

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 13459

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Stereoselective chemoenzymatic phytate transformations provide access to diverse inositol phosphate derivatives†

Georg Markus Häner,^a Guizhen Liu,^a Esther Lange,^b Nikolaus Jork,^a Klaus Ditrich,^c Ralf Greiner,^d Gabriel Schaaf^b and Henning J. Jessen^{b,ae}

Phosphorylated *myo*-inositols (InsPs) are essential cytoplasmic signaling molecules, while their lipidated analogs (PtdInsPs) play a crucial role in membrane signaling. Stereoselective synthesis of these compounds has been achieved through various methods, predominantly using the *meso* compound *myo*-inositol as a starting material. However, phytate (InsP₆), also a *meso* compound, is the most abundant inositol derivative in plants – far more prevalent than *myo*-inositol itself. Despite its abundance, phytate has been rarely used in synthetic strategies for accessing a variety of chiral inositol phosphates and their derivatives through selective dephosphorylations on a preparative scale. Here, we report gram-scale (stereo)selective dephosphorylations of phytate using phytases and demonstrate the application of these products in generating modified InsPs through a transient phosphitylation approach. Notably, the bacterial effector XopH efficiently desymmetrizes *meso*-phytate to yield enantiomerically pure 1-OH-InsP₅. This transformation renders the 1-position accessible for further modifications, which, in biological systems, is where glycerolphosphate diesters are attached. By using selective dephosphorylations with phytases in concert with chemoselective telescoping reaction sequences, this approach greatly advances the stereoselective synthesis of inositol phosphates and their derivatives, such as glycerophosphoinositols, from abundant InsP₆.

Received 18th April 2025
Accepted 20th June 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc02844b

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Westheimer famously stated “phosphate esters and anhydrides dominate the living world”, but the less-cited second half of his statement adds that they “are seldom used as intermediates by organic chemists”.¹ This is still true to date. Here, we address this disparity in the context of *myo*-inositol phosphate chemistry. *Myo*-inositol (hereafter inositol) phosphates (InsP_x), inositol-pyrophosphates (PP-InsP_x) and their membrane bound brethren (phosphatidyl inositol phosphates, PtdInsP_x) are a family of highly phosphorylated second messenger molecules, influencing an enormous variety of biological processes (*e.g.* phosphate homeostasis or membrane trafficking events).^{2–6}

Recent analytical advances propelled the field forward, (re) discovering previously understudied isomers (*e.g.* different PP-InsP's)⁷, and metabolites (*e.g.* 2-InsP₁ or 1,2,3-InsP₃)^{8,9} in biological samples *via* MS^{10–12}- and NMR¹³ based methods.

To elucidate the identity of the signaling molecules and understand their precise biological functions, the synthesis of defined isomers and analogs is crucial.^{2,3,6,14} Typically, desymmetrization of the parent *meso* compound *myo*-inositol is considered as a reliable entry point.^{15,16} This *meso* trick is often performed with the help of chiral auxiliaries, allowing the synthesis of *e.g.* InsP₇ (ref. 17–20) and PtdInsP^{21–24} isomers relying on asymmetric phosphorylations.^{20,23,25} Desymmetrizations with peptide catalysts,^{26–31} for selective phosphorylations, or the use of lipases,^{21,32,33} for selective esterifications, were established as alternatives to chiral auxiliaries. The use of chemoenzymatic approaches, including dioxygenases, for the stereoselective synthesis of inositol derivatives from distant precursors like benzene, has been comprehensively reviewed.³⁴ In summary, the desymmetrization of *myo*-inositol has been established as a corner stone of diverse synthetic approaches towards InsP and PtdInsP derivatives.

In contrast, the complementary approach – desymmetrization of the fully phosphorylated *meso* compound InsP₆ *via* selective dephosphorylations as a starting point for synthesis – is almost completely absent from literature. This is not

^aInstitute of Organic Chemistry, University of Freiburg, Germany. E-mail: henning.jessen@oc.uni-freiburg.de

^bInstitute of Crop Science and Resource Conversation, Department of Plant Nutrition, University of Bonn, Germany

^cWhite Biotechnology Research – Biocatalysis BASF SE, A030, Carl-Bosch-Strasse 38, 67056 Ludwigshafen am Rhein, Germany

^dDepartment of Food Technology and Bioprocess Engineering, Max Rubner-Institut, Federal Research Institute of Nutrition and Food, Haid-und-Neu-Straße 9, Karlsruhe 76131, Germany

^eCIBSS – Centre for Integrative Biological Signaling Studies, Freiburg, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc02844b>



understandable from a supply perspective: InsP_6 is the major phosphate storage molecule in plants and is available on a large scale. In fact, it is a far more abundant starting material compared to inositol itself. However, chemistry needs to be developed further to transform the highly charged polyphosphorylated intermediates that would arise from selective phytate dephosphorylations, which we identify as one major obstacle in this approach, in line with the initial statement by Westheimer.

