of solvent mixtures with formic acid on the yield of reductions (A-D): different amounts of H<sub>2</sub>O (A), different organic solvents (B), different amounts of MeCN (C), comparison of reactions at 7 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> in aqueous solutions of formic and acetic acid, with NBu<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> as a supporting electrolyte (D). In the case where acetic acid was used, 4-fluorobenzyl acetate was formed instead of 4-fluorobenzyl formate.

### Design of Experiments

With the use of full factorial 2<sup>3</sup> Design of experiments (DoE),<sup>57</sup> the influence of the electrochemical parameters on the yield of **1b** was systematically investigated.

The ranges for the selected parameters—amount of applied charge, current density, and amount of **1a**—were broadly set at 4–10 *F*, 3–33 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, and 0.2–1.8 mmol (0.03–0.26 M), respectively (Tables S2–3). The optimal electrolysis parameters for the batch-type reaction, which experimentally gave 79% yield (Table S4), were found to be: 10 *F* of amount of applied charge, 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> of current density, and 0.2 mmol of **1a** (Figure S4). As seen from the Pareto Chart (Figure S4), the highest statistically significant influence on the reaction yield came from the two cross-interactions of current density-concentration and amount of applied charge-concentration. The concentration alone was also found to have statistically significant influence.

Based on the results obtained, we decided to use the highest amount of applied charge, 10 *F*, and optimal current density of 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>. Although, according to the DoE, the most diluted solution (0.03 M) is giving the highest yield, we continued our investigations with the more practical and slightly increased concentration of starting material **1a** (0.05 M), with which we still observed comparably high yields of the transformations as described below.


### Synthetic application

With the optimized conditions in hand, the general applicability of the method was systematically evaluated on different organic carbonyl compounds (Scheme 1). Firstly, the effect of the position of fluorine substituent on the aromatic ring was investigated: *p*- and *o*-fluorobenzaldehydes (**1a** and **2a**) gave better reaction yields (78% and 56%), whereas electrolysis of *m*-fluorobenzaldehyde (**3a**) resulted in 30% yield of **3b**. Similar to the test substrate **1a**, other halogenated *p*-Cl and *p*-Br benzaldehydes could also be deoxygenated with around 50% yield (**4b** and **5b**), with notably only 4-iodobenzaldehyde (**6a**) showing loss of the halo substituents but in a low degree. When the compounds with one electron-releasing group were subjected to the reaction conditions, the observed yields were significantly higher. For example, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde was deoxygenated in almost quantitative 97% yield (**7b**). For benzyl-

protected hydroxyl group the deoxygenation yield remained high (87% for **8b**). This example shows complementarity of the developed method and classical hydrogenation conditions, under which the benzylic protecting group is commonly cleaved. Moreover, the benzoyl ester group, which is not stable under Wolff-Kishner reaction conditions, was tolerated as well, with 75% yield of compound **9b**. Tosylated 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde reacted with 64% yield of **10b** and higher amount of unwanted ester **10c**. The high yield of **11b** (95%) demonstrates the remarkable tolerance of the double bond under the applied reaction conditions. Notably, reactions with the biomass-derived benzaldehydes worked exceptionally well under the developed electrochemical conditions. Because of their electron-rich nature, observed <sup>1</sup>H NMR yields were all above 90% (**12b–19b**). This includes vanillin and syringaldehyde, which showed isolated yields of 85% for **15b** and 81% for **17b**, respectively. Benzaldehyde containing the alkyl moiety, namely isopropyl entity, furnished the product **22b** with a yield of 66%, while the aldehyde containing biphenyl moiety underwent deoxygenation with a **23b** yield of only 17%. In the case of amine-substituted substrates (**24a** and **25a**), the acidic media leads to the turning of the otherwise electron-releasing group, basic tertiary amine, into a protonated and thereby electron-withdrawing group, which makes reduction to alcohol more likely.<sup>44</sup> In these cases, the observed deoxygenation yields were therefore slightly lower (51% and 40% for **24b** and **25b**, respectively). With strongly electron-withdrawing carbonyl ester substituent, we observed only hydrogenation product **26c** in high 90% yield.

