

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: T. Burwell, M. Thangamuthu, J. Alves Fernandes and A. Khlobystov, *Chem. Commun.*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D6CC01583B.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Mapping Reaction Pathways and Catalyst Dynamics in Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction through *in situ* and *operando* Characterisation

Tom Burwell, Madasamy Thangamuthu*, Jesum Alves Fernandes, Andrei N. Khlobystov*

School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom.

Abstract

Electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (eCO₂RR) provides a promising route for converting CO₂ into fuels and chemical feedstocks using renewable electricity. However, the dynamic nature of catalyst surfaces under reaction conditions complicates the identification of active sites and reaction intermediates, posing a major challenge for the rational design of efficient catalysts. *In situ* and *operando* characterisation techniques have therefore emerged as essential tools for directly probing catalyst behaviour under working electrochemical conditions. In this review, we present a unified perspective that integrates spectroscopy and microscopy techniques to correlate reaction intermediates, catalyst electronic structure evolution, and catalyst restructuring during eCO₂RR. Spectroscopic approaches, including surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS), attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR), and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), enable direct identification of key reaction intermediates and electronic structure changes during eCO₂RR. Complementarily, *in situ* microscopy techniques such as electrochemical atomic force microscopy (EC-AFM), electrochemical scanning tunnelling microscopy (EC-STM), and liquid-cell transmission electron microscopy (EC-TEM) reveal dynamic restructuring of the catalyst surface at the nanoscale and atomic scale. By bridging molecular-level intermediate identification with nanoscale structural evolution, this review highlights how dynamic catalyst behaviour governs activity and selectivity, providing new insight into the identification of true active phases. This integrated framework offers emerging strategies for rational catalyst design toward stable and selective CO₂ reduction catalysts.

Keywords

Electrochemical CO₂ reduction, *in situ/operando* characterisation, reaction intermediates, catalyst reconstruction, surface dynamics, correlative microscopy.



1. Introduction

The continuous rise in atmospheric CO₂ concentration driven by fossil-fuel combustion and industrial processes is one of the defining challenges of the 21st century¹. Carbon capture and utilisation (CCU) offers a pathway to mitigate emissions while creating economic value by transforming CO₂ into fuels and chemical feedstocks such as CO, formate, methanol and hydrocarbons². Unlike carbon capture and storage, which merely delays emissions, CCU enables a circular carbon economy aligned with global net-zero ambitions³. Among CCU strategies, the electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (eCO₂RR) is uniquely positioned to integrate with renewable electricity infrastructure. It operates under mild conditions, allows precise control over reaction potential and current, and is compatible with decentralised and modular reactor designs⁴. However, eCO₂RR is intrinsically complex. The thermodynamic stability of linear CO₂, the multiplicity of competing proton-electron transfer pathways, and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) collectively challenge catalyst selectivity and efficiency⁵. Addressing these challenges requires catalysts that lower activation barriers and direct complex reaction networks toward desired products under sustained operation.

1.1 Catalytic CO₂ conversion approaches

CO₂ can be converted through thermocatalytic, photocatalytic, photoelectrochemical (PEC), and electrochemical pathways. Thermocatalytic routes such as the Reverse Water-Gas Shift Reaction (RWGS)^{6,7} (**Equation 1**) and Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (**Equation 2**)^{8,9} are industrially established but energy-intensive and reliant on externally supplied H₂. Photocatalytic and PEC systems integrate light harvesting with catalysis, but are often limited by poor quantum efficiencies, catalyst instability and scalability challenges^{10,11}.



In contrast, eCO₂RR decouples light absorption from catalysis, allowing direct utilisation of renewable electricity with flexible operational control. The key challenge lies not in proof-of-concept activity, but in achieving catalyst systems that combine high current density, a narrow product selectivity window, and an understanding of the dynamic restructuring under reaction conditions¹². Recent advances in catalyst design, reaction engineering, and mechanistic understanding have further accelerated progress in eCO₂RR, as highlighted in recent reports.^{13,14}

1.2 Electrochemical CO₂ conversion: Pathways and selectivity

eCO₂RR proceeds via coupled proton–electron transfer steps at the cathode, producing a wide range of C₁ and C₂₊ products, including CO, formate, hydrocarbons and alcohols¹⁵. Product distribution is strongly governed by the catalyst composition, electronic structure, and local reaction environment⁴. Representative half-reactions and equilibrium potentials are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Representative C₁ and C₂₊ products of electrochemical CO₂ reduction, together with their standard equilibrium potentials and corresponding half-reactions.

Electrons	CO ₂ reduction half equation	Equilibrium potential (V vs SHE at pH 7)
1	$\text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2^{\bullet-}$	-1.90
2	$\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons \text{HCOOH}$	-0.610



2	$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons CO + H_2O$	-0.530
4	$CO_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightleftharpoons HCHO + H_2O$	-0.480
6	$CO_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightleftharpoons CH_3OH + H_2O$	-0.380
8	$CO_2 + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightleftharpoons CH_4 + 2H_2O$	-0.240
12	$2CO_2 + 12H^+ + 12e^- \rightleftharpoons C_2H_4 + 4H_2O$	-0.349
12	$2CO_2 + 12H^+ + 12e^- \rightleftharpoons C_2H_5OH + 3H_2O$	-0.329
14	$2CO_2 + 14H^+ + 14e^- \rightleftharpoons C_2H_6 + 4H_2O$	-0.270
18	$3CO_2 + 18H^+ + 18e^- \rightleftharpoons C_3H_7OH + 5H_2O$	-0.310

The first electron transfer to CO_2 , forming the unstable $CO_2^{\bullet-}$ radical, requires a potential of approximately -1.90 V vs SHE at neutral pH, highlighting the need for catalysts that stabilise early intermediates and reduce kinetic barriers¹⁶. Under cathodic bias, the potential for CO_2 reduction overlaps with that of the HER, resulting in competition for active sites and electrons. Consequently, suppressing HER while promoting selective CO_2 conversion remains a central catalyst design objective.

Strategies such as modifying the electrolyte composition or employing aprotic media can reduce proton availability and suppress HER; however, such approaches often favour less-hydrogenated products (e.g., CO or formate) over multi-proton pathways toward alcohols or hydrocarbons. Thus, catalyst design must ultimately be rooted in controlling intermediate binding energetics and surface reaction pathways.

1.3. Catalyst classification and descriptor framework

Electrocatalysts for e CO_2 RR can be classified according to (i) product selectivity, (ii) composition, and (iii) structural complexity. These classifications provide a practical framework for correlating material identity with intermediate stabilisation and reaction outcomes.

From a product perspective, catalysts typically fall into three subcategories: (1) C_1 -selective catalysts that predominantly produce CO or formate, (2) multicarbon (C_{2+}) catalysts that enable C–C coupling, and (3) materials dominated by HER. Most transition and p-block metals exhibit C_1 selectivity, while copper (Cu) uniquely facilitates substantial C_{2+} hydrocarbons and oxygenates formation (**Figure 1a**). This product-based classification reflects the differences in $*CO$ surface coverage and the ability to stabilise C–C coupling intermediates.

From a compositional viewpoint, catalysts include metallic systems (e.g., Cu, Ag, Au, Sn, Bi)¹⁷, oxide-derived materials¹⁸, bimetallic alloys¹⁹, molecular and M–N–C single-site catalysts, and hybrid metal–support architectures²⁰. Alloying, heterostructuring, and defect engineering introduce additional electronics and geometric tuning parameters, enabling modulation of adsorption energetics and interfacial activity.

Structurally, catalysts may also be sub-categorised based on dimensionality and dispersion, including bulk foils, nanoparticles, nanoclusters, single atoms, and defect-engineered surfaces²¹. While nanoscale systems maximise active site utilisation, they are often dynamically unstable under reaction conditions, undergoing dissolution, redeposition, or phase transformation. As a result, the as-synthesised structure frequently differs from the true active phase.



These classifications converge within a descriptor-based framework linking adsorption energetics to selectivity. Catalytic performance is governed by the adsorption energetics of key intermediates such as $^*\text{COOH}$, $^*\text{OCHO}$, $^*\text{CO}$ and $^*\text{H}$. A Volcano-type relationship linking intermediate binding energy to partial current density (**Figure 1b** and **c**) illustrates how catalysts positioned within optimal energetic windows achieve high selectivity while suppressing competing pathways²². Thus, catalyst classification is inherently mechanistic, linking material structure to the energetic landscape of CO_2 reduction^{23,24}. The interplay between descriptor energetics, catalyst surface dynamics, and resulting product selectivity is summarised schematically in **Figure 1d**. This descriptor framework underpins the discussions in subsequent sections and motivates the need for direct mapping of catalyst behaviour under operating conditions. A brief comparison of major electrocatalyst classes, including their representative systems, product selectivity, and key advantages and limitations, is summarised in **Table 2**, providing a practical framework for catalyst selection and design.




Figure 1. a) Table of d-block transition metals and p-block metalloids and their selectivity in the eCO_2RR . Reproduced from ref²⁵ under CC-BY license. (b) Partial current density for CO formation (J_{CO} at -0.9 V vs RHE) plotted as a function of $^*\text{COOH}$ binding energy for representative metal catalysts. (c) Partial current density for formate production (J_{HCOOH} at -0.9 V vs RHE) plotted against $^*\text{OCHO}$ binding energy, showing a distinct volcano-type relationship with Sn positioned near the activity maximum due to near-optimal stabilisation of the $^*\text{OCHO}$ intermediate. Dashed lines serve as guides to the eye. Adapted from ref²² with permission from American Chemical Society, Copyright 2017. (d) Conceptual framework illustrating the interplay between descriptor-based intermediate binding energetics, dynamic surface evolution under reaction conditions, and resulting product selectivity in eCO_2RR .