In the 90's, selective phytate dephosphorylation with baker's yeast leading mainly to 1,2,6- InsP_3 ^{35,36} was reported (Scheme 1). The synthetic utility of the resulting chiral trisphosphate was proven in the generation of diverse therapeutically and synthetically relevant derivatives *via* oxidation,³⁷ *O*-acylation,³⁸ carbamoylation,³⁵ *P*-alkylation³⁹ and ultimately acidic

phosphate cleavage.^{35,36} In 1999 selective dephosphorylation of 1,4,5,6- InsP_4 (or enantiomeric 3,4,5,6- InsP_4) with $\text{InsP}_5/\text{InsP}_4$ -phosphohydrolase to 1,5,6- InsP_3 (or 3,4,5- InsP_3 respectively) was shown, highlighting other synthetic applications of selective enzymatic dephosphorylations. However, the required starting materials had to be synthesized in enantiomerically pure form starting from hydroquinone.⁴⁰

Here, we present a dephosphorylation approach to enzymatically desymmetrize abundant *meso*-phytate and use the single product as an entry point to access phosphorylated inositol derivatives (see Scheme 1 for an overview). We rely on the recently discovered unique phytase activity of XopH, a bacterial effector protein found in *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria*. XopH dephosphorylates InsP_6 exclusively at the 1-position, resulting in enantiopure chiral 1-hydroxy-inositol-pentakisphosphate (1-OH- InsP_5).⁴¹ Notably, the 1-position holds particular significance in InsP chemistry, serving as the attachment point for the glycerolphosphate diester in PtdInsPs .


Additionally, we demonstrate that commercial Natuphos, originating from *Aspergillus ficuum*, has potential in InsP chemistry, although no desymmetrization is observed in this case, as the sole product is the *meso* compound 2- InsP_1 , in accordance with observations in naturally occurring phytases of varying provenance.^{42–48} This commercial phytase was previously compared to the follow-up product Natuphos E for the synthesis of $\text{InsP}_{3–5}$ *via* selective dephosphorylations.⁴⁹

The products of the enzymatic dephosphorylations are then transformed into other phosphorylated inositols using a transient phosphorylation approach, that relies on the lability of mixed P(III)–P(V) anhydrides in contrast to the higher stability of P(III) triesters. Natuphos can then be used to remove unwanted phosphates and thus invert the phosphorylating pattern of the starting material (Scheme 1).


Results and discussion

1-OH- InsP_5 has previously been obtained by selective dephosphorylation using the bacterial effector XopH on a small scale *in vitro* to assign enzyme specificity.⁴¹ We have now scaled this reaction to access 1-OH- InsP_5 as starting material for further chemical transformations (Scheme 2).

During scale-up it was observed that larger amounts of phytate in the reaction led to some precipitation. To account for possible XopH precipitation, the reaction time was extended



Scheme 1 Desymmetrization of phytate *via* selective dephosphorylation using phytases. Previously, 1,2,6- InsP_3 was obtained by baker's yeast digest.^{35,36} Here, selective dephosphorylation with expressed XopH on a preparative scale was established. The resulting chiral 1-OH- InsP_5 was transformed into the corresponding chiral 1- InsP_1 chemoenzymatically with the phytase Natuphos, thus inverting the phosphorylation pattern.




Scheme 2 Synthesis of 1-OH- InsP_5 2 *via* selective dephosphorylation of InsP_6 with XopH.




Phosphorylation of 1-OH-InsP₅


a) with symmetrical P-amidite 1 leads to a complex product mixture




b) with cyclization-prone P-amidite 9 leads to a more defined product mixture



c) with cyclization-prone P-amidite 9 and subsequent acid treatment leads to the desired product



d) Optimized transient phosphitylation work-flow and proposed reaction mechanism showing a potential intermediate



Scheme 3 Synthesis of InsP₆ derivatives modified solely at the 1-position. CE-qTOF-MS (background electrolyte (in the following BGE): NH₄OAc 35 mM pH = 9.7, CE voltage: 30 kV, CE current: 23 μA, injection: 100 mbar, 15 s (30 nL)) analysis of the transient phosphitylation of 1-OH-InsP₅ using different P-amidites. The depicted structures are color coded by identical mass. (a) Using P-amidite **1** a complex mixture was obtained. (b) Reduction of components was achieved *via* controlled cyclization using cyclization prone P-amidite **9**. The depicted cyclic pyrophosphates are just examples of possible structures. (c) The obtained cyclic intermediates were transformed into a single product *in situ* *via* subsequent acid treatment. (d) Using an optimized transient phosphitylation work-flow **1-Fm-InsP₆ 8** and **1-DEACM-InsP₆ 11** were synthesized in one telescoping reaction sequence starting from 1-OH-InsP₅.



accordingly and complete consumption of InsP₆ was observed after 8 h.

