

PAPER

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#)

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5fb00651a

Physicochemical and bioactive characterization of microencapsulated *Phyllanthus emblica* kombucha powder through foam mat dryingNur Haniza Ewandi Jong, ^{ab} Norhayati Muhammad, ^{*a} Norazlin Abdullah ^a and Dayang Norulfairuz Abang Zaidel ^{cd}

Interest in botanical kombucha enriched with bioactive compounds has expanded, especially those that integrate *Phyllanthus emblica*. However, its sour taste and astringency limit its acceptability. This study aims to examine the physicochemical and bioactive properties of a microencapsulated foam mat-dried kombucha powder developed from *Phyllanthus emblica* extract and nipa palm sugar. The liquid kombucha was encapsulated through foam mat drying at 65 °C for 5 hours using orange, pomegranate and *P. emblica* pomace powder, which were then tested for encapsulation efficiency. Characterization of the quality attributes involved the total phenolic content, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity, pH, moisture content, total soluble solid, ethanol content, powder properties, proximate analysis and toxicity test. The powdered kombucha encapsulated with *P. emblica* pomace powder demonstrated the highest encapsulation efficiency of 86.10% with a total phenolic content of 998 ± 35.41 mg GAE per g. It showed a DPPH radical scavenging activity value of $63.62\% \pm 0.78\%$, pH of 3.09 ± 0.04 , moisture content of 1.67 ± 0.40 , and a total soluble solid of 7.80 ± 0.30 (Brix°) and met the halal regulatory limit with an ethanol content of $0.31\% \pm 0.02\%$. Proximate analysis revealed 391.10 ± 0.15 kcal/100 g, 96.60 ± 0.01 g carbohydrates, 0.87 ± 0.02 g protein, 0.63 ± 0.00 g fibre, and 0 g fat. A toxicity evaluation using the brine shrimp lethality assay yielded an LC_{50} of $1043.87 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, confirming its nontoxicity. Overall, the foam mat-dried *P. emblica* kombucha powder demonstrated promising physicochemical stability and bioactivity, underscoring its potential as a safe and value-added ingredient in functional beverages.

Received 5th October 2025
Accepted 10th December 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5fb00651a

rsc.li/susfoodtech

Sustainability spotlight

This study advances sustainable food innovation by transforming underutilized tropical resources; *Phyllanthus emblica* and *Nypa fruticans* into a functional, shelf-stable kombucha powder using energy-efficient foam mat drying. The integration of natural carrier materials from fruit pomace promotes waste valorization and supports circular bioeconomy principles. By reducing product perishability and enhancing nutritional value, this research aligns with the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being), and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). The findings contribute to sustainable nutraceutical development and local resource utilization, fostering healthier diets and reducing environmental impact in functional beverage production.

1 Introduction

In recent years, demand for natural, nutrient-dense foods has risen due to increasing emphasis on health and well-being.

Among these, fruit-based beverages have gained popularity, particularly those enhanced with functional ingredients that promote health beyond basic nutrition.¹ Thirst quenching is no longer the sole focus of modern beverage development, as there is also an emphasis on delivering physiological benefits, improving mental and physical health, and reducing the risk of nutrition-related diseases.² Within this context, by aligning with the current economic and health trends, kombucha has emerged as one of the most promising functional beverages.³ Traditionally, kombucha is produced using a symbiotic culture of bacteria and yeast (SCOBY) through the fermentation of sweetened black or green tea.⁴ The nutritional value, bioavailability, sensory quality and shelf stability are enhanced by

^aDepartment of Technology and Natural Resources, Faculty of Applied Sciences and Technology, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Pagoh Educational Hub, 84600 Pagoh, Johor Darul Takzim, Malaysia. E-mail: norhayati@uthm.edu.my

^bPoliteknik Tun Syed Nasir Syed Ismail, Hab Pendidikan Tinggi Pagoh, KM 1 Jalan Panchor 84600 Pagoh, Johor, Malaysia

^cMalaysia – Japan International Institute of Technology (MJIT), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Jalan Sultan Yahya Petra, 54100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

^dInnovation Centre in Agritechology for Advanced Bioprocessing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Pagoh Campus, 84600 Pagoh, Johor Darul Takzim, Malaysia



fermentation while preserving the thermolabile nutrients.⁵ Studies report that consumer interest in kombucha is mostly driven by its purported health benefits, including immunomodulatory, cardioprotective, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and anticancer properties.⁶ The physicochemical composition, bioactivities, and sensory traits of kombucha are largely influenced by the type of substrate. Thus, incorporating novel substrates often enriches the product with additional bioactive compounds such as phenolics.⁷

Fruits and botanicals have been recently explored in studies as alternatives, although tea remains the conventional substrate.⁸ Among these, *Phyllanthus emblica* is especially noteworthy. *P. emblica* is valued for its exceptionally high vitamin C content and strong antioxidant activity. This fruit has diverse biofunctional properties and is therefore widely used in food, cosmetics, and healthcare industries.⁹ Despite these advantages, its astringent and sour taste limit its consumption and reduce consumer acceptance.¹ In Malaysia, the tree is commonly cultivated as an ornamental plant, particularly in the state of Melaka, where its historical significance is linked to the naming of the state. However, much of the fruit goes unused and is wasted annually.^{10,11} This highlights a missed opportunity for valorisation of *P. emblica* into functional food applications that could increase its dietary relevance.¹²

In order to improve palatability and consumer acceptance, the use of natural sweeteners is essential. Nipa palm sugar has emerged as a desirable alternative to refined sugar due to its unique flavour, nutritional value, and low glycaemic index.¹³ Unlike highly processed cane sugar, nipa palm sugar undergoes minimal processing without chemical additives and is rich in minerals and vitamins.¹⁴ Research indicates that the flavour of nipa palm sugar is influenced by volatile compounds formed during heat processing. It has a nutty, roasted flavour due to the high concentration of pyrazines, whilst a larger ratio of furan derivatives results in a sweet, burnt, caramelized flavour. The phenolic compounds and brown pigments produced during the Maillard reaction and caramelization are also the main sources of antioxidants in nipa palm sugar.¹⁵ However, despite its potential, nipa palm sugar remains a niche commodity and is underutilised in food innovation. Younger generations are less familiar with its distinct taste, contributing to a gradual decline in its cultural appreciation.¹⁶ Incorporating nipa palm sugar into kombucha not only provides a healthier sweetener alternative, but also supports the revival of traditional ingredients.

