


Analytical Methods

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: M. Cerri, C. Schutgens, L. Pitkänen and M. Hummel, *Anal. Methods*, 2026, DOI: 10.1039/D6AY00086J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

ARTICLE

Accurate quantification of polyester in textiles through complete depolymerisation and HPLC

Mattia Cerri^{a*}, Leena Pitkänen^a, Corné Schutgens^{a†}, and Michael Hummel^{a*}Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

The bulk of textile waste is still landfilled or incinerated, despite its components being potentially recyclable. Textile waste, however, requires prior characterisation to produce homogenous feedstocks that can be recycled with current technologies. Available analytical pipelines are not accurate enough to meet the maximum threshold of contaminants tolerated by recycling processes. Complete depolymerisation of several synthetic fibres into their constituting monomers has already been achieved: the resulting mixtures could be characterised through chromatography, providing an accurate fingerprint of the composition of the fibres. The present work describes an analytical method based on this approach to selectively quantify poly(ethylene terephthalate), the most widely used synthetic fibre, through the quantification of its depolymerisation product, terephthalic acid, using high-performance liquid chromatography.

Introduction

Textile waste is still predominantly landfilled or incinerated¹, despite its components (*e.g.*, cotton, polyesters, polyamides)^{2–5} being resource-intensive to manufacture. Coupled to the steadily increasing production of new textiles⁶ and their decreasing life-time^{1,7,8}, this has led to dramatic environmental^{9–11} and social consequences^{12–15}, positioning the textile and fashion industry as one of the most polluting industries in the world^{10–12,16–19}. Textile recycling is thus not just a business opportunity, but also a global environmental and ethical necessity. Polyester fabrics (namely, poly(ethylene terephthalate), PET), in particular, should be considered as prime target for recycling, as they have the largest share on the textile market^{6,20}: in 2024, the global production of polyester fibres and filaments surpassed 77 million tonnes²¹.

Although different approaches for the recycling of post-consumer PET waste have been proposed (*e.g.*, mechanical recycling^{22,23}, chemical/solvolytic recycling^{24–26}, and enzymatic recycling^{27–31}), deployment to scale has been dominated by mechanical recycling of selected waste streams (*e.g.* PET bottles)^{22,24,26,32–34}, and applications to textile waste have been limited^{35–38}. Textile waste is highly heterogeneous in terms of composition, processing history, and quality: this heterogeneity requires effective analysis, fractionation, and purification strategies to obtain a homogenous feedstock that can actually be recycled^{39–45}. Mimicking plastic waste recycling^{46–48}, automated techniques based on optical spectroscopy have

been applied to the initial sorting and categorising of textiles^{49–53}, but only probe their surface, leading to misclassification of thicker, surface-treated, dyed, or extensively weathered items^{50,53–57}, and cannot provide information about the bulk composition of the items. While valuable for initial pre-processing, these methods can thus not be employed as stand-alone quantification strategies.

The current standard for the quantification of the bulk composition of textile fibres is the ISO 1833 series. This comprises methods for the quantification of different fibres (*e.g.*, cellulosics⁵⁸, nylon⁵⁹, and elastane⁶⁰) in fibre mixtures that rely on the selective dissolution and/or degradation of one component, and the recovery and gravimetric quantification of the other, from which a mass balance is then established. Outside of binary mixtures, quantification further requires sequential application of the standards in the correct order, possibly resorting to parallel processing of multiple aliquots⁶¹, as not all procedures are mutually compatible. Critically, this also requires *a priori* knowledge of the components in the textiles being analysed, which may be possible in the case of, *e.g.*, label compliance determination (one of the key applications of the ISO 1833 series, *e.g.*, within the application of the European regulation No 1007/2011⁶²), but is rarely the case for textile waste. Additionally, although direct quantification of PET in textiles is covered in some of the standards, available methods are restricted to highly niche textile compositions (*e.g.*, PET/aramid textiles^{63,64}, used primarily in high-performance personal protective equipment). Even in the case of exceedingly common blends (*e.g.*, the cotton/PET/elastane of a basic t-shirt), PET can thus only be quantified as the leftover fraction at the end of the application of an *ad hoc* sequence of standards. In the context of textile recycling, this approach does not provide sufficient accuracy, as even moderate fractions of contaminants and/or misclassified fibres (*e.g.*, leftover elastane in a PET recycling process) can

^a Department of Bioproducts and Biosystems, School of Chemical Engineering, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland.

* Corresponding author

† Present address: Vista College, 6135KT Sittard-Geleen, The Netherlands.

Electronic supplementary information available. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

ARTICLE

compromise both mechanical and chemical recycling^{65–73}. Additionally, the time required to complete such analyses, even at laboratory scale, is substantial (*e.g.*, over 5 h^{58,74}), making them unsuitable for at line implementation.









Successful fibre-to-fibre recycling realities have therefore been limited to processing carefully selected, one-material streams and/or closed-loop pre-consumer waste, for which the components of the waste stream are already known, often at low throughput and/or while downcycling all but one fraction of the waste to lower value, non-textile products (*e.g.*, non-woven material, insulation, packaging...).

Solvolytic depolymerisation has been shown to achieve complete conversion of different synthetic polymers^{39,75–83}. The same process could be used as part of an analytical pipeline, as most polymers used in synthetic fibres contain at least one unique monomer: the resulting (mixture of) reaction products could be accurately quantified through chromatographic separation, providing a chemical fingerprint of the textiles.

This work presents an analytical method to directly characterise the PET content of textile samples based on the quantification of their depolymerisation products. Quantitative and efficient depolymerisation is achieved through microwave assisted heating⁸⁴, allowing the complete conversion of PET to terephthalic acid (and ethylene glycol) within minutes. Selective quantification is then performed using high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC). The method is validated with pure PET fibres, *ad hoc* mixtures of PET and cotton fibres, of PET and other common types of fibres, as well as commercial fabrics

Table 1. Composition of mixed poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) fabrics. Values are reported as mass percentages, as indicated on the product label.

with different PET content. Accurate quantification is obtained

Fabric	Composition	Fabric	Composition
	100% PET		67% PET 33% cotton
	100% PET		65% PET 35% cotton
	95% PET 5% elastane		40% PET 60% cotton
	84% PET 16% elastane		28% PET 70% cotton 2% elastane

Analytical Methods




Figure 1. Chemical structure of (a), the repeat unit of poly(ethylene terephthalate); (b), terephthalic acid. The figure was generated using ChemSketch v.2024.2.3.

for all cases, enabling the direct determination of the PET content of textiles and textile waste.

