Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2025, 16, 12623

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 19th February 2025 Accepted 14th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc01320h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Optical imaging of biological samples in the near-infrared (NIR) I and II windows has become a tool of increasing importance for biological research and medical diagnostics.¹⁻⁴ Detection in the NIR I and II windows allows for the removal of unwanted contributions from the native fluorescence of biological materials (autofluorescence), simplifying experiments and preventing any ambiguity in the interpretation of results. The key requirement for such detection is the availability of reporters emitting in the NIR I and II windows. However, today, compounds with such emission properties suitable for biological imaging remain scarce, even more so lanthanide (Ln^{III}) based ones. Trivalent Ln^{III} are a class of metal ions that possess unique photophysical properties to address the needs of optical biological imaging in the NIR I and II

Novel lanthanide(III)/gallium(III) metallacrowns with appended visible-absorbing organic sensitizers for molecular near-infrared imaging of living cells[†]

Timothée Lathion, ^b^a Julie Bourseguin, ^b^b Svetlana V. Eliseeva, ^b*^b Matthias Zeller,^c Stéphane Petoud ^b*^b and Vincent L. Pecoraro ^{*}

Optical imaging of biological samples in the near-infrared (NIR) domain is of major interest for non-invasive experiments due to reduced light scattering, absorption and autofluorescence. Taking advantage of the maleimide moieties in the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ metallacrowns (MCs; $shi^{3-} = salicylhydroximate; mip^{2-} = 5$ -maleimido-isophthalate; $Ln = Nd^{III}$, Gd^{III} , Er^{III} , Yb^{III} and Y^{III}), we appended visible-absorbing thiol-coumarin (**C**) sensitizers through a thiol-Michael addition click reaction, affording the functionalized $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs. By using this approach, the sensitization of NIR-emitting Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} was achieved upon excitation in the visible range ($\lambda_{exc} = 420$ nm) through the appended coumarins. NIR epifluorescence microscopy of living HeLa cells incubated with the Nd^{III} derivative confirmed unambiguous detection of the signal arising from the ${}^4F_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^4I_{11/2}$ electronic transition in the range of 1050–1080 nm in the cells. These results demonstrate that the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^2-$ MC can be used as a versatile molecule for tuning the chemical and photophysical properties of the $[Ln_2Ga_8]$ MC family.

spectral domains. Due to their unique electronic configurations, they exhibit sharp emission bands that cover a large range of the visible and NIR spectra. In this respect, they are of great interest for various practical applications such as biological NIR imaging,⁵⁻¹³ solar energy conversion¹⁴⁻¹⁶ or telecommunications.^{17,18} As most of the f–f transitions are forbidden by the Laporte rule, Ln^{III} display low molar absorption coefficients, making their direct sensitization inefficient. This limitation can be overcome by using a highly absorbing organic chromophore that can sensitize the Ln^{III} through the so called "antenna effect". In this process, the chromophoric moiety (the antenna) absorbs as much light as possible, transferring the resulting energy to the accepting levels of the luminescent Ln^{III} metal ion.

Metallacrowns (MCs)¹⁹ are a class of metal complexes that have structural similarities to crown ethers. Instead of the repeating C–C–O motif, various metals are incorporated into the repeating M–N–O unit to form *x*-MCs-*y* of different sizes, where *x* and *y* refer to the number of atoms forming the MC ring and the number of metals (and ligands), respectively (Scheme 1).

The large range of metals that can be incorporated in these MCs enable the preparation of a wide variety of materials that have applications as luminescent probes for optical imaging,^{12,20,21} nanothermometers,^{22,23} white light generation,^{24,25} single molecule magnets (SMMs),²⁶⁻³⁰ magneto refrigerants,³¹⁻³³ or contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).³⁴⁻³⁶ Previously, we took advantage of the properties of [YbZn₁₆pyz₁₆]³⁺ MCs (pyz²⁻ = pyrazine hydroximate) to create combined cell fixation and NIR counter staining agents²⁰ or use them for the specific NIR imaging of necrotic cells.¹² The

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Willard H. Dow Laboratories, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1055, USA. E-mail: vlpec@umich.edu

^bCentre de Biophysique Moléculaire, CNRS, UPR 4301, Université d'Orléans, Orléans 45071, France. E-mail: svetlana.eliseeva@cnrs-orleans.fr; stephane.petoud@inserm.fr ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Detailed procedures for the synthesis of organic intermediates and their characterization (¹H NMR and ESI-MS), characterization of the MCs (¹H NMR, ESI-MS, UV-vis and IR), details about the $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ X-ray structure (deposition number CCDC 2412013), and details about photophysical characterization (absorption and emission spectra, quantum yields and lifetimes). CCDC 2412013. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc01320h



Scheme 1 Left: representation of the 12-MC-4 MC core (M–N–O coloured: N: blue; O: red; MC bonds: orange) formed by four shi^{3-} ring ligands and four Ga^{III} atoms, with the Ln^{III} occupying the central cavity. Top right: salicylhydroximate (shi^{3-}) ring ligand.

uniquely rigid structure³⁷ of [LnZn₁₆L₁₆]³⁺ MCs provides outstanding Ln^{III} luminescence quantum yields and high stability in biological media. However in both aforementioned examples of NIR imaging, MCs were not taken up by living cells.¹² We have demonstrated that the behavior of [LnZn₁₆L₁₆]³ MCs can be modulated by using a mixed-ligand approach.³⁸ In another attempt of NIR imaging applications using MCs, living HeLa cells were incubated with $[Yb_2Ga_8(shi)_8(ip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs (shi³) = salicylhydroximate; ip^{2-} = isophthalate). A NIR signal arising from Yb^{III} was detected on the surface of HeLa cells due to nonspecific interaction but MC uptake was not confirmed.³⁹ A current limitation of NIR-emitting Ln^{III}-based MCs for imaging applications is the high energy of their excitation wavelengths which implies the use of light that can be detrimental to biological samples. The excitation wavelengths of MCs are defined by the nature of the chromophoric building blocks that they are made of. By expanding the aromatic system of the ligand, the excitation wavelength can be red-shifted at the cost of reduced solubility.23,40,41 As an alternative, non-linear two-photon absorption (2PA) spectroscopy can be used to circumvent the need for lower energy excitation by the simultaneous absorption of two photons of half the energy required by the one-photon absorption (1PA) process.9,10,13,42 In our work, we focus on 1PA as it uses lower power excitation sources, and more versatile and easy to use equipment than 2PA. 1PA relies on chemical modifications of the ligands to gain access to lower-energy excitations.10,13,21,43-45 As the functionalization of the

 $[{\rm LnZn_{16}L_{16}}]^{3^+}$ MC scaffold is highly challenging, we focused our efforts on the $[{\rm Ln_2Ga_8}]^{2^-}$ MC and recently reported its functionalization with four additional Ru^{II} complexes.²¹ In these (Ru^{II})_4–[{\rm Ln_2Ga_8}] MCs, the appended Ru^{II} complexes display a metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) band located in the visible range that can be used for the sensitization of NIR-emitting Ln^{III}. However, biological imaging with these (Ru^{II})₄– [Ln₂Ga₈] MCs might be limited because of the toxicity induced by the generation of reactive oxygen species due to the efficient population of the ³MLCT electronic states of the Ru^{II} complexes.

