


Showcasing a study on the development of promising photoelectrodes by a group of researchers led by Professor Mendes from Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy (LEPABE), Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal.

Unlocking the potential of semi-transparent Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes for high-performance, reproducible solar redox flow cells

This work pioneers the development of reproducible semi-transparent tantalum nitride photoelectrodes for high-performing solar redox flow cell (SRFC) applications. The electrophoretic deposition process was systematically optimized by investigating the effects of deposition time and annealing temperature under an NH_3 atmosphere. The incorporation of a thin, compact Ta-doped TiO_2 underlayer enabled an unprecedented balance between photopotential and photocurrent. Notably, bare Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes – without co-catalysts or nanostructuring – achieved a photocurrent density of approximately $4.0 \text{ mA}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ and a power density of around $1.1 \text{ mW}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$.

Image reproduced by permission of Paula Dias, Filipe Francisco and Adélio Mendes from *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2025, **13**, 14601.

As featured in:



See Paula Dias, Adélio Mendes *et al.*, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2025, **13**, 14601.



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2025, 13, 14601

Unlocking the potential of semi-transparent Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes for high-performance, reproducible solar redox flow cells†

Filipe Moisés M. Francisco, ^{ab} Paula Dias ^{*ab} and Adélio Mendes ^{*ab}

A solar redox flow cell (SRFC) converts solar energy into storable electrochemical energy and heat; when connected to a redox flow battery, it can produce dispatchable electricity. Despite its versatility, a SRFC is still considered to be at a low technology readiness level (TRL), mainly due to the absence of abundant, efficient, and stable semiconductors. Tantalum nitride (Ta₃N₅) photoelectrodes have garnered special interest for photoelectrochemical water-splitting applications, particularly those using opaque Ta substrates. However, for SRFCs, which are normally based on coloured electrolytes, Ta₃N₅ needs to be semi-transparent to allow backside sunlight illumination. Herein, for the first time, the electrophoretic deposition technique was optimized for synthesizing semi-transparent Ta₃N₅. The best-performing bare photoelectrodes were prepared over a 30 nm Ta-doped TiO₂ (TTO) underlayer, and with an electrophoretic time of 7 min and an annealing temperature of 425 °C in an NH₃ atmosphere, displaying an unprecedented photocurrent density of ca. 4.0 mA cm⁻², and a maximum power density of ca. 1.1 mW cm⁻², using a ferrocyanide-based electrolyte. These conditions allowed improving the charge-transfer kinetics and reducing the recombination rates, as observed by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis. The optimized Ta₃N₅ photoelectrode was paired with a perovskite solar cell, demonstrating ca. 100 h of operation in an aqueous alkaline electrolyte, based on ferrocyanide (K₄Fe(CN)₆) and anthraquinone-2,7-disulphonate (2,7-AQDS) redox pairs.

Received 15th November 2024
Accepted 6th March 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4ta08136f

rsc.li/materials-a

Introduction

Fossil fuels, particularly coal and oil, remain the dominant energy sources and still contribute significantly to carbon dioxide emissions and environmental degradation.^{1,2} Renewable energy sources, especially solar energy, offer a clean and economically feasible approach to accelerate the decarbonization of the energy system. However, harnessing solar energy efficiently poses challenges due to its intermittent nature, underscoring the need for advanced energy storage and conversion systems.

Solar redox flow cells (SRFCs) represent a groundbreaking approach to address the global energy paradigm, especially combining solar energy capture, conversion, and storage in a compact device. Briefly, a SRFC combines the working principles of a photoelectrochemical (PEC) cell, capable of photocharging the redox pairs dissolved in the electrolyte, using

semiconductor-based photoelectrodes, with the working principles of a redox flow cell (RFC).³ The fundamental advantage of the PEC cell is the spontaneous heterojunction formation at the semiconductor–electrolyte interface. With the proper pairing of the appropriate material and solution, the chemical potential difference between the two phases results in the formation of a built-in electric field within the semiconductor. When illuminated, the semiconductor absorbs photons with energy ($h\nu$) equal to or greater than its own energy bandgap and is thus capable of generating electron–hole (e^- – h^+) pairs. The excited electrons move to the conduction band (CB), leaving holes in the valence band (VB), as follows:⁴



The electrons are then transported externally to the counter electrode, where the reduction reaction takes place:



Simultaneously, the holes in the valence band of the semiconductor material are pushed to its surface, driving the oxidation reaction, as follows:



^aLEPABE – Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal. E-mail: pauladias@fe.up.pt; mendes@fe.up.pt

^bALICE – Associate Laboratory in Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Rua Dr Roberto Frias, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ta08136f>

Therefore, the semiconductor-based photoelectrode plays an important role in the final performance of SRFCs. For reaching the highest solar energy conversion efficiencies, the valence and conduction band edges of the photoelectrode need to match with the Nernst redox potentials of the redox pairs. So far, over 1000 redox pairs have been identified as candidates for use in RFCs.⁵ The photocharged redox pairs can be discharged in RFCs to produce electricity; see Fig. 1. Moreover, the heat stored in the electrolytes can be heat-exchanged for sanitary water applications or for thermal comfort, which increases the overall efficiency of the SRFC system.

Despite the high promise of SRFCs, the development of efficient systems has been hampered by several factors, including the scarcity of abundant and low-cost materials, the instability of highly efficient photoelectrodes, and the sluggish reaction kinetics, which often necessitate the use of complex and costly heterojunctions or catalysts.⁶ To overcome these limitations, identifying semiconductor materials that are earth-abundant, efficient, stable, and scalable is crucial.⁷ Tantalum nitride (Ta_3N_5) photoanodes promise high efficiencies, due to their narrow bandgap energy of *ca.* 2.1 eV and optimal optoelectronic properties, contrary to what happens with hematite ($\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, a similar bandgap), one of the most studied metal-oxide photoelectrodes.⁸ Ta_3N_5 has a longer hole diffusion length, its valence band is composed of N 2p, and the bottom of the conduction band is at $-0.3 V_{\text{RHE}}$, showing a more negative onset potential (0.6 V higher than $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$), and a lower bias potential for oxidation reactions.⁹ These characteristics have allowed boosting its near-theoretical photocurrent limit over the past 10 years.¹⁰ A maximum photocurrent density of *ca.* 12.1 mA cm^{-2} was reported for PEC water splitting using a complex Ta_3N_5 thin film coated with $\text{Ni}(\text{OH})_x/\text{ferrihydrite}/\text{TiO}_x$, and Co- and Ir-based molecular catalysts.¹¹ However, the onset potential of this photoanode ($0.6\text{--}0.7 V_{\text{RHE}}$) was still much more positive than the theoretical value, resulting in a lower photopotential; the stability was also very challenging. Moreover, this unprecedented result was obtained following the oxidation and nitrification of an opaque Ta metal foil substrate, at a high temperature (*ca.* 1000 °C). To obtain an unbiased high-

performing SRFC, Cheng *et al.*¹² used a Ta_3N_5 nanotube-structured opaque photoanode paired with a GaN nanowire/Si photocathode in 1.2 V alkaline anthraquinone/ferrocyanide redox pairs; however, the system was illuminated from both sides of the photoactive materials, leading to lower solar power conversion efficiencies. This opaque substrate only allowed illumination of the front-side of the photoactive material, and also presented reduced efficiencies when coloured electrolytes were used due to light-absorption competition through the electrolyte film. Therefore, the use of transparent conducting oxide (TCO) glass substrates, such as fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) and indium-doped tin oxide (ITO), is a requisite and also critical for assembling tandem arrangements. The latter configurations facilitate testing redox chemistries based on high cell potentials ($>0.8 \text{ V}$), which are limited by the typical current low photopotentials of Ta_3N_5 .

