


Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Materials for energy and sustainability

rsc.li/materials-a



ISSN 2050-7488

PAPER

Xiaojing Hao, Jae Sung Yun, Dong Han Seo *et al.*
Hybrid $\text{SnO}_2/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ layers with plasma-induced
modifications for enhanced charge transport in perovskite
solar cells



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2025, **13**, 12949

Hybrid $\text{SnO}_2/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ layers with plasma-induced modifications for enhanced charge transport in perovskite solar cells†

Minwoo Lee, ^a Elisa Yun Mei Ang, ^b William Toh, ^c Peng Cheng Wang, ^b Teng Yong Ng, ^c So Young Lee, ^d Sun-woo Kim, ^d Martin A. Green, ^a Xiaojing Hao, ^{a*} Jae Sung Yun ^{*ae} and Dong Han Seo ^{*d}

The design of high-performance perovskite solar cells (PSCs) for indoor applications requires precise interface engineering to optimize charge extraction, particularly under low-light intensity conditions, where excess carrier density is limited. To enhance the charge extraction properties in PSCs, various carbon-based nanomaterials with varying functional groups have been utilized as electron transport layer (ETL) additives to address the issue with limited success. Thereby, in this work, we introduce a plasma-modified graphitic carbon nitride (GCN_PT) as an ETL interface layer additive which is deposited onto the SnO_2 electron transport layer to enhance electron extraction. Plasma modification transforms randomly distributed GCN particles (200–500 nm) into uniformly sized nanoparticles (1–3 nm) while inducing partial graphitization, significantly improving conductivity and charge transport properties. These modifications enable more efficient extraction and transport of photogenerated carriers at the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}$ interface, substantially enhancing short-circuit current density (J_{SC}). This improvement is particularly pronounced under low-light indoor conditions, where reduced photon flux limits carrier generation within the perovskite layer. Notably, under 1000 lux indoor white LED illumination, the optimized $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}$ interface achieves a power conversion efficiency of approximately 39.80%, demonstrating its potential to advance indoor photovoltaic applications through enhanced J_{SC} and interface optimization.

Received 17th January 2025
Accepted 27th February 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5ta00480b

rsc.li/materials-a

Introduction

Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have emerged as a revolutionary photovoltaic technology, achieving power conversion efficiencies (PCE) exceeding 26% in single-junction devices.¹ This rapid progress is attributed to the exceptional optoelectronic properties of metal halide perovskites (PVS), such as a tunable bandgap, high absorption coefficients, and long carrier diffusion lengths.² Despite these advancements, further

enhancement of PCE is required to compete with established photovoltaic technologies and to address challenges such as non-radiative recombination and stability under operational conditions.

Various strategies have been employed to improve the efficiency of PSCs, focusing on mitigating the inherent challenges posed by structural imperfections in PVS materials. Composition engineering has been extensively explored to improve the structural and phase stability of PVS materials.³ The incorporation of mixed cations, such as formamidinium (FA), cesium (Cs), and methylammonium (MA), or mixed halides (e.g., iodide and bromide), has proven effective in stabilizing the desirable black-phase PVS structure while reducing defect density.^{3–5} Additionally, the use of additive materials such as methylammonium chloride (MACl),⁶ phenylethylammonium chloride (PACl),⁷ and zwitterionic acetylcholine cation (AC⁺) and Cl[–] anions (AACl)⁸ has been shown to control the intermediate phases of PVS crystallization. These additives prevent the formation of the undesirable δ -phase and promote the stable α -phase of the PVS structure, resulting in improved crystal quality, reduced bulk defect density, enhanced phase stability, and higher PCE. Moreover, light management techniques have contributed significantly to improving PSC performance. The

^aAustralian Centre for Advanced Photovoltaics (ACAP), School of Photovoltaic and Renewable Energy Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. E-mail: xj.hao@unsw.edu.au

^bEngineering Cluster, Singapore Institute of Technology, 10 Dover Drive, Singapore 138683, Singapore

^cSchool of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, 50 Nanyang Avenue, Singapore, 639798, Singapore

^dEnergy Materials & Devices, Korea Institute of Energy Technology (KENTECH), Naju, Jeollanam-do, 58330, Republic of Korea. E-mail: dhseo@kentech.ac.kr

[†]Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Advanced Technology Institute (ATI), University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey GU2 7XH, UK. E-mail: j.yun@surrey.ac.uk

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ta00480b>



incorporation of anti-reflective coatings⁹ and textured substrates¹⁰ has enhanced light harvesting, reducing optical losses and increasing J_{SC} .