In this work, we present a new strategy for the functionalization of the [Ln2Ga8] MC scaffold using a conjugated organic sensitizer, a coumarin chromophore, that is not part of the MC building blocks, but is attached to it, allowing the sensitization of NIR-emitting Ln^{III}. As the basis of our design, we chose MCs where Ga^{III} is used in the M-N-O repeating unit along with salicylhydroximate ligands to coordinate Ln^{III} (Scheme 1) leading to complexes with high NIR luminescence intensity, such as the $[LnGa_4(shi)_4(bz)_4]$ pym MCs (hereafter $[LnGa_4]$; bz⁻ = benzoate; $pym^+ = pyridinium)^{46}$ and their corresponding $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8($ ip)₄](NH₄)₂ MC dimers (hereafter [Ln₂Ga₈]).³⁹ More specifically, in the $[Ln_2Ga_8]$ MC dimers, the 5 position of the isophthalate bridging ligands can be used for the functionalization of these MCs. The 5-maleimido-isophthalic acid bridging ligand (Scheme 2, H₂mip) was previously designed for this purpose.⁴⁷ It allows for a convenient functionalization of these MCs via a base mediated thiol-Michael addition click reaction.^{21,47-49} In [LnGa₄] or [Ln₂Ga₈] MCs, Ln^{III} are typically sensitized through an excitation in the absorption band of the Ga^{III}/shi scaffold in the UV region $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 320 \text{ nm})$, a wavelength that can result in significant damage to living cells. We have chosen to use coumarins as an additional Ln^{III} sensitizer in our effort to (i) add an excitation band of the complex at lower energy on the basis of their absorption wavelength and (ii) to promote the biocompatibility of the MC through the coumarins.^{50,51} Coumarins and carbostyrils have been previously reported to sensitize Ln^{III} emission in the visible range⁵²⁻⁵⁶ and in the NIR range.⁵⁷ However, the wavelength corresponding to the maximum of the absorption band of these complexes usually lies at around $\lambda_{max} = 310-340$ nm. In this work, we took into account that the addition of a dimethyl amino group



Scheme 2 Preparation of the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC precursors and their functionalization with C through a thiol-Michael addition click reaction.

in the 7-position of the coumarin scaffold results in the shift of the maximum absorption to ≈ 420 nm.⁵⁸ This wavelength is sufficiently differentiated from the UV band of the MC scaffold ($\lambda_{\rm max} = 320$ nm) to probe the sensitization of the NIR emitting Ln^{III} through the 7-diethylamino-coumarin (Scheme 2, C) in this new generation of MCs. In addition, our goal was not only to analyze the NIR luminescence properties of these functionalized [Ln₂Ga₈] MCs but also to study their behavior and performance as imaging agents in proof-of-concept experiments on living HeLa cells.

 $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs were prepared either by reacting [LnGa₄] MCs with the H_2 mip bridging ligand (Ln = Y^{III}, Gd^{III}, $\mathrm{Er}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and $\mathrm{Yb}^{\mathrm{III}}$) or in a one-step self-assembly reaction (Ln = Nd^{III}). They were further reacted with the thiol-coumarin antenna C through a base mediated thiol-Michael addition click reaction, affording the coumarin-functionalized [Ln₂- $Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4^{2-}$ MCs (Scheme 2). The synthesized MCs were characterized by ESI-MS, elemental analysis, and UV-vis and IR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR characterization of the MCs was performed using the diamagnetic Y^{III} analogues. X-ray single crystal analysis was performed on the $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC. The Ln^{III}-centered luminescence properties of these MCs were recorded for the Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} analogues. The Gd^{III} analogue was used for the determination of the singlet (S_1) and triplet (T₁) state energy levels of C in these MCs. HeLa cell imaging experiments (NIR epifluorescence microscopy and visible confocal microscopy) and cytotoxicity assays were performed to evaluate the potential of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-1}$ MCs for biological imaging.

Experimental section

Organic synthesis

All reagents and chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. Silica (215–400 mesh) was used for flash column chromatography. 5-Maleimido-isophthalic acid H_2 mip,⁴⁷ 7-(diethylamino)-3-coumarin carboxylic acid 3 (ref. 58–61) and *S*-tritylcysteamine linker 6 (ref. 62) were synthesized according to or by modifying existing procedures (see Section S1, ESI† for detailed procedures). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of synthesized molecules are reported in Fig. S1–S8, ESI.†

Preparation of [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]pym₂ MCs

 $[{\rm Ln}_2{\rm Ga}_8({\rm shi})_8({\rm mip})_4]pym_2$ MCs were prepared as previously reported.⁴⁷ 1.0 \times 10⁻¹ mmol of $[{\rm LnGa}_4({\rm shi})_4({\rm bz})_4]pym$ MCs (1.0 eq., Ln = Y^{III}, Gd^{III}, Er^{III}, Yb^{III}) and 55.8 mg of 5-maleimido-isophthalic acid (H₂mip, 2.10 \times 10⁻¹ mmol, 2.1 eq.) were dissolved in 2 mL of dimethylformamide. Precipitation occurred within 10 min and the stirring was continued overnight. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the solid was dispersed in 5 mL of methanol. The solid was filtered using a Büchner funnel, thoroughly washed with methanol, and dried in air to yield $[{\rm Ln}_2{\rm Ga}_8({\rm shi})_8({\rm mip})_4]pym_2$ MCs as beige solids.

 $[Y_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]$ pym₂. The synthetic yield was 66% based on $[YGa_4(shi)_4(bz)_4]$ pym. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90:10, softnegative mode, *m*/*z*): $[Y_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4 + 4MeOH]^{2-}$: 1550.76 (calc.), 1550.74 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[Y_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]$ pym2 \cdot 9.3H2O: calc.: % C 41.48, % H 2.52, % N 5.94; found: % C 41.53, % H 2.57, % N 5.93.

 $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]pym_2$. The synthetic yield was 67% based on $[GdGa_4(shi)_4(bz)_4]pym$. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, m/z): $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$: 1554.73 (calc.), 1554.75 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(-mip)_4]pym_2 \cdot 8.5H_2O$: calc.: % C 40.00, % H 2.38, % N 5.73; found: % C 40.11, % H 2.50, % N 5.70.

 $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{mip})_4]\text{pym}_2$. The synthetic yield was 76% based on $[\text{ErGa}_4(\text{shi})_4(\text{bz})_4]$ pym. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90:10, softnegative mode, m/z): $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{mip})_4]^{2-}$: 1564.73 (calc.), 1564.76 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{mip})_4]$ pym₂-·12.6H₂O: calc.: % C 38.93, % H 2.56, % N 5.58; found: % C 38.94, % H 2.32, % N 5.34.

[Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]pym₂. The synthetic yield was 86% based on [YbGa₄(shi)₄(bz)₄]pym. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, *m*/*z*): [Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]^{2−}: 1570.74 (calc.), 1570.76 (exp.). Elemental analysis of [Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(-mip)₄]pym₂ · 12.3H₂O: calc.: % C 38.86, % H 2.53, % N 5.57; found: % C 38.82, % H 2.36, % N 5.39.

Preparation of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$ MC by slow evaporation of DMF

275.6 mg of salicylhydroxamic acid (H₃shi, 1.8 mmol, 8.0 eq.), 235.1 mg of 5-maleimido-isophthalic acid (H₂mip, 0.9 mmol, 4.0 eq.), 460.3 mg of Ga(NO₃)₃ hydrate (1.8 mmol, 8.0 eq.) and 197.3 mg of Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.45 mmol, 2.0 eq.) were dissolved in 18 mL of dimethylformamide and 1097 µL of triethylamine (7.88 mmol, 35.0 eq.) were added to the solution. The solution was stirred for 30 min, filtered, and set for crystallization by slow evaporation of the solvent. Small needles grew after a few weeks and were carefully collected using a shortened/cut Pasteur pipette, and filtered and dried in air to yield 38.0 mg of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$ MC as a light-yellow solid (1.16 × 10^{-2} mmol, 5% based on Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O). ESI-MS (MeOH/ DMSO 90:10, soft-negative mode, m/z): $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8($ $mip_4^{\gamma^2-}$: 1541.71 (calc.), 1541.68 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2 \cdot 10.4H_2O: calc.: \% C 40.09, \% H$ 3.04, % N 5.64; found: % C 40.11, % H 3.21, % N 5.77.