Table 2. Classification of eCO₂RR catalysts based on their typical products, comparing advantages and limitations

Catalyst Class	Examples	Main products	Advantages	Limitations
Noble metals	Au, Ag, Pd	CO	High selectivity; low overpotential	Expensive; limited multi-carbon products
Post-transition /main group metalloids	Sn, Bi, In	Formate	High FE; suppresses HER	Limited products; low current density; high overpotential
Cu-based	Cu, Cu oxides	C ₂₊ products (ethylene, ethanol)	Unique C–C coupling ability; broad product range	Poor stability; dynamic restructuring; mixed selectivity
Bimetallic/alloys	Cu–Ag, Cu–Pd	Tunable (CO, C ₂₊)	Synergistic effects; tunable binding energies and selectivity	Complex synthesis; difficult to control active sites
M–N–C	Fe–N–C, Co–N–C	CO	High dispersion of active sites	Poor stability; limited C ₂₊ formation
Molecular catalysts	Metal-phthalocyanines (CoPc, FePc, NiPc)	CO/formate	Tunable coordination environment; well-defined active sites	Limited stability; degradation under electrochemical conditions

1.4. Why *in situ* and *operando* mapping is essential

Descriptor-based volcano plots provide valuable thermodynamic insight but represent static energetic landscapes. In practice, catalyst surfaces evolve continuously under applied bias, undergoing oxidation–reduction cycling, defect formation, dissolution–redeposition, electrolyte-induced restructuring, and intermediate accumulation^{23,24}. These dynamic processes can shift adsorption energetics and alter selectivity in real time. *In situ* and *operando* mapping is therefore essential to bridge the gap between idealised binding-energy descriptors and the behaviour of working catalysts. By simultaneously tracking surface intermediates, electronic structure, and morphological evolution, *in situ* and *operando* techniques enable identification of transient active states and correlation with product distribution. Understanding how catalysts dynamically enter or depart from optimal binding-energy windows is critical for designing systems that remain selective and stable under realistic operating conditions.

Despite the rapid growth of *in situ* and *operando* studies in eCO₂RR, existing review articles have predominantly focused on either individual spectroscopic techniques (e.g., infrared (IR), Raman, and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS)-based studies)^{26–28} or specific catalyst systems such as Cu-based catalysts and single-atom catalysts,^{29–31} often treating intermediate identification and catalyst restructuring as separate aspects. However, catalytic performance in eCO₂RR emerges from the dynamic interplay between reaction intermediate formation and the catalyst's electronic structure evolution, and morphological transformation under operating conditions. In this review, we address this gap by integrating spectroscopy and microscopy insights within a unified framework that directly links molecular-scale intermediates to nanoscale structural evolution. By systematically correlating these processes, we provide a comprehensive understanding of how dynamic catalyst behaviour governs activity and selectivity, thereby offering a more complete mechanistic picture complementing previously reported reviews.



It is important to distinguish between *in situ* and *operando* characterisation, as these terms are often used interchangeably in the literature. *In situ* techniques refer to measurements performed under controlled reaction-relevant conditions, such as applied potential, electrolyte environment, or gas atmosphere, enabling observation of catalyst behaviour under conditions that approximate those of catalysis. In contrast, *operando* techniques involve simultaneous measurement of catalyst structure or reaction intermediates together with catalytic performance metrics, such as current density or product selectivity, thereby enabling direct correlation between observed species and catalytic function. In this review, we adopt this distinction to differentiate studies that directly link structural or spectroscopic observations with catalytic activity from those that probe catalyst behaviour under reaction-relevant conditions without simultaneous performance correlation.

2. Elucidating eCO₂RR intermediates via *in situ/operando* spectroscopy

Achieving high FE, industrially relevant current densities and long-term durability remain a central challenge in eCO₂RR. While descriptor-based frameworks provide valuable thermodynamic guidance for catalyst design, real catalytic surfaces often undergo dynamic restructuring under operating conditions, leading to transient active states that cannot be captured by *ex situ* characterisation alone. Consequently, *in situ* and *operando* techniques have become indispensable for elucidating reliable structure–function relationships that govern eCO₂RR performance.

Spectroscopic methods provide direct insight into reaction intermediates and the electronic structure of catalysts during catalysis. Vibrational spectroscopies such as surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) and attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) enable direct identification of surface-bound intermediates, including *COOH, *OCHO, *CO and *H, providing molecular-level information on reaction pathways and product selectivity. Complementarily, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) offers element-specific information on oxidation state, local coordination environment and electronic structure, allowing real-time tracking of catalyst reconstruction and dynamic active-phase formation during eCO₂RR.

Together, these spectroscopic approaches enable experimental validation of key intermediates predicted by theoretical models and reveal how catalyst electronic structure evolves during CO₂ reduction. In this section, we highlight recent advances in vibrational and X-ray spectroscopies that provide mechanistic insight into intermediate formation, catalyst transformation, and reaction pathways in eCO₂RR systems.

2.1. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)

SERS has emerged as a powerful *in situ* and *operando* tool for resolving the vibrational fingerprints of reaction intermediates adsorbed on catalyst surfaces during eCO₂RR. By exploiting plasmonic enhancement at roughened metal interfaces, SERS enables detection of transient, low-coverage species that are otherwise inaccessible to conventional Raman spectroscopy. Early landmark work by Chernyshova *et al.* employed SERS on roughened Cu electrodes to assign potential-dependent vibrational bands to key intermediates, including CO₂•⁻ and CO in distinct binding configurations (**Figure 2a**)³². A characteristic CO stretching band emerged at potentials more negative than -0.9 V vs Ag/AgCl, accompanied by a redshift with increasing overpotential, consistent with strengthened chemisorption at Cu active sites. These observations directly demonstrated the sensitivity of CO binding configuration and surface coverage to applied potential. A vibrational feature of particular mechanistic significance is the transient band near 1540 cm⁻¹, attributed to the CO₂•⁻ intermediate. This signal, correlated with a low-frequency mode at ~350 cm⁻¹, disappears at more cathodic



Ratio of P2 to P1 as a function of potential, e) FE of C₂H₄ as a function of potential, f) FE of C₂₊ products as a function of potential. Adapted from ref³³ under CC-BY license.

Beyond identification of intermediates, SERS has enabled quantitative insight into surface coverage dynamics. Zhan *et al.* monitored Cu–CO interactions under *operando* conditions and identified two distinct vibrational modes: a stretching mode (P1) at ~280 cm⁻¹ and a rotational mode (P2) at 355–370 cm⁻¹ (**Figure 2b** and **c**).³³ The intensity ratio (P2/P1) followed Langmuir-type adsorption behaviour (**Figure 2d**) and served as a quantitative descriptor of CO surface coverage. Notably, this spectroscopic parameter correlated directly with FE for C₂₊ products (**Figure 2e** and **f**), indicating that increased *CO accumulation promotes C–C coupling and enhances multicarbon product formation. Building on this concept of spectroscopic descriptors, Chen *et al.* further demonstrated that the intensity ratio between Raman bands corresponding to linearly adsorbed (*CO_{atop}) and bridge-bound (*CO_{bridge}) configurations can be used to predict product selectivity³⁴. By correlating this SERS-derived ratio with catalytic performance, the authors showed that a higher relative population of *CO_{bridge} favours ethanol formation, as this configuration stabilises *CO–CO coupling and oxygenated intermediates, whereas dominant *CO_{atop} configurations are associated with ethylene production through more direct C–C coupling and subsequent hydrogenation pathways. This finding highlights the potential of *in situ* SERS to provide quantitative descriptors linking intermediate surface coverage with catalytic selectivity and enabling mechanistically informed catalyst screening.

SERS has also provided insight into bimetallic synergy. Gao *et al.* demonstrated that incorporating Ag into Cu surfaces promotes a CO spillover mechanism, whereby CO generated on Ag sites migrates to neighbouring Cu domains, increasing the local *CO surface coverage on Cu and thereby promoting C–C coupling. This tandem Ag–Cu catalyst achieved a FE of ~76% for C₂₊ products, with ethylene as the dominant product³⁵. *In situ* Raman spectra revealed intensified C–H vibrational features upon Ag incorporation, indicative of increased surface populations of hydrogenated intermediates such as *CHO, *CH₃, and *C₂H₂. The formation of these intermediates reflects enhanced hydrogenation of coupled *CO species on Cu sites, consistent with reaction pathways leading predominantly to ethylene formation via *CO dimerisation* (OCCO) followed by proton–electron transfer steps.

Collectively, these studies illustrate that SERS not only enables the identification of transient intermediates but also provides quantitative descriptors that link molecular-scale surface coverage to macroscopic catalytic performance. **Figure 3** summarises characteristic vibrational signatures reported for key eCO₂RR intermediates across catalyst systems, offering a consolidated framework for peak assignment and mechanistic interpretation.



View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC01583B

revealed strong vibrational bands associated with *OCHO intermediates, indicating preferential stabilisation of this species on the reconstructed surface. As a result, the catalyst exhibited high selectivity toward formate with FE approaching ~100%, demonstrating that the Bi₂O₂CO₃ phase promotes the two-electron reduction pathway by stabilising the *OCHO intermediate while suppressing competing hydrogen evolution and C–C coupling pathways.

Taken together, these studies demonstrate that ATR-FTIR not only enables identification of key reaction intermediates but also captures dynamic catalyst reconstruction and pathway switching under applied bias. By correlating vibrational signatures with applied potential, nanoparticle size, doping chemistry and phase transformation, ATR-FTIR serves as a critical bridge between molecular-level intermediate stabilisation and macroscopic catalytic performance.






Figure 4. *In-situ* ATR-FTIR of the various Cu quantum dot sizes under varying applied potentials: a) Cu-50 nm, b) Cu-25 nm, c) Cu-15 nm, d) Cu-5 nm. Adapted from ref³⁶ with permission from Wiley-VCH, Copyright 2024. *In situ* ATR-FTIR of e) Cu NSs, f) Cu+S NSs, g) Cu₂S/C. Adapted from ref³⁷ with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, Copyright 1996. h) *In-situ* time-resolved ATR-IR spectra of CO₂RR recorded at -0.6 V for BiPO₄ nanoparticles in the CO₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ electrolyte. Adapted from ref³⁹ with permission from Elsevier, Copyright 2023.