At the same time, functional foods and beverages are increasingly being transformed into powdered forms to enhance their stability, portability, and shelf life. In this domain, foam mat drying (FMD) stands out as an efficient and cost-effective drying method that is particularly suited for heat-sensitive bioactive-rich liquids.¹⁷ Although FMD has been studied with various fruit juices and vegetable matrices, its application to kombucha powders (especially those based on innovative substrates like *P. emblica*) remains unexplored. *P. emblica* is a rich source of vitamin C and polyphenols, and exhibits potent antioxidant activity, but its high astringency and sensitivity to thermal degradation pose formulation challenges.⁹ For this reason, an effective encapsulation method is

essential for preserving its functional properties in powdered form.

Hence, this study aims to apply FMD as an encapsulation technique to produce a stable, bioactive-rich, and potentially stable kombucha powder made from an alternative substrate of *P. emblica* extract with the use of fruit pomace powder as an encapsulating agent. The investigation focuses on how FMD preserves the physicochemical properties, bioactivity of the phenolic content and antioxidant activity, and the safety profile of the final powder, establishing it as a viable functional and halal-compliant beverage ingredient.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and materials

P. emblica fruits were collected in Merlimau, Melaka, while nipa palm sugar was procured from SPL Food Industries Sdn Bhd (Sarawak) with halal certification. The kombucha starter culture (SCOBY) was purchased from Spoon Health (M) Sdn Bhd (Wondrous, Negeri Sembilan). Pomegranate and orange pomace powders were purchased from Raison Ayurvedic. Maltodextrin and egg albumin powder were purchased from BisChem Technology Sdn Bhd. Gallic acid, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, ascorbic acid and Folin–Ciocalteu's phenol reagent were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA. Sodium carbonate, methanol, and ethanol were purchased from Bendosen, Malaysia. All utilized chemicals were of analytical grade and procured locally.

2.2 Formulation of the *P. emblica* kombucha powder

2.2.1 Extraction and preparation of the *P. emblica* juice and fruit pomace powder. The fresh fruit of *P. emblica* was cleaned and stored at 4 °C for further use. Juice was extracted using a cold pressed method by slow juicer (REVO830, Kuvings, Germany).¹⁸ The extracted filtered juice was further used for starter culture inoculation. Meanwhile, the *P. emblica* pomace underwent hot water washing at 90 °C for 20 minutes to eliminate impurities, inactivate contaminants, and enhance the water retention.¹⁹ It was then dehydrated at 65 °C until a stable weight was reached. The dehydrated material was blended into a fine powder using a heavy-duty blender (TM767, Warriors, Malaysia). Lastly, the powder was sieved through a 500 µm mesh to achieve uniform particle sizes, serving as a natural carrier in the encapsulation process.¹⁹

2.2.2 Production of the *P. emblica* kombucha liquid. Referring to a method of kombucha production detailed by Leonarski *et al.*, *P. emblica* extract of 2% (v/v) was added to freshly boiled water that had been sweetened with 13% (w/v) nipa palm sugar for 15 minutes.²⁰ After being well dissolved, the liquid was allowed to cool to 25 °C before adding 100 mL (10% v/v) of kombucha starter culture (SCOBY) to the sweetened solution. After that, it was incubated for observation until day 7 at the controlled temperature of 25 °C, while being covered with a clean cotton cloth. The fermented liquids underwent a 15-minute centrifugation at 5000×g at 4 °C (5804 R, Eppendorf, Germany) and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 µm sterile syringe filter (Jet-Biofil, Spain).



Table 1 Formulation ratio for encapsulation of the *Phyllanthus emblica* kombucha powder

Ingredients	Ratio of formulation (per 500 mL) (%)		
	Sample PPP	Sample OPP	Sample EPP
Kombucha-like beverage liquid	26.37	26.37	26.37
Carrier agent	26.37	26.37	26.37
Maltodextrin	10	10	10
1% egg albumin	37.25	37.25	37.25
Total	100	100	100

2.2.3 Encapsulation and the foam mat drying of *P. emblica* kombucha. The encapsulation of the *P. emblica* kombucha using fruit pomace powder involved adding the selected carrier agent combination; maltodextrin with pomegranate pomace powder (MD:PPP), or MD with orange pomace powder (MD:OPP), or MD with *P. emblica* pomace powder (MD:EPP) to the liquid kombucha sample at a ratio of 1 : 1 (w/w).¹⁹ Bioactive compounds are mostly carried by maltodextrin (MD). Owing to its exceptional functional properties, water solubility, high glass transition temperature, and low cost, MD finds extensive applications in food items.²¹ The homogenized kombucha-carrier agent underwent encapsulation *via* foam mat drying, utilizing egg albumin as the foaming agent according to Saentaweesuk.²² This involved a 37.25% egg albumin solution at a 1% weight concentration. Egg albumin was dissolved in filtered water to achieve the desired concentration.

The kombucha-encapsulation agent combination was then gradually stirred with a speed of 1500 rpm using IKA RW20. The mixing process continued for 5 minutes. This drying process included spreading the homogenized mixture onto a foam mat to create a uniform layer. It was then subjected to controlled drying conditions at a temperature of around 65 °C for a duration of 5 hours using a food dehydrator (Excalibur, USA).^{22,23} The drying temperature of 65 °C was selected based on a previous foam-mat drying study, which reported that temperatures between 60–70 °C effectively reduce moisture while minimizing the degradation of heat-sensitive phenolics and ascorbic acid.²² In addition, foam-mat drying requires 4 to 6 hours for complete moisture removal in fruit-based foams.²³ The dried material was ground into a fine powder using a high-speed blender (Panasonic MX-151SG). The encapsulated kombucha particles were stored in PE bags at 4 °C until further analysis. Table 1 shows the formulation ratio for the encapsulation and production of the encapsulated kombucha powder using different carrier materials.