Electrophoretic deposition (EPD) was the first technique reported for the development of semi-transparent Ta_3N_5 photoanodes. Domen *et al.*¹³ reported a semi-transparent Ta_3N_5 film that achieved a photocurrent density of *ca.* 0.4 mA cm^{-2} at 1.3 V_{RHE} , using 0.1 M Na_2SO_4 solution as the electrolyte, without any co-catalyst; the photocurrent could be increased to *ca.* 2.4 mA cm^{-2} when employing an IrO_2 overlayer. Since then, several works based on EPD,^{14–16} and other deposition techniques, such as atomic layer deposition (ALD),^{17,18} have been performed with reasonable performances reported. The ALD technique allows the deposition of conformal and uniform films over any substrate with a good interconnection between molecules and atomic-level control, which is not so easy to obtain with the EPD technique. However, one of the limitations of ALD is the fact that the direct deposition of Ta_3N_5 on the glass substrate is not possible, but this can be overcome by replacement of the oxidizing precursor with ammonia. This presents not only some safety concerns due to the use of ammonia in high vacuum conditions, but also the deposition process must be carried out at high temperatures, therefore requiring meticulous control to ensure it does not affect the properties of the FTO underlayer.

Alternatively, EPD is a low-cost, highly efficient method to fabricate oxide- or organic-based thin films on various conductive substrates and it does not require high-temperature heat treatment. EPD is an electrochemical technique where charged colloidal particles, dispersed or suspended in a liquid medium, are attracted and deposited onto an electrically conductive substrate with opposite charges under an electric field.¹⁹ Therefore, the presence of defects on the interface of the Ta-based particles and the conductive glass substrate plays an important role in the photoelectrodes' performance, *i.e.* the combination between the Ta_3N_5 particles' surface area and the interfacial roughness of the FTO substrate creates recombination centres, which must be mitigated.²⁰ It was reported that post-treatment with a TaCl_5 methanolic solution^{13,14} could improve the adhesion of the Ta-based particles to each other, but was ineffective at improving the adhesion of the Ta-based particles to the FTO layer. Research efforts have proven that the effect of adding interfacial underlayers is an effective strategy for enhancing charge-separation and -transfer processes.^{7,21,22} These layers have been reported to induce a passivation effect, capable of reducing




Fig. 1 Representative scheme of a solar redox flow cell (SRFC) based on a photoanode-driven PEC cell, where A is the catholyte solution, B is the anolyte solution, CB and VB are the conduction band and the valence band of the semiconductor, respectively, ΔE is the applied potential, and e^- is an electron.



latent electron-hole recombination in areas where semiconductor film adhesion or coverage are not conformal. For example, Wang *et al.*²³ demonstrated better charge extraction due to the incorporation of an underlayer of a zirconium (iv) acetylacetonate based-additive in TiO₂ nanocrystals, which allowed achieving a higher power conversion efficiency (PCE) of *ca.* 15%. Chung *et al.*²⁴ made use of a porous planar structure of Zn₂SnO₄, which was capable of creating a high-quality perovskite layer and preferential band alignment, which also enabled improved charge extraction and allowed achieving an improved PCE of *ca.* 20.7%. For PEC water-splitting applications, Eisenberg *et al.*²⁵ reported that the use of a TiO₂ underlayer between the FTO layer and a W-doped BiVO₄ layer was effective for enhancing the performance; whereby TiO₂ not only reduced the defects on the FTO surface not covered by the semiconductor film but also allowed accelerating charge-extraction due to the better band edge alignments. Although TiO₂ is widely used as an electron-transport layer, doping strategies have been adopted to lower the conduction band of n-type photoelectrodes.²⁶ Recently, Zhou *et al.*²⁷ reported the use of a Ta-doped TiO₂ (TTO) underlayer on BiVO₄ photoelectrodes for creating a suitable heterojunction, which showed a huge photocurrent improvement compared to a simple TiO₂/BiVO₄ structure. Similarly, TTO was used as an underlayer for Ta₃N₅ photoanodes prepared by ALD on quartz substrates, which resulted in a photocurrent density of *ca.* 0.77 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V_{RHE} with an onset photocurrent potential of ~ 0.8 V_{RHE}. However, the effect of using underlayers on EPD-based Ta₃N₅ has not been well studied to date, so the current work aimed to highlight their critical role in the semiconductor film conformal growth, as well as for facilitating electron transport and improving the photoelectrode performance. The use of state-of-the-art TCO glass substrates, like FTO, is also not so common; however, they are often preferred due to their reduced cost. Their use is only possible under ammonolysis procedures at low temperatures. Generally, temperatures higher than 400 °C are responsible for favouring film crystallinity, reducing the common grain boundary resistance, and preventing the electron-hole recombination issues of Ta-based photoelectrodes.²⁸ NH₃ has a great capacity for reduction at high temperatures, which can affect the substrate properties and then the performance of the semiconductor.^{16,29} This work pioneers the development of reproducible semi-transparent Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes for high-performance SRFC devices, addressing the previously mentioned limitations. By optimizing the EPD technique, especially the deposition conditions and annealing temperature under an NH₃ atmosphere, and by utilizing an optimized FTO/TTO underlayer, an unprecedented balance between the photopotential and photocurrent was achieved without the need for the addition of doping agents, nanostructures, or co-catalysts. The current state-of-the-art semi-transparent Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes are listed in Table S1 in the ESI.†

Experimental

The preparation and characterization of semi-transparent Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes followed the procedure depicted in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2 Laboratory procedure for the synthesis and performance assessment of the Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes.

Glass substrate preparation

The photoelectrodes (1.2×3 cm²) were prepared on 2.2 nm thick TEC-7 ($7 \Omega \text{ cm}^{-2}$, Solaronix®) FTO glass substrates. The FTO glass substrates were cleaned by sequential ultrasonic treatments (Amsonic®) in soapy water (Alconox®, 10 min), distilled water (10 min), 2 M KOH (in 70% ethanolic solution, 5 min), and distilled water (10 min). After drying, the substrates were treated in argon plasma for 8 min (70 kW at 0.2–0.3 mbar; Diener electronic® Plasma Surface Technology).

Ta-doped TiO₂ (TTO) underlayer

A Beneq TFS 200 ALD system was used to deposit a TTO underlayer over FTO glass substrates. Titanium(IV) isopropoxide (TIP, C₁₂H₂₈O₄Ti $\geq 97\%$, Sigma Aldrich®) and distilled water were used as the precursors for the titania. Deposition was performed by the sequential pulses of TIP and distilled water, respectively, interrupted by two purge times. Tantalum(V) chloride (TaCl₅, 99.99%, Alfa Aesar®) and distilled water were used as the precursors for the TaO_x, with sequential pulses also interrupted by two purge times in each one of the precursors. TTO deposition was performed using the titania and TaO_x precursors with a TaO_x:TiO₂ ratio of 1:71. Both deposition cycles were repeated up to obtaining the desired thickness. The ALD reaction chamber was operated at 250 °C and 7 mbar, with a flowrate of $250 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The reactor was operated at 4.5 mbar, with a flowrate of $300 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The underlayer film thickness on the FTO glass substrates was optimized to improve the photoelectrode performance. For each set of parameters tested, three samples were prepared to assess the reproducibility.

