

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: R. Kumari, N. Wei and S. Beil, *Chem. Commun.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D6CC02549H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

COMMUNICATION

Taming the Captodative Glycyl Radical for Nickel-Photocatalytic Cross-Coupling with Alkyl Chlorides

Rani Kumari,^{a†} Ning Wei,^{a,b†} and Sebastian B. Beil^{*a,b}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The captodatively stabilized glycyl radical was engaged in nickel photocatalysed C(sp³)–C(sp³) cross-coupling to obtain non-canonical amino acids. DFT-guided optimization of the amine protection groups allowed for radical destabilization and tuning of its SOMO-LUMO gap. Optimization and control experiments highlight a radical cross-coupling mechanism.

The glycyl radical is an important motif as the smallest fragment of amino acids and is frequently found in nature in glycyl radical enzymes.^{1, 2} Utilizing this small radical building block allows for the straightforward synthesis of non-canonical amino acids for various medicinal applications (Fig. 1A).^{3–9}

Synthetically, common strategies use NHPH or NHPMP (*para*-Methoxyphenyl) substitution on the *N*-terminus to generate the glycyl radical (Fig. 1B). Subsequently, the stable radical was applied in cycloaddition reactions with alkenes,^{10–15} in dehydrogenative coupling reactions with *N*-alkyl amides,^{16, 17} in (intercepted) conjugate addition reactions,^{18, 19} in arylation with aryl nitriles followed by cyanide elimination,²⁰ in coupling reactions of imines (Schiff bases with benzophenone imine as activating group),^{21, 22} or in alkylation reactions often in conjunction with peroxides.²³ Many of these methodologies employ photochemical activation or radical generation under mild conditions. To the best of our knowledge, only one example used an *N*-amide to convert the glycyl radical in the presence of iron(III) chloride as catalyst and di-*tert*-butyl peroxide (DTBP) as oxidant.²⁴ Simultaneously, so far metal-catalysed cross-coupling reactions with glycyl radicals remain underdeveloped. We were wondering whether a designed glycyl radical, guided by density-functional theory (DFT) calculations, would enable efficient cross-coupling reactions.

The challenge in glycyl radical formation and synthetic use lies in its captodative stabilization with adjacent electron-donating (blue, amine) and electron-withdrawing group (red, acid). Respectively, the electrophilicity (ω) of these radicals increases

(*e.g.*, 1.47), making them ambiphilic, compared to common nucleophilic carbon-centred radicals (0.93, Fig. 1C).²⁵ The captodative effect is quantified by the degree of radical stability and its radical stabilization energy (RSE, ΔE).^{26, 27} Leroy²⁸ and Pasto^{29, 30} theoretically described the stabilization of disubstituted methyl radicals of CHXY-type, which was updated at a higher level of theory lately.³¹ While the bond-dissociated energy (BDE) decreases for the captodatively-stabilized glycine C–H bond, the respective RSE of the glycyl radical reaches theoretical values of up to 20 kcal mol⁻¹.




Figure 1 The glycyl radical is an important motif to obtain non-canonical amino acids (A). Commonly *N*-aryl derivatives are used (B) to overcome captodative stabilization (C), whereas we designed a *N*-amide for nickel photocatalytic cross-coupling (D).

Using quantum mechanics, the perturbation energy (ΔE), gained or lost upon orbital overlap during a reaction, can be determined by the Fukui-Klopman-Salem (FKS)^{32–34} equation:

$$\Delta E = \left(- \sum_{a,b} (q_a + q_b) \beta_{ab} S_{ab} \right) + \left(\sum_{k,l} \frac{Q_k Q_l}{\epsilon R_{kl}} \right) + \left(\sum_r \sum_s^{\text{occ}} - \sum_r \sum_s^{\text{unocc}} \frac{2 \left(\sum_{ab} c_{ra} c_{sb} \beta_{ab} \right)^2}{E_r - E_s} \right)$$

^a Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Department of Electrosynthesis, Stiftstr. 34-36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.
E-mail: sebastian.beil@cec.mpg.de

^b Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

[†] Authors contributed equally and are listed alphabetically.