Preparation of [Nd₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ MC by diffusion of *t*BuOMe

92.0 mg of salicylhydroxamic acid (H_3 shi, 6.01×10^{-1} mmol, 8.0 eq.), 78.4 mg of 5-maleimido-isophthalic acid (H_2 mip, 3.00 $\times 10^{-1}$ mmol, 4.0 eq.), 153.6 mg of Ga(NO₃)₃ hydrate (6.01 $\times 10^{-1}$ mmol, 8.0 eq.) and 65.6 mg of Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.15 mmol, 2.0 eq.) were dissolved in 12 mL of dimethylformamide. 370 µL of triethylamine (2.65 mmol, 35.0 eq.) were added to the solution which was stirred for 30 min, filtered, and set for crystallization by slow diffusion of *tert*-butyl methyl ether (*t*BuOMe). Cubic crystals grew within two weeks and were collected using a shortened/cut Pasteur pipette, and filtered and dried in air to yield 102.8 mg of [Nd₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ MC as a lightyellow solid (3.13 $\times 10^{-2}$ mmol, 41% based on Nd(NO₃)₃· ·6H₂O). ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, *m*/z):

Chemical Science

Preparation of [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ MCs

 7.5×10^{-3} mmol of $[{\rm Ln}_2{\rm Ga}_8({\rm shi})_8({\rm mip})_4]{\rm X}_2$ MC (1.0 eq., X = pyridinium for Ln = Y^{\rm III}, Gd^{\rm III}, Er^{\rm III} and Yb^{\rm III}; X = HNEt_3^+ for Nd^{\rm II}) and 4.5 \times 10⁻² mmol of C (6.0 eq.) were suspended in 2.0 mL of dimethylformamide. 21 μL of triethylamine (0.15 mmol, 20.0 eq.) were added to the suspension which quickly dissolved. The stirring was continued for 30 min and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The solid was suspended in 20 mL of methanol, filtered using a Büchner funnel, thoroughly washed with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 30 mL) and methanol (2 \times 30 mL) and dried in air to yield the corresponding $[{\rm Ln}_2{\rm Ga}_8({\rm shi})_8({\rm C-mip})_4]({\rm HNEt}_3)_2$ MCs as yellow solids.

[Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂. The synthetic yield was 54% based on [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]pym₂. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, *m*/*z*): [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]^{2−}: 2126.95 (calc.), 2126.91 (exp.). Elemental analysis of [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ · 12.3H₂O: calc.: % C 46.19, % H 4.06, % N 6.58; found: % C 45.94, % H 3.80, % N 6.46.

 $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$. The synthetic yield was 70% based on $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]pym_2$. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, *m/z*): $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$: 2195.97 (calc.), 2195.93 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2 \cdot 12.7H_2O$: calc.: % C 44.81, % H 3.96, % N 6.39; found: % C 44.67, % H 3.81, % N 6.28.

 $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$. The synthetic yield was 61% based on $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$. ESI-MS (MeOH/ DMSO 90:10, soft-negative mode, m/z): $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$: 2181.95 (calc.), 2181.92 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2 \cdot 16.9H_2O$: calc.: % C 44.36, % H 4.09, % N 6.32; found: % C 43.98, % H 3.70, % N 6.16.

 $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{C-mip})_4](\text{HNEt}_3)_2$. The synthetic yield was 74% based on $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{mip})_4]$ pym₂. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, m/z): $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{C-mip})_4]^{2^-}$: 2205.98 (calc.), 2205.94 (exp.). Elemental analysis of $[\text{Er}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{C-mip})_4](\text{HNEt}_3)_2 \cdot 12.6\text{H}_2\text{O}$: calc.: % C 44.64, % H 3.94, % N 6.36; found: % C 44.40, % H 3.69, % N 6.24.

[Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂. The synthetic yield was 81% based on [Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]pym₂. ESI-MS (MeOH/DMSO 90 : 10, soft-negative mode, *m*/*z*): [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]^{2−}: 2211.48 (calc.), 2211.44 (exp.). Elemental analysis of [Yb₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ · 11.4H₂O: calc.: % C 44.74, % H 3.90, % N 6.38; found: % C 44.52, % H 3.68, % N 6.27.

Analytical measurements

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance Neo 500 (500 MHz) or a Varian MR400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm with respect to TMS. ESI-MS spectra were recorded on an Agilent 6230 TOF HPLC-MS spectrometer in negative or positive modes using the following ionization and cone potentials: 240 V and 65 V for organic molecules and 350 V and 50 V for MCs. Stock solutions of MCs

at 1 mg mL⁻¹ in DMSO were prepared and further diluted to 80– 200 µg mL⁻¹ in MeOH/DMSO 90:10. Recorded data were processed using the Agilent MassHunter software. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab. UV-vis spectra were recorded in DMSO on a Varian Cary 100 Bio UV-visible spectrophotometer using 1 cm Hellma QS or QX quartz glass cuvettes. IR spectra were collected in the solid state on a Thermo-Nicolet IS-50 spectrometer (ATR-FTIR). Recorded data were processed using Thermo Scientific OMNIC Specta software.

Photophysical properties

Photophysical measurements were performed on powder samples or freshly prepared solutions of MCs in DMSO placed in 2.4 mm i.d. quartz capillaries or Suprasil® cells. Emission and excitation spectra were measured on a modified Horiba-Jobin-Yvon Fluorolog 3 spectrofluorimeter equipped with visible and NIR photomultiplier tubes (PMTs: R13456 and H10330-75 from Hamamatsu) upon excitation with a continuous xenon lamp. Phosphorescence spectra of Gd^{III} MCs were measured at 77 K in time-resolved mode. All spectra were corrected for the instrumental functions. Luminescence lifetimes were determined under excitation at 355 nm provided by a Nd:YAG laser (YG 980; Quantel). The signals in the NIR range were detected with a Hamamatsu H10330-75 PMT connected to an iHR320 monochromator (Horiba Scientific). The output signals from the detectors were fed into a 500 MHz bandpass digital oscilloscope (TDS 754C; Tektronix). Luminescence lifetimes are averages of at least three independent measurements. Quantum yields were determined with a modified Fluorolog 3 spectrofluorimeter based on the absolute method using an integration sphere (Model G8, GMP SA, Renens, Switzerland). Each sample was measured several times. The experimental error for the determination of quantum yields is estimated as 10%.

NIR luminescence imaging

Images of quartz capillaries containing MC DMSO solutions $(C_{MC} = 3.04 \ \mu\text{M}; A = 0.5 \text{ for a 1 cm}$ optical path length at $\lambda_{abs} = 421.4 \text{ nm}$) were recorded using a custom-designed NIR-II Kaer Labs imaging system that includes a ZEPHIR 1.7× camera (Photon *etc.*, Montréal, Quebec, Canada). The sample was excited using a Nikon C-HGFI Intensilight source combined with a 417/60 nm bandpass (BP) filter. Emission signals were recorded using an 800 nm longpass (LP) filter along with additional BP filters depending on the nature of the monitored Ln^{III} · BP996/70 nm; Nd^{III} – BP1065/30 nm and BP1365/130 nm; Er^{III} – BP1530/30 nm. The recorded images were treated using the Fiji distribution of ImageJ/ImageJ2.⁶³

Single crystal X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray crystallographic data for $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(-mip)_4]^{2-}$ were collected at 150 K (Oxford Cryosystems low temperature device) on a Bruker Quest diffractometer with a fixed chi angle, a Mo K α wavelength ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) sealed fine focus X-ray tube, a single crystal curved graphite incident beam

monochromator and a Photon II area detector. Data were collected, reflections were indexed and processed, and the files scaled and corrected for absorption using APEX4 (ref. 64) and SADABS.⁶⁵ The space groups were assigned using XPREP within the SHELXTL suite of programs^{66,67} and solved by direct methods using ShelXT⁶⁸ and refined by full matrix least squares against F^2 with all reflections using Shelxl2018 (ref. 69 and 70) using the graphical interface Shelxle.⁷¹ The unit cell metrically fits a double orthorhombic F-centered cell but the structure realizes only monoclinic C-centered symmetry. No twinning by the higher metric symmetry was observed. Attempts have been made to refine the structure in C2 and P1 space groups (without inversion centers and mirror planes) under inclusion of possible twin operations. 1:1 disorder, however, persisted and no better fit to the data was observed. Thus, the highest possible symmetry, C2/m, was used (see Section S3, ESI[†] for additional details). The deposition number CCDC 2412013 contains the supplementary crystallographic data.