Synthesis of Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes

Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes were prepared by EPD on FTO glass substrates sized 1.2×3 cm². EPD was carried out in acetone (50 mL) containing Ta₃N₅ (40 mg) and iodine (20 mg), dispersed by sonication for 30 min and by magnetic stirring for 2 h, as reported elsewhere.¹³ Previously, Ta₃N₅ particles were synthesized by the nitrification of Ta₂O₅ powder (70–90 nm particle size, Nanoshel UK Ltd) under an NH₃ atmosphere (250 mL min^{-1}) at 850 °C for over 15 h. Here, two electrodes were immersed



parallel in the colloid solution, and a bias potential of 12.5 V was then applied for 3 min to 7 min, using a potentiostat (Keithley, S425-C SourceMeter). After drying the samples in air, a necking treatment was applied based on dropping 1 μL of 10 mM TaCl_5 methanol solution on to the as-prepared electrode and then drying it in air at room temperature; a procedure repeated sequentially 10 times. Then, the photoelectrodes were annealed under an NH_3 (99.9999%) gas flow (100 mL min^{-1}) at different temperatures (425 $^\circ\text{C}$, 450 $^\circ\text{C}$, 475 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 525 $^\circ\text{C}$) for 30 min; heating and cooling ramps were applied at 5 $^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$, using an automated in-house assembled experimental setup for ensuring a controlled environment under reproducible conditions.³⁰ The prepared Ta_3N_5 samples were coded based on the temperature annealing-time in the EPD, e.g. the 425-7 sample was prepared at an annealing temperature of 425 $^\circ\text{C}$ and with 7 min EPD with an applied potential of 12.5 V.

This setup was monitored using a custom LabVIEW program for ensuring process reproducibility, and for recording the operational data, namely the oven temperature and set point of each thermocouple, as well as the mass flow and set point of each mass flow controller. A ferric hydroxide overlayer coupled with a Co_3O_4 co-catalyst was deposited by a hydrothermal method, following the steps reported elsewhere.³¹

Morphology, film thickness characterization and optical measurements

The morphology of the prepared underlayers and semiconductor films was assessed using a high-resolution scanning electron microscopy system (FEQ Quanta ESEM 400FEG/EDAX Genesis X4M), equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) unit. The acceleration potential was kept at 15 keV while the in-lens detector was employed with a working distance of about 10 mm. Top-view images were obtained to study the morphology of the films and their overall homogeneity, while cross-section images were also obtained to determine the thickness of the prepared films.

An X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy system (XPS, Kratos AXIS Ultra HAS) was used to identify the chemical composition and valence state of the surface constituents, with a monochromatic Al $K\alpha$ X-ray source (1486.7 eV), operating at 15 kV (90 W), in FAT (fixed analyzer transmission) mode. The analysis was carried out with a pass energy of 40 eV for specific regions and 80 eV for the survey, and data acquisition was performed with a pressure lower than 1.0×10^{-6} Pa. The binding energies (BEs) were calibrated based on the C 1s line at 285 eV from adventitious carbon. The spectral modeling was performed using Shirley-type background subtraction and peak fitting with the Gaussian–Lorentzian peak shape modified by a tail function for the asymmetric peaks, following the literature recommendations.^{32–34}

The crystal structure was studied using X-ray diffraction (XRD), operating with the Bragg–Brentano focusing geometry; a PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer was used equipped with an X'Celerator detector and secondary monochromator. X'Pert HighScore Plus software was used to perform the Rietveld refinement and identify the crystallographic phases. The

average crystallite size was determined using Scherrer's equation (eqn (4)).³⁵

$$\tau = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad (4)$$

where τ is the mean size of the crystalline domains, K is a dimensionless shape factor (considered 0.9 in this work), λ is the X-ray wavelength (0.15418 nm), β is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity, after subtracting the instrumental line broadening, and θ is the Bragg angle.

The work function of the developed materials was determined using an ultra-high vacuum Kelvin probe (UHVKP020, KP Technology).

UV-vis measurements were performed for obtaining the TTO transmittance using a UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Inc., model UV-3600). The transmittance data of the photoelectrodes were obtained in the wavelength range from 250 to 800 nm, using a slit of 32 nm and medium scanning.

Photoelectrochemical characterization

The photoelectrochemical characterization of the as-prepared Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes was performed using a PEC cell known as “Cappuccino”, made of polyetheretherketone (Ertar PEEK®).³⁶ The cell was filled with an electrolyte solution of 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH (25 $^\circ\text{C}$), in which the photoelectrode (working electrode) was immersed. The illuminated surface area was ca. 0.283 cm^2 , and backside illumination was applied. A three-electrode configuration was employed, combining Ag/AgCl sat. KCl (Metrohm) as the reference electrode, and a 99.9% pure platinum wire (Alfa Aesar®) as the counter electrode. The photocurrent density vs. potential (J – E) characteristic curves were obtained by applying an external bias potential to the cell and measuring the generated photocurrent using an electrochemical station (Autolab, Metrohm®) controlled by Nova software (Nova version 1.11). The measurements were performed at room temperature in the dark and under 1 sun simulated illumination, using a scan rate of 10 mV s^{-1} . A Plasma-I AS 1300 V 2.0 light engine (Plasma International, GmbH) solar simulator equipped with a standard sulphur lamp (SS0) was used and calibrated using a c-Si photodiode for providing an irradiance of 100 mW cm^{-2} . Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analyses were performed in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz and with an amplitude of 10 mV when the PEC cell was irradiated with 1 sun AM 1.5 G, and the applied potential range was equal to that of the J – E curves with a step interval of 50 mV. An appropriate electrical analogue was then fitted to the EIS spectra using ZView® software (Scribner Associates Inc.).

Results and discussion

Performance enhancement employing the TTO underlayer

The interface between the Ta_3N_5 layer and the FTO glass substrate proved to play a critical role in the performance of the semi-transparent Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes prepared by






Fig. 3 Ta₃N₅ films prepared using an EPD time of 5 min, followed by an annealing temperature of 525 °C under a fixed NH₃ flowrate of 100 mL min⁻¹. (a) J - E characteristic curves obtained for Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes without TTO and with different TTO thicknesses, using 0.1 M K₄Fe(CN)₆ in 1 M KOH as the electrolyte solution; SEM top surface images of the FTO glass substrate: (b) without TTO (FTO sample); and with (c) TTO-5 nm (FTO|TTO-5 sample), (d) TTO-30 nm (FTO|TTO-30 sample), and (e) TTO-45 nm (FTO|TTO-45 sample).