Experimental

Chemicals

Potassium hydroxide (hereafter, KOH, 10448990, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finland), methanol (8.22283, Merck, Finland, for sample preparation; K977, Avantor, Finland, for chromatography) and terephthalic acid (hereafter, TA, 99+%, 10654682, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finland) were purchased and used as received. Ammonia (25% w:v, 10642251, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finland) was diluted to 0.25% w:v using Milli-Q® grade water before use.

Textiles

Single-component fibres were obtained from Indorama, Brazil (polyester), Hyosung, South Korea (creora™ elastane), Mirka Oy, Finland (Nylon 6,6 pre-consumer waste), Farmers' Cooperative, USA (cotton), Jon Vanneste, Belgium (linen), and the ITFC 2023 exposition, Turkey (wool, cashmere and mohair). Fabrics with known polyester content (different blends, colours and thicknesses) were purchased from the Finnish commercial suppliers Eurokangas, Tekstilipalvelu, and Finlayson. Their composition is listed in Table 1. The chemical structures of PET and TA are shown in Figure 1. All fibres and fabrics were used as received, without any prior washing or pre-treatment.

Depolymerisation of PET and downstream sample processing

Depolymerisation was performed in a Monowave 300 reactor (Anton Paar, Austria). Aliquots (~10–40 mg) of fibres or fabrics were weighed, then transferred to a G30 reaction vial (82723, Anton Paar, Austria) with a Teflon stir-bar. 10 ml of a room temperature 1.25 M solution of KOH in methanol was added to each vial. The vial was sealed with a Teflon septum, placed in the reactor, then the following programme was executed: reaction temperature, 95 °C; heating power, “as fast as possible”; stirring speed, 600 RPM; hold time, 12 min; cool-down temperature, 50 °C. After the programme was concluded, the sample was removed, diluted 1:1 v:v with deionised water and thoroughly mixed, then sonicated at room temperature for 2 minutes to ensure all TA was released from leftover solids (*e.g.*, cotton fibres) and dissolved. Three replicates were then prepared for each sample by diluting it 1:10 v:v in 0.25% w:v ammonia (final pH≈13), filtered through a 0.2 µm pore size polytetrafluoroethylene membrane filter, and transferred to

quartz cuvette (spectrophotometric quantification) or a polypropylene HPLC vial (HPLC quantification) for analysis.

Spectrophotometric quantification of terephthalic acid

Spectrophotometric quantification was performed using a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer. UV absorbance was measured in the 285–305 nm range with a sampling step of 0.2 nm and three consecutive scans per each sample.

As the absorbance spectrum for TA in the solvent system and wavelength range selected here shows no distinct characteristic peak but does show an inflection point, the first derivative of the spectra with respect to the wavelength was also computed⁸⁵ by smoothing individual spectra with shrinkage-penalised cubic regression splines⁸⁶, then approximating the derivative with the incremental ratio.

The average absorbance (at 286 nm) or average derivative (at 294.8 nm) of technical replicates (replicate scans, $n = 3$, replicate dilutions of individual samples, $n = 3$) was used in all further calculations. Examples of spectra of the TA standards and of the reaction products are included in Section 1 of the supplementary information (SI).

The concentration of TA in each sample was estimated based on a standard calibration curve (7 equispaced concentrations in the 0–60 mM range). Standard dilutions were prepared in a solvent mix that matched the concentrations of KOH, methanol and ammonia resulting from the sample dilutions steps. Spectrophotometric quantification was only used during early optimisation, to confirm the complete depolymerisation of pure PET fibres under the chosen reaction conditions.

HPLC quantification of terephthalic acid

HPLC quantification was performed using a Nexera Lite CBM-40 HPLC system (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a LC-40D quaternary pump, SIL-40C autosampler maintained at 15 °C, CTO-40C column oven and SPD-40 UV-detector. Separation was achieved using a XBridge C18 column (3.5 μm particle size, 4.6 \times 50 mm, 186003031, Waters, USA) maintained at 50 °C, and 0.25% w:v ammonia at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min as mobile phase. The pump was operated at constant flow for 4 minutes, during which terephthalic acid eluted (average elution time over 740 injections: 35 s, RSD: 3.6%). The mobile phase was switched to methanol over the course of 1 minute, then, after 3 minutes, switched back to 0.25% w:v ammonia over the course of 1 minute again. The system was then re-equilibrated for 3 minutes before the next injection. Each sample was injected 3 times, with an injection volume of 10 μl each. Absorbance was monitored at 245 nm and signal intensity quantified as peak area. Both TA standards and samples were confirmed to be stable at 15 °C in the dark over the course of several days, see also Section 2, SI.

The baseline of each chromatogram was corrected using asymmetric least square smoothing⁸⁷, then the area of the TA peak was calculated using the trapezoidal rule. The median area of technical replicates (replicate injections, $n = 3$, replicate dilutions of individual samples, $n = 3$) was used in all further calculations. The concentration of TA in each sample was estimated based on a standard calibration curve (5 equispaced

concentrations in the 0–60 mg/l range. Standard dilutions were prepared in a solvent mix that matched the concentrations of KOH, methanol and ammonia resulting from the sample dilutions steps). We note that other common solvents for TA (e.g., dimethylsulfoxide, dimethylacetamide⁸⁸) are not compatible with this protocol, as they also dissolve (polymeric) elastane in textiles.

Data analysis

All data analysis was performed in R 4.5.3⁸⁹ (IDE RStudio 2026.1.1.403⁹⁰, Quarto 1.9.31⁹¹). The complete list of packages used for the analysis is included in Section 3, SI.

Bland-Altman analysis

Bland-Altman analysis⁹² was performed using the PET masses of the samples as reference, and their corresponding estimates from our new method as test values. Linearity of the method's response was established using ordinary least square regression between measured and reference values, average bias as their average difference, proportional bias using ordinary least square regression between their difference and their average. The region of agreement is reported as the limits of the 95% tolerance interval (TI)⁹³.