The crude enzymatic digest of InsP₆ was purified by strong anion exchange (SAX) chromatography on a Q-sepharose column using an NH₄HCO₃ (1 M, pH = 8.0) gradient as eluent. Next, to ensure solubility in organic solvents for further transformations, 1-OH-InsP₅ was converted to its tetrabutylammonium (TBA) salt.


One of our goals was to install a phosphate diester in the 1-position of 1-OH-InsP₅ as this would enable direct access to PtdInsPs and analogues, which was previously difficult to achieve. It is known that phosphates react rapidly with other P-derived electrophiles. For example, unprotected nucleoside phosphates (with free OH groups) can be phosphorylated with P-amidites.⁵⁰ Also P-imidazolides^{51–57} and diamidophosphates⁵⁸ can be used to construct P-anhydrides even in water as solvent with high chemoselectivity. This suggests that a chemical modification of phosphorylated inositols, such as 1-OH-InsP₅, will first take place at the phosphate esters, before the alcohol will react.

This has significant implications regarding reaction design. Here, we focused on the use of P-amidites, with the expectation that initially P(III)–P(V) anhydrides would form, followed by a reaction of the OH group forming a P(III) triester. A strategy was therefore required to selectively cleave the mixed anhydrides again after transiently blocking the P(V) esters, while

preserving the P(III) triester – an approach that would have to be based on transient phosphitylation. To identify mild conditions that enable the cleavage of a P(III)–P(V) anhydride, adenosine diphosphate (ADP) was used as a model compound (see ESI Fig. 1†). Screening revealed that pyridinium hydrobromide (in the following Pyr × HBr) rapidly cleaves the mixed anhydride. This approach was then applied to 1-OH-InsP₅, enabling selective modification at the 1-position while ensuring transient P(III)–P(V) anhydrides were cleaved in the presence of Pyr × HBr.

1-OH-InsP₅ TBA salt **2** was reacted with an excess of bis-fluorenylmethyl-P-amidite **1** (see ESI Fig. 2,† fluorenylmethyl = Fm) and was then analyzed by ³¹P-NMR spectroscopy. Due to the number of phosphates in the starting material **2**, several P(III)–P(V) anhydride signals were observed, as indicated by the characteristic chemical shifts in ³¹P-NMR (125 to 130 ppm).⁵⁹ Addition of pyridinium hydrobromide led to complete disappearance of the P(III)–P(V) anhydride signals, indicating cleavage. However, subsequent oxidation using *m*CPBA led to a complex mixture. To understand the failure of the desired synthesis, the crude material was analyzed by capillary electrophoresis mass spectrometry (CE-MS).⁷ We found a variety of InsP₆ derivatives where adjacent phosphate groups had undergone condensation reactions to cyclic pyrophosphate derivatives. Moreover, the products were bearing either one or two Fm groups (Scheme 3a). Optimization of the reaction conditions

Synthesis of InsP₁ isomers via dephosphorylation



Scheme 4 Dephosphorylation of InsP₆ derivatives with Natuphos (10500 U mL⁻¹, 3150 U was used for the digest of phytate, 2100 U was used for the digest of **8**) in NH₄OAc (50 mM, pH 6.3) leads to defined InsP₁ isomers. 1,2-InsP₂ was obtained as dephosphorylation product of 1-Fm-InsP₆ **8** using the 6-Phytase from *E. coli* (7500 U mL⁻¹, 1 U phytase was used) in HEPES-buffer (50 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM, MgCl₂, pH = 4.0; at 28 °C for 45 min) and subsequent basic deprotection.




did neither lead to reduced cyclizations nor reduced Fm cleavage. As an alternative, we reasoned that a controlled cyclization should furnish a more defined cyclic pyrophosphate mixture, and a controlled hydrolysis of those intermediates could then result in a defined product.