Following encapsulation and foam mat drying, the resulting powders were analysed for their total phenolic content to determine the extent of bioactive retention post-processing.

2.3 Determination of the total phenolic content (TPC)

The total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric method.²⁴ A diluted sample of 20 µL (using a dilution factor of 1 : 10) was mixed with 100 µL of 10% (v/v) Folin–Ciocalteu reagent in a 96-well plate and allowed to rest in the dark at 25 °C for 8 minutes. Then, 80 µL of 7.5% (w/v) sodium carbonate was added to the mixture and allowed to

rest in the dark at 24 °C for 30 minutes. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm. Gallic acid was used as a standard. The results were presented in milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per millilitre (mg GAE per mL). The obtained TPC values were used to further calculate the encapsulation efficiency, providing insight into the effectiveness of the carrier materials.

2.4 Determination of the encapsulation efficiency

The Folin–Ciocalteu method was utilised to ascertain the phenolic content (PC) of the encapsulated powder. A single gram of the sample was mixed with 10 mL of distilled water, shaken well, and then run through a 0.45 µm filter. Distilled water (10 mL volume) was used to wash 1 g of powder onto filter paper to measure the surface phenolic content (SPC). The weight of the encapsulated solid mass was divided by the entire amount of solid mass that needed to be dried and the encapsulation yield was computed.¹⁹ The encapsulation efficiency (% EE) was calculated as follows (eqn (1)):

$$\%EE = ((TPC - SPC)/TPC) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where EE is the encapsulation efficiency, TPC is the total phenolic content and SPC is the surface phenolic content.

The obtained EE values provided insights into the effectiveness of the carrier material and were thus used to determine the final encapsulating agent for the kombucha powder.

2.5 Determination of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity

The DPPH assay was conducted based on the procedure written by Gao *et al.*²⁵ Briefly, 1.5 mL of each sample and 3 mL of DPPH solution (200 µM) were mixed. After incubation for 30 min under dark conditions at 25 °C, the decrease in absorbance at 515 nm was measured, and the free-radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows (eqn (2)):

$$\text{Scavenging activity (\%)} = ((\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of sample}) / (\text{Absorbance of control})) \times 100 \quad (2)$$

2.6 Determination of the physicochemical properties

2.6.1 pH value, moisture content, total soluble solid (TSS) and ethanol content. The pH value of the sample was determined using an electronic pH meter (EUTECH Instrumentals,



Singapore) based on AOAC modification methods by Barbosa *et al.*²⁶ An infrared moisture analyser (A & D Weighing, Malaysia) was used to determine the sample's moisture content.²⁶ A refractometer was used to analyse the total soluble solid (TSS) (ATAGO, USA).²⁷ The ethanol content was determined according to an ethyl alcohol reading using a digital refractometer (ATAGO, USA).²⁹ In accordance with the AOAC International rules, each determination was made in triplicate.²⁸

2.6.2 Powder properties. Product properties are usually represented by the foam density, bulk density, tap density and flow properties. Following a method by M. Saifullah *et al.*, the bulk density of the encapsulated kombucha powder was determined by transferring 5 g of the sample into a 10 mL measuring cylinder.¹⁹ The cylinder was lightly tapped 2–3 times to level the surface, and the occupied volume was recorded. Bulk density was calculated as the mass of the sample divided by its volume and expressed in g cm⁻³. As for the tapped density, 5 g of the powder was placed into a 10 mL measuring cylinder and dropped onto a rubber mat from a height of 15 cm. This process was repeated 100 times to allow the powder to settle completely. The tapped density was then calculated by dividing the sample mass by the final tapped volume (g cm⁻³).

2.6.3 Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The morphology and particle size distribution of the encapsulated sample were examined using FESEM under magnifications of 500× and 1000× (Zeiss VP, SIGMA).¹⁹ Double-sided sticky tape was used to attach a small amount of sample to the sample holder. Before the extracts were placed in the field emission scanning electron microscope (vacuum 10⁻⁵ Pa, 5 kV), they were covered in a gold coating (5 nm). The images displayed on the monitor were used to examine the sample morphology of the kombucha powder.

2.7 Proximate analysis

Adapting a method described by Masittha *et al.*, the powdered sample moisture and ash contents were ascertained using AOAC (1996) procedures 930.15 and 942.05, respectively.²⁹ The micro-Kjeldahl method, as detailed in AOAC (1996) (method 960.5), was used to calculate the crude protein (CP) content in percentage. The Soxhlet method (method 920.39C), as published by AOAC (1996), was used to determine the natural fat content. The amount of crude fibre was measured using the non-enzymatic gravimetric method, and the difference was then used to estimate the total amount of carbohydrates, as per AOAC (1996).

2.8 Toxicity test using the brine shrimp lethality assay (BSLA)

As described by Asaduzzaman *et al.*, the brine shrimp lethality assay (BSLA) was used for toxicity testing with minor modifications and applied to the kombucha powder sample.³⁰ Artificial seawater was created by dissolving 19 grams of sea salt in 500 mL of distilled water, serving as a medium for hatching brine shrimp. The shrimp eggs were placed in a partitioned container with dark and light areas for hatching. The eggs were transferred to the dark side for oxygen during hatching, with

a lamp on the light side attracting the hatched shrimp. After two days, the nauplii were transferred to fresh, clear artificial seawater for visibility. Ten nauplii were carefully placed in test tubes using a micropipette. A stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10 g of the implant sample in 100 mL of distilled water. Serial dilutions with concentrations of 1000, 500, 250, 100, and 10 ppm were prepared from the stock solution. Each concentration was transferred into labelled test tubes containing ten nauplii and 1 mL of seawater. After 24 hours, the number of dead nauplii was counted against a black background using a magnifying glass, and the death percentage was calculated as in (eqn(3)).

$$\text{Death (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Number of dead nauplii})}{(\text{Number of dead nauplii} + \text{Number of live nauplii})} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

2.9 Analysis of data

The data underwent analysis utilizing IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 27.0, Chicago). The findings were displayed as the mean plus or minus the standard deviation (SD), and analysed statistically by analysis of variance (ANOVA). A *P* value <0.05 was used to determine the statistical significance. The data were obtained in triplicate.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Total phenolic content (TPC), surface phenolic content (SPC), encapsulation efficiency (EE) and DPPH radical scavenging activity

In this study, the *P. emblica* kombucha-like beverage was successfully encapsulated using a combination of maltodextrin (MD) and fruit pomace powders (namely, pomegranate pomace powder (PPP), orange pomace powder (OPP) and *P. emblica* pomace powder (EPP)) through the foam mat drying technique.