Another approach includes interface engineering, which has been widely implemented to optimize charge extraction and minimize energy losses at the interfaces between the PVK absorber and the charge transport layers.^{11–13} These energy losses are largely attributed to the presence of vacancies and defect sites within the PVK structure, which promote non-radiative recombination. One effective approach involves the use of two-dimensional (2D) long-chain cations, which passivate both the top and bottom surfaces of the PVK layer by forming ultra-thin layers of 2D PVKs.^{14–16} This passivation significantly reduces defect sites, suppresses non-radiative recombination, and enhances the stability of PSCs.

As an alternative to 2D perovskites, carbon-based nanomaterials have been explored as passivation layers to mediate defects at the interface between the perovskite layer and the electron transport layer (ETL). These include graphene,¹⁷ modified graphene-based materials, and more recently, graphitic carbon nitride (GCN).^{18–20} Such strategies address critical performance-limiting factors in PSCs, significantly enhancing efficiency, stability, and overall device performance.

In this work, we introduce a novel approach to interface engineering by employing plasma-treated GCN (GCN_PT) as an interfacial layer between the SnO_2 ETL and the PVK absorber. Plasma treatment of GCN in the liquid phase transforms bulk, randomly distributed GCN particles/sheets into size uniform GCN nanoparticles (1–3 nm), facilitating even and homogenous particle distribution in the ETL. Moreover, plasma treatment of GCN significantly alters its chemical and structural properties, including enhanced conductivity and improved interaction with the SnO_2 layer. These modifications optimize the SnO_2 /GCN_PT interface, facilitating efficient charge transport and reducing energy losses. This interface engineering approach demonstrates the potential of plasma-modified materials to enhance the performance of perovskite solar cells, achieving a power conversion efficiency of approximately 39.80% under indoor white LED illumination (1000 lux).

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 illustrates the plasma modification process for modifying the GCN powder. The GCN was synthesized through thermally driven process (details in the experimental section) and dispersed in deionized water using a bath sonication to achieve a suspension of randomly oriented and sized particles/nanosheets. The dispersion was then subjected to low-temperature atmospheric pressure plasma treatment in the liquid phase, where electrons and radicals and active species generated by the plasma process,²¹ (OH^* , NO^* , O_2^- , O_3 , N , O , e^-) which effectively exfoliated and fragmented the GCN nanosheets into smaller size uniform nanoparticles which were dispersed in a solution. The treated dispersion, as shown in the schematic and vial images, exhibited a clear and transparent solution, indicating significant size reduction has occurred along with a more stable suspension was achieved compared to

untreated GCN. In addition to size reduction, plasma treatment facilitated the incorporation of functionalized components within the dispersion. Optical emission spectroscopy detected the formation of NO_x and OH species during plasma treatment, while ion chromatography analysis confirmed the presence of NO_2 and NO_3 in the GCN_PT dispersion sample (Fig. S1a and b†). This surface functionalization enhances the dispersibility of GCN in water and improving suspension stability. Plasma treatment also introduced surface modifications on GCN, including the transformation of the triazine structure into the graphitic structure with possible oxygen species incorporation, which improved the electrical conductivity as well as providing an anchoring site for the defect sites in the SnO_2 layers, ultimately tailoring the physicochemical properties of GCN. This novel and scalable surface modification approach *via* liquid phase, low-temperature atmospheric pressure plasma treatment not only refines the particle size but also optimizes the material's electrical and surface properties, making it highly suitable for applications such as interfacial layers in perovskite solar cells.