HeLa cell culture

The HeLa (human cervical adenocarcinoma) cell line from ATCC was used for viability assays and cell imaging. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco; 4.5 g per L glucose, no pyruvate, GlutaMAX) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), and 1% of streptomycin and penicillin antibiotics (Gibco). HeLa cells were routinely seeded at densities of 10'000–20'000 cells cm⁻², reaching 80% confluency at the end of an experiment. Cells were cultured at 5% CO_2 , 37 °C and 95% humidity.

Viability assay

HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well black wall clear bottom plates at a density of 1.5×10^4 cells per well and cultured at 37 °C in a 5% humidified CO₂ atmosphere until the next day. After 24 h of attachment, cells were incubated with $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC at different concentrations for 24 h (4 µL of MC in DMSO stock solutions at concentrations of 0.001–5 mg mL⁻¹ were added to the cells in Opti-MEM (200 µL), giving final MC concentrations of 0.2–100 µg mL⁻¹, or 0.04–8.75 µM). DMSO was used as a control (2% in Opti-MEM). After 24 h, the cells were incubated with alamarBlue (10% v/v; DL1025-Invitrogen) for 1 h at 37 °C in a 5% humidified CO₂ atmosphere. The fluorescence intensity of the alamarBlue was recorded on a CLARIOstar® Plus (BMG Labtech, $\lambda_{exc} = BP545/20$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = BP600/40$ nm).

NIR HeLa cell imaging

HeLa cells were seeded in an eight-well 1.5 Borosilicate glass Lab-TekTM II (Nunc) at a density of 2×10^4 cells per well and cultured at 37 °C in a 5% humidified CO₂ atmosphere. After 24 h, the cell culture medium was removed and the cells were washed twice with Opti-MEM at 298 K. They were then incubated with $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC at 40 µg mL⁻¹ (8.75 µM) for 3 h (4 µL of a 2 mg per mL MC stock solution in DMSO were added to the cells in Opti-MEM (200 µL), giving a final MC concentration of 40 µg mL⁻¹). DMSO was used as a control (2%

in Opti-MEM). The cells were washed twice with Opti-MEM prior to epifluorescence and confocal microscopy experiments. Brightfield and epifluorescence microscopy images were acquired with an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with an EMCCD Evolve 512 (Roper Scientific) and ZEPHIR 1.7× (Photon etc., Montréal, Quebec, Canada) photometric cameras. The samples were excited using a Nikon C-HGFI Intensilight source combined with BP414/46 nm or BP417/ 60 nm filters. C-centered emission in the visible range was collected using a BP482/35 nm filter while the Nd^{III} signal in the NIR II was selected with a BP1065/30 nm filter. Images were obtained with Nikon Plan Apo λ 20× and Nikon Apo TIRF 60× objectives. Laser confocal scanning microscopy was performed on an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with a Nikon C2+ confocal system. The samples were excited with a 405 nm laser while the C-centered fluorescence was collected with a spectral detector in the range of 475-600 nm. Images were acquired with Nikon Plan Apo λ 20× and/or Nikon Apo TIRF $60 \times$ objectives. The recorded images were treated using the Fiji distribution of ImageJ/ImageJ2.63 Brightness and contrast were adjusted automatically (brightfield images) or manually (fluorescence and NIR images). The merging of brightfield and NIR images was performed using the "Landmark Correspondences" plugin in Fiji (ImageJ/ImageJ2).63

Results and discussion

Organic synthesis

The Knœvenagel condensation of Meldrum's acid 2 with 4-(diethylamino)-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 1 in refluxing ethanol, followed by a recrystallization from boiling ethanol yielded the pure coumarin 3-carboxylic acid 3 (Scheme S1, ESI†). Its activation as an *N*-hydroxy succinimide ester⁶⁰ and further coupling to the *S*-tritylcysteamine linker **6** (ref. 62) ultimately provided the thiol-coumarin antenna **C** after deprotection of the trityl group.

Design of MCs

The use of the H_2 mip bridging ligand that contains a maleimido group offers several advantages. Only one MC needs to be prepared and can subsequently be functionalized with various thiol-containing compounds of interest. Additionally, H_2 mip is a small bridging ligand and reduces the risk of steric hindrance and solubility issues that come with bigger chromophorecontaining bridges that render MC preparation more challenging.

Preparation of MCs

The $[LnGa_4(shi)_4(bz)_4]pym$ $(Ln = Y^{III}, Gd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III})$ MCs were prepared by modifying a procedure that we published previously⁴⁶ (Section S2.1, ESI[†]). The corresponding $[Ln_2Ga_8(-shi)_8(mip)_4]pym_2$ MC dimers were then obtained by substituting the benzoates in the $[LnGa_4]$ precursors with the **H**₂**mip** bridging ligands.⁴⁷ As $[NdGa_4]$ could not be isolated, which can be explained by the larger size of Nd^{III},⁴⁶ $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(-mip)_4]$ (HNEt₃)₂ was prepared in a one-step self-assembly

reaction using a 2:8:8:4 molar ratio of Nd(NO₃)₃, Ga(NO₃)₃, H₃shi and H₂mip in DMF in the presence of NEt₃ as a base. Crystals of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$ were obtained either by slow evaporation of DMF or by diffusion of *t*BuOMe.

Functionalization of MCs

[Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ MCs were prepared by basemediated thiol-Michael addition click reactions of C onto the maleimido groups of the corresponding $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-1}$ MCs. Because the strength and nature of the base governs the amount of thiolate present in solution,⁷² NEt₃ was used as its pK_a (10.75 in water)⁷³ is slightly above the pK_a of the cysteamine moiety in C (10.53 in water).⁷³ As a result, the functionalization of the MCs reaches completion within minutes, as confirmed by ESI-MS. A slight excess of C was used (6 eq. per MC, or 1.5 eq. per maleimido substituent, Section S2.2, ESI⁺) to ensure a complete functionalization of the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs. An excess of NEt₃ (20 eq. per MC) was used to ensure the complete substitution of the pyridinium counter-cations in the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]$ pym₂ MC precursors (Fig. S10, ESI;† Ln = Y^{III}, Gd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III}), yielding the coumarin-functionalized triethylammonium derivatives [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ MCs (Fig. 2). Because the $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC precursor is already prepared in a one-step process as the HNEt₃⁺ derivative, the pyridinium substitution does not apply in this case.

Molecular structure of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$ in the solid state

X-ray quality crystalline beige needles were grown by slow evaporation of a concentrated DMF solution containing $Nd(NO_3)_3$, $Ga(NO_3)_3$, H_3 shi and H_2 mip in a 2 : 8:8 : 4 molar ratio with 35.0 eq. of NEt₃. The analysis of the structure obtained by single crystal X-ray diffraction with the SHAPE software74,75 reveals that, as expected for the [LnGa₄] and [Ln₂Ga₈] MC families, the Nd^{III} metal ion adopts a square antiprism coordination geometry (Table S2, ESI⁺). The HNEt₃⁺ counter-cations could not be located within the resolved section of the molecular structure and are likely residing within the unresolved section related to disordered elements of the structure. Their presence was evidenced by ¹H NMR measurements on the isolated $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4](HNEt_3)_2$ MC (Fig. S27, ESI[†]). This molecular structure obtained by X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1) also highlights the location of the four maleimide moieties pointing outwards, giving ample space for further functionalization of the MC.

