

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 3141

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Time-resolved and theoretical analysis of Mo-carbene transformations in metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene†

Tatiana Otroshchenko,^{id}*^a Aleksandr Fedorov,^{id}^a Qiyang Zhang,^a David Linke,^{id}^a Jarosław Handzlik,^{id}^b Mirjam Schröder,^{id}^{acd} Björn Corzilius^{id}^{acd} and Evgenii V. Kondratenko^{id}*^a

Although supported Mo-containing catalysts have been extensively investigated in the metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene to propene, the mechanisms of the formation and transformation of catalytically active Mo-carbenes in the course of the reaction are still not fully understood. The difficulties arise because only a tiny fraction of MoO_x species can form Mo-carbenes *in situ*, making the detection of the latter by spectroscopic means very unlikely. Herein, purposefully designed steady-state and transient experiments including their kinetic evaluation and density functional theory calculations enabled us to elucidate mechanistic and kinetic details of the above reaction-induced processes in the metathesis reaction over a Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst at 50 °C. We established that, in parallel with the desired reaction cycle, molybdacyclobutanes also undergo reversible structural transformations which might be one of the reasons for low steady-state catalyst activity. Based on the results obtained, strategies for controlling the concentration of the inactive species and accordingly catalyst activity have been suggested and experimentally validated.

Received 8th October 2024
Accepted 17th December 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc06833e

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene is a large-scale process used for the on-purpose production of propene, the second most widely produced olefin in the chemical industry.^{1,2} Catalysts based on oxides of W, Mo or Re dispersed on high-surface-area supports are used for this reaction.^{3,4} According to the classical mechanism elucidated by Hérisson and Chauvin,^{5,6} surface metal-carbenes (M=CH-R, where M is W, Mo or Re), which serve as active sites, react with ethylene or 2-butene to form metallacyclobutane intermediates decomposing to gas-phase propene. The M=CH-R species are formed *in situ* as a result of the interaction of MO_x with olefins. Therefore, the knowledge of the mechanisms of M=CH-R formation and, especially, undesired transformations under the reaction conditions is very important to control the concentration of the

active species and accordingly the propene production through catalyst design or modulating the reaction conditions.

It has been shown that the presence of hydroxyl species on the catalyst surface,⁷⁻¹³ the usage of metathesis inactive cocatalysts,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ or a certain catalyst treatment (photoreduction in CO followed by treatment in cyclopropane;¹⁷ reduction with organosilicon reductants;¹⁸ high-temperature treatment in CH₄;¹⁹ propene adsorption – desorption treatment;⁹ treatment in methanol;⁸ *etc.*) facilitate the transformation of MO_x into M=CH-R. Although the treated catalysts demonstrate high initial metathesis activity, they rapidly deactivate with time on stream and achieve a steady-state activity like their untreated counterparts. The reason for such deactivation seems to be a decrease in the concentration of M=CH-R due to their low stability^{8,17,20} and/or transformation of the metallacyclobutane intermediates into stable inactive complexes as theoretically suggested for ethylene metathesis.²¹ Because the fraction of MO_x species capable of forming M=CH-R is extremely low,^{8,16,22,23} state-of-the-art spectroscopic methods typically do not provide direct insight into the formation and further conversion of carbenes.

The above challenges and fundamental gaps motivated us to perform sophisticated steady-state and transient catalytic tests including their kinetic evaluation as well as density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst, which was introduced in our previous study¹⁰ and shows exceptional activity even at 50 °C, was used in the present study. Special emphasis was placed on the analysis of both selective pathways

^aLeibniz-Institut für Katalyse e.V., Albert-Einstein-Str. 29a, D-18059 Rostock, Germany. E-mail: tatiana.otroshchenko@catalysis.de; evgenii.kondratenko@catalysis.de

^bCracow University of Technology, Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, ul. Warszawska 24, 31-155 Kraków, Poland

^cInstitute of Chemistry, University of Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 27, 18059 Rostock, Germany

^dDepartment Life, Light & Matter, University of Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 25, 18059 Rostock, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc06833e>



in the classical Chauvin cycle and side reactions of $M=CH-R$. The results obtained provide the basis for improving the catalyst activity through modulating the reaction conditions.