Figure 2 Captodative stabilization can lead to a H-shift and limits cross-coupling efficiency of proramical substrates (**2**) in nickel photocatalytic reactions (A). Benzyl chlorides proved compatible in cross-coupling. Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations (BP86 D3 def2-TZVP level of theory) guided the way for benzoate (Bz) protection groups for efficient cross-coupling (B). The type (I) and the substitution pattern of the protecting group were assessed (II).

consisting of an electronic (first) and a solvation term (middle), as well as the frontier orbital overlap or energy gap term (last). The application of the FKS equation to a radical process is mainly controlled by frontier orbital interactions and the charge term taking a secondary role. Therefore, the equation can be simplified by grouping the first endoergic term and the second Coulombic term (ΔE_a). Firstly, we assume that the resonance integral β for all glycyl radicals is sufficiently similar. Secondly, the required frontier orbitals (FO) determining the reactivity with transition metals are its HOMO and LUMO and the SOMO in the radical. The value of the energy gap between both frontier orbital process (SOMO-LUMO or SOMO-HOMO) will determine which of them is the most important and determines the reaction pathway (*vide infra*). As an approximation the Fukui-Klopman-Salem equation can be simplified to:

$$\Delta E = \Delta E_a + \Delta E_{SOMO-FO}$$

With a major contribution arising from the frontier orbital gap of glycyl radical and respective metal catalyst ($\Delta E_{SOMO-FO}$). In this study, we hypothesize that this energy gap can serve as a predictive tool to estimate the reactivity of (i) glycyl radicals themselves and their electrophilicity,²⁵ and (ii) in catalytic transformations toward radical cross-coupling reactions.

Given our recent interest in the synthesis of non-canonical amino acids,³⁵ we became curious whether the stable glycyl radical can be applied in nickel photoredox catalysis to obtain such amino acids (Fig. 1D). While nickel complexes are known to engage with alkyl halides in an outer-sphere reaction,^{36, 37} we indeed observed catalytic behaviour by cyclic voltammetry (CV, see SI, Section 7). No cross-coupling with photochemically generated glycyl radical **1a** was observed. Drawing inspiration from photochemical nickel-catalysed cross-coupling,^{38, 39} we hypothesized that *N*-Boc amino malonate mono-ethyl ester (**2a**), as proramical, can be oxidized by an excited state iridium(III) photocatalyst, reducing the photocatalyst and upon liberation of CO₂ yields the stable glycyl radical **1a**, which may

be stabilized by charge disproportionation to **1a'** (Fig. 2A). In the presence of base, a H-shift is plausible yet yielding the decarboxylated glycine **3a** as byproduct. Since intermediate **1a'** is proposed to be stabilized by polar solvents, we screened various solvents and bases and saw significant amounts of glycine **3a** (see SI, Section 2). Ultimately, acetonitrile and sodium carbonate appeared to be the most suitable combination, despite the fact that such a polar solvent may interfere with the undesired pathway. Next, an *in situ* generated low-valent nickel(0) catalyst can undergo oxidative addition with various electrophiles **4**. Subsequently, the stable glycyl radical **1a** adds to Ni(II), generating a high-valent Ni(III) prone to reductive elimination to generate the non-canonical amino acid cross-coupling product **5**. We observed that weak electrophiles like aryl or benzyl bromides (**4_{PhBr}** and **4_{BnBr}**) give the desired product only in traces (Fig. 2A, box). Gratifyingly, benzyl chlorides **4_{BnCl}** gave the desired C(sp³)-C(sp³) coupled product in 13% yield. However, benzyl mesylates **4_{BnOMs}**, which are often similar in reactivity, gave no product. Therefore, we chose to investigate the cross-coupling reaction with benzyl chlorides. The two catalytic cycles are turned-over by simultaneous reduction of Ni(II) and oxidation of Ir(II). Such C(sp³)-C(sp³) cross-coupling reactions are scarce and were only realized in the last decade.⁴⁰ Based on the intrinsic radical stabilization of the glycyl radical, we hypothesized that variations of the amine protection group will adjust the desired reactivity by lowering its LUMO energy. A lower SOMO-LUMO gap of the glycyl radical should allow for higher reactivity and better orbital overlap in the later Ni(III) complex formed after radical addition. We screened variations on both the C- and N-terminus of the glycyl radical by DFT calculations (see SI, Section 3). Hence, only *N*-phenyl benzamide on the C-terminus proved effective in significantly lowering the SOMO-LUMO gap, which was observed recently.⁴¹ Likewise, we investigated common amide and carbamate protection groups by DFT calculations and observed that the Boc group exhibits the largest SOMO-LUMO



