



Liquid crystal trimers containing tertiary benzanilide groups†

Grant J. Strachan,^a Magdalena M. Majewska,^b Ewan Cruickshank,^a
Damian Pociecha,^b Ewa Gorecka,^b John M. D. Storey^a and
Corrie T. Imrie^{*a}Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2025,
13, 14443Received 14th April 2025,
Accepted 30th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5tc01532d

rsc.li/materials-c

The rational design of new liquid crystal materials relies on an understanding of the relationship between molecular structure and the formation of liquid crystalline phases. The development of new materials can benefit from the use of a wide range of functional groups, but some groups prove challenging to combine with liquid crystallinity. Tertiary benzanilide groups are a clear example of this, as their strong conformational preferences disrupt liquid crystallinity when included in typical liquid crystalline structures. This means that it has not been possible to harness the molecular design possibilities offered by amide *N*-substitution. However, designing flexible structures to accommodate the conformation of tertiary benzanilides has allowed us to synthesise a variety of liquid crystal trimers forming nematic and smectic phases, and investigate the effect of lateral and *N*-substitution on their phase behaviour. Trimers with large (benzyl and decyl) *N*-substituents favour the formation of an orthogonal smectic (SmA) phase and demonstrate unusual and highly pronounced contraction of the smectic layer spacing on cooling, illustrating the non-conventional properties enabled by the unusual structures of these amide-based liquid crystals.

Introduction

The study of liquid crystals (LCs) with unconventional molecular shapes (Fig. 1) which do not fit neatly into the traditional groups of calamitic (rod-like, with one long and two shorter molecular axes) or discotic (disc-shaped, with one short and two longer molecular axes) materials has become a rapidly expanding area of research. This has led to the discoveries of the 'banana' phases¹ formed by rigid bent-core mesogens and the twist-bend nematic (N_{TB})^{2,3} and smectic (SmC_{TB})⁴ phases formed by bent-shaped molecules. The search for new liquid crystalline architectures is driven by two main objectives. Firstly, it allows researchers to develop a fundamental understanding of the structure–property relationships driving the formation of LC phases. This in turn facilitates the fine-tuning of the phase

behaviour of LCs by tailoring their molecular structure in a rational manner and opens new design approaches to LC materials through the inclusion of different chemical functionalities. Secondly, the expansion of our knowledge base will allow for the unique properties of LCs, such as spontaneous self-assembly or ease of alignment, to be harnessed in the development of functional materials.^{5,6}

One such new and underexplored fragment is the benzanilide moiety, which consists of an amide group linking two benzene rings. In principle this can be used in a similar manner to phenyl benzoate or benzylideneaniline groups which have been used extensively in the design of new mesogens. However, the benzanilide group has been only sparingly used in the development of low molar mass LCs. The hydrogen bonding present between secondary benzanilides has been harnessed to promote the formation of lamellar phases,^{15–17} whereas tertiary benzanilides (with an additional functionality at the amide nitrogen) have frequently proved to be non-mesogenic. We have recently shown that this is due to the unconventional shape of mesogens containing tertiary benzanilides, driven by the strong preference for the *E* amide conformation seen in these materials (Fig. 2).¹⁸ Earlier attempts to incorporate tertiary benzanilides into LC architectures, such as rigid bent cores or in flexible dimers, were not designed to accommodate this conformation and as a result, tertiary benzanilide-based materials have shown very limited liquid crystalline behaviour compared to their

^a Department of Chemistry, School of Natural and Computing Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Meston Building, Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, UK.
E-mail: grant.strachan5@abdn.ac.uk

^b Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 1, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5tc01532d>

‡ Current address: Faculty of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 1, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland.

§ Current address: School of Pharmacy, Applied Sciences and Public Health, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, AB10 7GJ, UK.