After the modification of the GCN by plasma treatment, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed to evaluate the size and morphology of the GCN material before and after plasma treatment. Dispersion of untreated GCN typically consists of nanosheets with lateral dimensions ranging from several to hundreds of nanometers. However, GCN is prone to aggregation due to its hydrophilic surface functional groups (e.g., amino and imine groups), which provide moderate dispersion in water but are insufficient for long-term stabilization. The limited hydrophilicity, compared to materials with more polar or ionic surfaces, allows significant aggregation over time. Aggregation is primarily driven by van der Waals interactions and π - π stacking between layers or particles, especially for nanosheets with high surface energy. As a result, external interventions such as sonication are required to temporarily disperse GCN in water and reduce aggregation by breaking apart particle clusters. While sonication improves dispersion initially, GCN particles tend to re-aggregate over time, forming larger clusters due to insufficient electrostatic stabilization. In addition, without surfactants or stabilizing agents, dispersion stability remains limited. Fig. 2a presents SEM images of GCN drop-cast onto a substrate, revealing aggregated particles with sizes exceeding 100 nm. In contrast, the plasma-treated $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ (GCN_PT) shown in Fig. 2b exhibits a uniform morphology with significantly smaller and more finely distributed particles. Furthermore, HR-TEM images in Fig. S2a and b† confirm that GCN nanosheets, highlighted by blue arrows, exhibit lateral dimensions much larger than the 20 nm scale bar, consistent with the aggregation observed in SEM images (approximately 100 nm). For GCN_PT (Fig. S2c and d†), the TEM images show uniformly distributed black dots across the sample, with magnified views in Fig. S2d† revealing nanoparticle sizes below 5 nm. The observed lattice fringes further confirm the successful formation of well-defined GCN_PT nanoparticles.

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was conducted on both GCN and GCN_PT samples dispersed in water to assess the particle size quantitatively. The samples were pre-filtered to remove






Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the modifying GCN powder by plasma treatment method.

large aggregates. The DLS results (Fig. 2c) demonstrate that GCN_PT has a dominant peak at approximately 1 nm, confirming the successful particle size reduction by plasma treatment. In comparison, the GCN sample exhibits a broad size distribution, ranging from 0.7 nm to 20 nm, indicating significant heterogeneity and aggregation in the untreated GCN. These findings confirm that the plasma treatment method effectively reduces the particle size of GCN and improves its dispersion behavior.

We conducted the XPS to analyze the chemical bond change before and after plasma treatment for GCN samples. The plasma treatment of GCN leads to significant changes in the N 1s and C 1s spectra, indicating structural and chemical

modifications. In the N 1s spectra, as shown in Fig. 2d, the GCN_PT sample shows the decrease in the $\text{C}=\text{N}=\text{C}$ peak intensity (~ 398.2 eV) reflects the formation of nitrogen vacancies, while the shift to higher binding energy (~ 398.4 eV) suggests changes in the chemical environment, such as increased electron-withdrawing effects and disrupted π -conjugation due to nitrogen removal. Correspondingly, the C 1s spectra (Fig. 2e) show that GCN_PT sample has a low $\text{C}-\text{NH}_x$ peak ($\sim 285\text{--}286$ eV) and an increase in the sp^2 peak (~ 284.5 eV) compared to GCN, confirming partial graphitization and the partial conversion of $\text{C}=\text{N}$ bonds to $\text{C}=\text{C}$ bonds. These nitrogen vacancies and increased sp^2 carbon content enhance the material's conductivity by reducing charge-trapping sites,




Fig. 2 (a and b) SEM images of GCN and GCN_PT, respectively. (c) DLS particle size distribution data for GCN and GCN_PT. (d and e) XPS N 1s and C 1s spectra of GCN and GCN_PT powders. (f) MD simulation results of plasma bombardment on a GCN layer.



improving π -electron transport, and facilitating charge transfer, encouraging favorable electron transport.

The molecular dynamics (MD) simulation results strongly align with the XPS data for GCN and GCN_{PT}, offering a mechanistic explanation for the plasma-induced modifications, as shown in Fig. S3 and S4[†] and the schematic of the simulation in Fig. 2f. The simulations demonstrate that plasma bombardment with O₂^{*} and N₂^{*} radicals break C–N bonds, leading to structural fragmentation and nitrogen vacancies, particularly at higher electric field strengths (>5 V Å⁻¹), resulting in the formation of nitrogen vacancies and a carbon-rich surface. This finding corresponds well with the decreased intensity of the C=N=C peak (\sim 398.2 eV) and its shift to higher binding energy (\sim 398.4 eV) observed in the N 1s spectra of GCN_{PT}. Furthermore, the simulations reveal that O₂^{*} and oxygen based radical species are highly reactive, suggesting possible oxygen incorporation during plasma treatment.²² This is supported by the increased peak near \sim 286–287 eV in the C 1s spectra, which indicates the formation of C–O bonds. Therefore, these results provide a cohesive understanding of the structural and chemical transformations induced by plasma treatment.