Lin's concordance correlation coefficient (CCC)^{94–96} was estimated as:

$$-1 \leq \text{CCC} = \frac{2rs_x s_y}{s_x^2 + s_y^2 + (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2} \leq 1 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where r is Pearson's sample correlation coefficient, s_x , s_x^2 and \bar{x} are the sample standard deviation (mg), sample variance (mg^2), and sample average (mg) of the known PET masses of the samples, respectively, and s_y , s_y^2 and \bar{y} are the corresponding values for their estimates.

LOD and LOQ

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the method were estimated using a linear response model⁹⁷ for a symmetric type I and type II error probability $\alpha = \beta = 0.05$. The LOD was estimated as:

$$\text{LOD} = 2 \frac{s_{y,x}}{b} t(\alpha, N - 2) \sqrt{1 + h_i} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

where $s_{y,x}$ (mg) is the standard deviation of the residuals of the linear response model, b is the slope of the linear response model, $t(\alpha, N - 2)$ is the value of the Student-t distribution for a type I error probability α and N degrees of freedom, N is the number of independent samples included in the model, and h_i is the estimated leverage of the origin. The LOQ was then estimated as:


$$\text{LOQ} = 3.3 \text{ LOD} \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

Confidence intervals for the LOD and LOQ were obtained using balanced non-parametric bootstrap with replacement.

Results and discussion

Initial mass balance and product confirmation

The methanolysis of PET theoretically yields equimolar amounts of dimethyl terephthalate and ethylene glycol.



in Figure 2 (b) (estimates obtained via our new method as a function of the mass of PET in the sample) and (c) (estimates




Figure 2. (a): Top, chromatograms obtained for a terephthalic acid (TA) standard and the reaction products of poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) fibres, a PET fabric and a PET/elastane fabric (chosen as example of a blend); bottom, mobile phase settings during the run; (b): masses of PET as estimated through depolymerisation as a function of the masses added to the samples. The grey line and grey shaded area represent the best fit obtained by ordinary least square regression and its 95% confidence interval, respectively. The purple line represents the ideal relation (intercept = 0, slope = 1). The estimates in the group “PET and other fibres” have additionally been reproduced in the inset; (c): values in (b) after transformation for Bland-Altman analysis (the differences on the y axis are reported as mass measured – mass added). The grey line and grey shaded area represent the best fit obtained by ordinary least square regression and its 95% confidence interval, respectively. The purple line represents the ideal relation (intercept = 0, slope = 0). The dashed black lines represent the limits of the 95% tolerance interval for the difference.

Table 2. Figures of merit. All estimates are reported with their 95% confidence interval (n = 75).



This work aimed at developing and evaluating a method for quantifying PET in textiles, based on their depolymerisation followed by HPLC analysis. The results presented herein successfully demonstrate that accurate and precise quantification can be achieved for a variety of fibre blends, with no interference, and with a LOD as low as 1.728 mg PET.

While this study focused on the technical development and analytical performance of the method, these results already highlight its potential to be applied at line for the characterisation of pre- and post-consumer textile waste. Critically, the infrastructure that would be required for porting the method at scale is in many cases already integrated into existing (textile) recycling pipelines. For example, as mentioned in the introduction, solvolytic depolymerisation (used herein to release TA for quantification) can be employed for the recycling process of PET^{39,76,81,101–106}. Extensive screening and selection (e.g., to sort and recover reusable items, remove non-textiles components like zippers, buttons...), cleaning, shredding, grinding, and homogenisation are also already part of the pipeline of (textile) recycling at scale, both as part of the quality control/quantification, as well as the processing of the feedstock itself: representative sub-sampling is, therefore, already feasible at line.

Microwave-assisted heating provides clear advantages in terms of reaction time and energy-efficiency; however, larger sample volumes can be easily processed in common high-pressure industrial reactors and still be competitive compared to current approaches (e.g., ISO 1833-11⁵⁸) in terms of total analysis time. In contrast, the method proposed herein enables the direct quantification of PET in textiles and textile waste with unprecedented accuracy.

Thus, our method addresses a critical bottleneck in the mechanical and chemical recycling of PET and synthetic fibres. Additionally, it supports the chemical recycling of (man-made) cellulosic fibres, for which PET represents, in turn, one of the undesired fractions that need to be quantified and removed for processing^{53,107,108}. Finally, the PET content of pristine textiles can also be quantified using the same approach (e.g., for quality control or label compliance and consumer protection).

Author contributions

Mattia Cerri designed the experiments. Mattia Cerri, Leena Pitkänen, and Corné Schutgens performed the experiments. Mattia Cerri performed all data analysis. Mattia Cerri and Michael Hummel wrote the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version.

ORCID

Mattia Cerri: [0000-0001-9224-4114](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9224-4114)

Leena Pitkänen: [0000-0002-9951-2481](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9951-2481)

Michael Hummel: [0000-0002-6982-031X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6982-031X)

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Open Access Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6AY00086J

Data availability

Data are available at the zenodo repository associated with this article upon request. See: DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.19031533](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19031533).

The Supplementary Information file associated with this article contains UV-vis spectra of terephthalic acid in solution, stability assessment for TA in solution, a list of the R packages used for data analysis, and additional chromatograms of fibre samples. All files are available via the Royal Society of Chemistry website under the article's DOI. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x.

Funding

This study was funded by Business Finland through the project "Tackling Textile Circularity Challenges - TexirC" (project number 6814/31/2023).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Helena Westerback, Prof. Ali Tehrani, Mirka Oy, Dr. Inge Schlapp-Hackl, and Dr. Setara Begum for their help in procuring different fibres and fabrics.

Abbreviations and acronyms

CCC, concordance correlation coefficient; **CI**, confidence interval; **HPLC**, high-performance liquid chromatography; **KOH**, potassium hydroxide; **LOD**, limit of detection; **LOQ**, limit of quantification; **PET**, poly(ethylene terephthalate); **TA**, terephthalic acid; **SI**, supplementary information; **TI**, tolerance interval.