Among the encapsulated powders, the EPP formulation exhibited the highest encapsulation efficiency (86.15% ± 0.10%), significantly different from both PPP and OPP samples (*p* < 0.05). This was attributed to EPP's smaller SPC value (227.96 ± 0.97 mg GAE per g), suggesting less phenolic loss on the surface and better retention within the matrix. Lower SPC values are desirable because they indicate that bioactive compounds are better protected from oxidation, light, and heat exposure.¹⁹ While all three powders showed a significant drop in TPC compared to the original liquid kombucha-like sample (1633.2 ± 85.31 mg GAE per g), this decrease is expected due to heat exposure during the drying and partial degradation of phenolics.³¹ The highest retained TPC among the powders was found in EPP encapsulated powder (998.0 ± 35.40 mg GAE per g), followed closely by OPP and PPP. These values reflect the effectiveness of the EPP matrix in preserving the antioxidant compounds of kombucha through foam mat drying.

The variation in SPC values also reflects the quality of encapsulation. EPP showed the lowest SPC, indicating that more polyphenols were successfully embedded within the matrix rather than loosely adhered on the surface.³² Furthermore, the differences in EE values among the carrier agents may



Table 2 The total phenolic content (TPC), surface phenolic content (SPC), and encapsulation efficiency (EE) of all encapsulated kombucha powder samples^a

Analysis	<i>P. emblica</i> liquid kombucha	PPP encapsulated	OPP encapsulated	EPP encapsulated	Positive control (ascorbic acid standard)
TPC (mg GAE per g)	1633.20 ± 85.31 ^a	773.90 ± 42.52 ^b	951.06 ± 23.21 ^b	998.00 ± 35.40 ^b	
SPC (mg GAE per g)	NA	260.17 ± 0.81 ^b	291.15 ± 1.99 ^c	227.96 ± 0.97 ^a	
EE (%)	NA	84.02 ± 0.01 ^b	82.04 ± 0.10 ^c	86.15 ± 0.10 ^a	
DPPH radical scavenging activity	89.68 ± 0.75 ^b	NA	NA	63.62 ± 0.78 ^c	95.05 ± 0.30 ^a

^a Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different superscript letters within the same row indicate significant differences (p -value < 0.05).

be attributed to the physicochemical characteristics of the wall materials used. For example, maltodextrin, used in all formulations at 10% in combination with the respective pomace powders, is widely reported to enhance the encapsulation of polyphenols due to its low viscosity, good solubility, and high glass transition temperature.²² Meanwhile, the unique fibrous composition and polyphenol content of *P. emblica* pomace may have synergistically improved the matrix's barrier properties, enhancing entrapment and reducing the oxidation of phenolics.²² These findings are supported by M. Saifullah *et al.*, who reported similar trends where maltodextrin-based wall systems in combination with citrus and plant-based pomaces improved both polyphenol retention and flow properties.¹⁹ The total phenolic content (TPC), surface phenolic content (SPC), encapsulation efficiency (EE) of all the encapsulated kombucha powder samples were evaluated and are presented in Table 2.

In their study, when used with maltodextrin, lemon pomace powder (LPP) showed not only high EE but also enhanced powder handling and bioactive release in simulated gastrointestinal conditions.

In this study, *P. emblica* pomace powder was successfully applied as a carrier material for the foam mat drying of the *P. emblica* kombucha liquid. The pomace powder aids in minimizing surface phenolic loss, and retained a high total phenolic content. These findings align with the known antioxidant capacity of *P. emblica* derived matrices and their potential for protecting sensitive compounds during thermal processing.³²

Following the TPC, SPC and EE value, the kombucha powder encapsulated with EPP was selected for detailed physicochemical analysis and proceeded as the final formulation for the kombucha-like beverage powder. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of encapsulated powder kombucha was recorded at 63.62% ± 0.78%. This value still reflects a moderate antioxidant capacity.³³ The relatively lower scavenging rate in the powdered kombucha can be attributed to the dilution of active compounds due to the addition of carrier agents and possible degradation of some polyphenolic compounds during the foam mat drying process.³⁴

A study by Antolak *et al.* discovered that liquid kombucha typically maintains a higher concentration of active compounds due to the fermentation process, which is less effective in powdered forms.⁴ Similar observations have been reported by Jayabalan *et al.*, who noted that the antioxidant activity in

kombucha tends to slightly decrease when subjected to heat or prolonged drying, even though some polyphenols remain bioavailable.³⁴ Furthermore, since the main ingredient was *P. emblica* extract which is known for its potent hydrophilic antioxidant compounds, these compounds may perform more effectively in aqueous radical environments.¹⁸ This result is also in line with a study by Saifullah *et al.*, where the choice of encapsulation carrier and drying method can influence not just the total phenolic content, but also the chemical form and solubility of antioxidants, thereby affecting their scavenging behavior differently across assays.¹⁹

These findings also underscore the fact that although the encapsulated kombucha powder may have slightly lower radical scavenging performance than the liquid kombucha, it still retains significant antioxidant functionality. This behaviour is consistent with findings in other natural antioxidant systems, where processing leads to partial degradation or dilution of active constituents.³⁵ When compared conceptually with high-performance antioxidant systems such as metal-organic complexes containing copper, gold, or silver, the activity of natural *P. emblica* kombucha is expectedly milder but exhibits superior biocompatibility and safety.^{9,36} These metal-containing complexes often demonstrate stronger DPPH inhibition but may also show reduced chemical purity and potential cytotoxic effects, as reported in recent studies.³⁶ Therefore, while the antioxidant strength of *P. emblica* kombucha powder does not match that of metal-enhanced hybrid materials, its natural origin, safety, and functional food compatibility position it as a valuable nutraceutical ingredient.