A strong correlation with improved electrical properties was expected after confirming graphitization and chemical bonding changes in GCN_{PT} samples. To investigate this, we prepared thin films of GCN and GCN_{PT} by spin-coated on SnO₂/ITO substrates, commonly used in the n-i-p structure of PVK solar cells. The GCN_{PT} layer exhibited a compact and uniform morphology, while the GCN layer showed the presence of more extensive, non-uniform particles on the SnO₂ layer, as shown in Fig. S5.[†]

To evaluate the electrical properties at the nanoscale, Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM) measurements were performed

for SnO₂, GCN, and GCN_{PT} layers (Fig. 3a–c). The KPFM images reveal the contact potential difference (CPD) uniformity of the layers. Morphological images (Fig. S6[†]) show the root mean square (RMS) roughness values for SnO₂ (7.44 nm), GCN (4.25 nm), and GCN_{PT} (4.15 nm). As depicted in Fig. 3d, GCN_{PT} exhibited the most homogeneous CPD distribution (3.90), significantly lower than SnO₂ (7.53) and GCN (5.21). The uniform CPD distribution indicates fewer defect sites, which is crucial for minimizing recombination during charge transport in PVK solar cells. Furthermore, the CPD of GCN_{PT} (\sim 525 mV) was notably shifted to higher values compared to SnO₂ and GCN, suggesting that the work function of GCN_{PT} is closer to the conduction band. This indicates a more electron-rich surface, enhancing its suitability for the n-i-p structure of PVK solar cells.

To confirm the work function shift and band alignment, ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was conducted for SnO₂, GCN, and GCN_{PT} layers (Fig. 3e). The results show that the work function of GCN_{PT} (0.16 eV) is significantly lower than that of SnO₂ (0.37 eV) and GCN (0.34 eV). Additionally, the bandgap of each sample was determined from UV-vis spectroscopy (Fig. S7[†]), showing that the absorption of SnO₂ is slightly lower than that of GCN and GCN_{PT}. Notably, the absorption profile of GCN_{PT} remains unchanged compared to GCN. This shift of work function corroborates the enhanced electron-rich surface observed in KPFM measurements. Additionally, conductive atomic force microscopy (c-AFM) was performed to assess the conductivity of the SnO₂ and GCN_{PT} layers (Fig. 3f and S8[†]). The GCN_{PT} layer demonstrated significantly higher conductivity than the SnO₂ layer, supporting the findings that plasma-induced graphitization in GCN improves conductivity. Additionally, Fig. S9[†] shows the results of *I*–*V* measurements which were performed to detect the




Fig. 3 (a–c) CPD images of SnO₂, SnO₂/GCN, and SnO₂/GCN_{PT}, respectively (scale bar: 500 nm). (d) CPD distributions for the three conditions. (e) Schematic band energy diagram of SnO₂, GCN, and GCN_{PT} layers. (f) c-AFM measurements comparing the conductivity of SnO₂ and GCN_{PT}. (g) XPS Sn 3d spectra of SnO₂, GCN, and GCN_{PT} samples.



changes in the conductivity of the SnO_2 film after the incorporation of GCN and GCN_PT layer. The $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ layer demonstrated the steepest slope (75.30), indicating the highest conductivity, compared to SnO_2/GCN (71.54) and SnO_2 (67.48).