Corresponding authors' information

Mattia Cerri: mattia.cerri@aalto.fi

Michael Hummel: michael.hummel@aalto.fi

References

- Ellen MacArthur Foundation, *A New Textiles Economy: Redesigning fashion's future*, 2017, URL: <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/a-new-textiles-economy>. Accessed: 17 September 2024.
- G. Sandin and G. M. Peters, Environmental impact of textile reuse and recycling – A review, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2018, **184**, pp. 353–365, DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.266.
- N. J. Berger and C. Pfeifer, Comparing the financial costs and carbon neutrality of polyester fibres produced from 100% bio-based PET, 100% recycled PET, or in combination, *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 2025, **15**, pp. 6251–6268, DOI: 10.1007/s13399-024-05362-2.



- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 4 K. Slater, The Environmental Costs of Textile Manufacture, *The Journal of The Textile Institute*, 1994, **85**, pp. 67–72, DOI: 10.1080/00405009408659009.
- 5 E. Boschmeier, W. Ipsmiller and A. Bartl, Market assessment to improve fibre recycling within the EU textile sector, *Waste Management & Research*, 2024, **42**, pp. 135–145, DOI: 10.1177/0734242X231178222.
- 6 Textile Exchange, *Materials market report*, 2023, URL: <https://textileexchange.org/knowledge-center/reports/materials-market-report-2023/>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 7 O. Sahimaa, E. M. Miller, M. Halme, K. Niinimäki, H. Tanner, M. Mäkelä, M. Rissanen, A. Härri and M. Hummel, From simplistic to systemic sustainability in the textile and fashion industry, *Circular Economy and Sustainability*, 2024, **4**, pp. 1115–1131, DOI: 10.1007/s43615-023-00322-w.
- 8 R. Benkirane, S. Thomassey, L. Koehl and A. Perwuelz, A new longevity design methodology based on consumer-oriented quality for fashion products, *Sustainability*, 2022, **14**, p. 7696, DOI: 10.3390/su14137696.
- 9 L. Samant, M. Pavan, A. Goel and M. Kaur, in *Climate Action Through Eco-Friendly Textiles*, eds Sadhna, R. Kumar and S. Greeshma, Springer Nature, Singapore, 2024, pp. 11–26, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-99-9856-2_2.
- 10 E. Conde Miranda, P. Gabriel Prado and C. Leon-Velarde, A Systematic Review of Polluting Processes Produced by the Textile Industry and Proposals for Abatement Methods, *Textile & Leather Review*, 2024, **7**, pp. 88–103, DOI: 10.31881/TLR.2023.165.
- 11 K. Niinimäki, G. Peters, H. Dahlbo, P. Perry, T. Rissanen and A. Gwilt, The environmental price of fast fashion, *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2020, **1**, pp. 189–200, DOI: 10.1038/s43017-020-0039-9.
- 12 S. Grace Annapoorani, in *Sustainability in the Textile Industry*, ed. S. S. Muthu, Springer, Singapore, 2017, pp. 57–78, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-10-2639-3_4.
- 13 G. Webster, *Too Fast of Fashion: A Literature Review on the Destructive Social and Environmental Impacts of Fast Fashion*, 2023, URL: <https://scholars.unh.edu/perspectives/vol15/iss1/10>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 14 R. Bick, E. Halsey and C. C. Ekenga, The global environmental injustice of fast fashion, *Environmental Health*, 2018, **17**, p. 92, DOI: 10.1186/s12940-018-0433-7.
- 15 E. Williams, Appalling or Advantageous? Exploring the Impacts of Fast Fashion From Environmental, Social, and Economic Perspectives, *Journal for Global Business and Community*, 2022, **13**, DOI: 10.56020/001c.36873.
- 16 J. D. Cruz Negrete and V. Navarro López, A Sustainability Overview of the Supply Chain Management in Textile Industry, *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance*, 2020, **11**, pp. 92–97, DOI: 10.18178/ijtef.2020.11.5.673.
- 17 K. Shirvanimoghaddam, B. Motamed, S. Ramakrishna and M. Naebe, Death by waste: Fashion and textile circular economy case, *Science of The Total Environment*, 2020, **718**, p. 137317, DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137317.
- 18 A. Beton, D. Dias, L. Farrant, T. Gibon, Y. Le Guern, M. Desaxce, A. Perwuelz, I. Boufateh, O. Wolf, J. Rougeux, M. Cordella and N. Dodd, Environmental improvement potential of textiles (IMPRO Textiles), *European Commission*, 2014, **20**, DOI: 10.2791/52624.
- 19 O. Sahimaa, E. M. Miller, M. Halme, K. Niinimäki, H. Tanner, M. Mäkelä, M. Rissanen, A. Härri and M. Hummel, The only way to fix fast fashion is to end it, *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 2023, **4**, pp. 137–138, DOI: 10.1038/s43017-023-00398-w.
- 20 Textile Exchange, *Preferred fiber and materials: Market report 2022*, 2022, URL: <https://textileexchange.org/knowledge-center/reports/materials-market-report-2022/>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 21 The Fiber Year Consulting, *The Fiber Year 2025 - World survey on textiles & nonwovens*, 2025, URL: <https://thefiberyear.payrexx.com/en/?tid=b6339efe>. Accessed: 4 December 2025.
- 22 E. Bezeraj, S. Debrie, F. J. Arraez, P. Reyes, P. H. M. V. Steenberge, D. R. D'hooge and M. Edeleva, State-of-the-art of industrial PET mechanical recycling: technologies, impact of contamination and guidelines for decision-making, *RSC Sustainability*, 2025, **3**, pp. 1996–2047, DOI: 10.1039/D4SU00571F.
- 23 Z. O. G. Schyns and M. P. Shaver, Mechanical Recycling of Packaging Plastics: A Review, *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 2021, **42**, p. 2000415, DOI: 10.1002/marc.202000415.
- 24 T. Uekert, A. Singh, J. S. DesVeaux, T. Ghosh, A. Bhatt, G. Yadav, S. Afzal, J. Walzberg, K. M. Knauer, S. R. Nicholson, G. T. Beckham and A. C. Carpenter, Technical, Economic, and Environmental Comparison of Closed-Loop Recycling Technologies for Common Plastics, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2023, **11**, pp. 965–978, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c05497.
- 25 I. Harasymchuk, V. Kočí and M. Vitvarová, Chemical recycling: comprehensive overview of methods and technologies, *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, 2024, **17**, pp. 124–148, DOI: 10.1080/19397038.2024.2409162.
- 26 A. Schade, M. Melzer, S. Zimmermann, T. Schwarz, K. Stoewe and H. Kuhn, Plastic Waste Recycling—A Chemical Recycling Perspective, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2024, **12**, pp. 12270–12288, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.4c02551.
- 27 K. Oda and A. Wlodawer, Development of Enzyme-Based Approaches for Recycling PET on an Industrial Scale, *Biochemistry*, 2024, **63**, pp. 369–401, DOI: 10.1021/acs.biochem.3c00554.
- 28 R. Wei, G. von Haugwitz, L. Pfaff, J. Mican, C. P. S. Badenhorst, W. Liu, G. Weber, H. P. Austin, D. Bednar, J. Damborsky and U. T. Bornscheuer, Mechanism-Based Design of Efficient PET Hydrolases, *ACS Catalysis*, 2022, **12**, pp. 3382–3396, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.1c05856.
- 29 G. Arnal, J. Anglade, S. Gavalda, V. Tournier, N. Chabot, U. T. Bornscheuer, G. Weber and A. Marty, Assessment of Four Engineered PET Degrading Enzymes Considering Large-Scale Industrial Applications, *ACS Catalysis*, 2023, **13**, pp. 13156–13166, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.3c02922.



- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 30 D. M. van Vliet, J. J. Mateman, R. H. A. M. van de Vondervoort, A. P. H. A. Moers, L. Collazo, A. Mencher, M. W. T. Werten, S. Thiyagarajan, A. Cordes, C. Sonnendecker, E. U. Thoden van Velzen, R. Doménech-Mata, J. A. Tamayo-Ramos, M. K. Julsing and T. A. Ewing, Efficient Recycling of PET-PE Multilayer Packaging Materials Based on Enzymatic Depolymerization of PET, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2025, **13**, pp. 8212–8219, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.4c09388.
- 31 C. Siracusa, V. Celestre, F. Quartinello, G. Damonte, J. Madsen, G. M. Guebitz, A. E. Daugaard and A. Pellis, There and Back Again: Recovery of Terephthalic Acid from Enzymatically Hydrolyzed Polyesters for Resynthesis, *ACS Sustainable Resource Management*, 2025, **2**, pp. 334–342, DOI: 10.1021/acssusresmg.4c00430.
- 32 L. M. Cafiero, D. De Angelis, L. Tuccinardi and R. Tuffi, Current State of Chemical Recycling of Plastic Waste: A Focus on the Italian Experience, *Sustainability*, 2025, **17**, p. 1293, DOI: 10.3390/su17031293.
- 33 A. McNeeley, Y. A. Liu and K. Vadoudi, Poly(ethylene Terephthalate) Waste Heterogeneity and Recycling Pathways: Evaluating Mechanical and Chemical Recycling Technologies in the Context of the Poly(ethylene Terephthalate) Supply Chain, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2025, **64**, pp. 20837–20875, DOI: 10.1021/acs.iecr.5c02479.
- 34 F. Welle, Twenty years of PET bottle to bottle recycling—An overview, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 2011, **55**, pp. 865–875, DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.04.009.
- 35 F. Mohtaram and P. Fojan, From Waste to Value: Advances in Recycling Textile-Based PET Fabrics, *Textiles*, 2025, **5**, p. 24, DOI: 10.3390/textiles5030024.
- 36 M. Seifali Abbas-Abadi, B. Tomme, B. Goshayeshi, O. Mynko, Y. Wang, S. Roy, R. Kumar, B. Baruah, K. De Clerck, S. De Meester, D. R. D'hooge and K. M. Van Geem, Advancing Textile Waste Recycling: Challenges and Opportunities Across Polymer and Non-Polymer Fiber Types, *Polymers*, 2025, **17**, p. 628, DOI: 10.3390/polym17050628.
- 37 Z. Standing, L. Macintyre, G. Jiang, D. Bucknall and V. Arrighi, Impact of Chemicals and Processing Treatments on Thermo-Mechanical Recycling of Polyester Textiles, *Molecules*, 2025, **30**, p. 2758, DOI: 10.3390/molecules30132758.
- 38 U. N. Ndagano, L. Cahill, C. Smullen, J. Gaughran and S. M. Kelleher, The Current State-of-the-Art of the Processes Involved in the Chemical Recycling of Textile Waste, *Molecules*, 2025, **30**, p. 299, DOI: 10.3390/molecules30020299.
- 39 A. Palme, A. Peterson, H. de la Motte, H. Theliander and H. Brelid, Development of an efficient route for combined recycling of PET and cotton from mixed fabrics, *Textiles and Clothing Sustainability*, 2017, **3**, p. 4, DOI: 10.1186/s40689-017-0026-9.
- 40 S. Bianchi, F. Bartoli, C. Bruni, C. Fernandez-Avila, L. Rodriguez-Turienzo, J. Mellado-Carretero, D. Spinelli and M.-B. Coltelli, Opportunities and Limitations in Recycling Fossil Polymers from Textiles, *Macromol*, 2023, **3**, pp. 120–148, DOI: 10.3390/macromol3020009.
- 41 M. H. J. van der Sluijs and L. Hunter, Cotton contamination, *Textile Progress*, 2017, **49**, pp. 137–174, DOI: 10.1080/00405167.2018.1437008.
- 42 S. Abrishami, A. Shirali, N. Sharples, G. E. Kartal, L. Macintyre and O. Doustdar, Textile recycling and recovery: an eco-friendly perspective on textile and garment industries challenges, *Textile Research Journal*, 2024, **94**, pp. 2815–2834, DOI: 10.1177/00405175241247806.
- 43 N. Leenders, R. M. Moerbeek, M. J. Puijk, R. J. A. Bronkhorst, J. Bueno Morón, G. P. M. van Klink and G.-J. M. Gruter, Polycotton waste textile recycling by sequential hydrolysis and glycolysis, *Nature Communications*, 2025, **16**, p. 738, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-025-55935-6.
- 44 R. Parsons, S. Jain, A. Islam, M. Walluk and M. Thurston, Contaminant Investigation and Pre-Processing Opportunities for Textile-To-Textile Recycling, *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing and Processing*, 2025, **7**, p. e70034, DOI: 10.1002/amp2.70034.
- 45 P. Kählig, W. Ipsmiller, A. Bartl and J. Lederer, Characterisation of waste textiles from mixed MSW and separate collection—a case study from Vienna, Austria, *Sustainability*, 2025, **17**, p. 5484, DOI: 10.3390/su17125484.
- 46 L. Zada and F. Ariese, Black Plastic Identification for Sorting and Recycling With Deep-UV Raman Spectroscopy, *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*, 2026, **57**, pp. 70–79, DOI: 10.1002/jrs.70016.
- 47 R. Q. Albuquerque, F. Stephan, A. Pongratz, C. Brütting, K. Krause and H. Ruckdäschel, Recycling of Thermoplastics with Machine Learning: A Review, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2026, **36**, p. e09447, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202509447.
- 48 C. Lubongo and P. Alexandridis, Assessment of Performance and Challenges in Use of Commercial Automated Sorting Technology for Plastic Waste, *Recycling*, 2022, **7**, p. 11, DOI: 10.3390/recycling7020011.
- 49 K. H. D. Tang, State of the Art in Textile Waste Management: A Review, *Textiles*, 2023, **3**, pp. 454–467, DOI: 10.3390/textiles3040027.
- 50 K. Cura, N. Rintala, T. Kamppuri, E. Saarimäki and P. Heikkilä, Textile Recognition and Sorting for Recycling at an Automated Line Using Near Infrared Spectroscopy, *Recycling*, 2021, **6**, p. 11, DOI: 10.3390/recycling6010011.
- 51 A. Becker, A. Datko, N. Kroell, B. Küppers, K. Greiff and T. Gries, Near-infrared-based sortability of polyester-containing textile waste, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 2024, **206**, p. 107577, DOI: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2024.107577.
- 52 J.-R. Riba, R. Cantero, T. Canals and R. Puig, Circular economy of post-consumer textile waste: Classification through infrared spectroscopy, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2020, **272**, p. 123011, DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123011.
- 53 H. Stipanovic, G. Koinig, T. Fink, C. B. Schimper, D. Lilek, J. Egan and A. Tischberger-Aldrian, Quantifying Cotton Content in Post-Consumer Polyester/Cotton Blend Textiles via NIR Spectroscopy: Current Attainable Outcomes and Challenges in Practice, *Recycling*, 2025, **10**, p. 152, DOI: 10.3390/recycling10040152.

