



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, **16**, 11637

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 30th April 2025
Accepted 26th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc03150h
rsc.li/chemical-science

Synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical cyclic diborenes *via* NHC-directed C–H borylation†

Cornelius Mihm,^{ab} Sourav Kar, ^{ab} Andreas Sachs,^{ab} Dario Duwe,^{ab} Rian D. Dewhurst^{ab} and Holger Braunschweig ^{ab}

Cyclic diborenes are recognized for their diverse reactivity and properties arising partly due to their ring strain. However, progress in this field has been relatively slow due to the unavailability of suitable synthons for their synthesis. In this study, we describe the synthesis of both symmetrical and unsymmetrical cyclic diborenes using an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) as a chelating agent. The synthesis involves various haloboranes and diboranes that induce spontaneous and reductive C–H borylation of an aryl substituent of the NHC. Interestingly, the 1,1-(geminal) chelated isomer of the symmetrical diborane undergoes isomerization to form its 1,2-(vicinal) chelated isomer. Furthermore, the 1,1-(geminal) isomer exhibits a dihedral angle of 44°, making it the most twisted example of all established diborenes. Furthermore, the unsymmetrical cyclic diborene showed a polar B=B double bond.

Introduction

Since the remarkable discovery of a neutral diborene by Robinson and co-workers in 2007,¹ the chemistry of Lewis-base-stabilized diborenes has greatly expanded owing to their extensive reactivity and interesting properties.^{2–10} In this regard, the introduction of chelating ligands to diborenes has led to the isolation of various cyclic diborene species (**I**–**VII**; Fig. 1).^{7,11–15}

These cyclic frameworks showed diverse reactivities owing to ring strain and/or their relatively sterically unencumbered environment.^{7,12–18} They can be classified into two groups, namely symmetrical (Fig. 1A) and unsymmetrical (Fig. 1B) cyclic diborene species. The latter offers polarized B=B double bonds, which opens more reactivity opportunities. For example, unsymmetrical diborene **V** takes part in catenation and hydrophosphination,^{18,19} **VI** acts as a highly efficient catalyst in hydroboration,⁷ and **VII** undergoes hydroboration, reaction with P₄, and terminal alkyne activation.¹⁴ Interestingly, the unsymmetrical cyclic diborene **VII** was synthesized by reducing a cyclic diborane, which is a product of C–H borylation.¹⁴

The synthesis and chemistry of borylated organic species have been considerably advanced during the last few decades owing to the significance of the C–B bond in the functionalization of organic molecules.^{20–28} Although transition-metal-catalyzed borylation and diboration have emerged as promising synthetic routes, the environmental impact of the transition metals and the complexity associated with their removal have caused concern.^{21–28} Consequently, metal-free alternatives to catalytic borylation and diboration processes have been intensely investigated.^{29–41} Highly reactive boron reagents such as tetrachlorodiborane(4) and BH₃ have been found to functionalize the double bond of alkenes in the absence of catalyst.^{42,43} Recent studies revealed that the introduction of Lewis bases such as amines, phosphines, or N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC) activates boranes or diboranes for intra- or intermolecular borylation.^{29,31,32,35,41} Especially the intramolecular borylation of suitable Lewis base adducts of polyhalo-substituted boranes or diboranes may lead to the formation of chelated boranes or diboranes with labile halides, thus providing further reducing opportunities to form diborene species. For example,




Fig. 1 Examples of various symmetrical (A) and unsymmetrical (B) diborenes. Mes = mesityl, $\text{IiPr} = 1,3\text{-bis}(\text{diisopropyl})\text{imidazol-2-ylidene}$; Dipp = $2,6\text{-iPr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$; $\text{IMe}^{\text{Me}} = [\text{C}(\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2)]_2$; CAAC = $1\text{-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-3,3,5,5-tetramethylpyrrolidin-2-ylidene}$.

^aInstitute for Inorganic Chemistry, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany. E-mail: h.braunschweig@uni-wuerzburg.de

^bInstitute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic, spectroscopic, X-ray crystallographic and computational details. CCDC 2447646–2447651. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc03150h>



diborene **VII** was synthesized from a chelated diborane with labile bromides, which is the product of intramolecular C–H borylation of a CAAC-substituted tribromodiborane.¹⁴ In this work, we present the synthesis of cyclic dibromodiborane and diiodoborane species using an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) *via* spontaneous C–H borylation, which further underwent reduction to give symmetrical cyclic diborene. We also present the synthesis of an unsymmetrical cyclic diborene species utilizing a reductive C–H borylation process.