gap (ΔE_{glycyl}), hence is likely the most stable and least reactive radical. Upon lowering the energy gap, benzoyl and phthalimide proved most effective, similar to tosylates, while *N*-phenyl and trifluoroacetates gave large energy gaps (Fig. 2B-I). Moving forward, we decided to use benzoates (Bz) to destabilize the glycyl radical and decrease its SOMO-LUMO gap, since variations will be synthetically easy, based on the broad commercial availability of benzoic acids. In another round of DFT optimization we investigated the effect of electronic and steric properties of various benzoyl amides on the SOMO-LUMO gap (Fig. 2B-II and SI, Section 3). Electron-deficient benzamides were more effective in lowering the energy gap, while simultaneously giving more electrophilic radicals. Unfortunately, some of the promising benzamide derivatives turned out to be synthetically inaccessible (see SI). We were pleased to see an inverse linear correlation between the yield of product **6** and ΔE_{glycyl} and ω (Fig. 2B-II, bottom right). The cross-coupling efficiency increases with higher electrophilicity ω and decreases with lower SOMO-LUMO gap (*vide infra*). Finally, we chose 4-trifluoromethyl benzoate (BzCF₃) as ideal compromise between electronic effects, synthetic feasibility, and molecular weight to generate destabilized glycyl radical **1d**.

With these insights in hand, we aimed to investigate the reactivity of such electrophilic radicals with nickel intermediates. To validate our initial assumption, that changes in radical polarity effects the frontier orbital interactions between glycyl radical and nickel(II) intermediates, we calculated the SOMO energies for **1a** (Boc) and **1d** (BzCF₃) and compared them with the HOMO and LUMO energies of the respective nickel(II) oxidative addition complexes as well as the final nickel(III) intermediates (see SI, Section 3). Besides the mentioned smaller ΔE_{glycyl} for **1d**, the major observation is the lowering of the SOMO level of the nickel(III) intermediate (Fig. 3A, arrow).^{42, 43} Following the possible orbital interactions between **1** and Ni(II), we determined the possible scenarios of $\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-LUMO}}$ or $\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-HOMO}}$ based on the FKS equation (for details see SI, Section 3).⁴⁴




Figure 3 Comparison of HOMO (green), SOMO (purple), and LUMO (blue) energies for **1a** and **1d**, the oxidative addition complex (dOMebpy)Ni(Cl)(4-Cl-Bn) (**Ni(II)**), and their respective **Ni(III)** intermediates after radical trapping (A). Energies obtained from DFT calculations (UKS BP86 D3 def2-TZVP level of theory). Correlation between benzyl halide and amine protection group with regard to

yield of cross-coupling product and SOMO-LUMO energy gap as obtained from the FKS equation ($\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-LUMO}}$, B).

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC02549H


Generally, lower values were obtained for $\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-LUMO}}$ interactions suggesting this as the major frontier orbital interaction. For **1a** and **1d** the $\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-LUMO}}$ energy gap decreased from 12.6 to 5.2 kcal mol⁻¹ (Fig. 3B). Similarly, benzyl bromides give significantly lower yields and the $\Delta E_{\text{SOMO-LUMO}}$ energy gap increases from 2.9 to 5.1 kcal mol⁻¹. Although the origin of this discrepancy remains unclear, a SOMO-LUMO gap in the range of 5 kcal mol⁻¹ seems to provide effective cross-coupling reactivity. In the future, more in-depth investigation will show whether such model allows for a better and more general prediction of the reactivity of captodatively stabilized radicals in metal-catalysed reactions.

Finally, with DFT-guided radical reactivity in hand, we aimed to develop a synthetic method harnessing the intrinsically stable glycyl radical in C(sp³)-C(sp³) cross-coupling reaction with nickel photoredox catalysis. With *N*-BzCF₃-protected amino malonate mono-ethyl ester (**2d**), which was obtained in two steps from commercial diethyl amino malonate hydrochloride, and 4-chloro benzyl chloride (**4a**) we optimized the reaction procedure to obtain the phenylalanine derivative **6a** (see SI, Section 2). Again, MeCN and Na₂CO₃ turned out to be superior as solvent and base compared to DMF and THF, as well as NaOH or K₂CO₃ resulting in 45% ¹H NMR yield vs. internal standard. When the common photocatalyst Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)PF₆ was exchanged for 4CzIPN or Ir(dFppy)₂(bpy)PF₆, the later gave another boost in reactivity (see SI for other PC). 4,4'-Dimethoxy 2,2'-bipyridine (dOMebpy) gave higher yields than regular bpy and among the organic bases tested, only 1,2-dimethyl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine (DTP) gave reasonable conversion (see SI for more ligands and bases). With optimized conditions, the overall performance of the reaction could be increased fourfold from initial 14% to more than 58% yield. Furthermore, we questioned whether tertiary radicals would engage in the cross-coupling reaction. With differently protected 2-methyl amino malonates in hand, we applied the optimized conditions, but only obtained low yields <10% (see SI, Section 4). In order to verify the involved glycyl radical under optimized conditions, we performed control experiments and the reaction only operates when all components, like light, photocatalyst, nickel catalyst, and base, are present (see SI, Section 6). Radical trapping experiments with TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl), electron-rich and -deficient olefins, and electrophiles confirmed that productive radical cross-coupling is diminished or inhibited. A nucleophilic substitution of carbanions of **1a'**-type intermediates with benzyl chlorides or electrophiles cannot be ruled out at this point, but is unlikely the predominant reaction pathway.