Results and discussion

Structural catalyst properties

The Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst described in ref. 10 was used for kinetic tests at 50 °C in the metathesis of ethylene with 2-butenes using different reaction feeds under conditions free of heat and mass transport limitations. Its unpromoted counterpart, Mo/SiO₂, shows too low activity at 50 °C and was not used in the kinetic tests. Nevertheless, important structural properties of these catalysts are presented and discussed for comparative purposes. The UV-vis spectra of both samples are characterized by strong absorption bands at around 250 and 290 nm and shoulders at around 330 and 400 nm (Fig. S1(a)†). These bands can be assigned to the ligand-to-metal charge transfer transitions in tetrahedral and octahedral MoO_x species, as well as in crystalline MoO₃.¹³ A straightforward assignment of the band position to the specific Mo-containing species is however complicated due to significant overlapping of the absorption bands. The promoter P seems to lead to a slight decrease in the degree of oligomerization of MoO_x species as concluded from the UV-vis edge energy (E_g) determined from the Tauc plot (Fig. S1(b)†).

The effect of P on the oligomerization degree of supported MoO_x may be explained as follows. The promotion of SiO₂ with P leads to the creation of a new type of OH site characterized by a sharp band at 3667 cm⁻¹ (Fig. S1(c),† red dashed line). These sites should be involved in anchoring MoO_x because the ratio of the intensity of this band to that at 3747 cm⁻¹ (related to isolated silanols) for Mo/P/SiO₂ is lower in comparison with that for P/SiO₂. After deposition of MoO_x species on the support, a new broad band centred at about 3627 cm⁻¹ appears (Fig. S1(c),† solid lines), which can be assigned to O–H stretching vibrations in molybdenol groups (Mo–OH) involved in hydrogen bonding to neighbouring oxygen or to silanol groups interacting with oxygen atoms of MoO_x species.⁹

The Raman spectra of both Mo/SiO₂ and Mo/P/SiO₂ are characterized by the bands at 814 and 990 cm⁻¹ originating from MoO_x and/or MoO₃ species (Fig. S1(d)†).²⁴ The Raman spectrum of Mo/P/SiO₂ additionally contains a weak band at 877 cm⁻¹, which can be assigned to the P–O stretching vibrations in phosphates ($\nu_sP(OH)$, $\nu_sP(OH)_2$, and/or $\nu_sP(OH)_3$).²⁵

The chemical nature of P-containing species was further analysed by XPS (Fig. S1(e)†) and ³¹P NMR (Fig. S1(f)†). A broad XPS peak in the P 2p region, which can be deconvoluted into a doublet (134.9 eV, 135.8 eV), can be assigned to the surface PO₄³⁻ species.^{26–28} No features of metal phosphides (binding energy of ~129.5 eV) can be observed. The ³¹P MAS-NMR spectrum of the reference P/SiO₂ shows four signals at 0.4, –6.1, –10.4 and –23.1 ppm. The first three signals likely belong to single isolated phosphate groups (Si–O–P=O–(OH)₂), while the last signal indicates the presence of phosphate species with a bridged structure ((Si–O)₂–P=O–OH).²⁹ For the Mo/P/SiO₂ sample, the signal at ~0 ppm is upfield shifted by 3 ppm and broadened, hinting at contact or pseudo-contact interactions

with the metal. The signals at –10.4 and –23.1 ppm remain unchanged in their chemical shift.

In summary, highly dispersed and polymerized tetrahedral and octahedral MoO_x as well as crystalline MoO₃ are present on the surface of the catalysts. The latter species unlikely participate in the formation of active metal-carbenes²³ and therefore should not play any role in the metathesis reaction. From the above-shown data we can conclude that the majority of P exists as monomeric phosphate species which likely participate in the anchoring of non-crystalline MoO_x sites.