Fig. 1 Sketches of different mesogenic architectures: conventional low molar mass calamitic and bent core⁷ mesogens (centre). (a) linearly-linked bent dimer,⁸ (b) H-shaped dimer,⁹ (c) hydrogen-bonded LC,¹⁰ (d) bent-core trimer,¹¹ (e) λ-shaped trimer,¹² (f) tripodal trimer,¹³ (g) linearly-linked trimer.¹⁴




Fig. 2 The *Z* and *E* conformers adopted by secondary and tertiary benzanilides.

secondary benzanilide counterparts. We proposed that the increased flexibility of a trimeric LC structure (Fig. 1) could more readily accommodate the *E* amide conformation of tertiary benzanilides and allow for the development of a new class of mesogenic materials based on the benzanilide group.

In the first part of this work, we investigated the phase behaviour and the effect of structural modifications in a series of six tertiary benzanilides with *N*-methyl substituents. In the second part, we examine the effect of introducing larger substituents on the amide nitrogen, to demonstrate the use of this group as an additional tool for the structural modification of LC materials. The structures of the trimers are given in Table 1, and the general structure consists of two terminal mesogenic units linked to the central benzanilide unit by flexible spacers. To investigate the effects of structural modification on phase behaviour in these trimers, changes were made to the length of the spacers, the number and position of lateral methyl substituents, and the nature of the substituent on the amide nitrogen.

Methods

The syntheses of the trimers reported here were carried out according to literature methods, and full experimental details and structural characterisation data are given in the ESI†

The phase behaviour of the materials was studied using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) with a Mettler Toledo DSC3 differential scanning calorimeter equipped with a TSO 801RO sample robot and calibrated with indium and zinc standards. The heating and cooling rates were 10 K min⁻¹ and the transition temperatures and their associated enthalpy changes were extracted from heating traces unless otherwise noted.

Optical textures were used to identify the liquid crystal phases, and these were observed using an Olympus BH2 polarising optical microscope equipped with a Linkam TMS 92 heating stage.

Optical birefringence was measured with a setup based on a photoelastic modulator (PEM-90, Hinds) working at a modulation frequency $f = 50$ kHz; a halogen lamp (Hamamatsu LC8) equipped with narrow bandpass filters was used as a light source. The signal from a photodiode (FLC Electronics PIN-20) was deconvoluted with a lock-in amplifier (EG&G 7265) into 1 f and 2 f components to yield a retardation induced by the sample. Knowing the sample thickness, the retardation was recalculated into optical birefringence. Samples were prepared in 3-micron-thick cells with planar anchoring. The alignment quality was checked prior to measurement by inspection under the polarised optical microscope. AFM measurements were performed using a Bruker Dimension Icon Microscope working in tapping or scan assist mode and cantilevers with elastic constant of 0.4 N m⁻¹ were applied. X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out using a Bruker D8 GADDS system with CuK α radiation, Goebel mirror monochromator, point beam collimator, and VANTEC2000 area detector. SAXS measurements were performed on a Bruker Nanostar system using CuK α radiation and patterns were collected with an area detector VANTEC2000.

Results

The ¹H NMR spectra of the trimers are consistent with the expected *E* amide conformation, and this was confirmed by 2D NOESY NMR spectroscopy for trimers 1 and 5. NOESY experiments measure through-space correlations, showing atoms that are close together, rather than the through-bond correlations measured in most NMR experiments. The two possible amide conformations, *E* and *Z*, would each show a different set of correlations in the NOESY spectra, and this can be used to identify their conformation. The spectra recorded for both trimers showed only the correlations consistent with the *E* conformation, and these are shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†). It is important to note that all the NMR studies were carried out in solution and therefore do not directly confirm the structure of the materials in a condensed state. All the trimers formed enantiotropic liquid crystal phases, and the transition temperatures and associated entropy changes are given in Table 1.



Table 1 Transition temperatures and the associated scaled entropy changes for trimers reported here

<div><div><div><div>NC</div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div></div></div></div>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

^a Denotes values taken from POM. ^b The entropy changes could not be determined due to peak overlap in the DSC trace.