2.3. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and electronic structure probing

XAS, encompassing both X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), has become an indispensable technique for probing the electronic and local atomic structure of CO₂RR electrocatalysts under working conditions⁴⁰. By tracking oxidation state changes, coordination environment variations, and bond distances in real time, XAS yields direct insight into the active site nature and dynamic structural evolution that accompany catalytic activity, information that is difficult to obtain via purely *ex situ* methods⁴¹. Studies leveraging XAS have revealed how subtle electronic and coordination changes correlate with product selectivity and reaction pathways, providing a molecular-level link between catalyst structure and catalytic function.

In Cu-based eCO₂RR catalysts, XAS has played a pivotal role in revealing how electronic structure and oxidation state evolve dynamically under reaction conditions and correlate with product selectivity. For instance, Lin *et al.* employed seconds-resolved *operando* XAS to track the chemical-state evolution of oxide-derived Cu electrocatalysts during eCO₂RR, revealing that under cathodic bias the catalyst stabilises in a mixed valence state consisting of approximately equal proportions of Cu(0) and Cu(I) (**Figure 5a**), rather than converting completely to metallic Cu⁴². This dynamic Cu(I)/Cu(0) interface was associated with enhanced formation of C₂ oxygenates, particularly ethanol, reaching a FE of ~13% at -0.75 V under optimised conditions. XAS analysis suggested that Cu(I) species stabilise key *CO and *CO–CO intermediates, while adjacent metallic Cu(0) sites facilitate electron transfer and hydrogenation steps. The coexistence of Cu(I) and Cu(0) therefore promotes *CO dimerisation and subsequent hydrogenation toward ethanol, demonstrating that dynamic mixed-valence active sites govern C–C coupling pathways and product selectivity rather than a purely metallic Cu surface.

Complementarily, Feijóo *et al.* employed *in situ* XAS to continuously monitor oxidation state and coordination number changes in 5 nm Cu nanoparticles during eCO₂RR (**Figure 5b and c**)⁴³. The measurements revealed progressive ligand desorption and restructuring of the nanoparticles into under-coordinated metallic Cu nanograins, accompanied by an increase in Cu–Cu coordination number during electrocatalysis. These dynamically generated low-coordination Cu sites were identified as the catalytically active surface responsible for C–C coupling. Under reaction conditions, the catalyst achieved a FE of ~35% for ethylene as the dominant product. It suggested that the under-coordinated Cu sites enhance the adsorption of *CO intermediates and facilitate their dimerisation to *OCCO, a key step toward multicarbon formation. These findings demonstrate that dynamic restructuring of Cu nanoparticles into low-coordination metallic domains governs C–C coupling activity and ethylene selectivity during eCO₂RR.

In p-block catalysts such as Sn- and Bi-based materials, *in situ* investigations have elucidated how dynamic changes in oxidation state and local coordination influence formate selectivity by stabilising *OCHO-type intermediates. Tin oxide (SnO₂) nanoparticle catalysts also undergo potential-dependent reduction during eCO₂RR, as revealed by XAS. Dutta *et al.* observed progressive reduction of Sn⁴⁺ to mixed SnO_x species and eventually to metallic Sn at increasingly cathodic potentials (**Figure 5d**)⁴⁴. Spectroscopic analysis showed that partially reduced SnO_x species containing Sn²⁺ stabilise OCHO intermediates, promoting the two-electron reduction of CO₂ to formate. Under these conditions, formate remains the dominant product at potentials up to approximately -1.1 V vs Ag/AgCl, whereas further reduction of the catalyst to metallic Sn shifts the reaction pathway toward hydrogen evolution, leading to a marked decrease in formate selectivity. These results demonstrate that maintaining mixed Sn



oxidation states is critical for sustaining selective CO₂-to-formate conversion, highlighting the importance of *in situ* spectroscopy in identifying active catalytic states.

Bi-based catalysts exhibit analogous electronic structure evolution during CO₂ electroreduction. Zhao *et al.* employed synchrotron X-ray absorption spectroscopy together with *in situ* ATR-FTIR to probe the electronic and local coordination environment of boron (B)-doped Bi catalysts⁴⁵. XAFS analysis revealed B-induced modulation of Bi–Bi coordination and electronic structure, producing an electron-rich Bi surface that enhances CO₂ activation. ATR-FTIR measurements further identified the formation of the *OCHO intermediate (~1393 cm⁻¹) during CO₂ reduction, confirming the formate production pathway. The optimised B-doped Bi catalyst achieved a FE of 91.5% for formate at -1.1 V vs RHE in an H-cell and up to 95% FE in a flow cell, while maintaining stable operation for 20 h at a current density of -190 mA cm⁻². These results demonstrate that electronic structure modulation of Bi centres promotes *OCHO stabilisation and accelerates charge-transfer kinetics, leading to highly selective and stable CO₂-to-formate conversion.




Figure 5. a) Potential dependence of operando EXAFS spectra of the CuO_x under eCO₂RR in 0.5 M CO₂-saturated KHCO₃ using chronoamperometry. Adapted from ref⁴² under the CC-BY license. b) Full XAS spectra after different times of electrolysis with dashed lines showing a metallic Cu feature at ~9002 eV emerging under eCO₂RR, c) The Cu–Cu coordination number (CN) of metallic Cu increases throughout the dynamic evolution of the catalyst from 6.0 after 15 min to 10.5 after 30 min to 12.0 after 1 h. Adapted from ref⁴³ with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2023. d) Sn K-edge XANES of the SnO₂ NPs@rGO catalyst acquired at different electrode potential values (marked in the figure vs Ag/AgCl). Spectra of pure SnO₂, pure metallic Sn, and as-synthesised SnO₂ NPs@rGO, measured at the same beam-line under identical conditions, are also plotted as a reference. Adapted from ref⁴⁴ with permission from Elsevier, Copyright 2018.



Table 3. Summary of *in situ* and *operando* spectroscopic studies revealing reaction intermediates and catalytic pathways in eCO₂RR.

Technique	Reference	Catalyst system	Measurement insight (in situ/operando)	Intermediate	Catalytic implication
SERS	Chernyshova <i>et al.</i> ³²	Roughened Cu electrode	<i>In situ</i> : Raman bands (1540 cm ⁻¹ , 350 cm ⁻¹) reveal early CO ₂ activation	*CO ₂ • ⁻ *CO	Controls CO/formate selectivity
SERS	Zhan <i>et al.</i> ³³	Cu nanocubes	<i>Operando</i> : CO bands P1 (280–370 cm ⁻¹) and P2 (1970–2110 cm ⁻¹); P2/P1 intensity reflects high CO coverage	*CO	Promotes ethylene formation
SERS	Chen <i>et al.</i> ³⁴	Cu nanoparticles	<i>In situ</i> : Bands of *COOH (1042 cm ⁻¹), *CO (2000–2100 cm ⁻¹), *CH ₂ CHO (530 cm ⁻¹); K ⁺ increases CO coverage, promoting CO dimerisation	*COOH *CO *CH ₂ CHO	Ethylene and ethanol formation
SERS	Gao <i>et al.</i> ³⁵	Ag-Cu nanowires	<i>In situ</i> : CO generated on Ag spills over to Cu, increasing *CO coverage and enabling C–C coupling	*CO *CHO	C ₂₊ products (76% FE)
ATR-FTIR	Wang <i>et al.</i> ³⁶	Cu quantum dots	<i>In situ</i> : Potential-dependent *COOH, *COCHO, *CHO band (1000–1250 cm ⁻¹), decreasing the size promotes C–C coupling	*COOH *COCHO	Ethylene (81.5% FE)
ATR-FTIR	Liu <i>et al.</i> ³⁷	Cu ₂ S nanosheets	<i>In situ</i> : Strong HCOO ⁻ bands; suppressed COOH* pathway; heteroatom doping tunes intermediate binding	HCOO*, COO ⁻	Formate (82% FE)
ATR-SEIRAS	Delmo <i>et al.</i> ³⁸	Oxide-derived Cu	<i>In situ</i> : Strong *CO uptake; bands at ~1426 & 1327 cm ⁻¹ ; Subsurface O promotes *CO + *CHO coupling	*CHO *COCHO	C ₂ products (ethylene, ethanol)
ATR-FTIR	Liang <i>et al.</i> ³⁹	Bi ₂ O ₂ CO ₃ /Bi	<i>In situ</i> : CO ₃ ²⁻ → HCO ₃ ⁻ interfacial dynamics electrolysis; Bi ₂ O ₂ CO ₃ phase promotes two-electron reduction	*OCHO	Formate (100% FE)
XAS	Lin <i>et al.</i> ⁴²	Cu nanocubes	<i>Operando</i> : Mixed Cu ⁰ /Cu ⁺ promotes CO dimerisation	OCCO*	Ethanol (12% FE)
XAS	Feijóo <i>et al.</i> ⁴³	5 nm Cu nanoparticles	<i>In situ</i> : Cu ₂ O reduces low-coordinated Cu nanograins, promoting C–C coupling	*CO reservoir	Ethylene (35% FE)
XAS	Dutta <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁴	SnO ₂ nanoparticle	<i>In situ</i> : SnO _x reduces to Sn ²⁺ and Sn ⁰ ; mixed oxidation states are crucial for formate selectivity	HCOO*	Formate pathway
XAFS	Zhao <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁵	B-doped Bi nanosheets	<i>In situ</i> : Electron-rich Bi stabilises *OCHO	*OCHO	Formate (95% FE)
XAS	Martini <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶	Co–N–C single-atom catalyst	<i>In situ</i> : Co–N ₄ and metal–CO interactions stabilise CO intermediates	*CO	CO production



Together, these studies demonstrate that the active phase of p-block catalysts is not static but dynamically evolves under applied bias. *In situ* and *operando* XAS therefore provides direct mechanistic insight linking oxidation-state dynamics, intermediate stabilisation, and macroscopic formate selectivity.