With the optimized conditions in hand, we investigated the scope of suitable benzyl chlorides under optimized conditions. Benzoyl (**6b**) and *meta,meta*-bis-CF₃ benzoyl protected amino malonate (**6c**) gave the cross-coupling product in similar yields compared to the optimized product **6a** (Scheme 1). Benzyl chloride (**6d**) and *para*-substituted benzyl chlorides were compatible under these conditions. 4-Fluoro (**6e**), 4-bromo (**6f**),



4-trifluoromethyl (**6g**), and 4-iso-propyl (**6h**) phenylalanines were obtained in good yields of up to 42%, given the overall challenging reaction. 2-Chloro benzyl chloride gave product **6i** in 42% isolated yield. To our surprise, the reaction was very sensitive to benzyl chlorides with different electronic or steric demand (see SI, Section 5). Unfortunately, both electron-rich (*e.g.*, naphthyl, *para*- or *ortho*-methoxyphenyl) and electron-poor benzyl chlorides (*e.g.*, *para*-nitrophenyl, pyridyl) proved incompatible. As expected, benzyl bromides and aliphatic chlorides were not suitable or gave low yields by ^1H NMR spectroscopic and LCMS analysis, respectively. Gratifyingly, α -chloro carbonyl compounds gave synthetically useful yields. While α -chloro esters or nitriles gave low yields, α -chloro ketones could be coupled and γ -oxo homophenylalanine **6j** and **6k** were obtained in 40% and 34%, respectively. Finally, amino acid **6l** was isolated in 38% yield from α -chloro acetone.



Scheme 1 Scope of products obtained from the cross-coupling of glycylic radical and activated chlorides. Isolated yields shown.

In conclusion, we have developed a new benzamide protection group strategy which, guided by DFT calculations, allows to tune the SOMO-LUMO gap, destabilize the radical, increase the electrophilicity, and thus engage captodative glycylic radicals in nickel photoredox cross-coupling reactions. Aminomalonate monoesters are readily available starting materials and proradicals for glycylic radical formation, but suffer from background proto-decarboxylation. Nonetheless, we could develop reaction conditions which can outperform the deleterious reactivity and allow effective $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)\text{--}\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)$ cross-coupling reactions toward non-canonical amino acids in moderate isolated yields. This development will further spark our efforts in developing strategies to obtain non-canonical amino acids and will assist the community to establish new radical reactivity concepts starting from effecting intrinsic stabilization.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Prof. S. R. Waldvogel and the Department for Electrosynthesis of the Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion and Prof. B. L. Feringa from the Stratingh Institute for Chemistry of the University of Groningen for support.

Funding

The Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, the Advanced Research Center Chemical Building Blocks Consortium (ARC CBBC), and the University of Groningen are acknowledged for financial support.

Data availability

Data for this article, including CV data and DFT (ORCA) input and output files, as well as NMR spectra and HRMS data are available at Edmond at <https://doi.org/10.17617/3.DPOBYI>.

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

References

1. H. Eklund and M. Fontecave, *Structure*, 1999, **7**, R257.
2. K. A. Shisler and J. B. Broderick, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2014, **546**, 64.
3. T. S. Young and P. G. Schultz, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2010, **285**, 11039.
4. F. J. Aguilar Troyano, K. Merckens, K. Anwar and A. Gómez-Suárez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 1098.
5. J. L. Hickey, D. Sindhikara, S. L. Zultanski and D. M. Schultz, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2023, **14**, 557.
6. C. L. Gare, A. M. White and L. R. Malins, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2025, **50**, 467.
7. L. Wang, N. Wang, W. Zhang, X. Cheng, Z. Yan, G. Shao, X. Wang, R. Wang and C. Fu, *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.*, 2022, **7**, 48.
8. S. M. Agten, P. E. Dawson and T. M. Hackeng, *J. Pept. Sci.*, 2016, **22**, 271.
9. Z.-H. X. Tang, Ting Liu, Shuo-Wen Wang, Jian Yu, Jian Liu, Yu Hong, Shi-Lu Chen, Jin He, and Jin-Heng Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 21360.
10. H. Richter and O. García Mancheño, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 6066.
11. C. Huo, Y. Yuan, M. Wu, X. Jia, X. Wang, F. Chen and J. Tang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 13544.
12. Y. Yuan, S. Zhang, Z. Sun, Y. Su, Q. Ma, Y. Yuan and X. Jia, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 6294.
13. E. Schendera, L.-N. Unkel, P. P. Huyen Quyen, G. Salkewitz, F. Hoffmann, A. Villinger and M. Brasholz, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 269.