electrophoretic deposition. As illustrated in Fig. 3a, the Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes prepared using an EPD time of 5 min, followed by annealing at 525 °C under a fixed NH₃ flowrate of 100 mL min⁻¹ displayed very low photocurrents when they were grown directly on the bare FTO glass substrate, *i.e.* without an underlayer (FTO|Ta₃N₅ sample). This was assigned to the poor adhesion of the Ta₃N₅ particles on the FTO substrate, proceeded by the nucleation of large and dispersed Ta₃N₅ crystals (Fig. S1a[†]), which could create interface defects that would act as recombination points. In contrast, employing a thin TTO underlayer (*e.g.*, 5 nm – FTO|TTO-5|Ta₃N₅ sample) affected the electronics of the junction between the FTO and Ta₃N₅, which contributed to improving the J - E response. This was due to the favoured collection of electrons, since the conduction band (CB) energy of the TTO was positioned below the CB energy of Ta₃N₅ and above that of the FTO layer (work function of *ca.* 5.0 eV); see Fig. S1b in the ESI[†] Zhou *et al.*²⁷ reported a similar strategy for BiVO₄ photoelectrodes. Additionally, TTO underlayers were deposited by ALD using different TaO_x:TiO₂ ratios (1 : 50, 1 : 71 and 1 : 125), while maintaining a thickness of *ca.* 5 nm. Consistently, the FTO|TTO|Ta₃N₅ samples prepared with a Ta : TiO₂ ratio of 1 : 71 (corresponding to 1.4% Ta concentration) displayed better performances, in terms of both the photocurrent density and fill factor; see Fig. S1c[†]. This was in line with the work reported by Hamann *et al.*,¹⁸ who showed that a Ta concentration of 1.6% was enough to minimize the interface resistivity between the TTO film and the photoabsorber layer. However, although an improved photocurrent was achieved, these Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes showed low photopotentials and low reproducibility, as confirmed by the J - E characterization results provided in the ESI; see Fig. S2[†]. The top-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the bare FTO and FTO|TTO-5 substrates, shown in Fig. 3b and c, respectively, revealed a non-homogenous TTO layer with an average thickness of *ca.* 5 nm; despite the morphology being similar to the original morphology of the FTO layer, displaying closely packed microcrystals with random sizes (in the order of *ca.* 200 nm); see Fig. 3b. Moreover, the transmittance spectra of the FTO glass substrate with and without the 5 nm TTO layer were obtained

and were found to be very similar (<5% at 532 nm, which was the maximum absorption of the Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes; see Fig. S3[†]). In contrast, increasing the TTO thickness to 30 nm and 45 nm allowed not only obtaining photoelectrodes that could achieve higher performances but also enhanced the intra-batch reproducibility of the prepared photoelectrodes. Notably, this may be related to the better FTO coverage by the TTO layer, leading to a smoother surface, as it was evident by the polished microcrystal boundaries in the SEM images; see Fig. 3d and e. The J - E curves shown in Fig. 3a show that the sample TTO-30 (TTO layer with an average thickness of *ca.* 30 nm) presented the best balance among the photocurrent increase, fill factor, and photopotential, when compared with the TTO-45 and TTO-5 nm samples.

Optimizing the synthesis of the Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes

The main variables considered for the synthesis of the Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes by electrophoretic deposition were: (i) the duration EPD was applied (constant potential of 12.5 V); (ii) the annealing temperature; and (iii) the NH₃ flowrate used during the annealing step. The Ta₃N₅ thickness layer was mostly related to the deposition time. Conversely, the annealing temperature and NH₃ flowrate influenced the properties of the photoelectrode, especially the crystallinity and substrate conductivity. Ammonia prevented the Ta₃N₅ film from being oxidized to Ta₂O₅ and promoted the particles' necking; if a methanolic TaCl₅ solution was added to the photoelectrode, the methanol was volatilized, leaving a thin film of TaCl₅ within the semiconductor interstices. In the presence of humidity, TaCl₅ hydrolyzes very quickly to Ta₂O₅,¹³ and the ammonia atmosphere nitrifies to Ta₃N₅, reinforcing the quality of the connections among the semiconductor particles and favouring the electronic conductivity. No less important is the need to state that not only were the properties of the Ta₃N₅ films influenced by the annealing treatment but also the properties of the substrate, as evidenced by the XRD analyses, and as shown in Fig. S4[†]. Moreover, the position of the samples on the oven glass holder (pictured in Fig. S6[†]) inside the alumina tube, over which the unidirectional flow of NH₃ passed, was proven to influence the properties of the TTO layer over the FTO glass substrate (FTO|TTO). Actually, the TTO layer on the samples located in the oven towards the NH₃ inlet side had a more crystalline structure compared to the case for the samples located further away from the NH₃ flow direction, here with an NH₃ flow velocity of 1.0×10^{-3} m s⁻¹, as noted by the sharp XRD peaks observed at *ca.* 17.3°, 24.5°, 33.7°, 36.0° and 37.7°; see Fig. S4[†]; this indicates that the composition of the atmosphere and the temperature play critical roles in the crystallinity of the TTO film during the annealing step. More crystalline structures tend to improve the electrical conductivity, enhancing the photocurrent densities, since mobility is favored.³⁷ Moreover, after the sintering process, the coexistence of TiO₂ anatase and rutile phases was observed, which was more evident in the sample closest to the NH₃ inlet flow. The spectrum of the non-sintered FTO|TTO sample indicated the existence of an amorphous phase, largely resembling the XRD



spectrum obtained for FTO, with the only difference being a peak of small intensity observed at $2\theta = 25.1^\circ$, attributed to the (101) orientation, characteristic of the TiO_2 anatase layer. According to the literature, the conversion of the amorphous phase into TiO_2 anatase occurs at $>300^\circ\text{C}$, while the conversion from anatase to the rutile phase becomes more evident from 450°C to 850°C ,^{38,39} albeit slight variations may occur depending on the precursors used and the operating conditions.⁴⁰ In this temperature range, both phases were visible, although the anatase phase seemed to predominate. The changes in the structure alignment also allowed the identification of the (020) plane at 17.3° . This plane is characteristic of the orthorhombic phase of Ta_3N_5 , which is somehow indicative of the presence of Ta, which is not easily distinguishable due to its low concentration compared to TiO_2 . Alongside this, nitrogen incorporation into the TTO structure during the annealing process under the ammonia atmosphere should occur, especially for the samples positioned closer to the NH_3 inlet.

A systematic study of the synthesis conditions was performed with varying the deposition time for Ta_3N_5 deposition from 3 to 7 min, and under different annealing temperatures from 425°C to 525°C , using a fixed NH_3 flowrate of 100 mL min^{-1} . Some preliminary studies were carried out to determine the best operating conditions. These studies showed that long EPD deposition times led to the formation of thicker Ta_3N_5 films (thickness $>2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$), resulting in poorer photoelectrochemical performance, while thin films (thickness $<1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) prepared with lower deposition times also had negligible currents due to their poor light absorption. Regarding the annealing conditions, the literature refers to $470\text{--}550^\circ\text{C}$ as the common range of temperature used for annealing Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes.^{13,14,17} Table S2† summarizes all the experimental conditions and Fig. 4a shows the obtained J - E curves and the power density (P) curves extrapolated for the four best-performing Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes; namely sample 425-7 prepared at an annealing temperature of 425°C and with an EPD time of 7 min, sample 450-7 prepared at an annealing temperature of 450°C and with an EPD time of 7 min, sample 450-5 prepared at an annealing temperature of 450°C and with

an EPD time of 5 min, and sample 475-5 prepared at an annealing temperature of 475°C and with an EPD time of 5 min. The power density curves were used as figure-of-merits since they give relevant information concerning the direct relation between the photocurrent density and photopotential; this analysis is common in the photovoltaic field. The photocurrent is the difference between the light and dark currents, while the photopotential is simply the potential shift between the light dark currents, determined by the difference between the onset potential of the J - E curve in the dark and that under light conditions at the same current. This interpretation is only valid if the internal resistance of the photoanode is small, as can be seen by the steep slope of the dark voltammograms. This arises from the photovoltaic effect at the electrified junction between the photoanode and the aqueous electrolyte solution.⁴¹ Therefore, the product of the photocurrent and photopotential values at each point of the J - E scan provides information about the power density of a photoelectrode.