Results and discussion

In order to isolate diborene species utilizing the C–H borylation process, we have employed 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-3-(2-methylphenyl)-imidazolin-2-ylidene, [SIDippTol] (**1**) having both a carbene carbon center and an electrophilic sp^2 C–H unit at the *ortho* position of the tolyl residue, in combination with various borane/diboranes. The room-temperature reaction of bis(dimethylsulfide)tetrabromodiborane, $[B_2Br_4(SMe_2)_2]$,⁴⁴ with four equivalents of **1** led to the formation of diborane **2** (Scheme 1). The single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) analysis of **2** revealed the presence of two derivatized [SIDippTol] units symmetrically chelating the diboron unit and two terminal bromides in a staggered conformation (Fig. 2). The [SIDippTol] units were found to chelate the B_2 unit in 1,2-(vicinal) fashion, forming six-membered rings on either side of the B_2 unit. A single ^{11}B NMR spectroscopic signal was noted for **2** at $\delta = -7.8$ ppm and a highly symmetrical 1H NMR spectrum confirmed the symmetrical chelation of the derivatized [SIDippTol] units. The B–B bond distance of **2** (1.784(4) Å) is longer than that of diborane **1** (1.715(4) Å),⁴⁴ but is comparable with that of the related chelated diborane $[BH(hpp)]_2$ ⁴⁵ (1.772(3) Å) ($hpp = 1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-2H-pyrimidol[1,2-*a*]pyrimidinate) of Himmel and coworkers. Although the B–C_{Tol} bonds (B_1 –C₂: 1.612(4) Å, B_2 –C₄: 1.618(4) Å) are slightly longer than the B–C_{Carbene} bonds (B_1 –C₁: 1.579(4) Å, B_2 –C₃: 1.584(4) Å), both are in the typical range of B–C single bonds. The$





Fig. 2 Crystallographically-determined molecular structures of **2**, **4**, **5** and **6** (ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level). All hydrogen atoms and ellipsoids of ligand periphery are omitted for clarity.

formation of **2** is clearly the result of C–H borylation and presumably proceeds *via* the substitution of two SMe_2 groups by two [SIDippTol] units. The observed product suggests that *ortho*-CH unit of the tolyl residue of the bis-[SIDippTol]-diborane adduct undergoes spontaneous C–H borylation with HBr elimination, promoted by the Brønsted basicity of the carbene SIDippTol. A similar reaction mechanism was observed during the synthesis of *ItBu*-chelated dibromoborane (*ItBu* = 1,3-di-*tert*-butylimidazol-2-ylidene).⁴⁶

The presence of two terminal bromides and two neutral carbene donors in diborane **2** suggested that it could be reduced to a diborene under reducing conditions. Accordingly, room-temperature reaction of diborane **2** with 2.1 equivalents of KC_8 in benzene and THF mixture afforded a red solid **3** in 65% yield (Scheme 1). The 1H and ^{13}C NMR signal patterns of compound **3** are almost identical to those of diborane **2**. However, the ^{11}B NMR spectrum of **3** shows a broad chemical shift at $\delta = 31.7$ ppm, significantly downfield of that of diborane **2**. These NMR spectroscopic data suggested the elimination of two bromides and the formation of symmetrical diborene, while keeping the rest of the framework the same as diborane **2**. Also, the ^{11}B NMR spectroscopic signal of **3** is comparable with that of reported symmetrical cyclic diborenes **II** ($\delta_{11B} = 29.3$ ppm)¹¹ and **III** ($\delta_{11B} = 28.4$ ppm),¹² further supporting the identity of **3** as a diborene. After multiple attempts, we obtained a few crystals of **3** that upon SCXRD analysis confirmed the formation of symmetrical bicyclic diborene, where the [SIDippTol] groups chelate the B_2 unit in 1,2-(vicinal) fashion on both sides of the B=B bond (Fig. S27†). However, due to the poor data quality, the structural parameters of **3** cannot be discussed in detail.

In order to gain some insight into the structure and bonding of **3**, we optimized its structure using the density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the ω B97X-D/Def2-SVP level of



Scheme 1 Synthesis of symmetrical cyclic diborene species **3** and **5** involving NHC-directed spontaneous C–H borylation steps.



theory. The B–B bond in optimized **3** (1.611 Å) is slightly longer than those of reported symmetrical cyclic diborenes **I** (1.583(2) Å),¹¹ **II** (1.593(2) Å),¹¹ **III** (1.602(2) Å)¹² and **IV** (1.585(3) Å).¹³ Further, the calculated Wiberg bond index (WBI) and Mayer bond order (MBO) for the B–B bond in **3**, both 1.19, support the double bonding character. Also, the calculated ¹¹B NMR chemical shift of **3** ($\delta = 29.3$ ppm) is in line with that of the experimental one ($\delta = 31.7$ ppm). Further, the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of **3** corresponds to the B=B π -bonding orbital with some delocalization to the formally empty p orbital of the carbene carbon atoms (Fig. 3), which is also supported by NBO analysis (Fig. S33†). The LUMO mainly depicts the π -type orbitals between boron and carbene carbon atoms.