A special emphasis was put on the heterocycles furfural (**27a**) and 2-thiophenecarboxaldehyde (**28a**). These required some additional optimization of reaction solvents due to their instability in neat formic acid (Figures S6 and S7). The successful deoxygenations were obtained with the 1:1 mixtures of formic acid with either acetonitrile or 2-butanol. This way, 54% or 61% of 2-methylfuran (**27b**), and 43% or 49% of 2-methylthiophene (**28b**) were achieved, respectively. The deoxygenation reaction of furfural (**27a**) also worked in 1:1 mixtures of formic acid with green solvents dimethyl carbonate (50%) and 2-MeTHF (63%, Figure S6). The method worked also with indole-3-carbaldehyde (**29a**), a biologically active metabolite, giving skatole (**29b**) in 60% yield.





**Scheme 1.** The synthetic scope of the developed electrochemical deoxygenation.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: anolyte 2.8 mmol HCOONa in 7 mL formic acid, catholyte 0.35 mmol carbonyl substrate and 2.8 mmol HCOONa in 7 mL formic acid. Reactions performed using platinum anode and zinc cathode, 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 F in divided cell (Nafion™ N324) at 30 °C under air. Reported current density is calculated based on the geometric area of the electrode. <sup>b</sup><sup>1</sup>H NMR yields were determined using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. <sup>c</sup>Reported are isolated yields. <sup>d</sup>Formic acid/MeCN (1:1). <sup>e</sup>Formic acid/2-butanol (1:1). <sup>e</sup>Addition of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (1.3 equiv) in formic acid/MeCN (4:1), not isolated.

Our method is not limited only to aldehydes, the carbonyl group of ketones is also a suitable structural motif for deoxygenation. The deoxygenation of acetophenone **30a** and its fluorinated derivative **31a** proceeded well, giving very good yields (71% and 88%, respectively). Similarly, as observed before with aldehydes, electron-releasing hydroxyl group on *para* position was also beneficial for the deoxygenation of acetophenone, which resulted in 93% yield of **32b**. Similarly, protected 4-hydroxyacetophenones underwent deoxygenation in 82% and 69% yield (**33b** and **34b**). Meanwhile, structurally more rigid tetralones **36a** and **37a** gave around 20% yield of deoxygenation to tetralin derivatives **36b** and **37b**, but when the solvent mixture contained 1:1 formic acid/acetonitrile, the solubility of **37a** improved and the yield of transformation increased (46% for **37b** and 37% for **37c**). Sterically bulkier hydroxybenzophenone was deoxygenated in 76% yield (**38b**),

but anthracenone showed diminished reactivity with only 29% yield of deoxygenation to product **39b**.

Chemoselectivity studies revealed that amidated acetophenone underwent the reaction to afford **40b** in 39% yield, while the ester moiety in **41a** was particularly well tolerated, as the deoxygenation yielded **41b** in 69% yield, with the remaining material recovered as unreacted starting material.

Similarly to the previously observed trend with basic amines, also 2-acetylpyridine (**42a**) underwent protonation of the pyridine ring resulting in the change of selectivity towards hydrogenation product ester **42c**, which was obtained in 98% yield.

Interestingly, the reaction still proceeded when the carbonyl group was shifted from the alpha position to the delta position, relative to the aromatic ring, although the yield decreased with increasing distance (from 78% yield for compound **43b** to 7% for **46b**). The lower reactivity observed for aliphatic aldehydes



could result either from more difficult substrate reduction and the predominance of hydrogen evolution, or from the lower stability of the reaction intermediates. Reaction with alkyl ketone **47a** worked only with the addition of  $\text{AlCl}_3$ .

For comparison, we selected three representative scope examples and evaluated our reaction system against literature reported electrolyte systems under galvanostatic conditions.<sup>28, 37, 44</sup> In this comparison, our system afforded higher deoxygenation yields for all three substrates: 4-fluorobenzaldehyde, vanillin, and furfural (Figures S8–S11) following the general reactivity pattern consistent with the reactivity reported by the Choi group. Electron-donating substituents are leading to deoxygenation, while electron-withdrawing substituents accelerate alcohol formation due to enhanced stabilization of the corresponding reaction transition state.<sup>44</sup> Importantly, comparing the selectivity of these two pathways, in all the cases our system exhibited significantly higher deoxygenation selectivity over hydrogenation than previously reported solvent system (Figures S9 and S11). The exact origin of the enhanced deoxygenation selectivity in our system remains unclear at this stage. Our voltammetric studies showed reduction of benzylic carbonyls prior to proton reduction, together with slightly suppressed hydrogen evolution, indicating interaction of the intermediates with the zinc surface (Plot S1). The nature of the subsequent transformation remains uncertain. Notably, none of the investigated scope examples showed insertion or dimerization by-products that would support the carbene-type mechanism under our conditions as previously proposed in the report by Liu.<sup>36</sup>