From Fig. 4a, it could be seen that the sample that showed the highest photocurrent density (*ca.* 4.0 mA cm^{-2} @ $1.3\text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$) was prepared with 7 min EPD and annealing at 425°C (*i.e.*, sample 425-7); also, the onset potential of the dark current was *ca.* $1.3\text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$. There were no significant changes in terms of the onset potential of the dark current for the four samples tested. To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest photocurrent obtained for semi-transparent Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes, prepared by EPD and without using doping agents or co-catalysts; see Table S1.† For the onset potential of the J - E curves under light conditions, it was shifted to greater anodic potentials as the annealing temperature was increased (from *ca.* $0.70\text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ for sample 425-7 to $0.78\text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ for the sample 475-5). Moreover, the best-performing sample achieved a photopotential of *ca.* 0.60 V , considering the difference in the onset potentials obtained under the light and in the dark conditions.³⁶ Concerning the analysis of the power density curves, the sample 425-7 showed an impressive difference compared to the other samples, whereby its power density at the maximum power point was *ca.* 41% higher (*ca.* 1.1 mW cm^{-2} at *ca.* 1 V_{RHE}) than that obtained for the sample 475-5. The amplified top-view surface images revealed a compact Ta_3N_5 nanoparticulated film exhibiting a very porous structure over the FTO/TTO substrate; see Fig. 4b. The film's surface was characterized by randomly distributed pronounced clusters, which showed a detriment of some slightly less pronounced areas, yet still ensured good coverage. The cross-sectional image in Fig. 4c further illustrated the heterogeneous film growth with an average thickness of *ca.* $1.5\text{--}2.0\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for the samples deposited with a 7 min EPD time. Fig. S5† shows the SEM top surface images for the remaining samples prepared under the best-performing conditions.

XPS measurements were also performed and corroborated the above-mentioned structural changes after the annealing treatment; see Fig. 5 for the sample located in the oven closer to or Fig. S6† for the sample located further away from the NH_3 inlet. Peak Ti 2p in Fig. 5a could be decomposed into two peaks, corresponding to the levels of the Ti^{4+} cations. One of these peaks was related to the core level Ti $2p_{3/2}$ that appeared at a binding energy value of *ca.* 458.91 eV , for the sample located




Fig. 4 (a) J - E and power density curves obtained for Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes prepared under different conditions: 425°C , 7 min (425-7); 450°C , 7 min (450-7); 450°C , 5 min (450-5); 475°C , 5 min (475-5); $0.1\text{ M K}_4\text{Fe(CN)}_6$ in 1 M KOH was used as the electrolyte solution. SEM images of the best-performing Ta_3N_5 sample prepared with 7 min deposition: (b) top-view surface image; and (c) cross-section image.






Fig. 5 High-resolution XPS spectra of FTO|TTO substrates located at the extremities of the holder (closer to the NH_3 inlet and away from the NH_3 inlet) during annealing in the oven: (a) Ti 2p region; (b) Ta 4f region; and (c) N 1s region.

away from the NH_3 inlet, and at *ca.* 458.51 eV for the sample located closer to the NH_3 inlet, inside the oven. The other peak was related to the core level $\text{Ti } 2p_{1/2}$, appearing at *ca.* 464.61 eV for the sample located away from the NH_3 inlet, and at *ca.* 464.01 eV for the sample located closer to the NH_3 inlet, inside the oven. These two FTO|TTO samples showed a smaller separation energy between the two peaks [from $\Delta(\text{Ti } 2p_{3/2} - \text{Ti } 2p_{1/2}) = 5.7 \text{ eV}$ – away from the NH_3 inlet – to $\Delta(\text{Ti } 2p_{3/2} - \text{Ti } 2p_{1/2}) = 5.5 \text{ eV}$ – closer to the NH_3 inlet], emphasizing the weakening bonding between the Ti and O atoms. No less important is the need to mention that this phenomenon was accompanied by a decrease in the intensity of both peaks, which may be the origin of the formation of Ti and O vacancies during annealing in the ammonia atmosphere.^{42,43} Also, a slight shift in the binding energy was observed between the sample located away from the NH_3 inlet and the one located closer to the NH_3 inlet. According to the literature, such a binding energy shift occurs with changes in the oxidation states.^{44,45} The decrease in the number of oxidation states happens when there is a shift to lower binding energy values, meaning that the TTO bandgap may be reduced. When nitrogen was added to the TaTiO_2 lattice, switching the O atoms in the structure, the number of oxidation states in Ti and Ta was reduced, lowering the binding energy. Sabur *et al.*⁴⁶ studied the influence of N doping in the TiO_2 structure and concluded that the Ti valence states were lowered from Ti^{4+} to Ti^{2+} and Ti^{3+} , confirming that Ti underwent a reduction. In the same way, since there was another “strange” atom in the structure of the film, the energy required to extract an electron from the valence band to the conduction band of the material was lower, *i.e.* the bandgap was reduced because the conduction and valence bands appeared to be energetically closer.²⁹ These conclusions are in line with the observed phenomenon at the core level Ta 4f; see Fig. 5b, whereby a deconvolution in the three peaks in the sample located away from the NH_3 inlet was observed, where the first two peaks were related to binding energies of 28.5 eV and 26.6 eV,

corresponding to the Ta^{5+} oxidation state, characteristic of Ta_2O_5 .⁴⁷ The intensity of these two peaks fell considerably in the sample located closer to the NH_3 inlet. The third peak associated with Ta_3N_5 , at *ca.* 22.4 eV (away from the NH_3 inlet) and 22.0 eV (closer to the NH_3 inlet), is generally associated with Ta^{1+} and it was higher for the substrate away from the NH_3 inlet, once again suggesting that Ta–N bonds were being formed. The nitrogen double peaks observed in the sample further away from the NH_3 inlet (binding energies of 404.61 eV and 399.41 eV) were converted into a single peak in the sample closer to the NH_3 inlet (399.21 eV), corroborating the Ta–N bonding formation. Based on previous studies, it was concluded that the N 1s binding energy of *ca.* 404 eV was related to the chemisorption of N or NO_x bonds formed in the film.⁴⁸ Alternatively, the N 1s binding energy of *ca.* 399 eV was associated with the incorporation of N in the interstices of the film structure, forming oxynitrides that were bonded to Ta and Ti; see Fig. 5c.^{48,49} Nitrogen is characterized by having a lower electronegativity than oxygen,⁵⁰ so its incorporation into the structure modified the covalent ionic bonds and reduced the bandgap of TTO, thereby favouring charge transport.^{29,51–53} The reduction of the TTO bandgap brought it closer to the characteristic bandgap of the FTO, which could also justify the worst power efficiencies achieved by it affecting the substrate properties as well as the photoelectrochemical response (as shown in Fig. 4). This phenomenon did not stand out in the samples placed further away from the NH_3 inlet. The properties of the FTO glass substrate were influenced by the annealing temperature, especially during the nitrification step.¹⁸ This means that, besides the TTO underlayer, the quality of the FTO film was also slightly compromised by the thermal treatment, wherein the charge-transfer resistance increased; actually, at 475 °C, there was a slight onset delay of the J – E curve under light illumination compared to the case at lower annealing temperatures. For higher annealing temperatures; see Fig. 3a, the onset of the J – E curve under light conditions was shifted to anodic potentials, compromising the fill factor and the power density of the photoelectrode samples annealed at 525 °C. This unequivocally demonstrates that the substrate became more resistive when subjected to the mechanical stress caused by electron movement. It was also possible to observe that the $\text{TTO}|\text{Ta}_3\text{N}_5$ interface and the TTO crystalline structure were both crucial to the performance of the photoelectrodes. When the photoelectrode absorbed photons and electron–hole pairs were generated, the TTO underlayer was subjected to mechanical stress triggered by the movement of electrons.