XAS is particularly powerful for probing the dynamic coordination environment of atomically dispersed catalysts during CO₂ electroreduction. Martini *et al.* employed Co K-edge XANES to monitor structural evolution of Co–N–C single-atom catalysts during eCO₂RR (performed at –1.2 V vs RHE in 0.1 M KHCO₃)⁴⁶. Time-resolved analysis revealed the coexistence of three cobalt species under reaction conditions: intact Co–N₄ moieties, partially reduced Co–Co aggregates, and a distorted Co–N₄ configuration coordinated with axial CO ligands, which was identified as the dominant active state for CO formation. EXAFS fitting and wavelet transform analysis further confirmed the emergence of Co–Co scattering contributions (≈ 2.5 Å) associated with partial aggregation of single atoms, together with Co–N and Co–C coordination shells characteristic of the atomically dispersed environment. These observations indicate that adsorbate-induced distortion of the Co–N₄ site and metal–CO interactions stabilise key CO intermediates and promote selective CO production, whereas progressive aggregation into metallic Co clusters diminishes CO selectivity due to competing hydrogen evolution.

Overall, these observations establish that XAS bridges molecular-scale intermediate identification and mesoscale structural evolution by revealing how electronic structure and coordination environments evolve dynamically during eCO₂RR. When integrated with complementary techniques such as SERS and ATR-FTIR, XAS provides a comprehensive framework linking oxidation-state dynamics, active-site reconstruction, and catalytic performance. A summary of representative *in situ* and *operando* spectroscopic studies discussed in this review, highlighting the intermediates identified and their relationship to catalytic performance, is provided in **Table 3**. Although the term *operando* is widely used in the literature, not all studies strictly meet the definition of simultaneous correlation between spectroscopic observations and catalytic performance. In many spectroscopic investigations of eCO₂RR, reaction intermediates, and catalyst electronic structure are probed under electrochemical conditions, while product quantification is performed separately, often using independent cells or periodic sampling techniques. As a result, such studies are more appropriately described as *in situ*, and the studies summarised here therefore include both *in situ* and *operando* investigations.

3. Visualising structural evolution of the catalyst via *in situ* microscopy

To elucidate the dynamic structural evolution of catalysts under eCO₂RR conditions, advanced microscopy techniques play a pivotal role. While spectroscopic methods provide insight into oxidation states and adsorbate interactions, *in situ* microscopy enables direct visualisation of catalyst restructuring under eCO₂RR conditions. Techniques such as electrochemical atomic force microscopy (EC-AFM), electrochemical scanning tunnelling microscopy (EC-STM), and electrochemical/identical location transmission electron microscopy (EC/IL-TEM) provide high-resolution, real-time visualisation of morphological and crystallographic transformations occurring under applied bias. During catalysis, catalysts frequently undergo significant structural changes, including surface roughening, defect generation, nanoparticle restructuring, aggregation, and phase transitions. These transformations are often closely correlated with variations in catalytic activity, product selectivity, and long-term stability. By directly capturing nanoscale surface dynamics at electrified interfaces, *in situ* microscopy provides critical insight into the evolution of active sites during eCO₂RR.



Together with spectroscopic techniques discussed in the previous section, these microscopic approaches establish a powerful framework for linking catalyst structure, dynamic surface evolution, and electrochemical performance. Such insights are essential for guiding the rational design and optimisation of next-generation catalysts for efficient and selective eCO₂RR.

3.1. Electrochemical-atomic force microscopy (EC-AFM)

EC-AFM is a powerful non-optical surface characterisation technique that enables nanoscale topographical imaging of electrode surfaces under applied bias. By scanning a cantilever-mounted probe in contact or tapping mode, EC-AFM provides real-time insight into surface roughening, nanoparticle formation, and morphological restructuring during electrochemical operation, without the need for vacuum-based electron microscopy.

Simon *et al.* employed EC-AFM to monitor the surface evolution of a Cu(100) thin film during electrochemical eCO₂RR (**Figure 6a–c**)⁴⁷. AFM imaging revealed that even upon immersion of the catalyst into CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ at open-circuit potential (OCP), the initially atomically flat Cu terraces rapidly transformed into a roughened surface decorated with nanoparticulate and platelet-like features. This reconstruction was attributed to dissolution of the native Cu oxide layer followed by reprecipitation of Cu species, forming epitaxially aligned Cu₂O(111) platelets on the Cu(100) surface. Upon applying cathodic potentials relevant to eCO₂RR (−0.5 to −1.1 V vs RHE), the oxide layer rapidly reduces, and the surface evolves into potential-dependent morphologies characterised by mound–pit structures at −0.5 V and rectangular terraces with crystallographically aligned step edges at more negative potentials. These transformations increase the density of under-coordinated Cu step sites, which are widely recognised as favourable adsorption sites for CO intermediates and are implicated in facilitating C–C coupling pathways leading to C₂ products such as ethylene on Cu(100) surfaces. Although this EC-AFM study did not directly quantify catalytic performance metrics such as FE or product selectivity, the *in situ* observations demonstrate that potential-dependent surface reconstruction dynamically modifies the distribution of active sites, implying that catalytic behaviour during eCO₂RR is governed by the evolving surface structure rather than the static morphology of the as-prepared catalyst.




Figure 6. AFM of Cu(100) film at a) -0.5 V, b) -1.0 V, c) -1.1 V, d) Schematics of morphologies observed, e) line-defect densities from *in-situ* AFM images. Adapted from ref⁴⁷ under the CC-BY license. f-j) AFM images under the pulse program of different Cu crystalline facets. Adapted with permission from ref⁴⁸ under the CC-BY license.



Building on these insights, Wang *et al.* employed identical-grain EC-AFM combined with EBSD to probe facet-dependent restructuring of polycrystalline Cu electrodes during eCO₂RR (**Figure 6f–j**)⁴⁸. AFM under alternating anodic and cathodic pulses (+1.0 and –1.0 V vs RHE) revealed pronounced facet-dependent surface reconstruction. Planar facets showed the strongest restructuring: Cu(001) evolved into square-based pyramidal structures reflecting four-fold symmetry, while Cu(111) formed triangular pits consistent with its three-fold lattice symmetry. In contrast, stepped facets such as Cu(114), Cu(212), and Cu(124) developed elongated or directionally oriented features. These morphological transformations increase the density of low-coordination surface sites that stabilise CO intermediates and facilitate C–C coupling. Electrocatalysis results showed that pristine Cu mainly produced formate (21.2%) and CO (6.5%) at –0.8 V vs RHE, whereas more negative potentials promoted hydrocarbon formation, reaching CH₄ (26.4%) and C₂H₄ (5.3%) at –1.6 V vs RHE. Electrochemical pulsing further increased the electrochemical surface area (~4.6×) and enhanced carbon-product formation, highlighting the direct link between facet-dependent restructuring, active-site generation, and catalytic performance during eCO₂RR.

Together, these studies demonstrate that the catalyst surface operating during eCO₂RR can differ substantially from the as-prepared state, particularly for polycrystalline or electrodeposited Cu electrodes. EC-AFM reveals that dynamic surface reconstruction, occurring through dissolution–redeposition processes and facet-dependent morphological evolution, continuously modifies the density and coordination of active sites. These structural changes directly influence the adsorption of key intermediates and, consequently, catalytic activity and product selectivity. EC-AFM therefore provides a powerful platform for visualising nanoscale surface dynamics under reaction conditions and highlights the importance of integrating *in situ* microscopy with electrochemical measurements to establish reliable structure–function relationships in eCO₂RR catalysts.

3.2. Electrochemical-scanning tunnelling microscopy (EC-STM)

EC-STM enables atomic-scale visualisation of catalyst surfaces under applied potential, providing unique insight into potential-dependent restructuring and active-site evolution during eCO₂RR. Complementing EC-AFM, EC-STM offers higher spatial resolution and direct access to crystallographic and molecular-scale transformations at electrified interfaces. In particular, EC-STM enables observation of dynamic catalyst transformations that directly influence catalytic activity and selectivity during CO₂ electroreduction.

As an illustrative example of molecular catalyst restructuring, Jeong *et al.* employed *in situ* EC-STM to monitor structural evolution of a Cu-phthalocyanine (CuPc) adlayer on Au(111) during eCO₂RR⁴⁹. STM images revealed that the initially well-ordered CuPc molecular layer rapidly restructured under cathodic bias, forming nanoscale clusters across the surface even at modest reduction potentials (≈ -0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl), with extensive cluster coverage observed at –0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl. These clusters originated from potential-induced demetallation of the CuPc macrocycle, where proton-assisted cleavage of the Cu–N coordination released Cu atoms that subsequently nucleated into Cu-based nanoclusters on the Au surface. *Ex situ* XPS confirmed the decrease of Cu–N bonding and the appearance of N–H species, together with signatures of Cu_xO complexes, indicating that the molecular catalyst transforms into Cu-derived active clusters under reaction conditions. These newly formed Cu_xO nanoclusters act as the operative catalytic sites capable of stabilising key CO intermediates required for CO₂ reduction. Electrochemical characterisation further showed the emergence of a CO₂ reduction peak near –0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl, coinciding with the cluster-covered surface, indicating that the CuPc-derived Cu_xO clusters represent the catalytically active phase. This study demonstrates that molecular Cu–N₄ catalysts can dynamically transform into nanoparticulate Cu-based



active sites during operation, highlighting how EC-STM directly reveals the structural origin of catalytic activity.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC01583B




Figure 7. STM of CoPc monolayer on Au(111) in a) CO₂, b) air, c) Ar, all in 0.1 M KHCO₃ and d) cross-section profile of a-c from top to bottom. Adapted from ref⁵⁰ with permission from Wiley-VCH GmbH, Copyright 2020. e-h) STM images of CoPc monolayer on Au(111), in Ar (e and f), CO₂ (g and h), with e and g in 0.1 M NaClO₄ and f and h in 0.1 M NaClO₄ with 0.05 M Mg(ClO₄)₂, with high-resolution inset images. Adapted from ref⁵¹ with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2022. i-l) STM images of Cu(100) with stepwise potential from i) -0.12 to -0.17 and then to -0.22 j) decreased to -0.27 V, k) with potential hold for and l) change back to -0.12 V. Adapted from ref⁵² under the CC-BY license. STM of potential dependence on surface roughening of Au(111) in CO₂ saturated 0.1 M LiHCO₃, NaHCO₃, KHCO₃, RbHCO₃ and CsHCO₃ after holding potential for 30 minutes. Adapted from ref⁵³ with permission from the American Chemical Society, Copyright 2024.