The differentiation between fermented and unfermented extracts of *P. emblica* is pivotal in ascertaining their functional and antimicrobial characteristics. The fermentation of kombucha, facilitated by the symbiotic culture of bacteria and yeast (SCOBY), results in an elevation of organic acid levels (including acetic, gluconic, and lactic acids), bioavailable polyphenols, and ascorbic acid, all of which collectively enhance the antimicrobial efficacy in comparison to the unfermented extract.⁹ Jayabalan *et al.* and Villarreal-Soto *et al.* have documented that the fermentation process modifies intrinsic phenolic compounds into smaller, more bioactive metabolites that exhibit heightened antibacterial activity, while concurrently enhancing the antioxidant capacity.^{34,35}



Notably, kombucha displays a selective antimicrobial effect. The organic acids and polyphenols preferentially inhibit pathogenic and opportunistic bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Salmonella* spp., whilst the beneficial gut microbiota (including species of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*) demonstrate enhanced tolerance to these compounds due to their acid-resistant physiological adaptations and their ability to metabolize specific phenolics.^{8,37} This selective inhibition indicates that *P. emblica* kombucha may serve as a microbiome-supportive beverage, exerting antibacterial effects *in vitro* while preserving compatibility with probiotic microorganisms *in vivo*. Given that fermentation markedly enhances the release and transformation of bioactive compounds, it is anticipated that fermented *P. emblica* kombucha will exhibit superior functional properties compared to its unfermented variant, thus reinforcing its prospective applications within nutraceutical frameworks.⁸

Moreover, given its organic ingredients, halal compliance, and botanical origin, this kombucha powder remains a promising candidate for functional beverage powders targeting health-conscious markets and convenience.

3.2 Physicochemical analysis of the encapsulated kombucha powder

Evaluating physicochemical properties is crucial for determining the commercial potential of the encapsulated *P. emblica* kombucha powder (EKP) as a functional beverage.⁷ For comparative purposes, a commercial black tea kombucha powder (CBTK) was also included in the analysis, serving as a benchmark for standard quality attributes. The pH value of the reconstituted EKP was slightly lower (3.09 ± 0.04) compared to CBTK (3.21 ± 0.02), indicating a higher acidity which may contribute to improved microbial safety and shelf stability.³⁵ Both values fall within the acceptable range for kombucha beverages, as reported by Villarreal-Soto *et al.*, where typical kombucha pH values range from 2.5 to 3.5.³⁵

It is also important to acknowledge that the CBTK product included for comparison is not foam-mat dried. Foam-mat drying is an emerging technology for kombucha processing and has been predominantly documented in experimental studies, with no known large-scale commercial applications to date. As a result, the physicochemical variations identified between EKP and CBTK may, in part, be indicative of disparities in the processing methodologies, rather than solely reflecting the inherent quality of the products themselves. Nevertheless, the utilization of CBTK serves as a significant benchmark for comprehending the extent to which EKP aligns with or diverges from prevailing market standards.

The moisture content in EKP was significantly lower ($1.67\% \pm 0.4\%$) than that in CBTK ($3.95\% \pm 0.5\%$), suggesting enhanced shelf stability and reduced susceptibility to microbial spoilage.¹⁷ The low moisture content of both samples, especially the EKP, contributes to the low bulk density of the sample.³³ EKP showed a higher total soluble solid (7.8 ± 0.3 °Brix) compared to the commercial sample (6.5 ± 0.4 °Brix), likely due to residual sugars from the nipa palm sugar or incomplete sugar

utilization during a shorter fermentation period. The use of maltodextrin in the formulation may also contribute to this value. Table 3 represents a summary of results obtained for both the encapsulated kombucha powder (EKP) and commercial black tea kombucha (CBTK).

On the other hand, the ethanol content in EKP was $0.31\% \pm 0.02\%$ v/v, well below the 1% ABV threshold for halal compliance as stipulated by JAKIM (Food Act 1983), making it suitable for Muslim consumers.³⁸ In contrast, the commercial powder CBTK had a higher ethanol content of $0.41\% \pm 0.03\%$ v/v. Moreover, the use of foam mat drying and careful temperature control during the drying process (65°C for 5 hours) of EKP likely contributed to the further reduction of residual ethanol, as ethanol is volatile and can partially evaporate during drying.³⁹

This additional step helped enhance the product's halal suitability, while preserving its functional properties. For functional food products intended for commercialization in Malaysia and other Muslim-majority countries, the halal status is a critical factor influencing consumer acceptance.⁴⁰ Halal certification ensures that the product complies with Islamic dietary laws, which strictly prohibit the consumption of alcohol. According to the Special Muzakarah of the Fatwa Committee of the National Council for Malaysian Islamic Religious Affairs (JAKIM, 2011), beverages are considered halal if they are not intentionally fermented to produce alcohol and the alcohol content remains below 1% v/v, if for the purpose of commercialization.³⁷ Any product exceeding this threshold may be deemed questionable or non-compliant, potentially excluding a large segment of health-conscious Muslim consumers.

The ability of a powder to flow smoothly plays an important role in how easily it can be processed, packaged, and handled, both in production and by consumers. In this study, two key flow-related characteristics (which are bulk density and tap density) were assessed to better understand how the properties of the encapsulated kombucha powder compare to those of a commercial black tea kombucha. The results showed that both bulk and tap densities were significantly lower in EKP (p -value = 0.004 and 0.003, respectively). This suggests that EKP has a lighter, more aerated structure, which is likely due to the foam mat drying technique used and the presence of botanical fiber from the carrier material.^{19,41} These lower density values are beneficial, especially for instant beverage powders, as they tend to dissolve and disperse more readily when reconstituted.

Overall, the physicochemical profile of the encapsulated kombucha powder demonstrated promising stability, acceptable flow properties, and halal-compliant ethanol levels, supporting its potential for market readiness as a functional botanical kombucha powder.