P-amidite **9**, containing a phenyl moiety as a good leaving group instead of Fm, was designed and synthesized to promote the cyclization reactions (Scheme 3b). Interestingly, no P(III)–P(V) anhydrides were detectable by ^{31}P -NMR after global phosphorylation (see ESI Fig. 3†). However, addition of Pyr \times HBr was necessary to assure quantitative decomposition of putatively *in situ* formed P(III)–P(V) anhydrides. The reaction outcome was analyzed by CE-MS. Under these new conditions a much more defined mixture of only mono- and bis-cyclic InsP_6 derivatives bearing exactly one Fm-group were obtained after oxidation. While the number of possible products decreased drastically, it was still not possible to identify the formed isomers. This assignment would also not be necessary, if it were possible to hydrolyze the cyclic pyrophosphates. One would expect the mixture to converge into a single product: InsP_6 with an Fm protecting group located at the phosphate in the 1-position. Cyclic pyrophosphate hydrolysis was therefore studied next


using inositoltrispyrophosphate (ITPP) as a model compound (see ESI Tables 4, 5 and Fig. 4†).^{60,61} Reaction conditions were identified that led to complete hydrolysis of the anhydrides (either HBr at 80 °C or ZnCl_2 and HCl at 37 °C). After optimization, the anhydrides in the crude mixture of 1-Fm- InsP_6 derivatives obtained above were hydrolysed and the reaction progress was monitored by ^{31}P -NMR (see ESI Fig. 5†). Upon disappearance of all pyrophosphate signals, the reaction mixture was diluted with water, neutralized with NH_4HCO_3 (pH = 8.0, 1 M) and purified by SAX on a Q-sepharose column using a NaClO_4 (1 M) gradient as eluent.

CE-MS analysis of the material before purification revealed formation of 1-Fm- InsP_6 **8** as main product (Scheme 3c), while InsP_6 and InsP_5 were the sole side products and readily removable by SAX as shown by CE-MS analysis after purification. The position of the Fm group was initially verified *via* 2D-NMR spectroscopy (see ESI Fig. 7 and 8†). Overall, in the whole telescoping sequence, 1-Fm- InsP_6 **8** was synthesized in 45% yield directly from 1-OH- InsP_5 (Scheme 3d). To demonstrate further utility of this method, we used the sequence to introduce a 7-(diethylamino)-4-(hydroxymethyl)-coumarin (in the following DEACM) moiety at the phosphate in the 1-position.


a) Digest of InsP_6 derivatives with 6-Phytase from *Escherichia coli*



b) CE-MS analysis of the digest with 6-Phytase from *Escherichia coli*



c) Identification of 1,2- InsP_2 via CE-MS spiking experiments



Scheme 5 (a) Different InsP_6 (15 mM) were dephosphorylated with 6-phytase from *Escherichia coli* (7500 U mL^{-1} at pH = 5.0, 1 U phytase was used) (used buffer: 50 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 0.5 mM, MgCl_2 , pH = 4.0; at 28 °C for 45 min). CE-qTOF-MS (BGE: NH_4OAc 35 mM pH = 9.7, CE voltage: 30 kV, CE current: 23 μA , injection: 100 mbar, 15 s (30 nL)). (b) Analysis revealed different InsP_n as product mixtures, depending on the used InsP_6 derivative. 1-Fm- InsP_6 **8** was relatively cleanly dephosphorylated to an InsP_2 derivative. (c) The formed 1,2- InsP_2 was identified *via* CE-QQQ-MS (BGE: NH_4OAc 35 mM pH = 9.7, CE voltage: 30 kV, CE current: 23 μA , injection: 100 mbar, 10 s (20 nL)) spiking experiments⁹ using a [^{13}C]- InsP_2 mix (obtained *via* decomposition of [^{13}C]- InsP_6 at 100 °C), after basic deprotection (piperidine (10 vol%)) of 1-Fm- InsP_2 **18**.



Thus, photocaged 1-DEACM-InsP₆ **11** was synthesized, simply by exchanging the cyclization prone P-amidite (Scheme 3d).

We next studied the dephosphorylation of the Fm modified InsP₆ **8** using the promiscuous commercial phytase Natuphos as a very mild and potentially selective alternative to acidic hydrolysis.^{35,36} Its action on InsP₆ led to quantitative dephosphorylation to 2-InsP₁ within 10 min (see ESI Table 6†).⁴⁹ Importantly, this is also an interesting starting material for the introduction of further modifications. Isomer identity was assigned *via* NMR in accordance with literature.⁶²