Overall, the showcased stability of halo substituents, ethers, alkene, esters, benzyl, sulfonyl, phenol, amide, and amine functional groups as well as heterocycles suggests that the reported method is indeed mild and broadly applicable.

### Stability of electrodes and surface analysis

For the use of zinc as a cathode material in a large-scale technical electrolyser, the reaction reproducibility and the electrode stability become very important factors. To get this information, we conducted a systematic study by running eight consecutive reactions in a batch-type set up, and monitored the surface changes with scanning electron microscopy (SEM). During the experiments sequence with rinsing and without polishing of the zinc cathode after each run, zinc proved to be reliable and stable material for the reported hydrogenolysis of


4-fluorobenzaldehyde (**1a**). Performing eight consecutive reactions with the same, previously unused, zinc electrode showed minor fluctuations in yields (76%–82%) of **1b** and unchanged ratios between **1b** and **1c** (Figure S12).

The yield did not change even when the sequence of eight experiments was repeated with a zinc electrode that was previously already used for at least 20 times (Figure S12). Although the results show that the electrode polishing prior to the reaction is not necessary for obtaining the reproducible yields, the SEM images show some changes on the surface of the material after the electrolysis (Figure 3D). These changes resemble the surface of the electrode after the control experiment without electricity (Figure 3C). The surface of the electrode is restored to its initial appearance after gentle polishing with sandpaper (Figure 3F).

Interestingly, upon introducing  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  as an additive (1 equiv), during the electrolysis, the product selectivity changed significantly: the hydrogenolysis yield decreased to 44% yield of **1b**, while the hydrogenation yield increased to 34% yield of **1c**, effectively resulting in a drop in overall selectivity from 88% to 56% (Table S5). However, in this experiment the cathode surface noticeably changed, getting covered with large chunky zinc deposits as expected. This suggests that if  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  appears in the solution; under the applied potential during electrolysis,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is reduced to  $\text{Zn}^0$ , and redeposited back onto the electrode. These findings are consistent with the ICP-OES analysis reported on a similar system by the Choi group, which shows only negligible amount of  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions in the solution after the electrochemical reaction.<sup>37</sup> Under the electrolysis conditions, the electrode remains largely intact over time as a consequence of the applied potential which is preventing the dissolution.


### Transfer to flow electrolysis

In order to make the reaction more scalable and practically relevant, the process was transferred from batch-type cells to a continuous flow electrolysis setup. The benefits of flow include more efficient mass transport, heat dissipation, and decreased ohmic resistance of the cell, which results in a lower cell operating voltage.<sup>58–63</sup> Consequently, a flow-based electrolysis system provides a more robust and cost-efficient platform for reproducible scale-up. The method was developed in a divided-cell flow-type system operated in recirculating mode, employing a cathode with a 12  $\text{cm}^2$  surface area (Table S7, see SI for technical data).



**Figure 3.** SEM images of zinc electrodes before and after electrolysis (A–F). Zn electrode as received (A). Zn electrode after first polishing (B). Zn electrode after being in a reaction solution without applied electricity (C). Zn electrode after electrolysis (D). Zn electrode after reaction performed with  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  as an additive (E). Zn electrode after electrolysis and electrode preparation (F).





**Figure 4.** Yield of **15b** as a function of amount of applied charge under different current densities (A). Kinetic study (B) and reaction reproducibility at 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (C) in flow. Different synthetic examples (D). Preparative gram-scale experiments (E). Assembled divided flow-type cell and a flow-type system operated in recirculating mode. Scale given in cm (F).

The first experiments in flow were conducted using vanillin (**15a**) as a starting material since it is an inexpensive, biomass-derived compound,<sup>64, 65</sup> and its deoxygenated product creosol (**15b**) has its use as flavour and fragrance agent.<sup>66, 67</sup> Notably, all experiments described below were conducted without the addition of a supporting electrolyte in the catholyte, as the low interelectrode gap enabled sufficiently high conductivity.