MC characterization

MCs were characterized by ESI-MS (Fig. S12–S21, ESI[†]), elemental analysis, and UV-vis (Fig. S22 and S23, ESI[†]) and ATR-FTIR spectroscopy (Fig. S24, ESI[†]). The diamagnetic Y^{III} analogues were prepared and characterized by 1D and 2D ¹H NMR experiments (Fig. S9–S11, ESI[†]). The results fully support the formation of the expected MCs. The spectra of both [Y₂-Ga₈(shi)₈(mip)₄]^{2–} and [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]^{2–} MCs show the typically observed^{39,47} splitting of the e and f protons (Fig. 2, S10 and S11, ESI[†]). The cause of this splitting is likely due to an



Fig. 1 Structure of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ obtained from single crystal X-ray diffraction (deposition number CCDC 2412013). Left: side view; right: top-down view. Color code: Nd^{III}: cyan; Ga^{III}: rose; O: red; N: light blue; C: grey. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. While the above picture is a good general representation of the MC, it does not accurately reflect the crystal structure content, which is more complex due to the presence of symmetry elements (Fig. S25, ESI†).

inequivalence of the two [LnGa₄] subunits in the [Ln₂Ga₈] MCs, resulting in a lower symmetry of the MC in solution compared to the one observed in the solid state on single crystals.⁷⁶ In $[Y_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$, the signals of the two geminal protons g are split as they become chemically non-equivalent after the connection of C to the maleimide groups using the thiol-Michael addition click reaction.

UV-vis absorption of the MCs

The absorption spectra of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs $(Ln = Y^{III}, Gd^{III}, Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III})$ contain two broad absorption bands located at high energy³⁹ with $\lambda_{max} \approx 268$ and 318 nm. These signals are assigned to the electronic structure of the MC-based scaffold (Fig. 3, black and red full lines). Following the functionalization of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs with the coumarin derivative **C**, the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs display in addition to the band in the UV region $(\lambda_{max} = 314.5-320.5 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon =$ 4.28×10^4 to $6.50 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ a broad band in the visible range with high molar extinction coefficients $(\lambda_{max} = 421.0 421.5 \text{ nm}, \varepsilon = 1.57 \times 10^5$ to $1.65 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$. The extinction coefficients are in line with the value corresponding to four **C** moieties (Fig. 3, black dotted line), confirming the complete



Fig. 2 1 H NMR spectrum of [Y₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄](HNEt₃)₂ in DMSO-d₆ at 298 K.



Fig. 3 UV-vis absorption spectra of $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(mip)_4]^{2-}$ (black line, $C_{MC} = 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ M), $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ (red line, $C_{MC} = 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ M) and the thiol-coumarin antenna **C** multiplied four times (black dotted line) in DMSO at 298 K. The UV-vis spectra of Y^{III}, Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} MCs are presented in the ESI (Fig. S22 and S23†).

functionalization of all maleimide sites of the MC. The presence of this additional band should result in the possibility to sensitize NIR-emitting Ln^{III} in the $[\text{Ln}_2\text{Ga}_8(\text{shi})_8(\text{C-mip})_4]^{2-}$ MCs with an excitation wavelength shifted by 104 nm towards lower energy which corresponds to a decrease of $\approx 7700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In addition, the molar extinction coefficients of all the MCs formed with different Ln^{III} also increase by a factor of ≈ 3 . This is highly desirable as the overall brightness of the MC results from the combination of the absorption (through the molar extinction coefficient) and the quantum yield of the NIRemitting MC.⁷⁷

Photophysical properties

Ln^{III}-centered luminescence properties of MCs were studied both in the solid state and in DMSO solution (Fig. 4 and S28– S30, ESI;† Tables 1 and S3, ESI†). Upon excitation into the Ccentered electronic band at $\lambda_{max} = 420$ nm, the characteristic emission signal of Yb^{III} centered at 991 nm, arising from the ${}^{2}F_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{2}F_{7/2}$ electronic transition, is observed (Fig. 4, S29 and



Fig. 4 Corrected and normalized emission spectra of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs upon excitation into the C-centered electronic band at $\lambda_{exc} = 420$ nm (50 μ M in DMSO, 298 K).

Table 1 Ln^{III}-centered quantum yields values (Q_{Ln}^{L}) and observed luminescence lifetimes (τ_{obs}) of [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MCs in solution at 298 K

мс	Solvent	$Q_{\mathrm{Ln}}^{\mathrm{L}a}$, %	$\tau_{\rm obs}{}^{b}$, µs
$[\mathrm{Nd}_2\mathrm{Ga}_8(\mathrm{shi})_8(\mathrm{C}\text{-}\mathrm{mip})_4]^{2-}$	DMSO ^{c} H ₂ O/DMSO 100 : 2 ^{d}		
$[Er_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ $[Yb_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$	DMSO ^c	$\begin{array}{l} 4.8(3) \times 10^{-3} \\ 2.12(3) \times 10^{-2} \end{array}$	7.63(5)
a $\lambda_{\rm exc} = 420$ nm. b $\lambda_{\rm exc} = 355$ nm. c $C = 50$ $\mu M.$ d $C = 8.75$ $\mu M.$			

S30, ESI[†]), as well as three independent sharp NIR signals for the MC formed with Nd^{III} (centered at 884 nm due to the ${}^{4}F_{3/2}$ \rightarrow ⁴I_{9/2} electronic transition; 1063 nm due to the ⁴F_{3/2} \rightarrow ⁴I_{11/2} electronic transition and 1338 nm due to the $^4F_{3/2}$ \rightarrow $^4I_{13/2}$ electronic transition) and one signal for the Er^{III} analogue (centered at 1524 nm due to the ${}^{4}I_{13/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{15/2}$ electronic transition). Moreover, upon monitoring the emission of the main transition of Nd^{III} and Er^{III}, the excitation spectra display a broad band matching with the envelope of the corresponding absorption spectra of C in [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MCs (Fig. S29, ESI[†]). In the excitation spectrum of Yb^{III}, this band is less apparent (Fig. S29 and S30, ESI[†]). These results confirm that the sensitization of Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} in [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C $mip_{4}^{2^{-}}$ is occurring through the electronic states of the C sensitizer. The Nd^{III} MC was chosen as the most promising candidate for living cell imaging due to its ability to emit the largest amount of NIR photons as it displays the highest quantum yield in the NIR range within the studied series of MCs (Table 1). Therefore, we evaluated its photophysical properties in aqueous media at the same concentration used for cell imaging and proved that they are preserved under these conditions (H₂O/DMSO 100:2, $C_{MC} = 8.75 \mu$ M, Table 1 and Fig. S31, ESI[†]).

Ligand-centered photophysical properties. The lowest singlet (S_1) and triplet (T_1) energy levels of the C antenna in



Fig. 5 UV-vis absorption (black dotted line), excitation (black line, λ_{em} = 500 nm), fluorescence (red line, λ_{exc} = 420 nm) and phosphorescence spectra (blue line, λ_{exc} = 420 nm, τ_{delay} = 500 µs) of the [Gd₂-Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MC, 50 µM in DMSO, 298 K and in frozen solution at 77 K (phosphorescence).

 $[\rm Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs were determined by recording the fluorescence and phosphorescence spectra of the Gd^{III} analogue, respectively (Fig. 5). It was found that $[\rm Gd_2Ga_8(-shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC in DMSO solution displays a fluorescence band centered at $\lambda_{\rm fluo}=470$ nm, with a Stokes shift of 49 nm; the phosphorescence band is located in the range of 525–750 nm with the maximum at $\lambda_{\rm phos}=568$ nm.