In order to determine which absorptions are responsible for the intense red color of diborene **3**, we recorded its UV-vis absorption spectrum, revealing three discrete absorptions at $\lambda = 516$, 416, and 359 nm (Fig. S21†). Time-dependent (TD) DFT calculations (Table S1 and Fig. S39†) were then used to identify these bands. The absorption band at $\lambda = 516$ nm corresponds to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO transition, which is mainly the excitation of π_{B-B} electrons to the $\pi_{B-Carbene}$ orbitals. The absorption bands at $\lambda = 416$ and 359 nm mainly correspond to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+ n ($n = 1$ and 3) and HOMO-1 \rightarrow LUMO transitions, respectively (Fig. S32†).

In an endeavor to prepare the 1,1-(geminal) chelated isomer of diborene **3**, we selected a route *via* the coupling of a probable 1,1-[SIDippTol]-chelated borylene, which may be generated from the corresponding 1,1-[SIDippTol]-chelated dihaloborane. Thereby, we treated boron triiodide with two equivalents of SIDippTol at room temperature in the absence of light (Scheme 1). Instantly, the reaction mixture showed a very high-field ¹¹B NMR resonance at $\delta = -74.7$ ppm, comparable with the chemical shifts of Bi_3 adducts of NMe_3 ($\delta_{11B} = -54.2$ ppm)⁴⁷ and PCy_3 ($\delta_{11B} = -74.1$ ppm),⁴⁸ which suggests the formation of an adduct between Bi_3 and SIDippTol (Scheme S1†). However, with

time, this ¹¹B chemical shift slowly disappeared, and simultaneously, the ¹¹B signal at $\delta = -36.6$ ppm became dominant. After 16 hours, a complete conversion to the latter ¹¹B chemical shift was observed, which was isolated as a colorless solid **4** in 53% yield (Scheme 1). A SCXRD analysis of **4** revealed compound **4** as the 1,1-SIDippTol-chelated diiodoborane, where the boron atom is embedded in a five-membered ring spanned by the SIDippTol unit (Fig. 2). The B–C_{Carbene} (1.615(6) Å) and B–C_{Tol} (1.594(5) Å) bond lengths are comparable with that of diborane **2** and fall in the range of single B–C bonds. The formation of geminally-chelated diiodoborane **4** is clearly the result of a spontaneous C–H borylation reaction, involving a Bi_3 –SIDippTol adduct intermediate (Scheme S1†).

We then treated 1,1-SIDippTol-chelated diiodoborane **4** with two equivalents of KC_8 and excess dimethyl sulfide in benzene. After 16 hours, the ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the green reaction mixture revealed the formation of compound **5** with a broad resonance at $\delta = 20.3$ ppm (Scheme 1), comparable to that of geminal-phosphine-chelated diborene **IV** ($\delta_{11B} = 22.8$ ppm).¹³ However, leaving **5** to sit in any common solvent leads to a color change from green to deep red, along with a new ¹¹B NMR chemical shift at $\delta = 31.7$ ppm (complete conversion needs 3 days at rt or 10 days at -30 °C), which corresponds to the 1,2-SIDippTol-chelated diborene **3**. These observations suggest the identity of **5** as the 1,1-(geminal) isomer of diborene **3**. As the conversion of **5** to **3** initiates within a short time, attempts to characterize the former by NMR spectroscopy were complicated by the presence of signals of the latter. However, a few single crystals of **5** were obtained by rapid crystallization in diethyl ether at -30 °C.

SCXRD analysis confirmed compound **5** as the 1,1-(geminal) isomer of diborene **3**, in which each SIDippTol unit formed a five-membered ring through chelation with each boron center (Fig. 2). The B–B bond length of 1.640(3) Å in **5** falls in the longer range of reported B=B double bonds (1.524(6)–1.679(9) Å).^{6,49,50} Further, the WBI and MBO of 1.29 and 1.25, respectively, for the B–B bond in **5** suggest its double bond character. Interestingly, the diborene unit in **5** is twisted (dihedral angle = 44°, Fig. 4), probably due to the steric overloading of the ligand periphery with both Dipp substituents. Notably, compound **5** is the most twisted diborene of all known diborenes by some margin. The only other example of a twisted diborene is **VIII**, having a dihedral angle of 18.1° (Fig. 4).⁴⁹ Note that recently, we reported twisted congeners of diborene, in which the B–B π bond was completely disrupted and the species were biradicals (Fig. 4).⁵¹ The twisting around the diborene unit and the ring tension originating from the five-membered ring in geminal isomer **5**




Fig. 3 Selected frontier molecular orbitals of diborenes **3**, **5** and **7** and their HOMO–LUMO energy gaps. All hydrogen atoms and ellipsoids of ligand periphery are omitted for clarity.