Finally, XPS analysis was conducted to investigate the interaction between the GCN and GCN_PT layers with the SnO_2 substrate. As reported previously,²³ SnO_2 contains intrinsic oxygen vacancies that reduce conductivity. Fig. 3g shows the Sn 3d peak at 486.7 eV for SnO_2 , which remains unchanged for the GCN layer. However, for the GCN_PT layer, the Sn peak shifts to a higher binding energy (487 eV). This shift can be attributed to the nitrogen vacancies introduced by plasma treatment in GCN_PT and the incorporation of oxygenated species, as indicated by the enriched C–O bonding observed in the Fourier transform infrared data (Fig. S10†). To further quantify defect reduction, Fig. S11† presents the deconvoluted Sn 3d spectra, which shows a decrease in the Sn^{2+} fraction. The Sn^{2+} content is reduced from 0.52% in SnO_2 to 0.31% in SnO_2/GCN and further to 0.17% in $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$. Such reduction in Sn^{2+} states indicates the effective passivation of oxygen vacancies. These oxygenated species in the graphitized C_3N_4 nanoparticles likely bond with the oxygen vacancies in SnO_2 , improving the conductivity of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ interface. These results highlight the role of plasma modification of functional nanomaterials in enhancing the electrical properties of GCN_PT and its effectiveness as an interfacial layer for PVK solar cells.

After confirming the enhanced conductivity of plasma-treated GCN_PT layers, we investigated their influence on the PVK layer deposited atop the SnO_2 layer. The subsequent analyses focus solely on the SnO_2 and GCN_PT layers, as plasma treatment significantly enhances the properties of GCN, making GCN_PT the most relevant material for improving perovskite solar cell performance. Fig. 4a and b show KPFM images of PVK films grown on SnO_2 and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ substrates,

respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 4c, the CPD distribution of the PVK film on the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ substrate is more uniform, with a narrower FWHM (97.89) compared to that of the PVK film on SnO_2 alone (121.41). This suggests that the improved quality of the PVK film is closely related to the underlying GCN_PT layer, as the growth and crystallization of the PVK are strongly influenced by the properties of its immediate contact layer.

In addition to improved uniformity in CPD, Fig. 4d shows that the PVK film on $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ exhibits slightly higher absorbance between 550 nm and 800 nm, the critical wavelength range for charge generation in PVK absorbers. This enhanced light absorption can be attributed to the improved structural quality and reduced defect density conferred by the GCN_PT interfacial layer. We believe that GCN_PT facilitates a homogeneous deposition onto SnO_2 , resulting in a more conformal and electronically uniform surface, as observed in Fig. S5.† This uniformity appears to reduce the energy required for nucleation and growth during perovskite crystallization, enhancing overall crystallinity and light absorption. In addition, surface hydrophobicity also plays a crucial role in determining perovskite crystal structure and film quality. The $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}$ layer exhibits a significantly higher contact angle (48.25°) than SnO_2 (27.84°), indicating a more hydrophobic surface as shown in Fig. S12.† Such difference in surface energy is one of the key factors contributing to the improved perovskite morphology and crystallinity.²⁴ Based on this hypothesis, we evaluated the structural improvements in the PVK layer by conducting XRD and Williamson–Hall (W–H) analyses. The complete XRD scans are provided in Fig. S13.† The W–H plot highlights a significant reduction in microstrain, an indicator of lattice distortion, in the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}/\text{PVK}$ sample compared to the SnO_2/PVK sample. Specifically, the SnO_2/PVK sample exhibits a microstrain value of 0.00170 (Fig. 4e), whereas the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}/\text{PVK}$ sample shows a markedly




Fig. 4 (a and b) CPD images acquired by KPFM for SnO_2/PVK and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}/\text{PVK}$, respectively. (c) CPD distributions of SnO_2/PVK and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}/\text{PVK}$ samples. (d) Absorbance spectra. (e and f) W–H plots of SnO_2/PVK and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN_PT}/\text{PVK}$ samples. (g and h) Schematics depicting the changes in compressive strain in the perovskite layer with and without the GCN_PT layer.



lower value of 0.00070 (Fig. 4f). Perovskite films inherently experience compressive strain due to factors such as thermal mismatch with the substrate, lattice contraction during crystallization, ion redistribution, and defect passivation.²⁵ Fig. 4g and h present schematic representations of the changes in compressive strain within the perovskite layer induced by incorporating the GCN_PT layer. The reduced oxygen vacancies at the SnO_2 surface, combined with the conformal and uniform coating of the GCN_PT layer, effectively mitigate the strain in the perovskite layer.