 S_1 was taken as the intersection of the absorption and the fluorescence spectra and lies at 22 370 cm⁻¹. T_1 was determined as the 0–0 transition of the deconvoluted phosphorescence spectrum and equals 17 825 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S32, ESI†). Both S_1 and T_1 electronic levels are plotted on the energy diagram (Fig. 6), along with Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} electronic states.⁷⁸

As previously reported,⁷⁹ an efficient intersystem crossing (ISC) from S₁ to T₁ in an organic sensitizer can be reasonably expected when the energy difference between these two excited states is around 5000 cm⁻¹. Here, we observe a $\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)$ value of 4545 cm⁻¹ which suggests a favorable ISC within the C-centered electronic states in $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs. The energy difference between the T₁ state of the coumarin antenna and the ${}^2F_{5/2}$ emissive electronic level of Yb^{III} is large ($\Delta E = 7325$ cm⁻¹) and results in a relatively limited efficiency of this energy transfer. On the other hand, Nd^{III} and Er^{III} possess multiple electronic levels located at higher energy that can be easily populated through the T₁ state of the C antenna. These states can eventually undergo internal conversion to the lowest in energy main emissive electronic levels of Nd^{III} (⁴F_{3/2}) and Er^{III} (⁴I_{13/2}).

NIR II luminescence imaging. With this strategy, we have, for the first time, designed and synthesized NIR emitting MCs that can be excited using an additional organic sensitizer at a wavelength corresponding to lower energy, away from the UV range, opening unprecedented perspectives for cellular imaging in living cells. Indeed, UV excitation on living cells induces a high risk of toxicity and or/perturbation of the cellular system to be monitored. The luminescence of the three NIR-emitting [Ln₂-Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MCs (Ln = Nd^{III}, Yb^{III} and Er^{III}) was first



Fig. 6 Energies of the electronic levels of Nd^{III}, Er^{III} and Yb^{III} ions⁷⁸ along with lowest singlet S₁ (red dotted line) and triplet T₁ states (blue dotted line) of **C** in $[Gd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$. The vertical arrows represent the electronic transitions yielding to Ln^{III} luminescence (in green: the green emission of Er^{III} in the visible range; in dark red: the emission of Nd^{III}, Er^{IIII} and Yb^{III} in the NIR domain).



Fig. 7 Recorded luminescence images of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs in DMSO at 298 K in quartz capillaries ($C_{MC} = 3.04 \ \mu$ M; A = 0.5 for a 1 cm optical path at $\lambda_{max} = 421.4 \ nm; \lambda_{exc}$ was selected with a BP417/ 60 nm filter). The NIR emission signal was recorded using a LP800 nm filter combined with additional BP filters depending on the nature of the Ln^{III} considered. Yb^{III}: BP996/70 nm; Nd^{III}: BP1065/30 nm and BP1365/130 nm; Er^{III}: BP1530/30 nm. Exposition times: $\tau_{exp} = 0.5$ to 1 s.

monitored in capillaries with the help of a macroscopic NIR imaging setup designed for small animal imaging. DMSO solutions of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs were placed in quartz capillaries. The Ln^{III}-centered luminescence was monitored upon excitation of the **C** antenna with light selected using a BP417/60 nm filter (Fig. 7).

The collected images show that the Ln^{III}-centered NIR emission in [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MCs obtained upon excitation of the C antenna (Fig. 7, top middle panel) allows the observation of the signal of each Ln^{III} with an excellent signalto-noise ratio. The specific emission signals of each of the three NIR-emitting Ln^{III} can be easily discriminated using a narrow band interferential filter specific to the selected Ln^{III} due to the ability of the corresponding $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs to emit enough photons. The low emission signal observed using a LP800 nm filter and arising from the [Gd2Ga8(shi)8(C mip_{4}]²⁻ MC (Fig. 7, top middle panel) is due to the residual fluorescence of the coumarin chromophore. It is worth noting that, while recording the signal arising from the Yb^{III 2}F_{5/2} \rightarrow ²F_{7/2} transition centered at 991 nm, a residual luminescence of the Nd^{III 4}F_{3/2} \rightarrow ⁴I_{11/2} transition with a maximum at 1063 nm was detected (Fig. 7, top right panel), being not fully blocked by the BP996/70 nm filter. Overall, this experiment is a direct way to show that solutions of $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ emit a sufficient number of photons to ensure a sensitive and unambiguous detection with a biological NIR imaging setup.

Biological imaging

Cytotoxicity of the MCs. To assess the biocompatibility of the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MCs, HeLa cells were incubated with different concentrations of the MC for 24 h and the cytotoxicity was evaluated by the alamarBlueTM assay (Fig. 8).⁸⁰



Fig. 8 Results of HeLa cell viability tests performed using different concentrations of the $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC (24 h incubation time, $C_{\rm MC}=0.2{-}100~\mu g~m L^{-1}).$

In average, the HeLa cell viability remains above 0.95 within a MC concentration range of 0.2–40 μ g mL⁻¹ (0.04–8.75 μ M). At a concentration of 100 μ g mL⁻¹, the MC starts to become cytotoxic and the viability drops to 0.86(2).

HeLa cell imaging. As the next step of the evaluation of this new family of MCs, the ability of $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ to be used as a NIR imaging agent in a proof-of-concept experiment in living HeLa cells was tested. The Nd^{III} MC was chosen as it emits the highest number of photons upon excitation of the C antenna based on its quantum yield value. HeLa cells were incubated with $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ for 3 h at a noncytotoxic concentration of 40 µg mL⁻¹ (8.75 µM). At the end of the incubation time, the solution was removed and the HeLa cells were washed with 200 µL of Opti-MEM cell culture medium $(2\times)$. Epifluorescence microscopy images were collected upon excitation of the C sensitizer while monitoring the Nd^{III} signal



Fig. 9 Images obtained from epifluorescence microscopy experiments on living HeLa cells incubated with $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ MC at 40 µg mL⁻¹ (8.75 µM) for 3 h. From left to right: brightfield image (exposition time: 10 ms), Nd^{III} NIR luminescence (λ_{exc} : BP417/60 nm; λ_{em} : BP1065/30 nm; exposition time: 5 s) and merged images. (a) 20× magnification objective. (b) 60× magnification objective. Images within the same row share the same scale bar. Control images of HeLa cells are provided in the ESI (Fig. S33†).

using a BP1065/30 nm filter (Fig. 9). The brightfield and NIR II epifluorescence images reveal all HeLa cells with healthy shapes, confirming the low cytotoxicity of these MCs at the selected concentration. The excellent quality of the collected NIR II epifluorescence images is due to the high signal to noise ratio, the absence of a fluorescence background caused by biomolecules in the NIR domain (autofluorescence) and the strong NIR II signal arising from Nd^{III} in [Nd₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C- $\operatorname{mip}_{4}^{2^{-}}$ in living cells. This experiment also demonstrates that this MC does not dissociate under biological conditions (incubation in a cell culture medium and uptake by HeLa cells). In the case of dissociation of the MC, monitoring of the NIR signal of Nd^{III} would not have been possible upon excitation at the wavelength corresponding to the population of the excited states of C. Indeed, the condition for an energy transfer to occur is that the C sensitizer and the emitting Nd^{III} are in sufficiently close proximity,⁸¹ which is only satisfied if the MC remains intact. To confirm this conclusion, the Nd^{III} signal corresponding to the ${}^{4}F_{3/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}I_{11/2}$ electronic transition ($\lambda_{em} = 1063$ nm) of the MC was recorded over time under the same experimental conditions used for cell imaging (Opti-MEM/DMSO 100:2, $C_{MC} = 8.75 \ \mu M$, Fig. S34, ESI[†]).

To ensure that the MCs are located inside of the living HeLa cells, laser scanning confocal microscopy experiments were performed. As the C moiety does not fully transfer its excitation energy to Nd^{III} in the MC, some residual fluorescence can be monitored in the visible range from 475 to 600 nm. The collected images (Fig. S35, ESI†) show that the boundary between the cytoplasm and the nucleus is well defined. Overall, these experiments allow the monitoring of internal structure elements along the *Z* axis and confirm that the [Nd₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MC is entering living HeLa cells upon incubation.