- 54 A. Rosales and O. Mc Nerney, in *Resource Efficiency of Processing Plants*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2018, pp. 129–157, DOI: 10.1002/9783527804153.ch6.
- 55 D. Damayanti, L. A. Wulandari, A. Bagaskoro, A. Rianjanu and H.-S. Wu, Possibility Routes for Textile Recycling Technology, *Polymers*, 2021, **13**, p. 3834, DOI: 10.3390/polym13213834.
- 56 X. Qiu, Y. Liu, X. Zhang, D. Liu, R. Wang, C. Wang, J. Liu, W. Liu and Y. Gong, Moisture insensitive analysis of polyester/viscose waste textiles using Near-Infrared spectroscopy and Orthogonalization of external parameters algorithm, *Journal of Industrial Textiles*, 2023, **53**, p. 15280837231187671, DOI: 10.1177/15280837231187671.
- 57 H. Stipanovic, G. Koinig, T. Fink and A. Tischberger-Aldrian, Effects of surface contamination on automated textile sorting using NIR-spectroscopy, *Waste Management*, 2026, **210**, p. 115227, DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2025.115227.
- 58 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 11: Mixtures of certain cellulose fibres with certain other fibres (method using sulfuric acid)*, International Organization for Standardization, 2017, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/70286.html>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 59 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 7: Mixtures of polyamide with certain other fibres (method using formic acid)*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, 2nd edn., 2017, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/70285.html>. Accessed: 16 March 2026.
- 60 E. Mahlamäki, I. Schlapp-Hackl, T. Koralage, M. Hummel and M. Mäkelä, Spectral imaging and a one-class classifier for detecting elastane in cotton fabrics, *Analyst*, 2025, **150**, pp. 2295–2301, DOI: 10.1039/D5AN00107B.
- 61 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 2: Ternary fibre mixtures*, International Organization for Standardization, 2017, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/74882.html>. Accessed: 16 March 2026.
- 62 *Regulation (EU) No 1007/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 September 2011 on textile fibre names and related labelling and marking of the fibre composition of textile products and repealing Council Directive 73/44/EEC and Directives 96/73/EC and 2008/121/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council Text with EEA relevance*, 2011, vol. 272, URL: <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/1007/oj>. Accessed: 16 March 2026.
- 63 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 24: Mixtures of polyester and certain other fibres (method using phenol and tetrachloroethane)*, International Organization for Standardization, 2010, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/44340.html>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 64 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 25: Mixtures of polyester with certain other fibres (method using trichloroacetic acid and chloroform)*, International Organization for Standardization, 2020, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/78202.html>. Accessed: 30 November 2025. DOI: 10.1039/D6AY00086J
- 65 M. Kahoush and N. Kadi, Towards sustainable textile sector: Fractionation and separation of cotton/ polyester fibers from blended textile waste, *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 2022, **34**, p. e00513, DOI: 10.1016/j.susmat.2022.e00513.
- 66 R. B. Baloyi, O. J. Gbadeyan, B. Sithole and V. Chuniilall, Recent advances in recycling technologies for waste textile fabrics: a review, *Textile Research Journal*, 2024, **94**, pp. 508–529, DOI: 10.1177/00405175231210239.
- 67 S.-L. Loo, E. Yu and X. Hu, Tackling critical challenges in textile circularity: A review on strategies for recycling cellulose and polyester from blended fabrics, *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 2023, **11**, p. 110482, DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2023.110482.
- 68 B. D. Vogt, K. K. Stokes and S. K. Kumar, Why is Recycling of Postconsumer Plastics so Challenging?, *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, 2021, **3**, pp. 4325–4346, DOI: 10.1021/acsapm.1c00648.
- 69 Y. Yin, D. Yao, C. Wang and Y. Wang, Removal of spandex from nylon/spandex blended fabrics by selective polymer degradation, *Textile research journal*, 2014, **84**, pp. 16–27, DOI: 10.1177/0040517513487790.
- 70 E. Boschmeier, V.-M. Archodoulaki, A. Schwaighofer, B. Lendl and A. Bartl, A novel quantification tool for elastane in textiles using thermal treatment, *Polymer Testing*, 2023, **118**, p. 107920, DOI: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2022.107920.
- 71 L. Villar, I. Schlapp-Hackl, P. B. Sánchez and M. Hummel, High-Quality Cellulosic Fibers Engineered from Cotton–Elastane Textile Waste, *Biomacromolecules*, 2024, **25**, pp. 1942–1949, DOI: 10.1021/acs.biomac.3c01366.
- 72 T. Azevedo, A. C. Silva, D. M. Chaves, R. Fanguero and D. P. Ferreira, Selective Elastane Removal Using DMSO–DBN Under Moderate Temperatures: From Pure Filaments to Cotton/Polyester Blends, *Polymers*, 2025, **17**, p. 3247, DOI: 10.3390/polym17243247.
- 73 L. Vonbrül, J. Fetz, A. P. Manian, T. Bechtold and T. Pham, Investigation of the chemical and thermomechanical stability of poly(ethylene terephthalate) during chemical separation from elastane-containing textile waste, *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 2026, **244**, p. 111846, DOI: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2025.111846.
- 74 International Organization for Standardization, *Textiles – Quantitative chemical analysis – Part 1: General principles of testing*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2020, URL: <https://www.iso.org/standard/74881.html>. Accessed: 30 October 2025.
- 75 E. Andini, P. Bhalode, E. Gantert, S. Sadula and D. G. Vlachos, Chemical recycling of mixed textile waste, *Science Advances*, 2024, **10**, p. eado6827, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.ado6827.
- 76 W.-H. Xu, L. Chen, S. Zhang, R.-C. Du, X. Liu, S. Xu and Y.-Z. Wang, New insights into urethane alcoholysis enable chemical full recycling of blended fabric waste, *Green Chemistry*, 2023, **25**, pp. 245–255, DOI: 10.1039/D2GC03663K.