Phytases initiate the stepwise dephosphorylation of phytate with a high stereo- and regioselectivity.⁶³ 2-InsP₁ with the phosphate in axial position is the endpoint of many naturally occurring phytases,^{42–48} but phytases stopping at different InsP derivatives (*e.g.* InsP₅, InsP₃) are known.^{42,63–66} However, unlike XopH these enzymes often deliver mixtures of *myo*-inositol phosphate intermediates. The dephosphorylation of InsP₆ to 2-InsP₁ by Natuphos produces 5 equivalents of phosphate (P_i), which were removed by precipitation with Ba(OAc)₂⁴⁸ or CaCl₂. The product was then obtained by precipitation from EtOH. Following this approach, 1 g of phytate was digested to 2-InsP₁ in 86% yield (Scheme 4).⁴⁸ Next, the digest of chiral 1-Fm-InsP₆ **8** by Natuphos was monitored using ³¹P-NMR (see ESI Fig. 10†) and a slower reaction compared to unprotected phytate was observed (>24 h for complete conversion). However, a complete digestion of the phosphate monoesters to deliver phosphate diester 1-Fm-InsP₁ **13** was achieved after 2 days of incubation. The product **13** was purified by reversed-phase medium pressure liquid chromatography (RP-MPLC) to remove excess P_i. Subsequent basic deprotection led to 1-InsP₁ **14** in 58% over two steps, starting from enantiomerically pure 1-Fm-InsP₆ **8**.

With 1-InsP₁ in hand, enantiomer identity of the product was further corroborated using optical rotation and comparison to an original standard.^{20,26,67} This additionally affirms our assignment, that the 1-position in 1-OH-InsP₅ is modified in our transient phosphitylation approach. Depending on the reaction time (<48 h), we observed transient accumulation of an InsP₂ species resulting from incomplete dephosphorylation. Based on the selectivity of Natuphos described above, we tentatively assigned the isomer as 1-Fm-1,2-InsP₂. Indeed, basic deprotection of the Fm group with piperidine after purification led to formation of enantiopure 1,2-InsP₂ validated by CE-MS experiments and spiking with defined InsP₂ isomers (see ESI Fig. 11†).⁹ In summary, the synthesis of enantiopure 1-InsP₁ from InsP₆ using a chemoenzymatic approach is possible in 3 steps.


Next, we screened a small panel of phytases to potentially obtain other phosphorylated inositol isomers or a higher accumulation of defined intermediates. As starting materials, either InsP₆, 1-DEACM-InsP₆ **11** or 1-Fm-InsP₆ **8** were studied. The resulting InsP mixtures were analyzed by CE-MS (see Scheme 5 and ESI Fig. 13†). All tested phytases hydrolyzed InsP₆ to 2-InsP₁, as confirmed by CE-MS spiking experiments with [¹⁸O]-2-InsP₁ (see ESI Fig. 14†). 1-DEACM-InsP₆ **11** was hydrolyzed by all tested phytases to a mixture of 1-DEACM-InsP₁ and 1-DEACM-InsP₂, which is not useful from a preparative perspective. However, 1-Fm-InsP₆ **8** was predominantly (>80%)

dephosphorylated under comparable conditions to 1-Fm-1,2-InsP₂. Again, isomer assignment was achieved after basic deprotection and subsequent CE-MS spiking experiments (Scheme 5 and ESI Fig. 12†).⁹ Decomposition of [¹³C]-labeled InsP₆ (by incubation at 100 °C) led to a mixture of all InsP₂ isomers, which was used in the following for spiking experiments, to verify the initial assignment (see Scheme 5).⁹

Glycerophosphoinositols (hereafter referred to as GroPIs) are produced *in vivo* by phospholipase A₂ cleavage of the glycerol-phosphate-diester in PtdInsP's. These metabolites are active as cellular signals.^{68–70} Previous syntheses of GroPIs were for example achieved *via* saponification of PtdIns.⁷¹

We envisioned synthetic access to GroPIs and non-natural derivatives using the unprotected InsP₁ isomers obtained in this study. Minnard recently demonstrated the catalytic ring-opening of epoxides with phosphate diester nucleophiles using Co(salen) complexes.⁷² Herein, we extend this approach to InsP₁ TBA salts as phosphate monoester nucleophiles. We started our exploration of suitable reaction conditions (see ESI Table 7 and Fig. 15†) with 2-InsP₁ **12** since it is readily available from InsP₆. (*S*)-glycidol was used as electrophile. Jacobsen's Co(III) salen catalyst⁷³ was added to promote ring opening of the epoxide (Scheme 6).

The reactions did not go to completion. No improvements were observed neither for longer reaction times nor for additional epoxide additions, and the crude reaction mixture was directly purified *via* SAX after an overnight reaction. This allowed isolation of the desired GroPIs derivatives and re-



Scheme 6 Synthesis of 1-GroPIs **21** and the non-natural 2-GroPIs derivative **20** *via* Co(III) catalyzed epoxide ring opening of *S*-(−)-glycidol with InsP₁ isomers.



isolation of unreacted InsP₁ starting material. The optimized reaction conditions gave access to 2-GroPIns **20** in 29% isolated yield from unprotected 2-InsP₁ **12**. Natural 1-GroPIns **21** was then obtained under analogous conditions in 25% yield. These isolated yields are comparatively low, however, this is compensated by re-isolation of the starting material (up to 65%). Of note, the required enantiopure 1-InsP₁ is now available on larger scale using the XopH dephosphorylation approach described in this paper.