Fig. 6 shows the XRD spectra obtained for the as-prepared Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes. Ta_3N_5 is known to crystallize in an orthorhombic structure, characteristic of tantalum in the Ta^{5+} oxidation state, an oxidation state corresponding to the Ta present in Ta_3N_5 .⁵⁴ The orthorhombic structure evidenced the total conversion of Ta_2O_5 to Ta_3N_5 ,⁵⁵ as was observed in all the prepared photoelectrodes, with the intensity of the obtained peaks only varying with the preparation conditions. The characteristics of this phase could be observed with particular emphasis on the (020), (110), (112), (023), (130), (113), and (042) planes with orientations of 17.3°, 24.5°, 30.1°, 31.5°, 35.0°,






Fig. 6 XRD spectra of the best-performing photoelectrodes under the conditions selected, measured over Ta_3N_5 film.

36.0° , and 39.4° , respectively.⁵⁵ The preferential orientation was on the plane (020), which did not present significant differences among the prepared samples. The crystallites' size was determined by Scherrer's equation, and it was found to slightly increase with thicker layers and higher temperature (37.61 nm for the 425-7 sample, 37.72 nm for the 450-5 sample, 42.24 nm for the 450-7 sample, and 42.66 nm for the 475-5 sample). The improved crystallinity should translate into better PEC performances, especially for samples prepared at higher temperatures. However, there were no significant improvements among the best-performing samples, although the samples prepared at lower temperatures presented better power conversion efficiencies. This observation could support the argument that the small differences in the behaviour of the J - E curves plotted in Fig. 4 were not just determined by the characteristics of the Ta_3N_5 film.

To better understand this observation, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted using different Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes prepared under different conditions. The EIS measurements were performed in a three-electrode configuration, under 1 sun simulated illumination conditions. The Nyquist plots and the electrical circuit analogue used to fit the impedance data obtained are shown in Fig. 7b. The equivalent circuit proposed consisted of a series resistance (R_{series}) and two resistor-capacitor (RC) elements in parallel, assigned to the bulk processes, namely the bulk capacitance, C_{bulk} , in parallel with its respective resistance, R_{bulk} , and to the charge-transfer resistance at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, $R_{\text{CT,int}}$, together with its capacitance, C_{int} . The fast electronic processes, occurring in the high-frequency range (100 Hz to 1 kHz), were assigned to the events occurring in the semiconductor bulk, since its electronic processes are generally faster than the charge-transfer processes or diffusion of ions in solution.

The inset of Fig. 7a shows there were clear differences in the initial R_{series} values (high frequencies), with the values being three times higher for the samples prepared at 475 °C compared




Fig. 7 (a) Nyquist plots obtained under 1 sun simulated illumination and when applying a potential of 1.1 V_{RHE} for the Ta_3N_5 samples prepared under different conditions: 425 °C, 7 min (425-7); 450 °C, 7 min (450-7); 450 °C, 5 min (450-5); and 475 °C, 5 min (475-5), using 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH as the electrolyte solution; (b) electrical circuit analogue used to fit the impedance data under light conditions (based on ref. 36).

to the samples annealed at 425 °C. Since R_{series} was related to the FTO|TTO layers' resistance, ionic conductivity, and electric contacts,⁵⁶ this observation suggests that higher annealing temperatures under an ammonia atmosphere were detrimental to the conduction properties of the substrate, thus increasing the ohmic contact resistance between the semiconductor and FTO layers, as previously mentioned. A different trend was observed for the overall resistance ($R_{\text{total}} = R_{\text{series}} + R_{\text{bulk}} + R_{\text{CT,int}}$), and the charge-transfer resistance of the PEC system (R_{bulk}); see Fig. 8a and b, respectively. The sample 425-7 presented the smallest R_{total} values at all the potentials studied, as was also evident for the lower potentials (until 1.0 V_{RHE}), suggesting that the charge carriers generated in the bulk were more efficiently collected, both by the conductive FTO|TTO substrate




Fig. 8 Impedance parameters obtained from fitting the EIS data under illumination for the best-performing Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes [425 °C, 7 min (425-7); 450 °C, 7 min (450-7); 450 °C, 5 min (450-5); and 475 °C, 5 min (475-5)], using 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH as the electrolyte solution as a function of the applied potential: (a) total resistance, R_{total} ; (b) bulk resistance, R_{bulk} ; (c) charge-transfer resistance at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, $R_{\text{CT,int}}$; (d) charge-transfer capacitance at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, C_{int} .



(electrons) and as transported by the semiconductor/electrolyte interface (holes). A large charge accumulation at low potentials (until $0.80 V_{\text{RHE}}$) – characterized by high C_{bulk} values – was observed, especially for samples 475-5, 450-7 and 450-5; see Fig. S7.† Conversely, the capacitance related to the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, C_{int} , displayed a different behaviour, showing a maximum peak closer to $0.70 V_{\text{RHE}}$ for the 425-7, 450-7 and 450-5 samples but closer to $0.80 V_{\text{RHE}}$ for sample 475-5, which was coincident with the minimum R_{bulk} and $R_{\text{CT,int}}$; see Fig. 8 b–d. This indicates that, at these potentials, the accumulated charges tended to be transferred from the semiconductor interface to the electrolyte.

Assuming the model proposed by Peter *et al.*,⁵⁷ a relationship could be established between the bulk electron-trapping rate constant (k_{bulk}), electron density (n), charge transfer (k_{CT}), electron–hole recombination (k_{rec}) with the resistance parameters determined by EIS (R_{bulk} and $R_{\text{CT,int}}$), and the probability of electron-trap occupation at a given trap energy and applied potential E , ($\gamma(E)$) as defined by eqn (5):

$$\frac{R_{\text{bulk}}}{R_{\text{CT,int}}} = \frac{k_{\text{CT}}}{(nk_{\text{bulk}} + k_{\text{rec}})} = \gamma(E) \frac{k_{\text{CT}}}{k_{\text{rec}}} \quad (5)$$

Fig. 9a and b present plots of the parameters k_{CT} and k_{rec} as a function of the applied potential, respectively. The shape of the k_{CT} plot was similar to that of C_{int} , *i.e.* the maximum peaks were observed at the same potentials for all the studied samples. Alternatively, the smaller peaks for k_{rec} were coincident with the potentials when the k_{CT} values were the highest; see Fig. 9b; this corresponded to the onset potential in the $J-E$ curve when charge transfer started from the semiconductor to the electrolyte. In general, samples 450-7 and 450-5 performed worst in terms of charge-accumulation and -transfer at the interface, showing higher rate constants compared to samples 425-7 and 475-5. However, at $0.60 V_{\text{RHE}}$, the k_{rec} and k_{CT} values showed the same order (*ca.* 1 s^{-1}) for the samples 450-7 and 475-5, which confirmed the competition between the charge-transfer and -recombination phenomena. For the best-performing Ta_3N_5 photoelectrode (the sample 425-7), k_{CT} was almost three orders of magnitude higher than k_{rec} at this lowest applied potential. It was only at high potentials that the sample 475-5 seemed to be almost indistinguishable from the sample 425-7, as was also evident from the $J-E$ curves.




Fig. 9 Variation of the rate constants with the applied potentials for (a) charge transfer, and (b) electron–hole recombination, for the Ta_3N_5 photoelectrodes prepared at 425 °C, 7 min (425-7); 450 °C, 7 min (450-7); 450 °C, 5 min (450-5); and 475 °C, 5 min (475-5), using 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH as the electrolyte solution.