- 77 L. Ye, X. Liu, K. B. Beckett, J. O. Rothbaum, C. Lincoln, L. J. Broadbelt, Y. Kratish and T. J. Marks, Catalyst metal-ligand design for rapid, selective, and solventless depolymerization of Nylon-6 plastics, *Chem*, 2024, **10**, pp. 172–189, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2023.10.022.
- 78 N. W. B. Awang, M. A. B. R. Hadiyono, M. M. Abdellatif and K. Nomura, Depolymerization of PET with ethanol by homogeneous iron catalysts applied for exclusive chemical recycling of cloth waste, *Industrial Chemistry & Materials*, 2025, **3**, pp. 49–56, DOI: 10.1039/d4im00081a.
- 79 F. Liguori, C. Moreno-Marrodán, W. Oberhauser, E. Passaglia and P. Barbaro, Hydrolytic depolymerisation of polyesters over heterogeneous ZnO catalyst, *RSC Sustainability*, 2023, **1**, pp. 1394–1403, DOI: 10.1039/D3SU00089C.
- 80 K. E. Pavlopoulou, K. Hřůzová, M. Kahoush, N. Kadi, A. Patel, U. Rova, L. Matsakas and P. Christakopoulos, Textile Recycling: Efficient Polyester Recovery from Polycotton Blends Using the Heated High-Ethanol Alkaline Aqueous Process, *Polymers*, 2024, **16**, p. 3008, DOI: 10.3390/polym16213008.
- 81 Y. Yang, S. Sharma, C. Di Bernardo, E. Rossi, R. Lima, F. S. Kamounah, M. Poderyte, K. Enemark-Rasmussen, G. Ciancaleoni and J.-W. Lee, Catalytic Fabric Recycling: Glycolysis of Blended PET with Carbon Dioxide and Ammonia, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2023, **11**, pp. 11294–11304, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c03114.
- 82 C. M. Wentz, M. D. Mevorah, A. Carranza, M. L. Coughlin, A. Engelbrecht-Wiggans, T. P. Forbes, Z. Tsinas and A. L. Forster, Evaluating Contaminant Effects and Blend Ratios on the Alkaline Hydrolysis of Polyester Textile Streams, *ACS Sustainable Resource Management*, 2025, **2**, pp. 1776–1785, DOI: 10.1021/acssusresmgmt.5c00302.
- 83 M. Mestre-Membrado, I. Olazabal, L. Breloy, M. Irigoyen, J. Jordens, K. Vanbroekhoven, K. Elst and H. Sardon, Polyamide depolymerization: unlocking polymer dissolution with dicarboxylic acids, *Green Chemistry*, 2025, **28**, pp. 2342–2354, DOI: 10.1039/D5GC04670J.
- 84 J. J. R. Arias and W. Thielemans, Instantaneous hydrolysis of PET bottles: an efficient pathway for the chemical recycling of condensation polymers, *Green Chemistry*, 2021, **23**, pp. 9945–9956, DOI: 10.1039/D1GC02896K.
- 85 L. Dixit and S. Ram, Quantitative Analysis by Derivative Electronic Spectroscopy, *Applied Spectroscopy Reviews*, 1985, **21**, pp. 311–418, DOI: 10.1080/05704928508060434.
- 86 S. N. Wood, *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2017, DOI: 10.1201/9781315370279.
- 87 P. H. Eilers and H. F. Boelens, *Baseline correction with asymmetric least squares smoothing*, 2005, URL: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228961729_Baseline_Correction_with_Asymmetric_Least_Squares_Smoothing. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 88 D. Celante, L. O. Diehl, L. N. Brondani, C. A. Bizzi and F. de Castilhos, Measurement and Correlation of the Solubility of Terephthalic Acid in Six Pure Solvents and Five Binary Mixtures, *Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data*, 2021, **66**, pp. 3512–3519, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jced.1c00373.
- 89 R Core Team, *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2026, URL: <https://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed: 11 March 2026.
- 90 Posit team, *RStudio: Integrated development environment for R*, Posit Software, PBC, Boston, MA, 2026, URL: <http://www.posit.co/>. Accessed: 10 March 2026.
- 91 J. J. Allaire, C. Teague, C. Scheidegger, Y. Xie and C. Dervieux, *Quarto*, 2026, URL: <https://github.com/quarto-dev>. Accessed: 10 March 2026.
- 92 J. M. Bland and D. G. Altman, Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement, *Lancet (London, England)*, 1986, **1**, pp. 307–310, DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90837-8.
- 93 B. G. Francq, M. Berger and C. Boachie, To tolerate or to agree: A tutorial on tolerance intervals in method comparison studies with BivRegBLS R Package, *Statistics in Medicine*, 2020, **39**, pp. 4334–4349, DOI: 10.1002/sim.8709.
- 94 L. I.-K. Lin, A Concordance Correlation Coefficient to Evaluate Reproducibility, *Biometrics*, 1989, **45**, pp. 255–268, DOI: 10.2307/2532051.
- 95 C. A. E. Nickerson, A Note On 'A Concordance Correlation Coefficient to Evaluate Reproducibility', *Biometrics*, 1997, **53**, pp. 1503–1507, DOI: 10.2307/2533516.
- 96 T. J. Steichen and N. J. Cox, A Note on the Concordance Correlation Coefficient, *The Stata Journal*, 2002, **2**, pp. 183–189, DOI: 10.1177/1536867X0200200206.
- 97 S. Brown, R. Tauler and B. Walczak, *Comprehensive chemometrics: chemical and biochemical data analysis*, Elsevier, 2020, URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/referencework/9780444527011/comprehensive-chemometrics>. Accessed: 30 November 2025.
- 98 E. Sani and A. Dell'Oro, Optical constants of ethylene glycol over an extremely wide spectral range, *Optical Materials*, 2014, **37**, pp. 36–41, DOI: 10.1016/j.optmat.2014.04.035.
- 99 P. A. Vollmer, D. C. Harty, N. B. Erickson, A. C. Balhon and R. A. Dean, Serum ethylene glycol by high-performance liquid chromatography, *Journal of Chromatography B: Biomedical Sciences and Applications*, 1996, **685**, pp. 370–374, DOI: 10.1016/S0378-4347(96)00173-9.
- 100 V. Pérez Medina Martínez, C. E. Espinosa de la Garza, A. G. Hernández-García and N. O. Pérez, Detection and quantification of leached ethylene glycol in biopharmaceuticals by RP-UHPLC, *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 2020, **412**, pp. 1795–1806, DOI: 10.1007/s00216-020-02425-x.
- 101 S. Muangmeesri, K. R. Baddigam, K. Navare, V. Apostolopoulou-Kalkavoura, K. Witthayolankowit, H. Håkansson, A. P. Mathew, K. V. Acker and J. S. M. Samec, Recycling of Polyesters by Organocatalyzed Methanolysis Depolymerization: Environmental Sustainability Evaluated by Life Cycle Assessment, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2024, **12**, pp. 4114–4120, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c07435.
- 102 J. B. Curley, Y. Liang, J. S. DesVeaux, H. Choi, R. W. Clarke, A. K. Maurya, W. E. Michener, L. M. Stanley, Y. Wu, S. A. Hesse,