Conclusion

The stereoselective synthesis of inositol phosphates and their derivatives is usually based on desymmetrization of *myo*-inositol. However, dephosphorylation of abundant *meso* InsP₆ as a complementary approach has rarely been studied and was abandoned despite promising studies in the 1990s.^{35,36} Here, we demonstrate the synthetic potential of selective enzymatic dephosphorylations as a tool to access defined InsP_x isomers and their transformation into otherwise difficult to access derivatives.

After enzymatic desymmetrization using XopH, 1-OH-InsP₅ is converted into phosphate diesters attached selectively to the 1-position using a transient phosphitylation approach with a cyclization prone P-amidite. Acidic ring opening of the ensuing cyclic pyrophosphate esters achieves convergence of complex mixtures into a single product.

This methodology unlocks new synthetic pathways, allowing hydroxyl group phosphorylation even in the presence of unprotected phosphates. The obtained InsP₆ derivatives were subjected to dephosphorylation by different phytases. With this approach, it is possible to obtain enantiopure 1-InsP₁, 1,2-InsP₂ and other derivatives. To further demonstrate the versatility of the obtained building blocks, other metabolites (2-InsP₁,⁸ 1-GroPIns^{68,70} and 2-GroPIns) were synthesized using Co(III) catalyzed glycidol epoxide openings.

Selective dephosphorylation of InsP₆ can be considered as an alternative desymmetrization route compared to classical approaches starting from inositol. One can imagine an even wider scope of selective dephosphorylations with appropriate phytases that have the potential to transform the way of how we generally think about synthesizing InsPs. Our paper provides a new entry point into the world of phosphorylated metabolites using phosphates as key strategic components that are still too “seldom used as intermediates by organic chemists”.¹

Data availability

Experimental procedures, characterization data and data supporting this article have been included as part of the electronic (ESI).[†]

Author contributions

G. M. H. and H. J. designed the molecules. G. M. H. synthesized and analyzed the compounds. G. L. helped with compound characterization by CE-MS. G. M. H. drafted the initial

manuscript and prepared the figures. K. D. and R. G. provided expertise regarding phytases and provided different phytases for experiments. E. L. and G. S. provided XopH digests and enzymes and helped with scaling-up and the phytase screening. N. J. helped with purification of molecules and isomer assignment. H. J. conceived the project. All authors provided input on the final version of the paper.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr S. Braukmüller and C. Warth from the Analytical Service Team of the University of Freiburg for NMR and HRMS measurements, respectively. We thank Dr Thomas Haas for initial experiments with XopH digests. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy (CIBSS-EXC-2189-Project ID 390939984, to Henning J. Jessen and SCHA 1274/5-1 to G. Schaaf). HJJ acknowledges support from the VW Foundation (VW Momentum Grant 98604).

Notes and references

- 1 F. H. Westheimer, *Science*, 1987, **235**, 1173–1178.
- 2 S. Kim, R. Bhandari, C. A. Brearley and A. Saiardi, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2024, **49**, 969–985.
- 3 M. Nguyen Trung, D. Furkert and D. Fiedler, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2022, **70**, 102177.
- 4 Y. Posor, W. Jang and V. Hauke, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2022, **23**, 797–816.
- 5 E. Riemer, N. J. Pullagurla, R. Yadav, P. Rana, H. J. Jessen, M. Kamleitner, G. Schaaf and D. Laha, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 1–18.
- 6 M. D. Best, H. Zhang and G. D. Prestwich, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2010, **27**, 1403.
- 7 D. Qiu, M. S. Wilson, V. B. Eisenbeis, R. K. Harmel, E. Riemer, T. M. Haas, C. Wittwer, N. Jork, C. Gu, S. B. Shears, G. Schaaf, B. Kammerer, D. Fiedler, A. Saiardi and H. J. Jessen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 6035.
- 8 M. Nguyen Trung, S. Kieninger, Z. Fandi, D. Qiu, G. Liu, N. K. Mehendale, A. Saiardi, H. Jessen, B. Keller and D. Fiedler, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2022, **8**, 1683–1694.
- 9 G. Liu, E. Riemer, R. Schneider, D. Cabuzu, O. Bonny, C. A. Wagner, D. Qiu, A. Saiardi, A. Strauss, T. Lahaye, G. Schaaf, T. Knoll, J. P. Jessen and H. J. Jessen, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2023, **4**, 300–309.
- 10 J. J. Carroll, C. Sprigg, G. Chilvers, I. Delso, M. Barker, F. Cox, D. Johnson and C. A. Brearley, *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 2024, **15**, 530–543.
- 11 M. Ito, N. Fujii, S. Kohara, S. Hori, M. Tanaka, C. Wittwer, K. Kikuchi, T. Iijima, Y. Kakimoto, K. Hirabayashi, D. Kurotaki, H. J. Jessen, A. Saiardi and E. Nagata, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2023, **299**, 102928.