Conclusion

Ln^{III}-containing MCs possess very attractive luminescence properties, especially their ability to emit strong NIR II signals. One of their major limitations so far for biological applications lies in excitation wavelengths in the UV domain. This situation is due to the fact that, in most cases, the NIR-emitting Ln^{III} are sensitized by the organic building blocks constituting these MCs. In this work, we present an innovative approach to address this drawback with a molecular design where organic chromophores/Ln^{III} sensitizers are attached to the structure of the dimeric Ln^{III}/Ga^{III} MC. We carefully selected a highly absorbing, biocompatible chromophore, a coumarin derivative, which possesses appropriate singlet and triplet energy levels for the sensitization of NIR-emitting Ln^{III}. The new [Ln₂Ga₈(shi)₈(C mip_4 ^{2–} MCs that we have designed and synthesized exhibit an additional excitation band located ≈ 104 nm (or 7700 cm⁻¹) towards lower energy compared to the parent [Ln2Ga8(shi)8(mip_4 ²⁻ MCs. Moreover, the molar extinction coefficient of the C-centered band at 420 nm in $[Ln_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ is ≈ 3 times larger compared to that of the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions in the UV range of the non-functionalized MC. Possessing NIRemitting MC molecules that can be excited at lower energy, we have tested the $[Nd_2Ga_8(shi)_8(C-mip)_4]^{2-}$ for its ability to

operate as an imaging agent in living HeLa cells. We observed that the use of this Nd^{III} MC in a range of concentrations that does not induce cytotoxicity allows for highly sensitive NIR II detection inside of living HeLa cells. This series of MCs is (i) non-cytotoxic up to $8.75 \,\mu$ M (viability > 95%) and (ii) sufficiently robust in cell culture media and under biological conditions to be used as an imaging reporter as it does not dissociate. Our results also illustrate that, despite the significant distance between the C sensitizer and the Ln^{III} metal ion, the [Ln₂Ga₈(-shi)₈(C-mip)₄]²⁻ MCs were able to emit enough photons to ensure highly sensitive NIR II detection in a NIR microscopy setup, validating the pertinence of our approach. Overall, this work opens unprecedented perspectives as the proposed molecular design allows a versatile choice of Ln^{III} sensitizers.

Data availability

The data supporting the findings presented within this article are available as part of the ESI. \dagger

Author contributions

T. L. – conceptualization, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, writing – original draft; J. B. – conceptualization, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, writing – review & editing; S. V. E. – conceptualization, investigation, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review & editing; M. Z. – investigation, data curation, formal analysis, writing – review & editing; S. P. – conceptualization, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing; V. L. P. – conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grants CHE-2102046 and CHE-2154116. The work in France was performed with financial support from Inserm Cancer (MultiNIR2), La Ligue Contre le Cancer (comités du Loiret, d'Eure-et-Loir, du Loir-et-Cher and de la Sarthe), the Réseau 'Molécules Marines, Métabolisme & Cancer' du Cancéropôle Grand Ouest and La Région Centre. V. L. P. thanks the Le Studium Loire Valley Institute for Advanced Studies, Orléans & Tours, France for Le Studium Professorship award. S. P. acknowledges support from Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM). The authors thank the MO²VING-MS and MO²VING-NMR facilities (Orléans, France).

Notes and references

1 S. Yu, D. Tu, W. Lian, J. Xu and X. Chen, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2019, **62**, 1071–1086.

- 2 C. Li, G. Chen, Y. Zhang, F. Wu and Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 14789–14804.
- 3 T. Wang, Y. Chen, B. Wang and M. Wu, *Front. Physiol.*, 2023, **14**, 1126805.
- 4 F. Wang, Y. Zhong, O. Bruns, Y. Liang and H. Dai, *Nat. Photonics*, 2024, **18**, 535–547.
- 5 T. Zhang, X. Zhu, C. C. W. Cheng, W.-M. Kwok, H.-L. Tam, J. Hao, D. W. J. Kwong, W.-K. Wong and K.-L. Wong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20120–20122.
- 6 T. Wang, S. Wang, Z. Liu, Z. He, P. Yu, M. Zhao, H. Zhang, L. Lu, Z. Wang, Z. Wang, W. Zhang, Y. Fan, C. Sun, D. Zhao, W. Liu, J.-C. G. Bünzli and F. Zhang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2021, 20, 1571–1578.
- 7 G.-Q. Jin, D. Sun, X. Xia, Z.-F. Jiang, B. Cheng, Y. Ning,
 F. Wang, Y. Zhao, X. Chen and J.-L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem.*,
 Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202208707.
- 8 Y.-H. Yeung, H.-F. Chau, H.-Y. Kai, W. Zhou, K. H.-Y. Chan, W. Thor, L. J. Charbonnière, F. Zhang, Y. Fan, Y. Wu and K.-L. Wong, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2024, **12**, 2302070.
- 9 A. T. Bui, A. Grichine, S. Brasselet, A. Duperray, C. Andraud and O. Maury, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 17757–17761.
- 10 A. D'Aléo, A. Bourdolle, S. Brustlein, T. Fauquier,
 A. Grichine, A. Duperray, P. L. Baldeck, C. Andraud,
 S. Brasselet and O. Maury, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 6622–6625.
- 11 A. Foucault-Collet, C. M. Shade, I. Nazarenko, S. Petoud and S. V. Eliseeva, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2927–2930.
- I. Martinić, S. V. Eliseeva, T. N. Nguyen, V. L. Pecoraro and S. Petoud, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8388–8391.
- N. Hamon, A. Roux, M. Beyler, J.-C. Mulatier, C. Andraud, C. Nguyen, M. Maynadier, N. Bettache, A. Duperray, A. Grichine, S. Brasselet, M. Gary-Bobo, O. Maury and R. Tripier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 10184–10197.
- 14 B. M. van der Ende, L. Aarts and A. Meijerink, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 11081–11095.
- 15 Q. Y. Zhang and X. Y. Huang, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2010, 55, 353–427.
- Y. Suffren, D. Zare, S. V. Eliseeva, L. Guénée, H. Nozary, T. Lathion, L. Aboshyan-Sorgho, S. Petoud, A. Hauser and C. Piguet, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, 117, 26957–26963.
- 17 J.-C. G. Bünzli, S. Comby, A.-S. Chauvin and C. D. B. Vandevyver, *J. Rare Earths*, 2007, 25, 257–274.
- 18 J.-C. G. Bünzli and S. V. Eliseeva, *J. Rare Earths*, 2010, **28**, 824–842.
- 19 M. S. Lah and V. L. Pecoraro, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **11**, 59-84.
- 20 I. Martinić, S. V. Eliseeva, T. N. Nguyen, F. Foucher, D. Gosset, F. Westall, V. L. Pecoraro and S. Petoud, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 6042–6050.
- 21 C. C. Bădescu-Singureanu, A. S. Nizovtsev, V. L. Pecoraro, S. Petoud and S. V. Eliseeva, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, e202416101.
- 22 E. V. Salerno, J. Zeler, S. V. Eliseeva, M. A. Hernández-Rodríguez, A. N. C. Neto, S. Petoud, V. L. Pecoraro and L. D. Carlos, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2020, 26, 13792–13796.
- 23 E. V. Salerno, A. N. C. Neto, S. V. Eliseeva, M. A. Hernández-Rodríguez, J. C. Lutter, T. Lathion, J. W. Kampf, S. Petoud,

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 12 June 2025. Downloaded on 7/21/2025 5:50:03 PM