Transferring the reaction parameter from batch to flow directly, without any additional optimization resulted in slightly diminished yields of **15b** compared to batch-type electrolysis (83% vs. 98%, respectively, see Table S7 and Scheme 1). Next, the focus of additional optimization efforts in flow was on improving the yield and practicality of the system by increasing the concentration and current density. The first has influence on lower consumption of solvents during electrolysis and easier work up, and the latter on the yield by electrolysis time and thereby productivity. Relative to the batch-type conditions, the vanillin (**15a**) concentration was scaled by factors 2, 4, and 8 (Entries 1–4, Table S7), while the applied current was similarly increased by 2-, 4-, 6-, and 8-fold (Entries 4–8, Table S7). Increasing the substrate concentration led to a pronounced improvement in **15b** yield, reaching up to 97% yield (Entry 4, Table S7). More importantly, current density could be raised substantially to 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> while maintaining a high yield of 94% (Entry 6, Table S7). Further increases of current densities proved to be detrimental for current efficiency, as evidenced by a yield decrease (85% yield of **15b** for 120 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, Table S7).

#### Reaction kinetic study and reproducibility in flow

Encouraged by the excellent performance observed in the flow cell, we conducted a systematic evaluation of reaction kinetics and compared the results with two different current densities: 15 and 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> at the highest investigated concentration 0.40 M (Figure 4A and S13). The monitoring of product buildup over time was performed to provide the insights into the reaction rate, as well as the current efficiency.

The kinetic plot reveals that the same amount of **15b** (48%) is synthesized in the first 2 F, no matter if 15 or 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> are used. With the increasing amount of applied charge, the differences in the yield of **15b** became more significant depending on the selected current density. At 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, conditions turned out to be optimal for obtaining the highest yield and at 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, optimal for high productivity (Figures 4A,B and S14,15).

The obtained kinetic plot at 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, shown in Figure 4B, also indicates that the maximum current efficiency is achieved at 2 F (96%), which gradually decreases with more amount of applied charge. Upon passage of 4 F, the theoretical requirement for the deoxygenation reaction, the yield of the **15b** reaches 89%. However, 4 F is insufficient for complete conversion, as also observed in the DoE study, where a significant amount of starting material **1a** remained unreacted in batch-type cell (Table S3). To achieve full conversion for the envisioned large-scale synthesis, the amount of applied charge was increased, with the maximum yield (99% for **15b**) obtained after applying 7 F (Figure 4B). Further increase of the amount of applied charge beyond 7 F did not improve the yield and only led to hydrogen formation. Similar as in batch, we continued to



study the electrode reusability and reaction reproducibility. Consecutive reactions were carried out in a flow cell, with the cell being rinsed between each run, and the flow set-up disassembled for inspection between the fifth and sixth runs. Over the course of six consecutive reactions, the hydrogenolysis yield remained consistently high (97–99%, Figure 4C), highlighting both the outstanding durability of the electrode and the reproducibility of the process. In the case of reproducibility study at higher current density 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 F of applied charge were needed for the average yield of 94% for **15b**, however, resulting in lower current efficiency as with 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> (56% vs 38%, Table S8). The reaction was well reproducible also using higher current density (Figure S15). The conditions in flow are quite general and can be applied with small modification to different examples leading to yields in the comparable range as in batch-type cell (Figure 4D, Tables S10–12).

### Multigram-scale flow electrolysis

Following the optimization, we tested the stability and durability of the system in a multi-hour preparative scale experiment. We increased the amount of starting material from 2.8 to 40 mmol and used the optimized electrochemical conditions without any additional supporting electrolyte in the catholyte.


To circumvent the long reaction time but keep the good current efficiency, we decided to apply dynamic current density (Conditions 1: (1) 2 F, 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, (2) 2 F, 30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, and (3) 3 F, 15 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>).

Simultaneously, conditions 2 (10 F, 60 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, Figure 4E) were tested to compare current efficiencies and productivities for deoxygenation of both **15a** and **17a**.