The *N*-methylated compounds

All 6 compounds with an *N*-methyl substituent (**1–6** in Table 1) formed enantiotropic nematic phases. These were identified by the observation of schlieren textures with 2- and 4-point brush defects using POM and confirmed by X-ray diffraction measurements which revealed only short-range order, see representative optical texture and X-ray diffraction pattern for **1** in Fig. 3. In addition, all trimers with lateral methyl substituents on the anilide ring, **1–3**, **5**, and **6**, formed a second enantiotropic LC phase. X-ray diffraction patterns for this phase contained two main signals: a diffuse signal in the wide-angle region, and a sharp signal in the small angle region, indicating a liquid-like smectic phase. A third, weaker diffuse signal is seen in the small angle region. POM textures obtained with the sample sandwiched between untreated glass slides showed fan-like textures, which sheared to give homeotropic alignment. Based on this, the phase was identified as a SmA phase, and a representative example of the textures and X-ray patterns are shown in Fig. 3. Measurements of the temperature dependence of the optical birefringence revealed an increase in Δn at the N-SmA transition, as would be expected due to the increasing molecular order (Fig. 3). Increasing the length of the flexible spacers from 6 carbons in **1**, to eight and ten carbons in **2** and **3**, respectively, had essentially no effect on T_{NI} . The increased spacer length in **2** stabilised the SmA phase, with $T_{\text{SmA/N}}$ increasing by 16 K, but further increasing the spacer length in **3** had no effect. This somewhat surprising observation highlights the unusual shape of these mesogens arising from the *E* conformation of the central benzanilide group. For comparison, we have previously reported the phase behaviour of the corresponding secondary benzanilides, and for these materials increasing the




Fig. 4 Left: Structure and space filling model of trimer **1**. Right: Sketch of the proposed packing of **1** in the SmA phase. The shaded area shows the approximate length of the trimer in the bent conformation.

spacer length not only produced a notable change in transition temperatures, but to different LC phases being formed.¹⁹

To understand this unusual behaviour, it is necessary to consider the arrangement of the molecules within the SmA phase. Based on the X-ray diffraction patterns recorded in the SmA phase for compound **1**, a proposed packing arrangement is given in Fig. 4. The sharp signal seen in the small angle region corresponds to the bilayer arrangement of the bent-shaped molecules, while the weaker, diffuse small angle signal is believed to arise from local short-range ordering of the cyanoterphenyl units and can also be seen in the diffraction pattern recorded in the nematic phase. In such an arrangement, the overall bent structure of the molecules counteracts, to some degree, the increase in the length of the spacers, leading to an increase in the layer spacing without a dramatic change in the transition temperatures or phase behaviour. X-ray measurements do show a shift in the layer spacing (Table 2) from 42 Å for **1**, to 46 Å and 47 Å for **2** and **3**, respectively. This trend is consistent with the proposed packing arrangement in Fig. 4, as the increase in layer spacing is less than the increase in length expected from the additional methylene units if the molecules were in an elongated linear conformation. In addition, the very small changes in $\Delta S/R$ (Table 1) seen for the N-




Fig. 3 The temperature dependence of the optical birefringence of **1**. Inset left: POM texture of **1** in the SmA phase at 100 °C between untreated glass slides and the X-ray diffraction pattern of the SmA phase at 125 °C. The blue arrow highlights the sharp small-angle signal corresponding to the main layer spacing. Inset right: POM texture of **1** in the N phase at 134 °C between untreated glass slides and X-ray diffraction pattern of the N phase at 140 °C.

Table 2 Layer spacings determined from X-ray diffraction measurements for trimers **1–3**, and **7–11**

Trimer	Sharp small angle signal/Å	Diffuse small angle signal/Å
1	42	15
2	46	16
3	47	17
7	46	15
8	54	16
9	47 ^a	17 ^a
10	50	16
11	53	17

^a Measured using SAXS.