3.3 Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was used to visualize the surface morphology of the encapsulated kombucha powder at $500\times$ and $1000\times$ magnification. The micrographs in Fig. 1 reveal that the powder sample had an irregular particle shape and microstructure, indicating a broad size



Table 3 Summary of the physicochemical properties of encapsulated kombucha powder and commercial black tea kombucha powder^a

Parameter	Encapsulated kombucha powder (EKP)	Commercial black tea kombucha powder (CBTK)
pH value	3.09 ± 0.04 ^a	3.21 ± 0.02 ^b
Total soluble solids (°Brix)	7.80 ± 0.30 ^a	6.50 ± 0.40 ^b
Moisture content (%)	1.67 ± 0.40 ^a	3.95 ± 0.50 ^b
Ethanol content (% v/v)	0.31 ± 0.02 ^a	0.41 ± 0.03 ^b
Bulk density (g mL ⁻¹)	0.37 ± 0.05 ^a	0.43 ± 0.01 ^b
Tap density (g mL ⁻¹)	0.47 ± 0.01 ^a	0.55 ± 0.02 ^b

^a Different superscripts (^a, ^b) indicate significant differences among samples (*p*-value < 0.05) according to the *post hoc* test.

distribution with an average particle size of between 12.9–54.31 μm. The particles in the samples were unstructured, and lacked a defined cellular structure and internal porosity. This is likely contributed by the fibrous structure of the *P. emblica* pomace powder that was used to encapsulate the kombucha.³² Moreover, after the drying procedure of the foam mat, the resultant powder particles were acquired through the mechanical grinding of the desiccated kombucha.⁴⁰ The mechanical disintegration associated with the drying and grinding procedures resulted in challenges of accurately identifying the cellular characteristics within the powder.¹⁹

Even though the powdered kombucha does not demonstrate uniform encapsulation, the particle dimensions achieved are adequate for powder formulations that necessitate optimal dispersibility and solubility, while concurrently minimizing the generation of dust.⁴² This is supported by a previous study by Shekunov *et al.*, where they discovered that smaller particles (such as those in the 50–60 μm range) manage to disperse more uniformly in a medium, enhancing the solubility and ensuring consistent performance in formulations.⁴²

Furthermore, M. Saifullah *et al.* and K. Muzaffar *et al.* reported analogous findings in their investigations concerning lemon-scented pomace and amla pomace powder.^{19,32} The identified porous architecture is beneficial for facilitating rapid rehydration and efficient dissolution, characteristics that augment the applicability of the powder as an instant functional beverage.⁴³

In addition, sustaining particle dimensions above the conventional 50 μm threshold mitigates dustiness and

enhances bulk management, given that larger particles are less susceptible to the formation of powder dust. In a separate investigation, Kaderides and Goula discovered that polyphenolic extracts encapsulated within orange pomace dietary fibre exhibited an irregular morphology and were amorphous in nature.⁴⁴ The encapsulation of the powder was accomplished through a spray drying technique. When it comes to food items like kombucha powder, the particle sizes greatly affect the uniformity and dissolvability of the product. A size range of 50–60 μm is ideal for ensuring a smooth texture and quick dissolution in beverages.^{19,42,43}

3.4 Proximate analysis for nutrition composition

The proximate compositions of the kombucha-like powder encapsulated with PPP were evaluated to understand its basic nutritional profile. The powder displayed a substantial carbohydrate concentration of 96.60 ± 0.02 g/100 g, a minimal protein content (0.87 ± 0.03 g/100 g), and no detectable fat, culminating in a total caloric value of 391.10 ± 0.15 kcal/100 g. These results are in alignment with prior investigations concerning powdered kombucha products derived from fruit-based substrates, which characteristically exhibit elevated carbohydrate density attributable to residual sugars and polysaccharides originating from both the fermentation medium and fruit matrix.⁸

Notably, the product included 0.63 ± 0.01 g/100 g of dietary fiber, mainly derived from the *P. emblica* pomace used as a carrier material. Although the dietary fibre content is minimal





Fig. 1 Surface morphology and particle distribution at (a) 500× magnification and (b) 1000× magnification.



Table 4 Proximate analysis of the encapsulated kombucha powder

Nutrient	Per 100 g	Per serving (15 g)
Energy	391.10 ± 0.15 kcal	58.67 ± 0.15 kcal
Carbohydrate	96.60 ± 0.02 g	14.50 ± 0.02 g
Protein	0.87 ± 0.03 g	0.13 ± 0.03 g
Fat	0 g	0 g
Dietary fibre	0.63 ± 0.01 g	0.09 ± 0.01 g

**Fig. 2** Graph of the \log_{10} concentration against the probit of mortality for a kombucha-like powder.

on a per 100 g basis, its incorporation elevates the nutritional profile of the beverage powder, particularly when compared to traditional kombucha powders that generally lack fibre due to filtration methods employed prior to drying.³³ Dietary fibre, particularly from fruit pomace sources, has been extensively documented to support gastrointestinal health, promote feelings of fullness, and assist in the regulation of blood glucose levels.³⁴ Table 4 summarizes the nutritional composition of the botanical kombucha-like powder.

3.5 Toxicity test using the brine shrimp lethality assay (BSLA)

The brine shrimp lethality assay (BSLA) was employed to assess the preliminary toxicity of the kombucha powder encapsulated

with *P. emblica* pomace. This assay is recognized for its simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and reliability in evaluating the bioactivity of natural products, including plant extracts and fermented beverages.⁴⁵

The BSLA assay utility in kombucha research is supported by prior findings indicating that toxicity levels may fluctuate depending on fermentation duration, substrate composition, and the concentration of bioactive compounds.^{34,35} While kombucha is classically regarded as safe, the accumulation of organic acids, ethanol, microbial metabolites or concentrated phenolics after the drying process could potentially modify its toxicity, thereby necessitating safety verification for powdered formulations.

A study by Murphy *et al.* highlighted the importance of safety verification for kombucha, particularly in powdered formulations, due to the potential toxicity from accumulated organic acids, ethanol, microbial metabolites, or concentrated phenolics, which may arise during the drying process.⁴⁶

In this study, varying concentrations of the encapsulated kombucha powder were tested against *Artemia salina* nauplii. Mortality rates were recorded after 24 hours of exposure. The data were analysed using probit analysis. The probit of mortality for the encapsulated kombucha powder is presented in Fig. 2.