The electrolysis proceeded smoothly, with no technical issues or fluctuations in cell voltage observed during the 15- and 27-hour experiments. (Figures S16, S17). All the components of the system (e.g. tubing, membrane, cell, electrodes; Figure 4F) endured the electrolysis without any noticeable visual signs of wear. The products **15b** and **17b**, both known flavouring substances,<sup>67</sup> were obtained in comparable conversions to smaller scale experiments. After the reaction, the easy purification followed: first, the majority of formic acid was removed in vacuo and could be recycled after the reaction, the remaining aldehyde (main impurity, about 5%) was removed by the aqueous metabisulfite wash,<sup>68</sup> and no column chromatography was needed to obtain 90% and 88% of isolated pure product **15b** under conditions 1 and 2, respectively (Figure 4E), successfully demonstrating the scalability of the electrolysis and downstream processing.

In a similar way, **17a** was deoxygenated using conditions 1 and 2 (Figure 4E, and Tables S9,14). The electrolysis and consecutive isolation easily furnished 89% and 79% of pure **17b**, respectively.

Overall, the efficiencies were superior under conditions 1, while conditions 2 resulted in peak productivity. Compared to the reaction conditions in the literature, both conditions 1 and 2 show higher current efficiency and practicality (Table S15).



**Figure 5.** Radar chart comparing the green chemistry aspects of our method with the classical Clemmensen protocol.

Finally, large preparative gram-scale electrolysis protocol was compared to a classical Clemmensen reduction of **15a** and evaluated for sustainability (for a detailed evaluation, see ESI Chapter 13). The reported electrochemical process aligns well with the principles of green chemistry, not only because of reusability of the electrodes, and recyclable green solvent, but also because of lower environmental pollution and higher safety compared to the classical reduction (Figure 5).

### Conclusions

In summary, we have established a novel, mild, efficient, and operationally simple protocol for electrochemical Clemmensen-type carbonyl hydrogenolysis under galvanostatic conditions. The electrolysis is based on the use of commercially available and inexpensive Zn cathode that can be reused many times without any significant drop of catalytic activity despite some surface changes. In contrast to other electrochemical methods, our protocol does not rely on aqueous media but uses formic acid as a green solvent and proton source. Importantly, this strategy allowed the substrate scope of previous methods to be expanded to various benzaldehyde derivatives, including also those bearing electron-withdrawing substituents (altogether 45 examples). Notable highlight in the scope of the method are multiple abundant biomass-derived aldehydes including vanillin and furfural. In general, our method shows better yield, selectivity, and current efficiency than previously reported methods. Moreover, the method was readily transferred from batch to flow-type setup. Importantly, this setup allowed us to perform electrolysis without any additives in the catholyte compartment due to lower cell resistance. Multi-hour stable operation and potential for further scale up was demonstrated by successful multigram-scale electrolysis for preparation of two flavour chemicals creosol and 4-methylsyringol. These findings provide a solid framework for future practical application of more sustainable deoxygenation strategies.



## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support by the BMFTR in the frame of the Cluster4Future ETOS by the project KADIOL (FKZ 03ZU1205CC). The authors gratefully acknowledge technical support of the mechanical workshops at MPI CEC. Support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - 510228793 – SFB 1633 Project A06 is highly appreciated. We also thank Dr. Avra Tzaguy for his experimental support in voltammetric studies. The authors acknowledge the use of DeepL Write and ChatGPT (GPT-5, OpenAI) for grammar checking and improving the clarity of the manuscript text, and generation of the AI-based image of agricultural waste. All scientific content and interpretations were performed by the authors.