We confirmed the effect of the GCN_PT layer on the PVSK layer and observed enhanced light absorption, a more homogeneous work function, and reduced microstrain stress in the perovskite film. To further investigate the impact of the GCN_PT layer, we evaluated the device performance of PSCs incorporating this layer. The perovskite compositions studied were FAPbI_3 with a bandgap of 1.53 eV and $\text{Cs}_{0.17}\text{FA}_{0.83}\text{Pb}(\text{I}_{0.6}\text{Br}_{0.4})_3$ with a wide bandgap of 1.8 eV. The device architecture is shown in Fig. 5a, where the GCN_PT layer was inserted between the SnO_2 ETL and the perovskite layer.

To optimize the performance of the GCN_PT interfacial layer, we varied its thickness by controlling the spin-coating speed. The optimal deposition speed was determined to be 3000 rpm (Fig. S14†), which resulted in the highest improvement across all photovoltaic parameters compared to the SnO_2 control sample. The approximate thickness of the GCN_PT layer on SnO_2 was confirmed to be 1 nm based on the AFM line profile (Fig. S15†). As shown in Fig. 5b, the box plot of the PCE for FAPbI_3 -based devices. The $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}/\text{PVSK}$ devices achieved an average PCE of 22.13%, which is higher than the

20.95% observed for SnO_2/PVSK devices. Box plots for other photovoltaic parameters are provided in Fig. S16.† Although the thickness of the perovskite layer is similar for both samples (around 564 nm), as shown in Fig. S17,† the primary improvement came from the J_{SC} , attributed to the enhanced conductivity of the GCN_PT layer after plasma modification and the improved light absorption of the PVSK layer. Furthermore, Fig. S18a and b† presents steady-state photoluminescence and time-resolved photoluminescence measurements, respectively. The $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}/\text{PVSK}$ film exhibits a lower PL peak intensity, and a shorter carrier lifetime compared to the SnO_2/PVSK film. These findings confirm that the GCN_PT layer facilitates more efficient charge extraction at the interface, thereby reducing carrier recombination losses. Fig. S19a† highlights the enhancement in external quantum efficiency (EQE) between 750 and 800 nm, directly contributing to increased J_{SC} . Fig. S19b† presents the internal quantum efficiency (IQE) data, demonstrating that the GCN_PT layer significantly improves charge extraction and reduces defect-related recombination, particularly at longer wavelengths, thereby enhancing the intrinsic efficiency of the perovskite solar cells. There is an average PCE and parameter of both samples, as shown in Table S1.† The stabilized power output (SPO) data in Fig. 5c shows that the J_{SC} remained stable over 10 minutes of continuous illumination for $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}/\text{PVSK}$ devices, indicating efficient charge transport and minimal recombination under operational conditions. Moving to wide bandgap perovskites (1.8 eV), it also shows the improved J_{SC} with GCN_PT layer.

In the case of wide bandgap perovskites, it inherently faces challenges such as V_{OC} deficit²⁶ and lower J_{SC} , stemming from




Fig. 5 (a) Schematic representation of the structure of the perovskite solar cells. (b) Box plot of the PCE for FAPbI_3 -based perovskite solar cells under AM1.5 conditions. (c) SPO of the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}/\text{PVSK}$ solar cell under continuous illumination. (d) J - V curves of wide-bandgap (1.8 eV) perovskite solar cells for SnO_2/PVSK and $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}/\text{PVSK}$ under AM1.5 conditions. (e) EQE spectra of the wide-bandgap perovskite solar cells. (f) J - V curves of wide-bandgap perovskite solar cells under 1000 lux white LED illumination.



their limited light absorption range and increased susceptibility to carrier recombination. The larger bandgap results in a greater energy separation between the conduction and valence bands, exacerbating carrier trapping at recombination sites. Furthermore, the higher bromine (Br) content (40%) in the wide-bandgap composition introduces additional defects, as Br's greater reactivity compared to iodine often disrupts perovskite crystal growth due to its faster interaction with precursor components during crystallization.²⁷

Interestingly, the application of the GCN_PT layer significantly improved the EQE in the 450–680 nm range, as shown in Fig. 5e. This enhancement likely arises from improved charge extraction and defect passivation at the interface, effectively benefiting the wide bandgap perovskite layer. The wide bandgap PSCs were tested under 1000 lux white LED lighting to explore its performance under low-light conditions. The GCN_PT layer notably enhanced the J_{SC} ($\sim 8 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$) compared to SnO_2/PVSK devices, overcoming the typical limitations of low-light intensity. Consequently, the device incorporating the GCN_PT layer achieved an outstanding PCE of approximately 39.80% under 1000 lux indoor conditions.