Fig. 4 Dihedral angles (θ) between two Ar/R–B–carbene planes of selected diborenes and related diradical species.



are the likely causes of its isomerization to vicinal isomer **3**. The higher thermodynamic stability of the vicinal isomer **3** is also reflected by the fact that it is 8.74 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than the geminal isomer **5** (Gibbs free energies calculated at 298 K). This geminal-to-vicinal isomerization of diborene is the second example of its type, earlier observed only for phosphine-stabilized diborene **IV**.¹³ Despite the conspicuous twist in its geometry, the HOMO of geminal diborene **5** reflects π bonding between the two boron centers, with a partial delocalization across the spanned π -system like its vicinal isomer **3** (Fig. 3). However, the HOMO of geminal diborene **5** is less stable than that of its vicinal isomer **3** and the HOMO–LUMO gap of vicinal diborene **3** (6.09 eV) is higher than that of geminal diborene **5** (5.01 eV). This indicates a more reactive nature of the geminal isomer, which is in accord with the rapid isomerization to the vicinal isomer.


On the other hand, the presence of two iodides in cyclic diiodoborane **4** suggests that two-electron reduction of **4** in the presence of another appropriate Lewis base under suitable conditions may lead to the formation of another interesting class of compounds, *i.e.*, a doubly base-stabilized borylene. Thus, we employed another Lewis base, namely IiPr (IiPr = 1,3-bis(diisopropyl)imidazol-2-ylidene). Indeed, the room-temperature reaction of diiodoborane **4** with 1.1 equivalents of IiPr, followed by the addition of two equivalents of KC₈, led to the formation of doubly Lewis-base-stabilized borylene **6** in 71% yield (Scheme 1). The SCXRD analysis of **6** showed a doubly Lewis base-stabilized cyclic borylene, in which the SIDippTol unit chelates the boron center and the second base IiPr forms a dative bond to the boron atom (Fig. 2). Notably, neutral bis(NHC)-stabilized borylenes of this type remain quite rare in the literature.^{52–54} In 2020, we reported the first example of a doubly NHC-stabilized borylene, $[(\text{IiPr})_2\text{B}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4)\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3]$, marking a significant advancement in the field.⁵² More recently, So and co-workers described a bis(IME^{Me})-disilylamidoborylene capable of capturing and subsequently functionalizing CO₂.⁵³ Additionally, Driess and coworkers reported a bis(borylene)xanthene complex, in which the borylene center is stabilized by two IMe^{Me} ligands.⁵⁴ The C_{carbene}–B bond distance in borylene **6** (C1–B1: 1.491(2) Å) falls within the range of B–C double bond distances, but is longer than that of a reported mixed CAAC/NHC-stabilized borylene (1.458(2) Å), suggesting lower π -acceptor ability of the SIDippTol ligand.⁵⁵ Further, the WBI and MBO of the C(SIDippTol)–B bond (1.40 and 1.51, respectively) in borylene **6** indicate distinct multiple bonding. The NBO analysis also shows a π bond between the carbene carbon of SIDippTol and the boron atom (Fig. S36†). In contrast, the IiPr ligand acts more as a pure σ donor (C(IiPr)–B: 1.552(2) Å), which is also reflected in NBO analysis (Fig. S36†). Also, the WBI (1.06) and MBO (1.10) for the C(IiPr)–B support the σ bonding interaction. Furthermore, the NICS(1)_{zz} and NICS(–1)_{zz} values of –13.0 and –11.5, respectively, indicate the presence of π aromaticity in the five-membered BNC₃ ring of **6**. However, this π aromaticity is notably weaker than that of benzene, which exhibits significantly more negative NICS(1)_{zz} and NICS(–1)_{zz} values (–29.5 for both).

The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of **6** showed a resonance at δ = –4.5 ppm, which is upfield of that of CAAC-stabilized borylene complexes bearing NHC ($\delta_{11\text{B}}$ = 12.0 ppm)⁵⁵ or pyridine ($\delta_{11\text{B}}$ = 23.3 ppm)⁵⁵ ligands, suggesting a higher electron density at the boron center of **6**. This correlates well with the comparatively weaker π -acceptor strength of the SIDippTol ligand than CAAC, which is also reflected in the solid-state structure.

Further, the UV-vis absorption study of borylene **6** revealed two discrete absorptions at λ = 450 and 371 nm (Fig. S22†). TD-DFT calculations (Table S2 and Fig. S40†) revealed that the absorption band at λ = 450 nm corresponds to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+2 transition, which is mainly the excitation of the π electrons associated with the C(NHC)–B–C(NHC) unit to the antibonding interaction in the N–C–N unit of the IiPr ligand. The other two absorption bands at λ = 371 nm can be assigned to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+4 transition (Fig. S35†).