## Notes and references

- G. Xu, X. Chen, W. Xu, Q. Shi, Y. Lu and W. Liu, *Green Chem.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D5GC06399J.
- O. He, B. A. Smith, P. Champagne, P. G. Jessop and H. Yu, *Green Chem.*, 2025, **27**, 14436–14477.
- G. Qiang, M. F. Ansari, Z. Sun and S. Elangovan, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2024, **366**, 4805–4834.
- F. W. S. Lucas, R. G. Grim, S. A. Tacey, C. A. Downes, J. Hasse, A. M. Roman, C. A. Farberow, J. A. Schaidle and A. Holewinski, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, **6**, 1205–1270.
- G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4044–4098.
- H. Wen, W. Zhang, Z. Fan and Z. Chen, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 15263–15289.
- R. Mariscal, P. Maireles-Torres, M. Ojeda, I. Sádaba and M. López Granados, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 1144–1189.
- C. Xu, E. Paone, D. Rodríguez-Padrón, R. Luque and F. Mauriello, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 4273–4306.
- Y. Gao, L. Ge, H. Xu, K. Davey, Y. Zheng and S.-Z. Qiao, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 11204–11231.
- J. Carneiro and E. Nikolla, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.*, 2019, **10**, 85–104.
- C. H. Lam, W. Deng, L. Lang, X. Jin, X. Hu and Y. Wang, *Energy Fuels*, 2020, **34**, 7915–7928.
- J. Horvat, B. Klaić, B. Metelko and V. Šunjić, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1985, **26**, 2111–2114.
- J. J. Roylance and K.-S. Choi, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 2956–2960.
- E. de Jong, M. Mascal, S. Constant, T. Claessen, P. Tosi and A. Mija, *Green Chem.*, 2025, **27**, 3136–3166.
- A. S. Chauhan, O. Singh and P. Das, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2025, **9**, 4775–4792.
- G. Hayes, M. Laurel, D. MacKinnon, T. Zhao, H. A. Houck and C. R. Becer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **123**, 2609–2734.
- R. Bijoy, P. Agarwala, L. Roy and B. N. Thorat, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2022, **26**, 480–492.
- A. Tuan Hoang and V. Viet Pham, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2021, **148**, 111265.
- J.-j. Tan, Y.-h. Su, K. Gao, J.-l. Cui, Y.-z. Wang and Y.-x. Zhao, *J. Fuel Chem. Technol.*, 2021, **49**, 780–790.
- L. Wolff, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1912, **394**, 86–108.
- E. Clemmensen, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1913, **46**, 1837–1843.
- V. S. Prabhudesai, L. Gurralla and R. Vinu, *Energy Fuels*, 2022, **36**, 1155–1188.
- D. Pollok and S. R. Waldvogel, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 12386–12400.
- A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, E. Rodrigo, M. Zirbes and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5594–5619.
- S. Möhle, M. Zirbes, E. Rodrigo, T. Gieshoff, A. Wiebe and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6018–6041.
- C. Edinger and S. R. Waldvogel, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **2014**, 5144–5148.
- J. Kulisch, M. Nieger, F. Stecker, A. Fischer and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5564–5567.
- S. Kissel, M. N. Perner, R. Narobe, K. Hochadel, M. Klein, B. Cezanne, P. Schnieders, V. Derau and S. R. Waldvogel, *Green Chem.*, 2025, **27**, 10801–10807.
- C. Gütz, V. Grimaudo, M. Holtkamp, M. Hartmer, J. Werra, L. Frensemeier, A. Kehl, U. Karst, P. Broekmann and S. R. Waldvogel, *ChemElectroChem*, 2018, **5**, 247–252.
- V. Grimaudo, P. Moreno-García, A. Riedo, S. Meyer, M. Tulej, M. B. Neuland, M. Mohos, C. Gütz, S. R. Waldvogel, P. Wurz and P. Broekmann, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 1632–1641.
- C. Gütz, M. Selt, M. Bänziger, C. Bucher, C. Römelt, N. Hecken, F. Gallou, T. R. Galvão and S. R. Waldvogel, *Chemistry – A European Journal*, 2015, **21**, 13878–13882.