- 12 X. Liu, P. W. Villalta and S. J. Sturla, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **23**, 705–712.
- 13 R. K. Harmel, R. Puschmann, M. Nguyen Trung, A. Saiardi, P. Schmieder and D. Fiedler, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 5267–5274.
- 14 M. P. Thomas, S. J. Mills and B. V. L. Potter, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1614–1650.
- 15 K. S. Bruzik and M. D. Tsai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 6361–6374.
- 16 R. J. Kubiak and K. S. Bruzik, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, **68**, 960–968.
- 17 M. L. Shipton, F. A. Jamion, S. Wheeler, A. M. Riley, F. Plasser, B. V. L. Potter and S. J. Butler, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 4979–4985.
- 18 I. Pavlovic, D. T. Thakor and H. J. Jessen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 5559–5562.
- 19 M. Wu, L. S. Chong, S. Capolicchio, H. J. Jessen, A. C. Resnick and D. Fiedler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7192–7197.
- 20 S. Capolicchio, D. T. Thakor, A. Linden and H. J. Jessen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6912–6916.
- 21 A. M. Joffrin, A. M. Saunders, D. Barneda, V. Flemington, A. L. Thompson, H. J. Sanganeer and S. J. Conway, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 2549–2557.
- 22 T. Aiba, S. Suehara, S. Choy, Y. Maekawa, H. Lotter, T. Murai, S. Inuki, K. Fukase and Y. Fujimoto, *Chem.–A Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 8304–8308.
- 23 T. Aiba, M. Sato, D. Umegaki, T. Iwasaki, N. Kambe, K. Fukase and Y. Fujimoto, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 6672–6675.
- 24 M. Bru, S. P. Kotkar, N. Kar and M. Köhn, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 1893.
- 25 E. Durantie, S. Huwiler, J.-C. Leroux and B. Castagner, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3162–3165.
- 26 B. R. Sculimbrene, A. J. Morgan and S. J. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 11653–11656.
- 27 B. R. Sculimbrene, Y. Xu and S. J. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 13182–13183.
- 28 K. J. Kayser-Bricker, P. A. Jordan and S. J. Miller, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 7015–7020.
- 29 C. M. Longo, Y. Wei, M. F. Roberts and S. J. Miller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4158–4161.
- 30 P. A. Jordan, K. J. Kayser-Bricker and S. J. Miller, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 20620–20624.
- 31 B. D. Chandler, A. L. Burkhardt, K. Foley, C. Cullis, D. Driscoll, N. Roy D'Amore and S. J. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 412–418.
- 32 M. G. Vasconcelos, R. H. C. Briggs, L. C. S. Aguiar, D. M. G. Freire and A. B. C. Simas, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2014, **386**, 7–11.
- 33 M. F. P. Ribeiro, K. C. Pais, B. S. M. de Jesus, R. Fernandez-Lafuente, D. M. G. Freire, E. A. Manoel and A. B. C. Simas, *European J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **2018**, 386–391.
- 34 J. Duchek, D. R. Adams and T. Hudlicky, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 4223–4258.
- 35 C. Blum, S. Karlsson, G. Schlewer, B. Spiess and N. Rehnberg, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 7239–7242.
- 36 C. Blum, N. Rehnberg, B. Spiess and G. Schlewer, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1997, **302**, 163–168.
- 37 M. Malmberg and N. Rehnberg, *Synlett*, 1996, **1996**, 361–362.
- 38 M. Malmberg and N. Rehnberg, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, 1996, **15**, 459–464.
- 39 A. Lindahl, M. Malmberg and N. Rehnberg, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, 1996, **15**, 549–554.
- 40 S. Adelt, O. Plettenburg, R. Stricker, G. Reiser, H.-J. Altenbach and G. Vogel, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 1262–1273.
- 41 D. Blüher, D. Laha, S. Thieme, A. Hofer, L. Eschen-Lippold, A. Masch, G. Balcke, I. Pavlovic, O. Nagel, A. Schonsky, R. Hinkelmann, J. Wörner, N. Parvin, R. Greiner, S. Weber, A. Tissier, M. Schutkowski, J. Lee, H. Jessen, G. Schaaf and U. Bonas, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 2159.
- 42 U. Konietzny and R. Greiner, *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 2002, **37**, 791–812.
- 43 R. Greiner, N. Carlsson and M. L. Alminger, *J. Biotechnol.*, 2000, **84**, 53–62.
- 44 M. Wyss, R. Brugger, A. Kronenberger, R. Rémy, R. Fimbel, G. Oesterheld, M. Lehmann and A. P. G. M. van Loon, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1999, **65**, 367–373.
- 45 T. Hayakawa, K. Suzuki, H. Miura, T. Ohno and I. Igaue, *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 1990, **54**, 279–286.
- 46 I. Maiti, *Phytochemistry*, 1974, **13**, 1047–1051.
- 47 P. E. Lim and M. E. Tate, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Enzymol.*, 1973, **302**, 316–328.
- 48 D. Cosgrove, *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 1970, **23**, 1207.
- 49 R. Greiner, *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2021, **51**, 985–989.
- 50 G. S. Cremosnik, A. Hofer and H. J. Jessen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 286–289.
- 51 K. Qian, B. Hanf, C. Cummins and D. Fiedler, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2025, **64**, e202419147.
- 52 N. W. Brown, S. K. Schlomach, A. M. Marmelstein and D. Fiedler, *ChemBioChem*, 2023, **24**, e202200407.
- 53 A. M. Marmelstein, J. A. M. Morgan, M. Penkert, D. T. Rogerson, J. W. Chin, E. Krause and D. Fiedler, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 5929–5936.
- 54 L. Yates, A. Marmelstein and D. Fiedler, *Synlett*, 2014, **25**, 2239–2245.
- 55 M. Strenkowska, P. Wanat, M. Ziemniak, J. Jemielity and J. Kowalska, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4782–4785.
- 56 S. Golojuch, M. Kopcjal, D. Strzelecka, R. Kasprzyk, N. Baran, P. J. Sikorski, J. Kowalska and J. Jemielity, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2020, **28**, 115523.
- 57 B. A. Wojtczak, M. Bednarczyk, P. J. Sikorski, A. Wojtczak, P. Surynt, J. Kowalska and J. Jemielity, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **88**, 6827–6846.
- 58 H. Lin, L. J. Leman and R. Krishnamurthy, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 13741–13747.
- 59 H. J. Jessen, T. Dürr-Mayer, T. M. Haas, A. Ripp and C. C. Cummins, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **54**, 4036–4050.
- 60 L. F. Johnson and M. E. Tate, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1969, **47**, 63–73.
- 61 K. C. Fylaktakidou, J.-M. Lehn, R. Greferath and C. Nicolau, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 1605–1608.