Conclusion

Improving the charge extraction properties of PSCs are highly desirable for its use in indoor applications under limited light intensities. To enhance the charge extraction properties of PSCs for indoor applications, we demonstrate the effectiveness of plasma-modified GCN as an interfacial layer between SnO_2 and perovskite layers in n-i-p structured perovskite solar cells. Low temperature, aqueous media assisted plasma modification process significantly modified the structural and electronic properties of GCN, enhancing its conductivity and improving its compatibility with SnO_2 . XPS and MD simulations revealed that the plasma-induced graphitization and nitrogen vacancies contributed to enhanced charge transport and defect passivation at the $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}$ interface. The perovskite films grown on $\text{SnO}_2/\text{GCN}_\text{PT}$ exhibited reduced microstrain, improved crystallinity, and more uniform work function distribution, as evidenced by KPFM and W-H analysis. The device performance tests revealed that the inclusion of the GCN_PT layer enhanced the J_{SC} through improved interfacial charge extraction and reduced recombination, as well as increased light absorption in the longer wavelength range. Notably, wide-bandgap perovskite solar cells also benefited from the GCN_PT layer, showing significant improvements in J_{SC} and PCE under both AM1.5 and indoor lighting conditions, achieving a PCE of approximately 39.80% under 1000 lux white LED light. These findings highlight the potential of plasma-modified GCN as a versatile interfacial layer to improve charge transport and defect passivation in perovskite solar cells, particularly in wide-bandgap and indoor photovoltaic applications. The proposed plasma modification strategy provides a scalable and effective pathway to enhance the functional properties of nanomaterials and improves the performance and stability of perovskite devices, paving the way for next-generation photovoltaics devices.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

M. L. conducted solar cell experiments and data curation & analysis and manuscript preparation, E. Y. M. A., W. T. and P. C. W. conducted molecular dynamics simulation and wrote parts of the manuscript, S. W. K., S. Y. L. conducted carbon nanomaterial synthesis & modifications and data curation & analysis. T. Y. N., M. A. G., contributed to analytical data curation and reviewing and editing of the manuscript. Conceptualization, supervision and writing—review and editing was conducted by X. H., J. S. Y. and D. H. S.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

D. H. S. acknowledges the support of the Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning (KETEP) and the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy (MOTIE) of the Republic of Korea (No. 20224000000100). J. S. Y. acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council through Discovery Grants and the ARC Centre of Excellence in Future Low Energy Electronics Technologies (FLEET). The authors acknowledge the scientific and technical assistance of the Electron Microscope Unit and Solid State & Elemental Analysis Unit at the University of New South Wales (UNSW). The authors also acknowledge the support of TEM analysis at Korea Institute of Energy Technology (KENTECH) supported by Center for Shared Research Facilities.

References

- 1 Best research-cell efficiency chart, NREL, <https://www.nrel.gov/pv/cell-efficiency.html>.
- 2 M. A. Green, A. Ho-Baillie and H. J. Snaith, *Nat. Photonics*, 2014, **8**(7), 506.
- 3 N. J. Jeon, J. H. Noh, W. S. Yang, Y. C. Kim, S. Ryu, J. Seo and S. I. Seok, *Nature*, 2015, **517**(7535), 476.
- 4 J. M. Howard, E. M. Tennyson, S. Barik, R. Szostak, E. Waks, M. F. Toney, A. F. Nogueira, B. R. A. Neves and M. S. Leite, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**(12), 3463.
- 5 F. Lehmann, A. Franz, D. M. Többens, S. Levchenko, T. Unold, A. Taubert and S. Schorr, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**(20), 11151.
- 6 M. Kim, G.-H. Kim, T. K. Lee, I. W. Choi, H. W. Choi, Y. Jo, Y. J. Yoon, J. W. Kim, J. Lee, D. Huh, H. Lee, S. K. Kwak, J. Y. Kim and D. S. Kim, *Joule*, 2019, **3**(9), 2179.
- 7 J. Park, J. Kim, H.-S. Yun, M. J. Paik, E. Noh, H. J. Mun, M. G. Kim, T. J. Shin and S. I. Seok, *Nature*, 2023, **616**(7958), 724.
- 8 J. W. Yoo, E. Noh, J. Jang, K. S. Lee, J. Byeon, M. Choi, J. Im and S. I. Seok, *Joule*, 2023, **7**(4), 797.