Fig. 5 POM texture of **1** at (left) 132 °C and (right) 130 °C on cooling, showing stripes.

SmA transition are consistent with the highly bent trimer structure arising from the *E*-amide conformation.

An unexpected textural change was observed for samples sandwiched between untreated glass slides at temperatures slightly above the N-SmA transition for compounds **1**–**3**. A striped texture was seen to develop in thin regions of the sample (Fig. 5), and its evolution over the transition from the N to SmA phase is shown in Fig. 6. The texture in the N phase contains two- and four-brush defects confirming the assignment. On cooling filaments appear to develop that are crossed by fine lines, which become more apparent on cooling. These appear not to be perfectly periodic and thus not associated with a helical structure but instead presumably are indicative of an increase in order at the approach to the SmA phase, perhaps reflecting the strongly biaxial structure of these molecules. The precise physical significance of these stripes is not clear, but they appear to resemble textures reported for samples of 8CB or 8OCB prepared under hybrid alignment conditions,^{20–22} although the samples reported here were simply prepared between two untreated glasses. The observation of such stripes, or undulations, has been interpreted in terms of diverging elastic constants at the N-SmA transition, and that may also apply to the materials

reported here, although further study is required to fully understand these observations.

The effect of lateral substitution

Trimers **4**, **5**, and **6** are variations of structure **1** with differing lateral methyl substitution on the anilide ring and representative POM textures for these trimers are given in Fig. 7. Trimer **4** has no lateral substituents, trimer **5** has two *ortho* substituents, and **6** has a single methyl substituent in the *meta* position. The greatest difference is seen for the unsubstituted compound **4**, for which T_{NI} is 20 K higher than **1**, but no smectic behaviour is seen. In contrast, the transition temperatures of the di-*ortho*-substituted **5** are essentially the same as **1**, while moving the methyl from the *ortho* to the *meta* position in trimer **6** leads to a decrease of ~ 10 K in T_{SmAN} . Comparing these materials, it becomes apparent that the presence of at least one lateral substituent close to the amide group is required to promote the SmA phase and removing the lateral substituents leads to a loss of smectic behaviour. This suggests that *ortho* substituents help to fill space within the bilayer structure proposed in Fig. 4, and moving the substituent to the *meta* position means this space filling is less efficient.

Larger *N*-substituents

The effect of increasing the length of the alkyl *N*-substituents was studied in compounds **7** and **8**, the ethyl and decyl substituted analogues, respectively, of the methylated compound **1**. Both compounds showed the same phase sequence as **1**, forming enantiotropic N and SmA phases, and POM textures obtained for these phases, Fig. 8, show optically extinct regions consistent with the assignment of an SmA phase. The nematic phase was destabilised by the larger *N*-substituents, with T_{NI} decreasing by 28 K for **7** and 39 K for **8** compared to that




Fig. 6 The evolution of the POM texture seen for **3** on cooling from the nematic to smectic A phase: (a) the schlieren texture in the nematic phase at 149 °C; (b) the formation of stripes across the nematic texture at 148 °C; (c) the break of the stripes on further cooling to 146 °C; (d) the texture observed in the SmA phase at 143 °C.




Fig. 7 (a) The schlieren texture of **4** in the nematic phase at 115 °C, (b) the fan-like texture of **5** in the SmA phase at 120 °C, and (c) the homeotropic texture of **6** in the SmA phase at 102 °C.






Fig. 8 POM textures observed in the SmA phase on untreated glass for (a) 7 (109 °C) and (b) 8 (128 °C).

of **1**. This may be attributed to the disruption of the interactions between the mesogenic units as the *N*-substituent becomes larger. However, the stability of the SmA phase for the *N*-ethyl substituted **7** was not affected as strongly – with a decrease of only 9 K seen in T_{SmAN} compared to **1**. Surprisingly, increasing the length of the *N*-substituent further in the decyl substituted **8** led to an increase in T_{SmAN} compared to **7**, such that it is essentially the same as that of the methyl substituted **1**.