This approach involves plotting the logarithm of extract concentrations against the probit-transformed percentage mortality using the Finney table of probit mortality.⁴⁷ The regression model is represented by y as the probit of mortality and x as the \log_{10} of concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). The high R^2 value (0.9148) indicates a strong linear relationship between the concentration and mortality, confirming the validity of the test. Using this model, the median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) was calculated to be $1043.87 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$.

According to the toxicity classification by Meyer *et al.*, substances with LC_{50} values greater than $1000 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ are considered to be nontoxic.^{45,47} In one study, *P. emblica* fruit extract showed no acute or chronic toxicity in rats administered with up to 5000 mg kg^{-1} .⁴⁸ These findings support that as antioxidants are abundantly present in *P. emblica* and kombucha, even chronic administration did not result in any toxicological changes, thus validating the high safety margin of the kombucha-like beverage powder. Moreover, *P. emblica* has been approved for its anti-cytotoxic, antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and chemoprotective properties.^{9,32} The obtained regression equation is presented below in Table 5.

Table 5 Summary of the probit of mortality and \log_{10} concentration

Sample	Concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	\log_{10} concentration	Mortality (%)	Probit of mortality	LC_{50} ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	Regression
Encapsulated kombucha powder (EKP)	1	0.00	0	0	1043.87	$y = 1.87x - 0.65, R^2 = 0.9148$
	10	1.00	0	0		
	100	2.00	6.67	3.52		
	250	2.40	13.33	3.87		
	500	2.70	33.33	4.56		
	1000	3.00	46.67	4.92		



Although antibacterial assays were not included in the present study, it is well established that kombucha possesses selective antimicrobial properties, wherein organic acids and phenolics inhibit pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella*, while beneficial gut microorganisms typically exhibit resilience to acidic and polyphenolic conditions.^{9,37} These compounds inhibit pathogenic bacteria more strongly than beneficial gut flora, supporting kombucha's classification as both antimicrobial and microbiome-supportive.

Recent scholarly investigations have also underscored the significance of dormant, metabolically inactive persister cell subpopulations that endure environmental stressors, including acidic pH, oxidative stress, nutrient scarcity, and even bactericidal antibiotics.³⁷ Given that kombucha inherently contains organic acids and stress-inducing phenolics, elucidating the interactions between unfermented and fermented *P. emblica* kombucha and both active pathogens and persister cell subpopulations represents an emerging area of research inquiry.⁹ Future studies will therefore focus on evaluating its selective antimicrobial potential and exploring its application as a functional carrier in nutraceutical systems.

In summary, the *P. emblica* kombucha powder exhibited an LC₅₀ value indicative of its nontoxicity, suggesting its safety for potential nutraceutical applications. However, it is recommended that further *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies be conducted to comprehensively evaluate its safety profile.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the foam mat drying process effectively produced *P. emblica* kombucha powder with a high phenolic content of 998 ± 35.41 mg GAE per g, encapsulation efficiency of 86.10% and DPPH radical scavenging activity of $63.62\% \pm 0.78\%$. The powder showed stable physicochemical properties, complied with halal ethanol limits, and was confirmed to be nontoxic. These results highlight its potential as a safe and value-added ingredient for functional beverages. Its low ethanol level of $0.31\% \pm 0.02\%$ complied with halal regulatory limits, while its nutritional composition, favourable pH, soluble solids, and bulk properties confirmed its stability and suitability for storage and product formulation. Moreover, the brine shrimp lethality assay confirmed its nontoxic nature, further supporting its safety for functional applications.

Collectively, these findings underscore the potential of foam mat-dried *P. emblica* kombucha powder as a safe, stable, and value-added ingredient for functional beverages and nutraceutical development. Future studies should focus on its storage stability, sensory acceptance, and the bioavailability of phenolic compounds, and an anti-microbial study to strengthen its commercial prospects.

Author contributions

Nur Haniza Ewandi Jong: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing – original draft; Norhayati Muhammad: writing – review & editing, methodology, resource, supervision; Norazlin Abdullah: methodology, resource, supervision; and Dayang

Norulfairuz Abang Zaidel: methodology, laboratory analysis, supervision.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are included within the article.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia, under the Hadiah Latihan Persekutuan (HLP) program, and is partially funded by Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.

References

- 1 R. Sharma, G. Burang and S. Kumar, *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **59**, 2013–2024.
- 2 S. Palamthodi, D. Kadam and S. S. Lele, *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **56**, 473–482.
- 3 J. F. de Miranda, L. F. Ruiz, C. B. Silva, T. M. Uekane, K. A. Silva, F. F. Fernandes and A. R. Lima, *J. Food Sci.*, 2022, **87**(2), 503–527.
- 4 H. Antolak, D. Piechota and A. Kucharska, *Antioxidants*, 2021, **10**(10), 1541.
- 5 L. Ayed, S. M'Hir and M. Hamdi, *J. Chem.*, 2020, **2020**(1), 1–12.
- 6 J. Kim and K. Adhikari, *Beverages*, 2020, **6**(1), 1–18.
- 7 Y. Liu, S. Wu, Y. Tao, J. M. Regenstein and P. Zhou, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **129**, 608–616.
- 8 K. E. Emiljanowicz and E. Malinowska-Pańczyk, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2020, **60**(19), 3185–3194.
- 9 F. F. Pria and M. S. Islam, *J. Dis. Med. Plants*, 2019, **5**(1), 1–9.
- 10 M. A. Hussain, M. Y. M. Yunus, M. A. O. Mydin, N. Utaberta and N. A. Ismail, *J. Teknol.*, 2015, **75**(9), 39–45.
- 11 Practo.com, <https://www.practo.com/healthfeed/5-super-healthbenefits-of-amla-indian-gooseberry-24447/post>, accessed September 2024.
- 12 G. Ruhela, P. Dhama, K. Shanker, X. Ding and A. Sharma, *Food Chem. Adv.*, 2023, **3**, 100330.
- 13 M. S. Abdullah, A. F. Ab Razak, M. S. Sulaiman, M. Z. Abidin, M. S. Osman, A. A. Razak and M. H. Salleh, *Int. J. Mech. Eng.*, 2022, **7**(6), 158–166.
- 14 T. Sarkar, M. Mukherjee, S. Roy and R. Chakraborty, *Heliyon*, 2023, **9**(4), e14788.
- 15 N. I. Medihi, E. T. T. Tan, M. A. Zabidi and S. A. A. Mutallib, presented in part at *Inaugural Symposium of Research and Innovation for Food (Sorif) 2021*, Virtual, 2021.
- 16 N. H. Zakaria, R. A. Ramli and W. R. W. Ismail, presented in part at *Conference on Hospitality and Tourism 2021*, Virtual, 2021.