L. D. Carlos and V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 18259–18271.

- 24 S. V. Eliseeva, E. V. Salerno, B. A. L. Bermudez, S. Petoud and
 V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, 142, 16173–16176.
- 25 E. V. Salerno, S. V. Eliseeva, S. Petoud and V. L. Pecoraro, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 8019–8030.
- 26 C. Dendrinou-Samara, M. Alexiou, C. M. Zaleski, J. W. Kampf, M. L. Kirk, D. P. Kessissoglou and V. L. Pecoraro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, 42, 3763–3766.
- 27 C. M. Zaleski, E. C. Depperman, J. W. Kampf, M. L. Kirk and V. L. Pecoraro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 3912–3914.
- 28 C. M. Zaleski, S. Tricard, E. C. Depperman, W. Wernsdorfer, T. Mallah, M. L. Kirk and V. L. Pecoraro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 11348–11352.
- 29 P. Happ, C. Plenk and E. Rentschler, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **289–290**, 238–260.
- 30 X.-F. Jiang, M.-G. Chen, J.-P. Tong and F. Shao, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 8704–8710.
- 31 C. Y. Chow, R. Guillot, E. Rivière, J. W. Kampf, T. Mallah and V. L. Pecoraro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 55, 10238–10247.
- 32 J. C. Lutter, T. T. Boron, K. E. Chadwick, A. H. Davis, S. Kleinhaus, J. W. Kampf, C. M. Zaleski and V. L. Pecoraro, *Polyhedron*, 2021, 202, 115190.
- 33 E. V. Salerno, J. W. Kampf, V. L. Pecoraro and T. Mallah, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 2611–2623.
- 34 T. N. Parac-Vogt, A. Pacco, P. Nockemann, S. Laurent, R. N. Muller, M. Wickleder, G. Meyer, L. Vander Elst and K. Binnemans, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 204–210.
- 35 M. A. Katkova, G. S. Zabrodina, M. S. Muravyeva, A. S. Shavyrin, E. V. Baranov, A. A. Khrapichev and S. Yu. Ketkov, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 2015, 5202–5208.
- 36 M. S. Muravyeva, G. S. Zabrodina, M. A. Samsonov, E. A. Kluev, A. A. Khrapichev, M. A. Katkova and I. V. Mukhina, *Polyhedron*, 2016, **114**, 165–171.
- 37 J. Jankolovits, C. M. Andolina, J. W. Kampf, K. N. Raymond and V. L. Pecoraro, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 9660– 9664.
- 38 T. N. Nguyen, S. V. Eliseeva, I. Martinić, P. L. Carver, T. Lathion, S. Petoud and V. L. Pecoraro, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2023, 29, e202300226.
- 39 T. N. Nguyen, C. Y. Chow, S. V. Eliseeva, E. R. Trivedi, J. W. Kampf, I. Martinić, S. Petoud and V. L. Pecoraro, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 1031–1035.
- 40 E. R. Trivedi, S. V. Eliseeva, J. Jankolovits, M. M. Olmstead,
 S. Petoud and V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, 136, 1526–1534.
- 41 S. V. Eliseeva, T. N. Nguyen, J. W. Kampf, E. R. Trivedi, V. L. Pecoraro and S. Petoud, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, 13, 2919– 2931.
- 42 J. H. S. K. Monteiro, N. R. Fetto, M. J. Tucker and A. de Bettencourt-Dias, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 3193–3199.
- 43 A. Picot, A. D'Aléo, P. L. Baldeck, A. Grichine, A. Duperray,
 C. Andraud and O. Maury, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, 130, 1532–1533.
- 44 X. Wen, H. Li, Z. Ju, R. Deng and D. Parker, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 19944–19951.

- 45 M. Starck, J. D. Fradgley, D. F. De Rosa, A. S. Batsanov, M. Papa, M. J. Taylor, J. E. Lovett, J. C. Lutter, M. J. Allen and D. Parker, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, 27, 17921–17927.
- 46 C. Y. Chow, S. V. Eliseeva, E. R. Trivedi, T. N. Nguyen, J. W. Kampf, S. Petoud and V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 5100–5109.
- 47 J. C. Lutter, B. A. L. Bermudez, T. N. Nguyen, J. W. Kampf and V. L. Pecoraro, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2019, **192**, 119–125.
- 48 C. E. Hoyle, A. B. Lowe and C. N. Bowman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 1355–1387.
- 49 D. P. Nair, M. Podgórski, S. Chatani, T. Gong, W. Xi, C. R. Fenoli and C. N. Bowman, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 724-744.
- 50 M. Tegtmeier and W. Legrum, *Arch. Pharm.*, 1998, **331**, 143–148.
- 51 B. G. Lake, Food Chem. Toxicol., 1999, 37, 423-453.
- 52 J. Andres and A.-S. Chauvin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 15981–15994.
- 53 D. Kovacs, X. Lu, L. S. Mészáros, M. Ott, J. Andres and K. E. Borbas, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 5756–5767.
- 54 A. K. R. Junker, L. R. Hill, A. L. Thompson, S. Faulkner and T. Just Sørensen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47, 4794–4803.
- 55 A. Arauzo, L. Gasque, S. Fuertes, C. Tenorio, S. Bernès and E. Bartolomé, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, 49, 13671–13684.
- 56 S. Di Pietro, D. Iacopini, A. Moscardini, R. Bizzarri, M. Pineschi, V. Di Bussolo and G. Signore, *Molecules*, 2021, 26, 1265.
- 57 E. Mathieu, S. R. Kiraev, D. Kovacs, J. A. L. Wells, M. Tomar, J. Andres and K. E. Borbas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, 144, 21056–21067.
- 58 H. G. Ghalehshahi, S. Balalaie and A. Aliahmadi, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 8831–8842.
- 59 R. Maggi, F. Bigi, S. Carloni, A. Mazzacani and G. Sartori, Green Chem., 2001, 3, 173–174.
- 60 T. P. Gustafson, G. A. Metzel and A. G. Kutateladze, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 4752–4755.
- 61 S. Fiorito, V. A. Taddeo, S. Genovese and F. Epifano, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 4795–4798.
- 62 A. A. Watrelot, D. T. Tran, T. Buffeteau, D. Deffieux, C. Le Bourvellec, S. Quideau and C. M. G. C. Renard, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **371**, 512–518.
- 63 C. A. Schneider, W. S. Rasband and K. W. Eliceiri, *Nat. Methods*, 2012, 9, 671–675.
- 64 Apex3, v2019.1-0, SAINT V8.40A, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison (WI), USA, 2019.
- 65 L. Krause, R. Herbst-Irmer, G. M. Sheldrick and D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 3–10.
- 66 SHELXTL suite of programs, Version 6.14, 2000-2003, Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison (WI), USA.
- 67 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A:Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 68 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A:Found. Adv., 2015, 71, 3-8.
- 69 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C:Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3–8.

- 70 G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXL-2019, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*, University of Göttingen, Germany, 2019.
- 71 C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, 44, 1281–1284.
- 72 J. W. Chan, C. E. Hoyle, A. B. Lowe and M. Bowman, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 6381–6388.
- 73 CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, ed. W. M. Haynes, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 95th edn, 2014.
- 74 S. Alvarez, P. Alemany, D. Casanova, J. Cirera, M. Llunell and D. Avnir, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 1693–1708.
- 75 D. Casanova, M. Llunell, P. Alemany and S. Alvarez, *Chem.– Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 1479–1494.
- 76 M. Melegari, V. Marzaroli, R. Polisicchio, D. Seletti, L. Marchiò, V. L. Pecoraro and M. Tegoni, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2023, 62, 10645–10654.

- 77 K.-L. Wong, J.-C. G. Bünzli and P. A. Tanner, *J. Lumin.*, 2020, 224, 117256.
- 78 W. T. Carnall, P. R. Fields and K. Rajnak, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 49, 4424-4442.
- 79 J.-C. G. Bünzli, K. A. Gschneider and V. K. Pecharsky, *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, Elsevier, 1st edn, 2007, vol. 37.
- 80 R. D. Fields and M. V. Lancaster, *Am. Biotechnol. Lab.*, 1993, 11, 48–50.
- 81 J.-C. G. Bünzli and S. V. Eliseeva, in Lanthanide Luminescence: Photophysical, Analytical and Biological Aspects, ed. P. Hänninen and H. Härmä, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 1–45.