- 1
2
3 A. L. Baer, H. A. Neyer, C. J. Tassone, A. J. Jacobsen, O. D.
4 Mante, G. T. Beckham and K. M. Knauer, Closed-loop recycling
5 of mixed polyesters via catalytic methanolysis and monomer
6 separations, *Nature Chemical Engineering*, 2025, **2**, pp. 568–
7 580, DOI: 10.1038/s44286-025-00275-x.
- 8 103 N. Asahi, K. Sakai, N. Kumagai, T. Nakanishi, K. Hata, S.
9 Katoh and T. Moriyoshi, in *Hydrothermal Reactions and*
10 *Techniques*, WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2003, pp. 177–184, DOI:
11 10.1142/9789812705228_0023.
- 12 104 F. Tollini, L. Brivio, P. Innocenti, M. Sponchioni and D.
13 Moscatelli, Influence of the catalytic system on the
14 methanolysis of polyethylene terephthalate at mild
15 conditions: A systematic investigation, *Chemical Engineering*
16 *Science*, 2022, **260**, p. 117875, DOI:
17 10.1016/j.ces.2022.117875.
- 18 105 S. Tanaka, M. Koga, T. Kuragano, A. Ogawa, H. Ogiwara, K.
19 Sato and Y. Nakajima, Depolymerization of Polyester Fibers
20 with Dimethyl Carbonate-Aided Methanolysis, *ACS Materials*
21 *Au*, 2024, **4**, pp. 335–345, DOI:
22 10.1021/acsmaterialsau.3c00091.
- 23 106 D. Carta, G. Cao and C. D'Angeli, Chemical recycling of
24 poly(ethylene terephthalate) (pet) by hydrolysis and
25 glycolysis, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,
26 2003, **10**, pp. 390–394, DOI: 10.1065/espr2001.12.104.8.
- 27 107 K. Yang, M. Wang, X. Wang, J. Shan, J. Zhang, G. Tian, D.
28 Yang and J. Ma, Polyester/Cotton-Blended Textile Waste Fiber
29 Separation and Regeneration via a Green Chemistry
30 Approach, *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2024, **12**,
31 pp. 4530–4538, DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c07707.
- 32 108 S. Haslinger, M. Hummel, A. Anghelescu-Hakala, M.
33 Määttänen and H. Sixta, Upcycling of cotton polyester
34 blended textile waste to new man-made cellulose fibers,
35 *Waste Management*, 2019, **97**, pp. 88–96, DOI:
36 10.1016/j.wasman.2019.07.040.

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D6AY00086J

Data are available at the zenodo repository associated with this article upon request.
See: DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19031533.

The Supplementary Information file associated with this article contains UV-vis spectra of terephthalic acid in solution, stability assessment for TA in solution, a list of the R packages used for data analysis, and additional chromatograms of fibre samples. All files are available via the Royal Society of Chemistry website under the article's DOI. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Open Access Article. Published on 08 April 2014. Downloaded on 04/09/2016 8:29:34 PM.
This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