In contrast to CuPc, cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc) exhibits greater structural stability during eCO₂RR. Wang *et al.* used *in situ* EC-STM to monitor a self-assembled CoPc monolayer on Au(111) and observed two adsorbed species with different contrasts in CO₂-saturated electrolyte (**Figure 7a–d**)⁵⁰. Approximately 14.2 ± 2.2% of the surface species appeared as high-contrast features in CO₂-saturated electrolyte, whereas their population decreased to 0.70 ± 0.16% in air and 0.19 ± 0.08% in Ar, indicating that the contrast change is directly associated with CO₂ adsorption. These high-contrast species were assigned to a Co(I)Pc–CO₂ complex formed after electrochemical reduction of Co(II)Pc to Co(I)Pc near –0.2 V vs SCE, as confirmed by cyclic voltammetry and theoretical simulations. The formation of this Co(I)Pc–CO₂ adduct represents the initial CO₂ activation step at the Co–N₄ active site, where coordination of CO₂ to the reduced cobalt centre stabilises the adsorbed intermediate required for subsequent reduction to CO. Potential-dependent EC-STM imaging further revealed a reversible transformation between CoPc–CO₂ and pristine CoPc during catalytic operation, with the population of the adsorbed intermediate increasing as the potential approaches the Co²⁺/Co¹⁺ reduction region. Kinetic analysis based on potential-step EC-STM experiments yielded an apparent rate constant of ~7.9 × 10¹⁰ molecules cm^{–2} s^{–1} for CO₂ binding to Co(I)Pc, confirming that CO₂ coordination to the reduced metal centre is the rate-limiting step governing catalytic turnover. These results provide direct molecular-scale visualisation of intermediate formation during CO₂ reduction and demonstrate how EC-STM can capture adsorbate–catalyst interactions that control activity and selectivity in Co–N₄ molecular catalysts.

Beyond identifying catalytic intermediates, EC-STM can also elucidate how electrolyte species modulate catalyst–intermediate interactions. Building on this concept of interfacial modulation, Wang *et al.* used *in situ* EC-STM to investigate the role of Mg²⁺ cations in CoPc-catalysed CO₂ reduction on Au(111)⁵¹. STM imaging (**Figure 7e–h**) revealed the formation of high-contrast surface species corresponding to a CoPc–CO₂–Mg²⁺ complex, with apparent molecular heights (~0.19 nm) larger than those of CoPc–CO₂ (~0.15 nm) and pristine CoPc (~0.10 nm). The surface coverage of the adsorbed CO₂ complex increased with Mg²⁺ concentration, reaching ~30.8 ± 2.7% when Mg²⁺ concentration exceeded ~30 mM, compared with ~12.6 ± 1.9% in Mg²⁺-free electrolytes. Potential-step EC-STM experiments further showed faster adsorption kinetics in Mg²⁺-containing electrolytes, yielding CO₂ adsorption and desorption rate constants of k₃ = 0.36 min^{–1} and k_{–3} = 0.89 min^{–1}, compared with k₂ = 0.26 min^{–1} and k_{–2} = 1.77 min^{–1} without Mg²⁺. Electrocatalysis results showed that CoPc achieves ~80% FE for CO over a broad potential window in Mg²⁺-containing electrolytes, demonstrating that cation–catalyst interactions enhance CO₂ adsorption and promote efficient CO production.

Similar electrolyte-mediated restructuring phenomena have also been observed for metallic catalysts. Studies by Amirbeigi *et al.* on Cu(100) revealed similar restructuring phenomena during CO₂ electroreduction, where *in situ* STM observations showed the formation of Cu nanoclusters that subsequently disperse into adatoms, generating a high density of under-coordinated surface sites (**Figure 7i–l**)⁵². These dynamically formed sites were correlated with enhanced catalytic activity for CO₂ reduction, promoting C–C coupling pathways and leading to increased selectivity toward multicarbon products such as ethylene and ethanol, with FE approaching ~40–50% for C₂₊ products at current densities on the order of tens of mA cm^{–2} under eCO₂RR conditions. The emergence of these active configurations near the onset of CO₂ reduction highlights the intrinsic structural flexibility of Cu surfaces under cathodic potentials. Taken together, these results suggest that electrolyte cations can actively influence restructuring processes, potentially through modulation of the interfacial electric field, specific adsorption at the catalyst surface, or stabilisation of transient hydride-like intermediates that



facilitate surface atom mobility and the generation of catalytically active low-coordination sites.

The influence of electrolyte cations extends even further, affecting surface reconstruction on noble metal catalysts as well. Using a model Au(111) single crystal, Wang *et al.* systematically examined the effects of alkali metal cations (Cs^+ , Rb^+ , K^+ , Na^+ , Li^+) under identical bicarbonate conditions (**Figure 7m**)⁵³. Their *in situ* electrochemical STM measurements revealed pronounced cation-dependent surface restructuring, with the extent of Au surface roughening following the trend Cs^+ (37.1%) > Rb^+ (25.7%) > K^+ (16.6%) > Na^+ (2.3%) > Li^+ (0.3%). The roughened surfaces contain a high density of low-coordinated Au atoms, which serve as highly active catalytic sites for CO_2 electroreduction. Correspondingly, catalytic measurements demonstrated that electrolytes containing larger alkali cations exhibited significantly enhanced activity and selectivity toward CO formation, with increased FE and higher partial current densities compared with smaller cations such as Li^+ . This strong correlation between cation size, surface restructuring, and catalytic performance highlights the crucial role of electrolyte composition in dynamically generating active sites during operation. These findings suggest that weakly hydrated, large alkali cations promote interfacial electric-field amplification and stabilise surface intermediates, thereby facilitating surface atom mobility and the formation of catalytically active low-coordination metal sites.

Collectively, EC-STM studies demonstrate that catalyst surfaces, whether molecular or metallic, are highly dynamic under eCO_2RR conditions. Potential-driven restructuring, metal demetallation, intermediate stabilisation, and electrolyte-induced morphology changes all contribute to the evolving active phase. By directly visualising these transformations at atomic and molecular scales, EC-STM provides critical insight into the structure–activity relationships governing CO_2 electroreduction. These insights highlight the necessity of *in situ* atomic-scale imaging to accurately identify active species and establish reliable structure–activity relationships in CO_2 electroreduction.

3.3. Electrochemical-transmission electron microscopy (EC-TEM)

EC-TEM extends nanoscale characterisation into realistic reaction environments by integrating liquid electrochemical cells within the TEM column. These specialised microfabricated cells contain a CO_2 -saturated electrolyte and patterned electrodes, enabling real-time imaging of structural evolution under applied bias. Beyond morphological changes, EC-TEM can provide insight into crystalline phase transitions, lattice dynamics, defect formation, and particle reshaping during operation, thereby enabling direct visualisation of catalyst restructuring under working electrochemical conditions. Such capability makes EC-TEM a uniquely powerful technique for correlating nanoscale structural dynamics with catalytic behaviour in eCO_2RR systems.

Zhang *et al.* employed EC-TEM to investigate Cu nanowires under eCO_2RR conditions and observed striking dynamic restructuring behaviour⁵⁴. Real-time imaging under cathodic bias (−1.1 V vs RHE) allowed direct visualisation of structural fluctuations at the nanoscale, revealing that initially well-ordered crystalline Cu nanowires undergo rapid and reversible structural transformations during electrochemical operation (**Figure 8a–c**). Upon application of cathodic bias, initially crystalline Cu regions underwent localised amorphisation. These amorphous domains were highly transient and spatially confined, exhibiting pulsating transitions between crystalline and disordered states over short timescales. Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) revealed that the amorphous regions consisted of mixed-valence Cu(0)/Cu(I) species, indicating partial oxidation–reduction cycling of Cu atoms at the catalyst surface. Moreover, individual Cu atoms were extracted from the lattice, generating atomic



vacancies that were rapidly refilled, preserving overall crystallinity but leaving persistent defects, particularly at step edges. These defects evolved into nanoscale pits over time, increasing surface roughness and the density of catalytically accessible active sites. The formation of these defect-rich regions and under-coordinated Cu atoms is particularly significant because such sites are widely recognised as highly active sites for CO₂ reduction and C–C coupling reactions, which are commonly associated with enhanced activity and selectivity toward multicarbon products in Cu-based catalysts.




Figure 8. a) The schematic shows that the amorphous interphase mediates the crystalline Cu surface restructuring through dynamic flow and interconversion behaviours, b) HRTEM images show the liquid-like flow of the amorphous on the crystalline Cu surface, c) HRTEM images show the interconversion between crystalline Cu and amorphous interphase. Scale bar, 5 nm for both b and c. Adapted from ref⁵⁴ with permission from Springer Nature, Copyright 2024. Snapshots extracted from the videos tracking the evolution of d) 390 nm, e) 170 nm, and f) 80 nm Cu cubes at 0, 5, 25, and 45 minutes after applying a potential of -0.9 V vs RHE. Adapted from ref⁵⁵ under the CC-BY license. g) Sequence of TEM images taken while applying -0.25 V vs RHE, h) magnified images of red frame depicting the secondary particle growth process at higher magnification, i) magnified images of blue frame depicting the shrinking process of the primary particles. Adapted from ref⁵⁶ with permission from Wiley-VCH GmbH, Copyright 2020.