The final three compounds, **9**, **10**, and **11**, are the *N*-benzyl analogues of **1**, **2**, and **3**, with six-, eight- and ten-carbon spacers, respectively. Again, these materials all formed enantiotropic nematic and SmA phases. Compared to their *N*-methylated counterparts, the introduction of the larger benzyl substituent again destabilised the nematic phase, with decreases in T_{NI} of ~ 30 K. As was seen for trimers **1–3**, increasing the spacer lengths in **9–11** had no appreciable effect on T_{NI} . In contrast to their clearing temperatures, T_{SmAN} for the *N*-benzylated materials were very similar to those of their *N*-methylated counterparts. This, somewhat counterintuitively, indicates that the larger *N*-benzyl group is more easily accommodated in the SmA phase than in the nematic phase. However, as was discussed for trimers **4–6**, it may be that additional substitution around the amide group helps to fill space within the bilayer packing of the SmA phase, and substitution at the nitrogen (the apex of the molecule) may be reasonably easily accommodated within the bilayer structure. Interestingly, measurements of the temperature dependence of the layer spacing showed a marked contraction across the SmA phase on cooling for the materials with larger (decyl or benzyl) *N*-substituents, and this is shown in Fig. 9 for compound **11** and Fig. S2 (ESI†) for **2**, **8–11**. In general, smectic A phases would be expected to exhibit negative thermal expansion, such that their layer spacing increases on cooling.^{23–25} Decreasing layer spacing, as reported here, would typically be associated with the formation of a tilted smectic phase, *e.g.* SmC. However, this is not the case for SmA phases with partial bilayer structure (interdigitation), such as that formed by the widely-studied smectogen 8CB,²⁶ and this observation supports our suggested packing arrangement sketched in Fig. 4. While this may explain the trends we report in the layer spacing changes on cooling, the magnitude of the decreases measured for the *N*-benzyl trimers, *ca.* 3 Å, are an order of magnitude larger than those reported in the nCB series, *ca.* 0.3 Å.²⁷

It was also observed that materials with larger *N*-substituents (**7–11**) had a pronounced tendency to produce a




Fig. 9 (a) The temperature dependence of the layer spacing of trimer **11** in the SmA phase. (b) the striped and parabolic POM texture observed in the SmA phase at 129 °C in a cell treated for planar alignment.

mix of focal conic defects and apparent striped textures in planar aligned cells studied using POM (Fig. 9b). The appearance of striped textures appears to result from the decrease in layer thickness on cooling these trimers, and the accompanying distortion of the smectic layers. The observation of such striped textures in an SmA phase has been reported previously.²⁸ The materials studied, II/6 and 9ZBL, had thermal expansion coefficients of $K_t = -0.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nm K}^{-1}$ and $K_t = -1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ nm K}^{-1}$, respectively. These negative coefficients correspond to an increase in the layer spacing on cooling as is considered typical for smectic A phases. From the X-ray measurements of layer spacing for the trimers reported here, we have calculated the thermal expansion coefficients for the compounds with the largest *N*-substituents; **8–11**, and the *N*-methylated trimer **2** for comparison, and these are listed in Table 3. Trimer **2**, with the smallest, *N*-methyl, substituent, has K_t of very similar magnitude to that reported for 9ZBL, but of the opposite sign. This is

Table 3 Thermal expansion coefficients, k_t , calculated from X-ray measurements

Trimer	<i>N</i> -Substituent	$k_t / \times 10^{-3} \text{ nm K}^{-1}$
2	Methyl	1.8
8	Decyl	5.6
9	Benzyl	11
10	Benzyl	10
11	Benzyl	12






Fig. 10 AFM images for trimers **9** and **11** showing focal conics of the SmA phase.

due to the different packing arrangements present in the SmA phases of the two materials, as discussed previously, with the positive K_t of trimer **2** relating to the bilayer packing arrangement in the SmA phase. However, increasing the size of the *N*-substituent in trimer **8** led to a tripling of K_t , and introducing an *N*-benzyl substituent in **9**, **10**, and **11**, produced an even greater increase. These unusually large values of K_t correspond to very pronounced layer contraction on cooling the SmA phase, on a scale that would normally be expected in tilted, SmC phases. This highlights the unusual properties of these highly bent trimers, introduced through the central tertiary amide unit.