14. Y. Su, S. Zhang, Y. Yuan, Q. Ma, Z. Sun, Y. Yuan and X. Jia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 9878.
15. Y. Zhang, W. Jiang, X. Bao, Y. Qiu, Y. Yuan, C. Yang and C. Huo, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 3238.
16. K. Sachidanandan, C. Stenftenagel, A. M. Cluff, G. A. McAlary, A. Joshy, B. Niu and S. Lahlé, *Org. Lett.*, 2025, **27**, 5619.
17. K. Sachidanandan, B. Niu and S. Lahlé, *ChemCatChem*, 2023, **15**, e202300860.
18. Y. Ye, X. Zhang, P. Kong, Y. Yuan, X. Zhao and C. Huo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 10378.
19. Y. Ye, D. Ji, C. Zhou, Y. Su, X. Bao and C. Huo, *Org. Lett.*, 2025, **27**, 1054.
20. K. Yamazaki, S. Akimoto and T. Miura, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2025, **23**, 9936.
21. Y. Matsumoto, J. Sawamura, Y. Murata, T. Nishikata, R. Yazaki and T. Ohshima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 8498.
22. T. Tsuji, K. Hashiguchi, M. Yoshida, T. Ikeda, Y. Koga, Y. Honda, T. Tanaka, S. Re, K. Mizuguchi, D. Takahashi, R. Yazaki and T. Ohshima, *Nat. Synth.*, 2022, **1**, 304.
23. M. Hari Babu and J. Sim, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **2022**, e202200859.
24. H. Yu, Z. Liu and J. Lin, *Synlett*, 2016, **27**, 1711.
25. J. J. A. Garwood, A. D. Chen and D. A. Nagib, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 28034.
26. J. P. P. a. A. H. Winter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 32.
27. R. Sustmann and H. G. Korth, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, 1990, **26**, 131.
28. R. Zhang, J. P. Peterson, L. J. Fischer, A. Ellern and A. H. Winter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 14308.
29. D. J. Pasto, R. Krasnansky and C. Zercher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 3062.
30. D. J. Pasto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 8164.
31. E. Blokker, M. ten Brink, J. M. van der Schuur, T. A. Hamlin and F. M. Bickelhaupt, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2023, **1**, e202300006.
32. G. Klopman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 223.
33. L. Salem, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 543.
34. K. Fukui and H. Fujimoto, *BCSJ*, 1969, **42**, 3339.
35. B. Stouwie, A. R. Emmerich, T. Weyhermu and S. B. Beil, *ChemRxiv*, 2026, **2026**.
36. L. Delfau, E. Mauro, J. Pecaut, D. Martin and E. Tomás-Mendivil, *ACS Catal.*, 2024, **14**, 7149.
37. A. Gennaro, A. A. Isse and F. Maran, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2001, **507**, 124.
38. Z. Zuo, D. T. Ahneman, L. Chu, J. A. Terrett, A. G. Doyle and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 437.
39. C. N. Prieto Kullmer, J. A. Kautzky, S. W. Krska, T. Nowak, S. D. Dreher and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2022, **376**, 532.
40. C. P. Johnston, R. T. Smith, S. Allmendinger and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2016, **536**, 322.
41. K. Sachidanandan, C. Stenftenagel, A. Joshy, A. M. Cluff and S. Lahlé, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2026, **29**, e70356.
42. G. A. Dawson, E. H. Spielvogel and T. Diao, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, **56**, 3640.
43. S. B. Beil, T. Q. Chen, N. E. Intermaggio and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2022, **55**, 3481.
44. J. L. Mateo, P. Bosch and A. E. Lozano, *Macromolecules*, 1994, **27**, 7794.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC02549H



Data availability

Data for this article, including CV data and DFT (ORCA) input and output files, as well as NMR spectra and HRMS data are available at Edmond at <https://doi.org/10.17617/3.DPOBYI>.

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