Size-dependent restructuring effects were further demonstrated by Grosse *et al.*, who examined Cu₂O nanocubes of varying dimensions. Real-time imaging revealed pronounced size-dependent structural evolution of the nanocubes under cathodic bias, with the smallest cubes (80 nm) exhibiting the most significant transformation (Figure 8d–f)⁵⁵. These nanoparticles



View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC01583B

underwent continuous Cu atom detachment, dissolution, and subsequent redeposition processes, resulting in substantial morphological rearrangement of the catalyst surface.



Table 4. Summary of *in situ* microscopic studies revealing catalyst restructuring during eCO₂RR.

Technique	Ref.	Catalyst system	Analysis insight	Intermediate	Catalytic implication
EC-AFM	Simon <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁷	Cu(100) single-crystal	Potential-dependent surface reconstruction forms under-coordinated Cu sites	*CO	Defect-sites enhance C ₂₊ products
EC-AFM	Wang <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁸	Polycrystalline Cu	Alternating anodic and cathodic pulses generate low-coordination Cu sites, facilitating C-C coupling	*CO	CO/formate at low bias and C ₂ products at high bias
EC-STM	Jeong <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁹	CuPc/Au(111)	Molecular Cu-N ₄ catalyst dynamically transforms into catalytically active Cu _x O nanoclusters	*CO	Cu _x O nanoclusters active for eCO ₂ RR
EC-STM	Wang <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁰	CoPc/Au(111)	Potential-dependent CoPc-CO ₂ complex formation represents activation of CO ₂	Co(I)Pc-CO ₂ complex	CO formation
EC-STM	Wang <i>et al.</i> ⁵¹	CoPc/Au(111) + Mg ²⁺ electrolyte	Mg ²⁺ stabilises the adsorbed CO ₂ complex, promoting selective CO formation	CoPc-CO ₂ -Mg ²⁺ complex	CO (80% FE)
EC-STM	Amirbeigi-arab <i>et al.</i> ⁵²	Cu(100) single crystal	CO-induced Cu adatoms and nanoclusters create undercoordinated Cu sites	*CO, *COOH	C ₂₊ formation
EC-STM	Wang <i>et al.</i> ⁵³	Au(111) single crystal	Large cation-induced Au nanoclusters (1–3 nm) form catalytically active low-coordinated Au atoms	*CO	Enhanced CO selectivity
EC-TEM	Zhang <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴	Cu nanowires	Dynamic Cu reconstruction under bias generates defect-rich regions and low-coordination Cu sites	*CO	Promotes C-C coupling
EC-TEM	Grosse <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁵	Cu ₂ O nanocubes (80–390 nm)	Small cubes undergo dissolution and redeposition, migration, and coalescence, while large cubes do not change	*CO	High C ₂₊ selectivity
EC-TEM	Vavra <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁶	~7 nm Cu nanoparticles	Dissolution-reposition forms single atoms and nanocluster active sites	Cu ⁺ / Cu ²⁺ (catalyst state)	C–C coupling enhancement
EC-TEM	Abdellah <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁷	Pd nanoparticles	Pd → PdH _x lattice expansion is catalytically active	*H, HCOO*	Formate production

Note: In microscopic studies, intermediates (e.g., *CO, COOH) are typically inferred from structural evolution and reaction conditions, rather than directly observed, unless explicitly identified at the molecular level.

During eCO₂RR, 80 nm cubes increased in size due to redeposited Cu, while their population decreased by ~50% within 1 hour. This loss was attributed to weaker adhesion to the support and higher surface mobility of the small nanoparticle, which facilitated migration and coalescence during electrochemical operation. In contrast, larger cubes (170 and 390 nm) displayed significantly greater structural stability, maintaining relatively constant size and morphology throughout the experiment. These results highlight the strong influence of nanoparticle size and catalyst–support interactions on restructuring dynamics and durability during electrochemical CO₂ reduction, emphasising that nanoscale catalysts can undergo extensive restructuring that fundamentally alters active-site distribution and surface chemistry during operation.

Complementary *in situ* TEM studies by Vavra *et al.* further confirmed Cu dissolution at OCP, followed by redeposition under CO₂RR bias (**Figure 8g–i**)⁵⁶. Real-time imaging revealed that Cu atoms dissolve from the catalyst surface even before electrochemical reduction begins, and subsequently redeposit as isolated single atoms or small clusters once a cathodic potential is applied. The redeposited Cu formed isolated single atoms or small clusters, generating active-site configurations different from the as-prepared catalyst. Such dynamically generated atomic Cu species and nanoscale clusters can significantly modify the distribution of catalytic active sites during operation. Although this study primarily focused on *in situ* structural evolution and did not report detailed catalytic performance metrics such as FE, product selectivity, or current density, the observations provide important mechanistic insight into how dissolution–redeposition processes can generate highly dispersed Cu sites that are widely considered active for CO₂ reduction. These findings further demonstrate that catalyst restructuring may occur before steady-state catalysis begins, complicating the interpretation of *ex situ* characterisation and reinforcing conclusions drawn from EC-AFM and EC-STM regarding Cu instability under electrochemical conditions.

While Cu systems highlight dynamic restructuring, studies on alternative catalysts underscore the importance of intrinsic stability. Abdellah *et al.* investigated palladium (Pd) electrodes for CO₂RR and observed no morphological changes under OCP, indicating that Pd nanoparticles remain structurally stable in the absence of electrochemical bias⁵⁷. However, upon applying –0.2 V vs RHE, rapid particle migration, agglomeration, and detachment occurred within seconds. The Pd particles evolved into hollow, sponge-like structures, attributed to the rapid formation of Pd hydride phases. Hydride formation-induced mechanical stress within the particles drives deformation and detachment. The insertion of hydrogen into the Pd lattice leads to significant lattice expansion, generating internal strain that promotes particle restructuring and morphological instability. This hydride-induced structural transformation highlights how reactive intermediates formed during electrochemical reactions can dramatically alter catalyst morphology and stability, demonstrating that even catalysts that appear structurally stable at open-circuit conditions may undergo rapid restructuring once electrochemical reactions generate reactive species such as metal hydrides.

Overall, EC-TEM studies demonstrate that catalyst morphology, phase composition, and defect structure are highly dynamic during eCO₂RR. Dissolution–redeposition processes, amorphisation, vacancy formation, particle migration, and hydride-induced deformation all contribute to the evolution of active-site landscapes. These *in situ* observations emphasise that the true catalytic surface often differs significantly from the as-synthesised material, underscoring that catalyst stability, both under OCP and operating bias, must be considered a primary design criterion alongside activity and selectivity. Integrating electron microscopy with complementary spectroscopic techniques is therefore essential for constructing accurate



structure–function relationships and guiding the development of robust next-generation eCO₂RR catalysts. A summary of representative *in situ* microscopic studies that visualise catalyst restructuring during eCO₂RR is provided in **Table 4**.

In summary, *in situ/operando* spectroscopy and microscopy establish a direct bridge between descriptor energetics, surface dynamics, and product selectivity, forming the foundation for mechanistically guided catalyst design. By revealing how catalyst structures dynamically evolve under working conditions, these techniques enable the identification of true active phases and provide critical insights for the rational development of stable and selective CO₂ reduction catalysts.

In addition to the spectroscopy and microscopy techniques discussed here, complementary methods such as differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS),^{58,59} *in situ/operando* X-ray diffraction (XRD)^{60,61} provide additional insights into eCO₂RR. DEMS enables real-time detection of volatile reaction products and, in some cases, can approach *operando* conditions when directly correlated with electrochemical measurements, while XRD reveals bulk phase transformations of catalysts under working conditions. Although these techniques are not the primary focus of this review, they play an important role in developing a comprehensive understanding of CO₂ reduction mechanisms. A comparison of the capabilities and limitations of commonly used *in situ/operando* techniques is summarised in **Table 5**. These inherent trade-offs in sensitivity and resolution underpin many of the challenges in identifying active sites and reaction mechanisms, as discussed in the following section.

4. Challenges in Identifying Active Sites and Reaction Mechanisms in eCO₂RR

Despite significant advances in *operando* characterisation, identifying the true catalytically active phase in eCO₂RR remains a fundamental challenge. Catalysts often undergo substantial structural and electronic transformations under reaction conditions, meaning that the active phase may differ significantly from the as-prepared material. While *in situ* and *operando* techniques provide direct insight into catalyst behaviour, their reliability depends on spatial and temporal resolution, sensitivity to surface species, and the ability to correlate observations with catalytic performance. A key limitation of current *in situ* techniques lies in their ability to detect highly transient intermediates. Vibrational spectroscopies such as SERS and ATR-FTIR are highly effective for identifying relatively stable, surface-bound species, but may not capture short-lived intermediates with low surface coverage. Similarly, XAS provides robust information on oxidation states and coordination environments, yet is limited in its ability to resolve ultrafast dynamic processes. As a result, some mechanistic steps may be inferred rather than directly observed.

In addition to instrumental limitations, uncertainties also arise in the interpretation of *in situ* experimental data. Spectroscopic signatures of reaction intermediates can sometimes overlap, making accurate assignment challenging, particularly for complex reaction networks such as eCO₂RR. Low signal-to-noise ratios and limited surface sensitivity may further obscure detection of low-coverage species. Moreover, dynamic catalyst restructuring under reaction conditions can lead to the coexistence of multiple active states, complicating the identification of the dominant catalytic phase. Consequently, mechanistic conclusions drawn from a single technique may be uncertain.