- 17 B. Khatri, N. Hamid, R. Shams, K. K. Dash, A. M. Shaikh and B. Kovács, *Discover Food*, 2024, **4**, 166.
- 18 H. N. M. Yusoh, N. H. E. Jong, N. M. Isa, N. Sulaiman and W. H. M. Yusof, *Int. J. Eng. Adv. Res.*, 2020, **1**(2), 7–17.
- 19 M. Saifullah, R. McCullum, T. O. Akanbi and Q. V. Vuong, *Food Hydrocolloids Health*, 2023, **4**(1), 100157.
- 20 E. Leonarski, A. C. Guimarães, K. Cesca and P. Poletto, *Food Biosci.*, 2022, **49**, 101841.
- 21 Z. Xiao, J. Xia, Q. Zhao, Y. Niu and D. Zhao, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2022, **298**, 120113.
- 22 S. Saentaweek, *Agric. Sci.*, 2014, **6**, 33–40.
- 23 P. Kandasamy, N. Varadharaju and S. Kalemullah, *Int. J. Food Eng.*, 2014, **10**(1), 163–172.
- 24 T. Klawpiyapamornkun, T. Uttarotai, S. Wangkarn, P. Sirisard, S. Kiatkarun, Y. Tragoolpua and S. Bovonsombut, *Fermentation*, 2023, **9**(3), 291.
- 25 Y. Gao, J.-Q. Wang, Y.-Q. Fu, J. F. Yin, J. Shi and Y. Q. Shu, *Food Chem.*, 2020, **316**, 126370.
- 26 C. D. Barbosa, A. P. T. Uetanabaro, W. C. R. Santos, *et al.*, *LWT Food Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **148**, 111788.
- 27 Y. Plugatar, R. G. Timofeev, V. Korzin, A. N. Kazak and D. V. Nekhaychuk, *Beverages*, 2023, **9**(2), 31.
- 28 G. W. Latimer, *Official Methods of Analysis: 22nd Edition*, AOAC Publications, New York, 2023.
- 29 E. P. Masitlha, E. Seifu and D. Teketay, *Food Prod. Process. Nutr.*, 2024, **6**(1), 3.
- 30 M. Asaduzzaman, S. Rana, S. M. R. Hasan, M. Hossain and N. Das, *Int. J. Pharma Sci. Res.*, 2015, **6**(8), 1179–1185.
- 31 N. Phuyal, P. K. Jha, P. P. Raturi and S. Rajbhandary, *Sci. World J.*, 2020, **2020**, 1–7.
- 32 K. Muzaffar, S. A. S. Rafiq, J. A. Rather, F. Allai, H. A. Makroo, D. Majid and B. N. Dar, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **58**(10), 5357–5365.
- 33 S. Fatima and V. Kumar, *Sustainable Food Technol.*, 2025, **3**(5), 1542–1555; R. P. Sari, Z. Ikawati, R. Danarti and T. Hertiani, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2023, **16**(9), 1–8.
- 34 R. Jayabalan, P. N. Chen, Y. S. Hsieh and K. Prabhakaran, *Indian J. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **10**(1), 75–82.
- 35 S. A. Villarreal-Soto, S. Beaufort, J. Bouajila, J. Souchard and P. Taillandier, *J. Food Sci.*, 2018, **83**, 580–588.
- 36 S. Hameed, Y. Wang, L. Zhao, L. Xie and Y. Ying, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2020, **108**, 110338.
- 37 S. Hameed, J. Nan, X. Zeng and H. Xiong, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2026, **257**, 115173.
- 38 F. W. Farouk, *Int. J. Res. Innovations Appl. Sci.*, 2023, **VIII**(XI), 72–78.
- 39 A. Nemati, A. Motamedzadegan and J. Mohammadzadeh Milani, *J. Food Process. Preserv.*, 2022, **46**(6), e16539.
- 40 T. Alsaleem, T. Alsaleem, R. Al-Dhelaan and G. Allaheeb, *Int. J. Halal Res.*, 2022, **4**(1), 19–28.
- 41 Y. Yikilkan, A. A. Redha, B. Kaba, H. Pasazadeh and I. Koca, *Sustainable Food Technol.*, 2025, **3**, 1329–1340.
- 42 B. Y. Shekunov, P. Chattopadhyay, H. H. Y. Tong and A. H. L. Chow, *Pharm. Res.*, 2007, **24**(2), 203–227.
- 43 P. Mishra, G. K. Rai and C. L. Mahanta, *J. Food Process. Preserv.*, 2015, **39**(6), 1216–1228.
- 44 K. Kaderides and A. M. Goula, *Food Res. Int.*, 2017, **100**, 612–622.
- 45 B. N. Meyer, N. R. Ferrigni, J. E. Putnam and L. B. Jacobsen, *Planta Med.*, 1982, **45**(5), 31–34.
- 46 T. E. Murphy, K. Walia and J. M. Farber, *Food Protect. Trends*, 2018, **38**(5), 329–337.
- 47 N. F. A. Rahim, N. Muhammad, N. Abdullah, B. A. Talip and K. H. Poh, *Food Res.*, 2020, **4**(6), 2042–2048.
- 48 K. Jaijoy, N. Soonthornchareonnon, N. Lertprasertsuke and A. Panthong, *Int. J. Appl. Res. Nat. Prod.*, 2010, **3**(1), 48–58.