In an attempt to isolate a diborene in a similar manner to that of diborene **3**, we explored the reaction of carbene **1** with another diborane tetrahalide, namely [B₂Cl₄(SMe₂)₂],⁴⁴ however, no spontaneous C–H borylation was observed. The room-temperature reaction of [B₂Cl₄(SMe₂)₂] with 2.1 equivalents of SIDippTol in a benzene/THF mixture for 5 h, followed by a reaction with 4.2 equivalents of KC₈ for 10 h led to the formation of the dark purple solid **7**, which was identified as an unsymmetrical cyclic diborene in 49% yield (Scheme 2). Note that the same reaction with two equivalents of KC₈ led to the formation of a dark green solution instantly, which showed a broad ¹¹B NMR resonance at δ = 30.1 ppm, reminiscent of that of 1,2-dichlorodiborene [B₂(IDipp)₂Cl₂] ($\delta_{11\text{B}}$ = 26.8 ppm).⁵⁶ Although this species could not be isolated due to its high solubility in all common solvents, the above ¹¹B NMR study, as well as high-resolution HRMS measurement, suggest the formation of [B₂Cl₂(SIDippTol)₂] (Scheme S2†). The further reduction of this green compound with two equivalents of KC₈ led to the formation of diborene **7**.

The ¹¹B NMR signals of **7** were found at δ = 37.3 and 17.7 ppm, which fall in the range of ¹¹B chemical shifts for diborene species.^{11,56,57} The difference of around 20 ppm in the values of ¹¹B chemical shift typically suggests unsymmetrical substitutions at the boron atoms. Indeed, the SCXRD analysis of **7** showed a diborene species having substituents in an unsymmetrical pattern (Scheme 2, inset). One of the SIDippTol substituents chelates one of the boron atoms (B1) to form a five-membered ring, while the other SIDippTol unit is coordinated



Scheme 2 Synthesis of unsymmetrical cyclic diborene **7** via NHC-directed reductive C–H borylation. Inset: crystallographically-determined molecular structure of **7** (ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level). All hydrogen atoms and ellipsoids of ligand periphery are omitted for clarity.



to the other (B2). The formation of diborene 7 *via* two-electron reduction of the intermediate $[B_2Cl_2(SIDippTol)_2]$ can proceed through two possible pathways. One pathway involves reductive C–H borylation, where reducing conditions promote both the elimination of two chloride ligands from the diborene intermediate $[B_2Cl_2(SIDippTol)_2]$ and the intramolecular activation of an *ortho* $C(sp^2)$ –H bond of one of the SIDippTol ligands, leading to the formation of compound 7. Alternatively, diboryne formation could occur first, followed by spontaneous C–H borylation across the $B\equiv B$ triple bond. However, no evidence for the presence of a diboryne intermediate was found at any stage of the reaction. Thus, the formation of diborene 7 is most likely the result of a direct reductive C–H borylation process. The hydrogen atom abstracted by the C–H borylation is found in *trans* to the aryl substituent. The presence of an IR stretching band at 2432 cm^{-1} further supports the presence of the terminal B–H unit in diborene 7. The *trans* orientation is likely favoured due to the steric hindrance between the Dipp unit of the chelated SIDippTol ligand and the datively-bound SIDippTol ligand in its *cis* isomer. The B–B bond length of $1.588(4)\text{ \AA}$ in unsymmetrical diborene 7 is significantly shorter than that of symmetrical diborene 5, suggesting a stronger $B=B$ double bond in 7.

Further, the comparatively higher WBI (1.34) and MBO (1.23) of the B–B bond in the unsymmetrical diborene 7 suggest stronger multiple bonding than that of symmetrical diborenes 3 and 5. In addition, the HOMO of 7 shows significant π conjugation between the $B=B$ bond and the carbene carbon atom of the chelating SIDippTol ligand (Fig. 3), which is also reflected in NBO analysis (Fig. S38†). Whereas the other SIDippTol ligand functions mainly as a σ donor as shown by NBO analysis (Fig. S38†). Further, the natural population analysis of 7 showed a more negative natural charge ($q_{B1} = -0.08$) at the boron atom embedded in the five-membered ring than the other boron atom ($q_{B2} = -0.05$), suggesting the presence of comparatively higher electron density at B1. This polarization of the $B=B$ double bond of diborene 7 is also reflected in the experimental ($\delta = 37.3$ and 17.7 ppm) and calculated ($\delta = 36.1$ and 19.0 ppm) ^{11}B NMR spectra.

In contrast to geminal diborene 5, the unsymmetrical diborene 7 does not undergo isomerization and remains thermally stable up to $80\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. However, at elevated temperatures around $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, slow decomposition of the compound is observed.

To determine the origins of the intense purple color of unsymmetrical diborene 7, we recorded its UV-vis absorption spectrum, which revealed two absorption bands at $\lambda = 579$ and 351 nm (Fig. S23†). The purple color of the compound likely arises from the absorption at 579 nm . TD-DFT calculations revealed that the absorption band at $\lambda = 579\text{ nm}$ corresponds to the HOMO \rightarrow LUMO transition, which mainly consists of the excitation of $\pi(B\text{-}B)$ electrons to the $\pi(B\text{-}C^{NHC})$ orbitals (Fig. 3).

Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully isolated and characterized symmetrical (3 and 5) and unsymmetrical (7) NHC-supported

cyclic diborenes. Their syntheses involve spontaneous or reductive C–H borylation processes, leading to ring formation through chelation. The weaker π -acceptance and strong σ -donor ability of the SIDippTol ligand, along with the presence of $C(sp^2)$ –H bonds at the tolyl substituents, played a pivotal role in stabilizing these unique diborenes. The synthesis of the 1,1-(geminal)-chelated diborene demonstrates that the preparation of highly twisted diborenes is possible; however, it is highly reactive and prone to isomerization to its 1,2-(vicinal)-chelated isomer. In contrast, the presence of different substituents and the lack of symmetry introduce polarity in the $B=B$ double bond of 7. Computational studies provided valuable insights into the bonding and properties of these diborenes. Additionally, we were able to isolate a neutral bis(NHC)-stabilized borylene.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

Supervision, funding acquisition, conceptualization: H. B.; conceptualization, investigation, writing – original draft: C. M.; formal analysis, visualization, writing – original draft: S. K.; investigation, formal analysis: D. D.; investigation: A. S.; writing – review & editing: R. D. D.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant numbers BR1149/22-2 and 466754611) is gratefully acknowledged. S. K. thanks the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship.

Notes and references

- Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wei, C. S. Wannere, Y. Xie, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer III, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, A Stable Neutral Diborene Containing a $B=B$ Double Bond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 12412–12413.
- H. Braunschweig and R. D. Dewhurst, Boron–Boron Multiple Bonding: From Charged to Neutral and Back Again, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 6271–6277.
- P. Bissinger, A. Steffen, A. Vargas, R. D. Dewhurst, A. Damme and H. Braunschweig, Unexpected Luminescence Behavior of Coinage Metal π -Diborene Complexes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4362–4366.
- M. Arrowsmith, H. Braunschweig and T. E. Stennett, Formation and Reactivity of Electron-Precise B–B Single and Multiple Bonds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 96–115.



5 W. Lu, Y. Li, R. Ganguly and R. Kinjo, Alkene–Carbene Isomerization induced by Borane: Access to an Asymmetrical Diborene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5047–5050.

6 R. Borthakur, K. Saha, S. Kar and S. Ghosh, Recent advances in transition metal diborane(6), diborane(4) and diborene(2) chemistry, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **399**, 213021.

7 J. Fan, J.-Q. Mah, M.-C. Yang, M.-D. Su and C.-W. So, A *N*-Phosphinoamidinato NHC-Diborene Catalyst for Hydroboration, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 4993–5002.

8 M. Härtelrich, B. Ritschel, M. Arrowsmith, J. Böhnke, I. Krummenacher, A. K. Phukan and H. Braunschweig, Hybrid Inorganic–Organic Cross-Metathesis between Diborenes and Acetylene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 18339–18345.

9 A. Okorn, A. Jayaraman, L. Englert, M. Arrowsmith, T. Swoboda, J. Weigelt, C. Brunecker, M. Hess, A. Lamprecht, C. Lenczyk, M. Rang and H. Braunschweig, Synthesis and hydrogenation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-substituted diborenes *via* uncatalysed hydrogenative B–C bond cleavage, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 7566–7574.

10 W. Lu and R. Kinjo, Complexation of asymmetric diborenes with magnesium bromide, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 8842–8844.

11 P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, M. A. Celik, C. Claes, R. D. Dewhurst, S. Endres, H. Kelch, T. Kramer, I. Krummenacher and C. Schneider, Synthesis of cyclic diborenes with unprecedented *cis*-configuration, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15917–15920.

12 H. Braunschweig, I. Krummenacher, C. Lichtenberg, J. D. Mattock, M. Schäfer, U. Schmidt, C. Schneider, T. Steffenhagen, S. Ullrich and A. Vargas, Dibora[2]ferrocenophane: A Carbene-Stabilized Diborene in a Strained *cis*-Configuration, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 889–892.

13 T. E. Stennett, J. D. Mattock, L. Pentecost, A. Vargas and H. Braunschweig, Chelated Diborenes and Their Inverse-Electron-Demand Diels–Alder Reactions with Dienes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 15276–15281.

14 T. E. Stennett, J. D. Mattock, I. Vollert, A. Vargas and H. Braunschweig, Unsymmetrical, Cyclic Diborenes and Thermal Rearrangement to a Borylborylene, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4098–4102.

15 W. Lu, A. Jayaraman, F. Fantuzzi, R. D. Dewhurst, M. Härtelrich, M. Dietz, S. Hagspiel, I. Krummenacher, K. Hammond, J. Cui and H. Braunschweig, An Unsymmetrical, Cyclic Diborene Based on a Chelating CAAC Ligand and its Small-Molecule Activation and Rearrangement Chemistry, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202113947.

16 M. Dömling, M. Arrowsmith, U. Schmidt, L. Werner, A. C. Castro, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla, R. Bertermann, J. H. Müssig, D. Prieschl and H. Braunschweig, Spontaneous *trans*-Selective Transfer Hydrogenation of Apolar Boron–Boron Double Bonds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 9782–9786.