9 J. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Wang, K. Yang, L. Cang, X. Liu and W. Huang, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **3**(5), 4484.

10 B. Shi, B. Liu, J. Luo, Y. Li, C. Zheng, X. Yao, L. Fan, J. Liang, Y. Ding, C. Wei, D. Zhang, Y. Zhao and X. Zhang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2017, **168**, 214.

11 H. Zhou, Q. Chen, G. Li, S. Luo, T.-b. Song, H.-S. Duan, Z. Hong, J. You, Y. Liu and Y. Yang, *Science*, 2014, **345**(6196), 542.

12 H. Li, B. Yu and H. Yu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2024, **34**(37), 2402128.

13 X. Wei, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, B. Yu and H. Yu, *Nano Energy*, 2025, **133**, 110513.

14 G. Wu, R. Liang, M. Ge, G. Sun, Y. Zhang and G. Xing, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**(8), 2105635.

15 B. Chen, H. Chen, Y. Hou, J. Xu, S. Teale, K. Bertens, H. Chen, A. Proppe, Q. Zhou, D. Yu, K. Xu, M. Vafaie, Y. Liu, Y. Dong, E. H. Jung, C. Zheng, T. Zhu, Z. Ning and E. H. Sargent, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**(41), 2103394.

16 M. Lee, J. Lim, E. Choi, A. M. Soufiani, S. Lee, F.-J. Ma, S. Lim, J. Seidel, D. H. Seo, J.-S. Park, W. Lee, J. Lim, R. F. Webster, J. Kim, D. Wang, M. A. Green, D. Kim, J. H. Noh, X. Hao and J. S. Yun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2024, **36**(41), 2402053.

17 C. Zhang, S. Wang, H. Zhang, Y. Feng, W. Tian, Y. Yan, J. Bian, Y. Wang, S. Jin, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. Grätzel and Y. Shi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**(12), 3585.

18 Z. Liu, S. Wu, X. Yang, Y. Zhou, J. Jin, J. Sun, L. Zhao and S. Wang, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, **2**(11), 5396.

19 N. H. Hemasiri, M. Ashraf, S. Kazim, R. Graf, R. Berger, N. Ullah, M. N. Tahir and S. Ahmad, *Nano Energy*, 2023, **109**, 108326.

20 L. Wang, L. Fu, B. Li, H. Li, L. Pan, B. Chang and L. Yin, *Sol. RRL*, 2021, **5**(3), 2000720.

21 C. E. Anderson, N. R. Cha, A. D. Lindsay, D. S. Clark and D. B. Graves, *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, 2016, **36**, 1393.

22 R. Zhou, Y. Zhao, R. Zhou, T. Zhang, P. Cullen, Y. Zheng, L. Dai and K. Ostrikov, *Carbon Energy*, 2023, **5**(1), e260.

23 J. J. Yoo, G. Seo, M. R. Chua, T. G. Park, Y. Lu, F. Rotermund, Y.-K. Kim, C. S. Moon, N. J. Jeon, J.-P. Correa-Baena, V. Bulović, S. S. Shin, M. G. Bawendi and J. Seo, *Nature*, 2021, **590**(7847), 587.

24 C. Bi, Q. Wang, Y. Shao, Y. Yuan, Z. Xiao and J. Huang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**(1), 7747.

25 H. Min, S.-G. Ji and S. I. Seok, *Joule*, 2022, **6**(9), 2175.

26 R. H. Ahangharnejhad, J. D. Friedl, A. B. Phillips and M. J. Heben, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2021, **225**, 111015.

27 T. Huang, S. Tan, S. Nuryyeva, I. Yavuz, F. Babbe, Y. Zhao, M. Abdelsamie, M. H. Weber, R. Wang, K. N. Houk, C. M. Sutter-Fella and Y. Yang, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**(46), eabj1799.