AFM studies of trimers **9** and **11** in split cells with planar alignment layer showed clear focal conic structures, (Fig. 10), which arise from the interplay of molecular ordering and the layered nature of the smectic A phase. The confocal ellipses observed in the AFM pictures are a direct result of the SmA phase requirement for equidistant layers.

Comparing the behaviour of bent and linear trimers containing tertiary or secondary benzanilides

The influence of the molecular shape on phase behaviour can be clearly seen when the phase behaviour of these trimers are compared to their corresponding secondary amides. These are given in Table 4, and the trimers are grouped according to their base structures, with either N-H, N-CH₃ or N-Bn groups. All the trimers form a nematic phase, and the clearing temperatures of the secondary amides (N-H) are consistently much higher than comparable tertiary amide (N-CH₃), generally by around 100 K. While the loss of hydrogen bonding on *N*-alkylation would be expected to decrease T_{NI} , this would not be expected to have such a large effect. However, the highly bent structure introduced by the *E* amide conformation would be less compatible with the nematic phase than the more linear structure adopted by the secondary amide trimers.

Table 4 Comparison of the transition temperatures and phase sequences of tertiary amide trimers and previously reported secondary trimers

$\text{NC}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{O}-\text{Core}-\text{O}(\text{H}_2\text{C})_n-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{CN}$								
Core	<i>n</i>	N-X	SmX	SmC _A	SmA _C	SmA _{bi}	N _{TB}	<i>N</i>
	6	H ^a		• 168				• 298
		CH ₃				• 132		• 182
		Bn				• 147		• 111
	8	H ^a		• 152	• 186			• 283
		CH ₃				• 148		• 178
		Bn				• 143		• 148
	10	H ^a					• 145	• 267
		CH ₃				• 148		• 178
		Bn				• 144 ^a		• 147 ^a
	6	H ^a	•	199				• 298
		CH ₃						• 201
	6	H ^a				• 130		• 254
		CH ₃						• 175
	6	H ^a		• 199				• 296
		CH ₃				• 123		• 180

N_{TB} – twist bend nematic, SmA_{bi} – smectic A with bilayer packing, SmA_C – smectic A with intercalated packing, SmC_A – smectic C with anticlinic intercalated structure, SmX – unknown smectic phase. ^a Temperatures taken from ref. 19.



The secondary amides also show phase sequences typical for linearly linked trimers with odd-membered spacers; the C10 homologue forms the twist-bend nematic phase, and the C6 and C8 homologues form triply intercalated smectic phases, with layer spacings corresponding to approximately one third of their molecular length. All three trimers with C8 spacers formed a smectic A phase, and this allows the layer spacings to be directly compared: in the secondary amide trimer, this was 19 Å, corresponding to one-third of the molecular length of the trimer in an extended, linear conformation with the *Z*-amide conformer. This represents a triply intercalated packing arrangement. In contrast, the tertiary amide analogues reported here had a layer spacing of 46 Å (N-CH₃) and 50 Å (N-Bn), which suggests a bilayer structure of the SmA phase, as sketched in Fig. 4. This change in the way the trimers pack within the SmA phase highlights the very different molecular shapes of the secondary and tertiary amide trimers.