17 U. Schmidt, L. Werner, M. Arrowsmith, A. Deissenberger, A. Hermann, A. Hofmann, S. Ullrich, J. D. Mattock, A. Vargas and H. Braunschweig, *Trans*-selective Insertional Dihydroboration of a *cis*-Diborene: Synthesis of Linear sp^3 – sp^2 – sp^3 -Triboranes and Subsequent Cationization, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 325–329.

18 T. E. Stennett, A. Jayaraman, T. Brückner, L. Schneider and H. Braunschweig, Hydrophosphination of boron–boron multiple bonds, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1335–1341.

19 T. E. Stennett, R. Bertermann and H. Braunschweig, Construction of Linear and Branched Tetraboranes by 1,1- and 1,2-Diboration of Diborenes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 15896–15901.

20 H. C. Brown, Hydroboration—a powerful synthetic tool, *Tetrahedron*, 1961, **12**, 117–138.

21 I. Beletskaya and A. Pelter, Hydroborations catalysed by transition metal complexes, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 4957–5026.

22 T. B. Marder and N. C. Norman, Transition metal catalysed diboration, *Top. Catal.*, 1998, **5**, 63–73.

23 H. Chen, S. Schlecht, T. Semple and J. F. Hartwig, Thermal, Catalytic, Regiospecific Functionalization of Alkanes, *Science*, 2000, **287**, 1995–1997.

24 H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst and A. Schneider, Electron-Precise Coordination Modes of Boron-Centered Ligands, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3924–3957.

25 I. A. I. Mkhaldid, J. H. Barnard, T. B. Marder, J. M. Murphy and J. F. Hartwig, C–H Activation for the Construction of C–B Bonds, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 890–931.

26 J. F. Hartwig, Regioselectivity of the borylation of alkanes and arenes, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1992–2002.

27 E. C. Neeve, S. J. Geier, I. A. I. Mkhaldid, S. A. Westcott and T. B. Marder, Diboron(4) Compounds: From Structural Curiosity to Synthetic Workhorse, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 9091–9161.

28 S. K. Bose, L. Mao, L. Kuehn, U. Radius, J. Nekvinda, W. L. Santos, S. A. Westcott, P. G. Steel and T. B. Marder, First-Row d-Block Element-Catalyzed Carbon–Boron Bond Formation and Related Processes, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 13238–13341.

29 K.-S. Lee, A. R. Zhugralin and A. H. Hoveyda, Efficient C–B Bond Formation Promoted by N-Heterocyclic Carbenes: Synthesis of Tertiary and Quaternary B-Substituted Carbons through Metal-Free Catalytic Boron Conjugate Additions to Cyclic and Acyclic α , β -Unsaturated Carbonyls, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7253–7255.

30 F. Mo, Y. Jiang, D. Qiu, Y. Zhang and J. Yang, Direct Conversion of Arylamines to Pinacol Boronates: A Metal-Free Borylation Process, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1846–1849.

31 A. Bonet, H. Gulyás and E. Fernández, Metal-Free Catalytic Boration at the β -Position of α , β -Unsaturated Compounds: A Challenging Asymmetric Induction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 5130–5134.

32 A. Bonet, C. Pubill-Ulldemolins, C. Bo, H. Gulyás and E. Fernández, Transition-Metal-Free Diboration Reaction



by Activation of Diboron Compounds with Simple Lewis Bases, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7158–7161.

33 M. Gao, S. B. Thorpe, C. Kleeberg, C. Slednick, T. B. Marder and W. L. Santos, Structure and Reactivity of a Preactivated sp^2 – sp^3 Diboron Reagent: Catalytic Regioselective Boration of α,β -Unsaturated Conjugated Compounds, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 3997–4007.

34 H. Braunschweig, A. Damme and T. Kupfer, Synthesis of a bicyclic diborane by selective boron carbon bond formation, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 2774–2776.

35 J. M. Farrell and D. W. Stephan, Planar N-Heterocyclic Carbene Diarylborenium Ions: Synthesis by Cationic Borylation and Reactivity with Lewis Bases, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 5214–5217.

36 M.-A. Légaré, M.-A. Courtemanche, É. Rochette and F.-G. Fontaine, Metal-free catalytic C–H bond activation and borylation of heteroarenes, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 513–516.

37 Q. Yin, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, Catalytic Friedel–Crafts C–H Borylation of Electron-Rich Arenes: Dramatic Rate Acceleration by Added Alkenes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3712–3717.

38 J. Lv, X. Chen, X.-S. Xue, B. Zhao, Y. Liang, M. Wang, L. Jin, Y. Yuan, Y. Han, Y. Zhao, Y. Lu, J. Zhao, W.-Y. Sun, K. N. Houk and Z. Shi, Metal-free directed sp^2 –C–H borylation, *Nature*, 2019, **575**, 336–340.