32. C. Bi, X. Zhao, Z. Jia, Y. Chang, H. Yang, M. Song, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang and G. Qing, *ChemCatChem*, 2023, **15**, e202300258.
33. K. Sun, Z. Xu, V. Ramadoss, L. Tian and Y. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 11155–11158.
34. Y. Wang, J. Zhao, T. Qiao, J. Zhang and G. Chen, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 3297–3302.
35. J. Li, L. He, X. Liu, X. Cheng and G. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 1759–1763.
36. Z. Sun, R. Ji, J. Wu, J. Zhao, F. Fang, F. Wang, C. Jiang and Z.-Q. Liu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2023, **365**, 476–481.
37. X. Yuan, K. Lee, J. B. Eisenberg, J. R. Schmidt and K.-S. Choi, *Nat. Catal.*, 2024, **7**, 43–54.
38. A. S. Chauhan, O. Singh, Amika, R. Sharma, D. Kumar, D. Kumar and A. Dhanola, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2025, **10**, 2201–2224.
39. M. Jiang, J. Tan, Y. Chen, W. Zhang, P. Chen, Y. Tang and Q. Gao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 3103–3106.
40. M. T. Bender, X. Yuan, M. K. Goetz and K.-S. Choi, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 12349–12368.
41. A. S. May and E. J. Biddinger, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 3212–3221.
42. Y. Kwon, K. J. P. Schouten, J. C. van der Waal, E. de Jong and M. T. M. Koper, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6704–6717.
43. J. Seidler, J. Strugatchi, T. Gärtner and S. R. Waldvogel, *MRS Energy Sustain.*, 2021, **7**, 42.
44. J. B. Eisenberg, K. Lee, X. Yuan, J. R. Schmidt and K.-S. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 15309–15319.
45. N. Yang, S. R. Waldvogel and X. Jiang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 28357–28371.
46. F. Shen, R. L. Smith Jr, J. Li, H. Guo, X. Zhang and X. Qi, *Green Chem.*, 2021, **23**, 1536–1561.
47. C. Gütz, B. Klöckner and S. R. Waldvogel, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2016, **20**, 26–32.
48. X. Yuan, K. Lee, J. R. Schmidt and K.-S. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 20473–20484.
49. A. S. May, S. M. Watt and E. J. Biddinger, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **6**, 2075–2086.
50. M. Klein and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202204140.
51. S. B. Beil, D. Pollok and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 14750–14759.
52. S. Lips and S. R. Waldvogel, *ChemElectroChem*, 2019, **6**, 1649–1660.
53. S. R. Waldvogel, S. Mentizi and A. Kirste, in *Radicals in Synthesis III*, eds. M. Heinrich and A. Gansäuer, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, DOI: 10.1007/128\_2011\_125, p. 1–31. DOI: 10.1039/D6GC02190E
54. F. Sprang, J. D. Herszman and S. R. Waldvogel, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 5116–5124.
55. Q. Ma and S. Mu, *Interdiscip. Mater.*, 2023, **2**, 53–90.
56. Y. Deng, R. Gao, L. Lin, T. Liu, X.-D. Wen, S. Wang and D. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14481–14489.
57. M. Dörr, M. M. Hielscher, J. Proppe and S. R. Waldvogel, *ChemElectroChem*, 2021, **8**, 2621–2629.
58. V. Hessel, D. Kralisch, N. Kockmann, T. Noël and Q. Wang, *ChemSusChem*, 2013, **6**, 746–789.
59. T. Noël, Y. Cao and G. Laudadio, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2858–2869.
60. M. C. Leech, A. D. Garcia, A. Petti, A. P. Dobbs and K. Lam, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **5**, 977–990.
61. N. Tanbouza, T. Ollevier and K. Lam, *iScience*, 2020, **23**.
62. D. Cantillo, *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, 2024, **44**, 101459.
63. R. Narobe, M. N. Perner, M. d. J. Gálvez-Vázquez, C. Kuhwald, M. Klein, P. Broekmann, S. Rösler, B. Cezanne and S. R. Waldvogel, *Green Chem.*, 2024, **26**, 10567–10574.
64. M. Zirbes, T. Graßl, R. Neuber and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202219217.
65. T. Rücker, T. Pettersen, H. Graute, B. Wittgens, T. Graßl and S. R. Waldvogel, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2024, **12**, 11283–11296.
66. A. M. Api, D. Belsito, D. Botelho, M. Bruze, G. A. Burton, J. Buschmann, M. A. Cancellieri, M. L. Dagli, M. Date, W. Dekant, C. Deodhar, A. D. Fryer, L. Jones, K. Joshi, M. Kumar, A. Lapczynski, M. Lavelle, I. Lee, D. C. Liebler, H. Moustakas, M. Na, T. M. Penning, G. Ritacco, J. Romine, N. Sadekar, T. W. Schultz, D. Selechnik, F. Siddiqi, I. G. Sipes, G. Sullivan, Y. Thakkar and Y. Tokura, *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2021, **153**, 112363.
67. I. C. Munro and B. Danielewska-Nikiel, *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 2006, **44**, 758–809.
68. M. M. Boucher, M. H. Furigay, P. K. Quach and C. S. Brindle, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2017, **21**, 1394–1403.



The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information submitted.