Conclusions

In summary, we report the synthesis and phase behaviour of a series of liquid crystal trimers containing tertiary benzanilides as the central mesogenic unit. These trimers form enantiotropic nematic and smectic A phases, which have been identified using POM, X-ray diffraction, AFM and optical birefringence measurements. The unique structure of the *E*-amide conformation adopted by tertiary benzanilides gives these trimers an unusual, highly bent conformation, which we propose packs into a bilayer structure in the SmA phase. This structure greatly decreases the strength of the relationship between spacer length and phase transition temperatures, and for trimers with larger (decyl or benzyl) substituents on the amide nitrogen, an unusual decrease in the layer spacing is seen on cooling the smectic A phase. In addition, the phase sequences and transition temperatures of these trimers are much less sensitive to structural modifications than that of analogous trimers containing secondary benzanilides. These results demonstrate the use of tertiary benzanilides as components of liquid crystalline molecules forming nematic and smectic phases and opens new design possibilities for the development of novel mesogenic materials.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

MMM wishes to acknowledge NCBiR grant proposal number: EIGCONCERT-JAPAN/9/89/FerroFluid/2023 (<http://EIGCONCERT-JAPAN/9/89/FerroFluid/2023>).

References

- 1 M. Hird, Banana-shaped and other bent-core liquid crystals, *Liq. Cryst. Today*, 2005, **14**, 9–21.
- 2 M. Cestari, S. Diez-Berart, D. A. Dunmur, A. Ferrarini, M. R. de la Fuente, D. J. B. Jackson, D. O. Lopez, G. R. Luckhurst, M. A. Perez-Jubindo, R. M. Richardson, J. Salud, B. A. Timimi and H. Zimmermann, Phase behavior and properties of the liquid-crystal dimer 1'',7''-bis(4-cyanobiphenyl-4'-yl) heptane: A twist-bend nematic liquid crystal, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2011, **84**, 031704.
- 3 V. Borshch, Y.-K. Kim, J. Xiang, M. Gao, A. Jakli, V. P. Panov, J. K. Vij, C. T. Imrie, M. G. Tamba, G. H. Mehl and O. D. Lavrentovich, Nematic twist-bend phase with nanoscale modulation of molecular orientation, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 2365.
- 4 J. P. Abberley, R. Killah, R. Walker, J. M. D. Storey, C. T. Imrie, M. Salamończyk, C. Zhu, E. Gorecka and D. Pociecha, Heliconical smectic phases formed by achiral molecules, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 228.
- 5 T. Kato, N. Mizoshita and K. Kishimoto, Functional Liquid-Crystalline Assemblies: Self-Organized Soft Materials, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 38–68.
- 6 J. W. Goodby, E. J. Davis, R. J. Mandle and S. J. Cowling, Nano-Segregation and Directed Self-Assembly in the Formation of Functional Liquid Crystals, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2012, **52**, 863–880.
- 7 H. F. Gleeson, S. Kaur, V. Görtz, A. Belaisaoui, S. Cowling and J. W. Goodby, The Nematic Phases of Bent-Core Liquid Crystals, *Chem. Phys. Chem.*, 2014, **15**, 1251–1260.
- 8 P. A. Henderson and C. T. Imrie, Methylene-linked liquid crystal dimers and the twist-bend nematic phase, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2011, **38**, 1407–1414.
- 9 M. C. Varia, S. Kumar and A. K. Prajapati, H-shaped azoester-oxyethylene containing twin liquid crystalline compounds, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2012, **39**, 365–371.
- 10 R. Walker, D. Pociecha, A. Martinez-Felipe, J. M. Storey, E. Gorecka and C. T. Imrie, Twist-Bend Nematogenic Supramolecular Dimers and Trimers Formed by Hydrogen Bonding, *Crystals*, 2020, **10**, 175.
- 11 Y. Wang, G. Singh, D. M. Agra-Kooijman, M. Gao, H. Krishna Bisoyi, C. Xue, M. R. Fisch, S. Kumar and Q. Li, Room temperature heliconical twist-bend nematic liquid crystal, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, **17**, 2778–2782.
- 12 A. Yamaguchi, I. Nishiyama, J. Yamamoto, H. Yokoyama and A. Yoshizawa, Unusual smectic phases organized by novel λ-shaped mesogenic molecules, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2005, **15**, 280–288.
- 13 J. Hobbs, M. Reynolds, M. Krishnappa Srinatha, G. Shanker, J. Mattsson and M. Nagaraj, The relaxation dynamics and dielectric properties of cyanobiphenyl-based nematic tripod liquid crystals, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2023, **391**, 123069.
- 14 P. Henderson, A. Cook and C. Imrie, Oligomeric liquid crystals: From monomers to trimers Oligomeric liquid crystals: From monomers to trimers, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2004, **31**, 1427–1434.