39 S. A. Iqbal, J. Pahl, K. Yuan and M. J. Ingleson, Intramolecular (directed) electrophilic C–H borylation, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 4564–4591.

40 Y.-M. Tian, X.-N. Guo, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Photoinduced Borylation for the Synthesis of Organoboron Compounds, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 3561–3597.

41 O. Sadek, A. Le Gac, N. Hidalgo, S. Mallet-Ladeira, K. Miqueu, G. Bouhadir and D. Bourissou, Metal-Free Phosphorus-Directed Borylation of $C(sp^2)$ –H Bonds, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202110102.

42 G. Urry, J. Kerrigan, T. D. Parsons and H. I. Schlesinger, Diboron Tetrachloride, B_2Cl_4 , as a Reagent for the Synthesis of Organo-boron Compounds. I. The Reaction of Diboron Tetrachloride with Ethylene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1954, **76**, 5299–5301.

43 T. P. Fehlner, Reactions of Borane. II. 1 Absolute Rate of the Reaction of Borane with Ethylene in the Gas Phase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 6366–6373.

44 M. Arrowsmith, J. Böhnke, H. Braunschweig, A. Deissenberger, R. D. Dewhurst, W. C. Ewing, C. Hörl, J. Mies and J. H. Muessig, Simple solution-phase syntheses of tetrahalodiboranes(4) and their labile dimethylsulfide adducts, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 8265–8267.

45 O. Ciobanu, P. Roquette, S. Leingang, H. Wadezahl, J. Mautz and H.-J. Himmel, Synthesis and Characterization of a New Guanidine–Borane Complex and a Dinuclear Boron(II) Hydride with Bridging Guanidinate Ligands, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **2007**, 4530–4534.

46 P. Bissinger, H. Braunschweig, A. Damme, R. D. Dewhurst, T. Kupfer, K. Radacki and K. Wagner, Generation of a Carbene-Stabilized Bora-borylene and its Insertion into a C–H Bond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19044–19047.

47 J. M. Miller, Nitrogen-15 and Boron-11 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Trimethylamine Adducts of the Mixed Trihalides of Boron, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 2384–2388.

48 H. Braunschweig, K. Radacki and K. Uttinger, Syntheses of Mono- and Dinuclear Diiodoboryl Complexes of Platinum, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 8796–8800.

49 Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wie, Y. Xie, C. S. Wannere, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. v. R. Schleyer and G. H. Robinson, Planar, Twisted, and Trans-Bent: Conformational Flexibility of Neutral Diborenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 3298–3299.

50 S. R. Wang, M. Arrowsmith, J. Böhnke, H. Braunschweig, T. Dellermann, R. D. Dewhurst, H. Kelch, I. Krummenacher, J. D. Mattock, J. H. Müsing, T. Thiess, A. Vargas and J. Zhang, Engineering a Small HOMO-LUMO Gap and Intramolecular B–B Hydroarylation by Diborene/Anthracene Orbital Intercalation, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8009–8013.

51 J. Böhnke, T. Dellermann, M. A. Celik, I. Krummenacher, R. D. Dewhurst, S. Demeshko, W. C. Ewing, K. Hammond, M. Heß, E. Bill, E. Welz, M. Röhr, R. Mitić, B. Engels, F. Meyer and H. Braunschweig, Isolation of diborenes and their 90°-twisted diradical congeners, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1197.

52 U. Schmidt, F. Fantuzzi, M. Arrowsmith, A. Hermann, D. Prieschl, A. Rempel, B. Engels and H. Braunschweig, Tunable reduction of cymantrenylboranes to diborenes or borylene-derived boratafulvenes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 14809–14812.

53 J. Fan, A.-P. Koh, C.-S. Wu, M.-D. Su and C.-W. So, Carbon dioxide capture and functionalization by bis(N-heterocyclic carbene)-borylene complexes, *Nat. Commun.*, 2024, **15**, 3052.

54 J. Fan, S. Pan, S. Yao, C. Ding, G. Frenking and M. Driess, From Bis(borylene)-Substituted Xanthenes as Reactive Intermediates to Diboraoxirane Complexes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2025, **147**, 6925–6933.

55 H. Braunschweig, I. Krummenacher, M.-A. Légaré, A. Matler, K. Radacki and Q. Ye, Main-Group Metallomimetics: Transition Metal-like Photolytic CO Substitution at Boron, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 1802–1805.

56 A. Stoy, M. Arrowsmith, M. Eyselen, T. Dellermann, J. Mies, K. Radacki, T. Kupfer and H. Braunschweig, NHC-Stabilized 1,2-Dihalodiboranes: Synthesis, Characterization and Reactivity Toward Elemental Chalcogens, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 12625–12633.

57 M. Arrowsmith, J. Böhnke, H. Braunschweig, M. A. Celik, T. Dellermann and K. Hammond, Uncatalyzed Hydrogenation of First-Row Main Group Multiple Bonds, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 17169–17172.