These challenges contribute to differing and sometimes conflicting mechanistic interpretations reported in the literature. For example, in Cu-based systems, some studies attribute enhanced C–C coupling to increased *CO surface coverage,^{4,62} while others emphasise the role of Cu⁺/Cu⁰ interfaces in stabilising *CO–CO intermediates.^{63,64} Similarly, for formate-selective



Table 5. Comparison of strengths and limitations of various *in situ/operando* techniques for studying eCO₂RR

Technique	Sensitivity to reaction intermediates	Spatial resolution	Temporal resolution	Key strengths	Key limitations
SERS	High (surface species)	Nanoscale (~10–100 nm, hotspot-dependent)	ms–s	Detects adsorbed intermediates (*CO, *OCHO, *COOH)	Signal depends on substrate; limited quantification
ATR-FTIR / SEIRAS	High (vibrational modes)	Surface-sensitive (evanescent probing depth ~100 nm)	s–min	Identifies functional groups and reaction pathways of surface intermediates	Overlapping bands; limited sensitivity to low coverage
XAS / XAFS	Moderate (electronic structure)	No spatial resolution (ensemble-averaged signal)	s–min	Reveals oxidation states and the coordination environment of the catalyst	Limited surface specificity; lower temporal resolution
EC-STM / EC-AFM	Low (no direct detection of reaction intermediates)	Atomic–nanoscale (~0.1–10 nm)	s–min	Reveals real-space surface structure and reconstruction of the catalyst	Cannot directly detect reaction intermediates; relatively slow imaging
EC-TEM	Low (no direct detection of reaction intermediates)	Atomic scale	ms–s	Reveals direct visualisation of the dynamic restructuring of the catalyst	Beam effects; complex experimental environment
DEMS	High (volatile products)	None (bulk detection)	ms–s	Detects real-time reaction products	Limited to gaseous products; no structural info on the catalyst
XRD	Low (catalyst phase only)	Bulk	s–min	Identifies phase transitions in the catalyst	No catalyst surface or reaction intermediate information



catalysts such as Sn- and Bi-based materials, both *COOH ^{37,44} and *OCHO ^{39,45} pathways have been proposed depending on catalyst structure and reaction conditions. Such discrepancies often arise from differences in catalyst morphology, electrolyte composition, applied potential, and the inherent constraints of individual characterisation techniques.

Resolving these discrepancies requires a correlative approach that integrates multiple *in situ* techniques with catalytic performance metrics. By combining spectroscopic identification of reaction intermediates, structural insights into catalyst material from microscopy, and electrochemical measurements, it becomes possible to distinguish transient species from catalytically relevant active states. This integrated strategy is essential for establishing reliable structure–activity relationships and developing a unified mechanistic understanding of eCO₂RR. Continued advances in time-resolved and multimodal *operando* techniques are expected to further improve the detection of short-lived intermediates and enable more definitive identification of active phases under realistic reaction conditions.

Despite these challenges, several representative studies demonstrate how *operando* characterisation can successfully establish structure–activity relationships and guide catalyst design. For example, XAS investigations of Cu-based catalysts have revealed the formation of mixed Cu⁺/Cu⁰ interfacial sites under reaction conditions, which stabilise $^*CO-CO$ intermediates and promote C–C coupling⁴². These insights have guided the development of oxide-derived Cu catalysts with enhanced selectivity toward C₂₊ products. Similarly, spectroscopic studies on Bi-based catalysts have identified the stabilisation of *OCHO intermediates as a key determinant of formate selectivity⁴⁵. In contrast, XAS studies on Sn-based catalysts have highlighted the importance of mixed Sn²⁺/Sn⁰ oxidation states in promoting the formate pathway⁴⁴. These findings demonstrate that different catalyst systems can favour distinct mechanistic pathways toward formate production. In these examples, integrating *operando* insights with catalyst design can bridge the gap between mechanistic understanding and practical catalyst development in eCO₂RR systems.

5. Future directions in *in situ/operando* eCO₂RR characterisation

Understanding the complex mechanisms of electrochemical CO₂ reduction requires characterisation techniques capable of probing catalysts under realistic operating conditions. *In situ* and *operando* characterisation methods have therefore become indispensable tools for revealing the dynamic behaviour of catalysts during CO₂ electroreduction. These techniques enable direct observation of intermediate formation, catalyst restructuring, and active-site evolution, providing critical insight into the mechanisms governing catalytic activity and selectivity. By capturing the catalyst surface under working electrochemical conditions, *in situ* methods are increasingly redefining our understanding of the true catalytically active phase in CO₂ reduction systems.

Despite significant progress, several challenges remain in fully capturing the complexity of catalytic processes under electrochemical conditions. Many current techniques still face limitations in spatial resolution, temporal resolution, and sensitivity to transient reaction intermediates. Future advances in instrumentation and experimental design will therefore be essential for achieving a more comprehensive understanding of CO₂ reduction mechanisms. In particular, improving the ability to detect low-concentration intermediates and track atomic-scale restructuring under realistic electrochemical environments will be critical for establishing reliable structure–activity relationships.

One important direction is the development of multimodal *operando* platforms that combine complementary spectroscopic and microscopic techniques. For example, integrating



spectroscopy with high-resolution microscopy could simultaneously reveal chemical intermediate formation and structural evolution of catalysts during reaction. Such correlative approaches will enable direct linking of reaction intermediates with dynamic changes in catalyst morphology and active-site configuration. The integration of these complementary techniques will allow researchers to bridge the gap between molecular-scale reaction chemistry and nanoscale catalyst restructuring.

Another emerging opportunity lies in improving temporal resolution to capture short-lived intermediates and rapid catalyst restructuring events that occur during electrochemical operation. Advances in fast spectroscopy, time-resolved microscopy, and advanced detector technologies will allow researchers to observe catalytic processes on relevant timescales and provide deeper insight into reaction kinetics and dynamic catalyst evolution. These developments will help reveal transient catalytic states that often remain hidden in conventional steady-state measurements.

In addition, future *operando* studies should increasingly focus on realistic reaction environments, including higher current densities, industrially relevant electrolytes, and gas-diffusion electrode architectures. Such conditions are critical for bridging the gap between fundamental laboratory studies and practical electrochemical CO₂ conversion technologies. The integration of advanced data analysis approaches, including machine learning and automated image analysis, also presents new opportunities for extracting mechanistic insights from large *operando* datasets. These tools can help identify correlations between catalyst structure, intermediate formation, and product selectivity that may not be immediately apparent through conventional analysis methods. Combining *in situ* measurements with data-driven analysis may therefore accelerate the discovery of new catalyst design principles for efficient CO₂ conversion.

Overall, continued development and integration of *in situ/operando* spectroscopy and microscopy techniques will be essential for uncovering the true catalytically active phases and dynamic restructuring processes that govern CO₂ electroreduction. Such insights will ultimately guide the rational design of next-generation catalysts with improved activity, selectivity, and stability for sustainable carbon conversion technologies. As these methodologies continue to evolve, *operando* characterisation is expected to play an increasingly central role in bridging fundamental electrochemical science with scalable carbon utilisation technologies.

Looking forward, several emerging directions are expected to further advance *in situ* studies in eCO₂RR. One important development is the integration of hyphenated techniques, where complementary spectroscopy and microscopy methods are combined within a single electrochemical cell to simultaneously probe intermediates, electronic structure, and morphological evolution. Such multimodal approaches enable direct correlation of chemical and structural dynamics under identical reaction conditions. In parallel, the increasing complexity and volume of experimental datasets are driving the adoption of machine learning and data-driven analysis tools to extract meaningful correlations between catalyst structure, intermediate formation, and catalytic performance. These approaches offer new opportunities for identifying hidden patterns and accelerating catalyst discovery. Furthermore, advances in high-speed and fast-scanning probe techniques, such as high-speed AFM, are enabling the capture of dynamic surface processes with improved temporal resolution, providing new insight into rapid restructuring events that occur during electrochemical reactions. Recent developments in this area highlight the potential of such approaches to resolve transient states



of the catalyst under realistic conditions.³⁰ Together, these emerging strategies are expected to enable a more comprehensive, spatially- and time-resolved understanding of catalyst behaviour, ultimately bridging the gap between fundamental mechanistic studies and practical catalyst design.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), project Metal Atoms on Surfaces and Interfaces (MASI) for Sustainable Future (EP/V000055/1) and EPSRC/SFI CDT in Sustainable Chemistry – Atoms 2 Products (EP/S022236/1).