- 15 T. Kajitani, S. Kohmoto, M. Yamamoto and K. Kishikawa, Generation of stable calamitic liquid-crystal phases with lateral intermolecular hydrogen bonding, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 2329–2331.
- 16 S. Kohmoto, M. Yamamoto, K. Kishikawa and T. Kajitani, Calamitic Liquid Crystalline Molecules with Lateral Intermolecular Hydrogen Bonding, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 2005, **439**, 173–177.
- 17 G. Mohiuddin, S. Ghosh, N. Begum, S. Debnath, S. Turlapati, D. S. S. Rao and R. V. S. Nandiraju, Amide linkage in novel three-ring bent-core molecular assemblies: polar mesophases and importance of H-bonding, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2018, **45**, 1549–1566.
- 18 G. J. Strachan, W. T. A. Harrison, J. M. D. Storey and C. T. Imrie, Understanding the remarkable difference in liquid crystal behaviour between secondary and tertiary amides: the synthesis and characterisation of new benzanilide-based liquid crystal dimers, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, **23**, 12600–12611.
- 19 G. J. Strachan, M. M. Majewska, D. Pociecha, E. Gorecka, J. M. D. Storey and C. T. Imrie, Liquid crystal trimers containing secondary amide groups, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2024, **51**(12), 2059–2068.
- 20 M. J. Gim, D. A. Beller and D. K. Yoon, Morphogenesis of liquid crystal topological defects during the nematic-smectic A phase transition, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1–9.
- 21 V. M. Pergamenshchik, I. Lelidis and V. A. Uzunova, Stripe domains in a nearly homeotropic nematic liquid crystal: A bend escaped state at a nematic–smectic- A transition, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2008, **77**, 041703.
- 22 I. Lelidis and G. Barbero, Novel surface-induced modulated texture in thick nematic samples, *Eur. Lett. EPL*, 2003, **61**, 646–652.
- 23 L. Guo, K. Gomola, E. Gorecka, D. Pociecha, S. Dhara, F. Araoka, K. Ishikawa and H. Takezoe, Transition between two orthogonal polar phases in symmetric bent-core liquid crystals, *Soft Matter*, 2011, **7**, 2895–2899.
- 24 K. Gomola, L. Guo, S. Dhara, Y. Shimbo, E. Gorecka, D. Pociecha, J. Mieczkowski and H. Takezoe, Syntheses and characterization of novel asymmetric bent-core mesogens exhibiting polar smectic phases, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 4240–4247.
- 25 E. Cruickshank, G. J. Strachan, M. M. Majewska, D. Pociecha, E. Gorecka, J. M. D. Storey and C. T. Imrie, The effects of alkylthio chains on the properties of symmetric liquid crystal dimers, *New J. Chem.*, 2023, **47**, 7356–7368.
- 26 Y. Lansac, M. A. Glaser and N. A. Clark, Microscopic structure and dynamics of a partial bilayer smectic liquid crystal, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2001, **64**, 051703.
- 27 S. Urban, J. Przedmojski and J. Czub, X-ray studies of the layer thickness in smectic phases, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2005, **32**, 619–624.
- 28 N. Podoliak, P. Salamon, L. Lejček, P. Kužel and V. Novotná, Undulations of Smectic A Layers in Achiral Liquid Crystals Manifested as Stripe Textures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2023, **131**, 228101.