Proof-of-concept in a lab-scale SRFC using a PEC-PV arrangement

A proof-of-concept SRFC was demonstrated based on the best-performing Ta_3N_5 photoanode, covered with a commercial ferric passivation overlayer combined with a Co_3O_4 co-catalyst. These overlayers acted as protective barriers, preventing oxidation and degradation of the photoanode during operation. The UniFlow cell, designed at UPorto⁵⁸ and displaying a nearly-zero-gap back-to-back configuration, was assembled using the optimized Ta_3N_5 as the photoanode immersed in 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH solution, and carbon felt as a counter electrode in contact with 0.05 M 2,7-AQDS in 1 M KOH. Both compartments of the cell were separated by a Nafion 117 membrane, which acted as a proton exchange membrane, allowing selective ion transport while preventing cross-contamination of the electrolytes. The ferrocyanide-2,7-AQDS redox species provided a standard cell potential of *ca.* 0.74 V, as reported elsewhere.⁵⁹ However, an additional bias potential was required to photo-charge these redox pairs, since the photopotential of the best-performing Ta_3N_5 sample was only *ca.* 0.60 V. A PEC-PV arrangement⁶⁰ was then assembled, pairing a high-potential perovskite solar cell (PSC) in series with the Ta_3N_5 photoanode; for which a final photopotential of *ca.* 1.22 V was obtained. Due to the design of the UniFlow SRFC device and the use of coloured electrolyte solutions, a tandem approach was not feasible. Instead, the photoelectrode and the PSC were positioned side by side, as illustrated in Fig. 10a. The $J-E$ curves of the individual photoelectrode and the PSC, as well as the PEC-PV configuration, are presented in the ESI (Fig. S8†).

A stability test was conducted for the Ta_3N_5 -based SRFC by applying an unbiased constant potential of 0 V for over 100 h. Fig. 10b demonstrates the system's stability during the initial period of 8 h, corresponding to a stable photocurrent density of *ca.* 1.73 mA cm^{-2} (photocurrent-density loss of <10%). The average photocurrent density recorded over the entire period was *ca.* 0.56 mA cm^{-2} , which, while not yet suitable for industrial applications, surpasses the stabilities previously reported




Fig. 10 Proof-of-concept of a lab-scale SRFC: (a) scheme of the SRFC with the Ta_3N_5 photoelectrode in a backside illumination configuration connected to a PSC, immersed in 0.1 M $\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ in 1 M KOH and using carbon felt as a counter electrode in contact with 0.05 M 2,7-AQDS in 1 M KOH, with both compartments of the cell separated by a Nafion 117 membrane; (b) stability period of the Ta_3N_5 photoelectrode under 1 sun simulated illumination and applying 0 V.



for this type of photoelectrode. The different effect of the protective layers seemed to disappear after the aging test, although the Ta₃N₅ film became more compact, as could be seen by the SEM images obtained before and after the stability test; see Fig. S9.†

Conclusions

Semi-transparent Ta₃N₅ photoelectrodes were prepared over an FTO glass substrate coated with a thin underlayer of TTO. The synthesis conditions, namely the duration of the electrophoretic deposition and the annealing temperature, were systematically optimized. The synthesis conditions for the best-performing photoelectrode (sample 425-7) were 30 nm thick TTO underlayer, 7 min of Ta₃N₅ electrophoretic deposition, and an annealing temperature of 425 °C. Here, a maximum photocurrent density of *ca.* 4.0 mA cm⁻² was obtained at 1.3 V_{RHE}. This sample also demonstrated a maximum power density of *ca.* 1.1 mW cm⁻² at *ca.* 1.0 V_{RHE}, which was 21% higher than that obtained for the sample 450-7 (annealed at 450 °C). A new figure-of-merit was proposed, *i.e.*, the power density curves, which balances the photopotential and photocurrent density in the same parameter. The obtained performance was assigned to the reduction of the charge-transfer resistance and recombination rate constant, as observed by the EIS analysis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the best Ta₃N₅ photoelectrode prepared without the addition of dopants or co-catalysts.

The study also allowed greater understanding of the role of the TTO underlayer and concluded that the annealing step directly influences the substrate properties, even at temperatures as low as 450 °C, particularly reducing the photopotential window. These modifications were assigned to reducing the effect of the ammonia atmosphere, as well as the position of the samples in the oven, namely closer or further away from the NH₃ feed stream, as revealed by the XPS and XRD analyses. When increasing the annealing temperature, an onset potential shift of the *J-E* curve under light for more cathodic potentials and a decrease in the fill factor were observed. The series resistance of the 475-5 photoelectrode (prepared by 5 min of Ta₃N₅ EPD and annealing at 475 °C) was the highest obtained.

Unbiased photocharging of a ferrocyanide/AQDS in a lab-scale SRFC was demonstrated using a PEC-PV arrangement, based on the optimized Ta₃N₅ photoelectrode and a perovskite solar cell in series. The performance of this system was evaluated over 100 h, and an average photocurrent of *ca.* 0.56 mA cm⁻² was measured when applying 0 V.

Data availability

The data related to the article cannot be made available due to legal or ethical confidentiality requirements.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.

Acknowledgements

Filipe Moisés M. Francisco and Paula Dias are grateful to FCT for the funding (references: SFRH/BD/146338/2019 and CEECIND/02862/2018, respectively). This work is financially supported by national funds through the FCT/MCTES (PID-DAC), under the project ASAPFuels - PTDC/EQU-EQU/4225/2021 (DOI: 10.54499/PTDC/EQU-EQU/4225/2021), and by LEPABE, UIDB/00511/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/UIDB/00511/2020) and UIDP/00511/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/UIDP/00511/2020) and ALiCE, LA/P/0045/2020 (DOI: 10.54499/LA/P/0045/2020). The authors also are very thankful to Ana Mafalda Pereira for the determination of TiO₂ and TTO work function parameters using the Kelvin probe; Jeffrey Capitão and Dzmitry Ivanou for the fruitful discussions about challenging depositions of TTO by ALD; Marta Pereira for providing the perovskite solar cell; and André Gonçalves for all the support with the nitrification setup.

Notes and references

- 1 Y. He, Z. Deng, J. Chen, W. Li, J. Zhou, F. Xiang and X. Hu, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2024, **98**, 1–11.
- 2 ClimateWatchData, *Historical GHG Emissions*, https://www.climatewatchdata.org/ghg-emissions?breakBy=sector&chartType=percentage&end_year=2018&start_year=1990, accessed 31 August 2024.
- 3 T. da Silva Lopes, P. Dias, R. Monteiro, A. Vilanova, D. Ivanou and A. Mendes, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2021, **12**, 2102893.
- 4 L. Andrade, T. Lopes, H. A. Ribeiro and A. Mendes, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2011, **36**, 175–188.
- 5 A. Z. Weber, M. M. Mench, J. P. Meyers, P. N. Ross, J. T. Gostick and Q. Liu, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2011, **41**, 1137–1164.
- 6 Y. Sun, Z. Li, B. Sun, Y. Mao, B. Huang and H. Cheng, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2024, **8**, 1300–1333.
- 7 F. Francisco, P. Dias, D. Ivanou, F. Santos, J. Azevedo and A. Mendes, *ChemNanoMat*, 2019, **5**, 911–920.
- 8 Y. He, R. Chen, W. Fa, B. Zhang and D. Wang, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **151**, 130902.
- 9 J. Liu, W. Luo, K. Zhu, X. Wen, F. Xiu, J. Yuan, Z. Zou and W. Huang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 30650–30656.
- 10 A. Vilanova, P. Dias, T. Lopes and A. Mendes, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2024, **53**, 2388–2434.
- 11 G. Liu, S. Ye, P. Yan, F. Xiong, P. Fu, Z. Wang, Z. Chen, J. Shi and C. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 1327–1334.
- 12 Q. Cheng, W. Fan, Y. He, P. Ma, S. Vanka, S. Fan, Z. Mi and D. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700312.
- 13 M. Higashi, K. Domen and R. Abe, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4138–4147.
- 14 L. Pei, Z. Xu, Z. Shi, H. Zhu, S. Yan and Z. Zou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 20439–20447.
- 15 M. Liao, J. Feng, W. Luo, Z. Wang, J. Zhang, Z. Li, T. Yu and Z. Zou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3066–3074.
- 16 Z. Wang, Y. Qi, C. Ding, D. Fan, G. Liu, Y. Zhao and C. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4391–4399.
- 17 H. Hajibabaei, D. J. Little, A. Pandey, D. Wang, Z. Mi and T. W. Hamann, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 15457–15466.