- 62 D. C. Billington, R. Baker, J. J. Kulagowski, I. M. Mawer, J. P. Vacca, S. J. DeSolms and J. R. Huff, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1989, 1423.
- 63 D. Menezes-Blackburn, R. Greiner and U. Konietzny, in *Enzymes in Farm Animal Nutrition*, CABI, 2022, pp. 103–123.
- 64 A. Hara, S. Ebina, A. Kondo and T. Funaguma, *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, 1985, **49**, 3539–3544.
- 65 L. Barrientos, J. J. Scott and P. Murthy, *Plant Physiol.*, 1994, **106**, 1489–1495.
- 66 J. Kerovuo, J. Rouvinen and F. Hatzack, *Biochem. J.*, 2000, **352**, 623.
- 67 D. C. Billington, R. Baker, J. J. Kulagowski and I. M. Mawer, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 1987, 314.
- 68 D. Corda, P. Zizza, A. Varone, B. M. Filippi and S. Mariggio, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2009, **66**, 3449–3467.
- 69 D. Corda, P. Zizza, A. Varone, K. S. Bruzik and S. Mariggio, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, 2012, **40**, 101–107.
- 70 L. Patrussi, S. Mariggio, D. Corda and C. T. Baldari, *Front. Immunol.*, 2013, **4**, 1–6.
- 71 K. S. Bruzik, Z. Guan, S. Riddle and M.-D. Tsai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 7679–7688.
- 72 R. L. H. Andringa, M. Jonker and A. J. Minnaard, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **20**, 2200–2204.
- 73 S. E. Schaus, B. D. Brandes, J. F. Larrow, M. Tokunaga, K. B. Hansen, A. E. Gould, M. E. Furrow and E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1307–1315.