6. References

- 1 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), *AR6 Synthesis Report*, 2023.
- 2 C. Hepburn, E. Adlen, J. Beddington, E. A. Carter, S. Fuss, N. Mac Dowell, J. C. Minx, P. Smith and C. K. Williams, *Nature*, 2019, **575**, 87–97.
- 3 J. Artz, T. E. Müller, K. Thenert, J. Kleinekorte, R. Meys, A. Sternberg, A. Bardow and W. Leitner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 434–504.
- 4 S. Nitopi, E. Bertheussen, S. B. Scott, X. Liu, A. K. Engstfeld, S. Horch, B. Seger, I. E. L. Stephens, K. Chan, C. Hahn, J. K. Nørskov, T. F. Jaramillo and I. Chorkendorff, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 7610–7672.
- 5 Y. Y. Birdja, E. Pérez-Gallent, M. C. Figueiredo, A. J. Göttle, F. Calle-Vallejo and M. T. M. Koper, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, **4**, 732–745.
- 6 A. A. Gokhale, J. A. Dumesic and M. Mavrikakis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 1402–1414.
- 7 W. Li, J. Gan, Y. Liu, Y. Zou, S. Zhang and Y. Qu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202305661.
- 8 N. Luo, W. Yang, L. Feng, S. Huang, P. Huang and M. Wei, *Molecular Catalysis*, 2024, **553**, 113683.
- 9 W. Wu, J. Luo, J. Zhao, M. Wang, L. Luo, S. Hu, B. He, C. Ma, H. Li and J. Zeng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 6108.
- 10 T. Hisatomi and K. Domen, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 387–399.
- 11 Q. Wang and K. Domen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 919–985.
- 12 P. De Luna, C. Hahn, D. Higgins, S. A. Jaffer, T. F. Jaramillo and E. H. Sargent, *Science*, 2019, **364**, eaav3506.
- 13 T. Chen, Z. Zhao, S. Zhang, B. Ding, D. Chen, G. Chen, Y. Zhu and X. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2025, **15**, 2502092.
- 14 X. Ke, W. Xu, C. Liu, Y. Wang, X. Huang, R. Xiao, X. Xu, T. Li and Z. Shao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2025, **18**, 7792–7858.
- 15 H.-R. “Molly” Jhong, S. Ma and P. J. A. Kenis, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.*, 2013, **2**, 191–199.
- 16 E. E. Benson, C. P. Kubiak, A. J. Sathrum and J. M. Smieja, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 89–99.
- 17 T. Tang, X. Bai, Z. Wang and J. Guan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 5082–5112.
- 18 S. Sahoo, K. Y. Wickramathilaka, E. Njeri, D. Silva and S. L. Suib, *Front. Chem.*, 2024, **12**, 12:1374878.
- 19 L. Liu and A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **123**, 4855–4933.
- 20 J. S. Bates, *Nat. Chem.*, 2025, **17**, 318–324.
- 21 S. Mitchell, R. Qin, N. Zheng and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2021, **16**, 129–139.
- 22 J. T. Feaster, C. Shi, E. R. Cave, T. Hatsukade, D. N. Abram, K. P. Kuhl, C. Hahn, J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 4822–4827.
- 23 T. Burwell, M. Thangamuthu, G. N. Aliev, S. Ghaderzadeh, E. C. Kohlrausch, Y. Chen, W. Theis, L. T. Norman, J. A. Fernandes, E. Besley, P. Licence and A. N. Khlobystov, *Commun. Chem.*, 2024, **7**, 140.



- 24 T. Burwell, M. Thangamuthu, E. Besley, Y. Chen, J. Pyer, J. Alves Fernandes, A. E. Lanterna, P. Licence, G. N. Aliev, W. Theis and A. N. Khlobystov, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2025, **8**, 2281–2290.
- 25 X. Wang, W. Ju, L. Liang, M. Riyaz, A. Bagger, M. Filippi, J. Rossmeisl and P. Strasser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202401821.
- 26 F. Dattila, R. R. Seemakurthi, Y. Zhou and N. López, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 11085–11130.
- 27 Y. Xue, M. He, P. Wang, C. Yang, J. Yao, T. Zhang, H. Xu, X. Sun and Z. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2024, **128**, 19109–19121.
- 28 B. Hasa, Y. Zhao and F. Jiao, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.*, 2023, **18**, 165–185.
- 29 M. A. Bañares and M. Daturi, *Catal. Today*, 2023, **423**, 114255.
- 30 R. Sun, X. Liu, J. Huang, Y. Wang, H. Huang, Y. Lei and J. Ge, *Small Methods*, 2025, **9**, 2500516.
- 31 M. Zhang, Z. Chen and Y. A. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2026, **36**, e29196.
- 32 I. V Chernyshova, P. Somasundaran and S. Ponnurangam, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2018, **115**, E9261–E9270.
- 33 C. Zhan, F. Dattila, C. Rettenmaier, A. Bergmann, S. Köhl, R. García-Muelas, N. López and B. R. Cuenya, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 7694–7701.
- 34 D. Chen, Y. Wei, Z. Sun, X. Zhao, X. Tang, X. Zhu, G. Li, L. Yao, S. Chen, R. Lin, J. Wang, Q. Li, X. Fan, T. Qiu and Q. Hao, *Small*, 2025, **21**, 2409569.
- 35 J. Gao, H. Zhang, X. Guo, J. Luo, S. M. Zakeeruddin, D. Ren and M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 18704–18714.
- 36 Y. Wang, J. Wang, R. Cai, J. Zhang, S. Xia, Z. Li, C. Yu, J. Wu, P. Wang and Y. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2025, **35**, 2417764.
- 37 W. Liu, Y. Wen, N. Fang, M. Wang, Y. Xu and X. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 11843–11846.
- 38 E. P. Delmo, Y. Wang, Y. Song, S. Zhu, H. Zhang, H. Xu, T. Li, J. Jang, Y. Kwon, Y. Wang and M. Shao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 1935–1945.
- 39 X.-D. Liang, Q.-Z. Zheng, N. Wei, Y.-Y. Lou, S.-N. Hu, K.-M. Zhao, H.-G. Liao, N. Tian, Z.-Y. Zhou and S.-G. Sun, *Nano Energy*, 2023, **114**, 108638.
- 40 K. S. Adarsh, N. Chandrasekaran and V. Chakrapani, *Front. Chem.*, 2020, **20**, 137.
- 41 B. Chang, H. Pang, F. Raziq, S. Wang, K.-W. Huang, J. Ye and H. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2023, **16**, 4714–4758.
- 42 S.-C. Lin, C.-C. Chang, S.-Y. Chiu, H.-T. Pai, T.-Y. Liao, C.-S. Hsu, W.-H. Chiang, M.-K. Tsai and H. M. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3525.
- 43 J. Feijóo, Y. Yang, M. V Fonseca Guzman, A. Vargas, C. Chen, C. J. Pollock and P. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 20208–20213.
- 44 A. Dutta, A. Kuzume, V. Kaliginedi, M. Rahaman, I. Sinev, M. Ahmadi, B. Roldán Cuenya, S. Vesztegom and P. Broekmann, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **53**, 828–840.
- 45 S. Zhao, H. Zhou, D. Cao, B. Sheng, F. Qian, C. Liu, Y. Chu, R. Li, L. Song and S. Chen, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 2025, **41**, 273–280.



- 46 A. Martini, J. Timoshenko, M. Rüscher, D. Hursán, M. C. O. Monteiro, E. Liberra and B. R. Cuenya, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 2024, **31**, 741–750.
- 47 G. H. Simon, C. S. Kley and B. Roldan Cuenya, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 2561–2568.
- 48 H. Wang, L. D. B. Mandemaker, J. de Ruiter, X. Yu, W. van der Stam and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2025, **64**, e202424530.
- 49 Y. Jeong, Y. Kim, Y. J. Kim and J. Y. Park, *Advanced Science*, 2024, **11**, 2304735.
- 50 X. Wang, Z.-F. Cai, Y.-Q. Wang, Y.-C. Feng, H.-J. Yan, D. Wang and L.-J. Wan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16098–16103.
- 51 Y.-Q. Wang, X.-H. Dan, X. Wang, Z.-Y. Yi, J. Fu, Y.-C. Feng, J.-S. Hu, D. Wang and L.-J. Wan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 20126–20133.
- 52 R. Amirbeigi-arab, J. Tian, A. Herzog, C. Qiu, A. Bergmann, B. Roldan Cuenya and O. M. Magnussen, *Nat. Catal.*, 2023, **6**, 837–846.
- 53 Y.-Q. Wang, J. Fu, Y. Feng, K. Zhao, L. Wang, J.-Y. Cai, X. Wang, T. Chen, F. Yang, J.-S. Hu, B. Xu, D. Wang and L.-J. Wan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 27713–27724.
- 54 Q. Zhang, Z. Song, X. Sun, Y. Liu, J. Wan, S. B. Betzler, Q. Zheng, J. Shanguan, K. C. Bustillo, P. Ercius, P. Narang, Y. Huang and H. Zheng, *Nature*, 2024, **630**, 643–647.
- 55 P. Grosse, A. Yoon, C. Rettenmaier, A. Herzog, S. W. Chee and B. Roldan Cuenya, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 6736.
- 56 J. Vavra, T.-H. Shen, D. Stoian, V. Tileli and R. Buonsanti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 1347–1354.
- 57 A. M. Abdellah, F. Ismail, O. W. Siig, J. Yang, C. M. Andrei, L.-A. DiCecco, A. Rakhsha, K. E. Salem, K. Grandfield, N. Bassim, R. Black, G. Kastlunger, L. Soleymani and D. Higgins, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 938.
- 58 D. van den Berg, H. P. Lopuhaä and R. Kortlever, *Chem Catalysis*, 2024, **4**, 101065.
- 59 M. Gautam, F. Nkurunziza, B. Muchharla, B. Kumar and J. M. Spurgeon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2025, **97**, 5372–5392.
- 60 Q. Lei, L. Huang, J. Yin, B. Davaasuren, Y. Yuan, X. Dong, Z.-P. Wu, X. Wang, K. X. Yao, X. Lu and Y. Han, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 4857.
- 61 A. Dutta, M. Rahaman, B. Hecker, J. Drnec, K. Kiran, I. Zelocualtecatl Montiel, D. Jochen Weber, A. Zanetti, A. Cedeño López, I. Martens, P. Broekmann and M. Oezaslan, *J. Catal.*, 2020, **389**, 592–603.
- 62 H. Li, Y. Duan, Q. Feng, L. Wei, J. Zeng, Z. Chen, Q. Zhang, Y. Chen and Z. Yan, *Langmuir*, 2026, **42**, 12166–12175.
- 63 X. Cao, S. Ren, X. Zhang, Q. Fan, Q. Chen, J. Yang and J. Mao, *Chem*, 2024, **10**, 2089–2102.
- 64 L. Zhao, B. Xu, Z. Yuan, H. Dong, H. Zhao, D. Chen and X. Ding, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2024, **12**, 111905.



Data availability statement

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6CC01583B

This article reviews previously published literature and does not report new experimental or computational data. All information supporting the conclusions of this work is available in the cited references