- 18 H. Hajibabaei, O. Zandi and T. W. Hamann, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 6760–6767.
- 19 W. Lei, Y. Yu, H. Zhang, Q. Jia and S. Zhang, *Mater. Today*, 2022, **52**, 133–160.
- 20 M. Li, W. Luo, L. Yang, X. Zhao and Z. Zou, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2016, **69**, 631–638.
- 21 L. Bi, X. Liang, W. Zhang, Z. Wu, J. Zhan, T. Xie, L. Zhang, W. Xu, J. Jiang and M. Wu, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2024, **683**, 133103.
- 22 Y. Sim, J. John, S. Surendran, B. Moon and U. Sim, *Appl. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 16.
- 23 H.-H. Wang, Q. Chen, H. Zhou, L. Song, Z. S. Louis, N. D. Marco, Y. Fang, P. Sun, T.-B. Song, H. Chen and Y. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 9108–9115.
- 24 J. Chung, S. S. Shin, K. Hwang, G. Kim, K. W. Kim, D. S. Lee, W. Kim, B. S. Ma, Y.-K. Kim, T.-S. Kim and J. Seo, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2020, **13**, 4854–4861.
- 25 D. Eisenberg, H. S. Ahn and A. J. Bard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14011–14014.
- 26 H. Mo, D. Wang, Q. Chen, W. Guo, S. Maniyarasu, A. G. Thomas, R. J. Curry, L. Li and Z. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 15141–15153.
- 27 J. Li, J. Bai, X. Niu, X. Li, S. Chen, J. Wang and B. Zhou, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2018, **43**, 18202–18210.
- 28 M. Rudolph, I. Vickridge, E. Foy, J. Alvarez, J. P. Kleider, D. Stanescu, H. Magnan, N. Herlin-Boime, B. Bouchet-Fabre, T. Minea and M. C. Hugon, *Thin Solid Films*, 2019, **685**, 204–209.
- 29 M. S. Yu, S. C. Jesudass, S. Surendran, J. Y. Kim, U. Sim and M. K. Han, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 31889–31899.
- 30 A. F. T. Gonçalves, F. S. M. Sinfrônio, A. S. de Menezes and A. Mendes, *Mater. Today Commun.*, 2022, **32**, 104122.
- 31 G. Liu, J. Shi, F. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Han, C. Ding, S. Chen, Z. Wang, H. Han and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7295–7299.
- 32 G. Beamson and D. R. Briggs, In *High Resolution XPS of Organic Polymers: The Scienta ESCA300 Database*, Wiley, 1992.
- 33 C. Mahata, H. Algadi, M. Ismail, D. Kwon and S. Kim, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **95**, 203–212.
- 34 J. F. Moulder, J. Chastain and R. C. King, In *Handbook of X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy: A Reference Book of Standard Spectra for Identification and Interpretation of XPS Data*, Physical Electronics Division, Perkin-Elmer Corp, Eden Prairie, Minn, 1995.
- 35 V. D. Mote, Y. Purushotham and B. N. Dole, *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics*, 2012, **6**, 6.
- 36 P. Dias, L. Andrade and A. Mendes, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **38**, 218–231.
- 37 A. S. Wochnik, M. Handloser, D. Durach, A. Hartschuh and C. Scheu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 5696–5699.
- 38 M. J. Alam and D. C. Cameron, *J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol.*, 2002, **25**, 137–145.
- 39 C.-H. Lu and W.-H. Wu, *Mater. Sci. Eng., B*, 2004, **113**, 42–45.
- 40 M. Cesaria, L. Scrimieri, A. Torrisi, G. Quarta, A. Serra, D. Manno, A. P. Caricato, M. Martino, L. Calcagnile and L. Velardi, *Vacuum*, 2022, **202**, 111150.
- 41 H. Dotan, N. Mathews, T. Hisatomi, M. Gratzel and A. Rothschild, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **5**, 3330–3334.
- 42 B. Bharti, S. Kumar, H. N. Lee and R. Kumar, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 32355.
- 43 R. S. Devan, V. P. Thakare, V. V. Antad, P. R. Chikate, R. T. Khare, M. A. More, R. S. Dhayal, S. I. Patil, Y. R. Ma and L. Schmidt-Mende, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 2925–2934.
- 44 W. D. Song, J. F. Ying, W. He, V. Y. Q. Zhuo, R. Ji, H. Q. Xie, S. K. Ng, S. L. G. Ng and Y. Jiang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **106**, 031602.
- 45 Y. Wang, Y. Yan, C. Wang, Y. Chen, J. Li, J. Zhao and C. S. Hwang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2018, **113**, 072902.
- 46 A. K. Chakraborty, S. Ganguli and M. A. Sabur, *J. Water Proc. Eng.*, 2023, **55**, 104183.
- 47 Y. Chen, Y. Yan, J. Wu, C. Wang, J. Y. Lin, J. S. Zhao and C. S. Hwang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 10681–10688.
- 48 M. Pisarek, M. Krawczyk, M. Holdynski and W. Lisowski, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 8647–8658.
- 49 S. Liu, J. Yu and W. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 12308–12315.
- 50 P. Hess, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2021, **6**, 856–892.
- 51 R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, T. Ohwaki, K. Aoki and Y. Taga, *Science*, 2001, **293**, 269–271.
- 52 R. Beranek, B. Neumann, S. Sakthivel, M. Janczarek, T. Dittrich, H. Tributsch and H. Kisch, *Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **339**, 11–19.
- 53 D. Pjević, T. Savić, S. Petrović, D. Peruško, M. Čomor and J. Kovač, *ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **10**, 053002.
- 54 E. Nurlaela, M. Harb, S. del Gobbo, M. Vashishta and K. Takanabe, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2015, **229**, 219–227.
- 55 J. Eichhorn, S. P. Lechner, C. M. Jiang, G. Folchi Heunecke, F. Munnik and I. D. Sharp, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 20653–20663.
- 56 T. Lopes, L. Andrade, F. Le Formal, M. Gratzel, K. Sivula and A. Mendes, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 16515–16523.
- 57 K. G. Upul Wijayantha, S. Saremi-Yarahmadi and L. M. Peter, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 5264–5270.
- 58 T. da Silva Lopes, P. Dias and A. Mendes, *10th Solar Fuels Network Symposium*, Newcastle, United Kingdom (Oral Communication), personal communication, 2022.
- 59 K. Wedege, J. Azevedo, A. Khataee, A. Bentien and A. Mendes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 7142–7147.
- 60 H. He, Q. Zhang, Z. Wang, S. Pan, Y. Zhao and X. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2024, **14**, 2303713.