Catalytic activity in C₂H₄ – *trans*-2-C₄H₈ metathesis

After treatment of the Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst in air at 500 °C for 3 h and cooling down to 50 °C in nitrogen, an industrially relevant C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/N₂ = 5/5/1 feed was added. The rate of propene formation increased more than two times from an initial (determined after 7 min on reaction stream) non-zero value with increasing time on stream and reached a steady-state value after about 4 h on stream (black symbols in Fig. 1). The increase may be due to the reaction-induced conversion of MoO_x to catalytically active Mo-carbenes. According to some previous studies,^{10,11} different olefins have different reactivity in this respect. With this in mind, we treated the Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst at 50 °C in *trans*-2-C₄H₈, C₂H₄, or *trans*-2-C₄H₈ followed by C₂H₄ for different durations of time before starting the metathesis reaction at the same temperature. The same Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst sample (initially tested in the metathesis of ethylene with 2-butenes at 50 °C for 10 h and regenerated in air at 500 °C for 3 h) was applied for all experiments.

The catalyst treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ increased the initial rate of propene formation but did not influence the steady-state rate (Fig. 1(a)). Moreover, the time required to reach steady-state operation decreased with increasing treatment time. These changes may be related to an increase in the concentration of Mo-carbenes during the catalyst treatment.

It is worth mentioning that propene and pentenes were observed during the treatment of Mo/P/SiO₂ in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ (Fig. S2(a)†). Their appearance is more likely due to the metathesis of *trans*-2-C₄H₈ with 1-C₄H₈ formed through isomerization of *trans*-2-C₄H₈ which is typical for Mo/SiO₂ catalysts.³⁰ According to this reaction, propene and pentene are formed in a molar ratio of 1 : 1. A similar value was determined for the ratio of the formation rates of these olefins during the catalyst treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ (Fig. S2(a)†).

The catalyst treatment in C₂H₄ at 50 °C for 4 h did not significantly affect the initial rate of propene formation and its time-on-stream behaviour when compared to the results obtained with the untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ (Fig. 1(b)). According to previous DRIFTS and C₂H₄-TPD data,¹⁰ C₂H₄ hardly interacts with the oxidized catalyst at 50 °C and does not yield any surface hydrocarbon species. Thus, in contrast to the treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈, the treatment in C₂H₄ does not result in the formation of Mo-carbenes from MoO_x.

The initial rate of propene formation over the catalyst treated first in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ and then in C₂H₄ (Fig. 1(b) and (c)) is






Fig. 1 Temporal changes of the rate of propene formation determined over calcined Mo/P/SiO₂ (marked in black in all figures) and Mo/P/SiO₂ after various treatment procedures: (a) after treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for different durations of time; (b) after treatment in C₂H₄ for 4 h and after treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for different durations of time followed by treatment in C₂H₄ for 2 h; (c) after treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for 4 h followed by treatment in C₂H₄ for different durations of time. Treatments and metathesis reaction were carried out at 50 °C.

significantly higher than that of its untreated counterpart or treated either in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ or in C₂H₄. The highest activity was determined after 4 h treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ followed by 4 h treatment in C₂H₄. It was more than six times higher than the rate determined over the calcined untreated catalyst and more than two times higher than the steady-state value. This high activity, however, decreased rapidly within the first hour on reaction stream regardless of the treatment duration. The time required to reach the steady-state activity increased as the treatment time in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ decreased. Noticeably, such behaviour is unlikely related to the presence of phosphorus in the catalyst since similar temporal changes of the rate of propene formation were observed for the unpromoted Mo/SiO₂ catalyst (Fig. S3†).

No gaseous products (except traces of C₃H₆ due to the presence of tiny amounts of *trans*-2-C₄H₈ in the line) were observed during the treatment of Mo/P/SiO₂ in C₂H₄ after the treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ (Fig. S2(b)†). Such result implies that no products are formed during the interaction of C₂H₄ with the catalyst pre-activated in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ or their concentration is below the detection limit of the on-line GC used.

To check if the propene formation rate can be influenced by short-term treatment of the catalyst in ethylene, we performed a control experiment. The Mo/P/SiO₂ sample was first treated in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for 1 h and then in C₂H₄ for 30 min. Hereafter, the catalyst was exposed to the standard reaction feed (C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/N₂ = 5/5/1). After some time on this stream, the catalyst was treated in C₂H₄ for short intervals (3 min) followed by feeding the reaction mixture. The catalyst activity increased by about 30% after each treatment in C₂H₄ (Fig. S4†) and then decreased rapidly with increasing time on the metathesis stream.

Determination of the steady-state concentration of Mo-carbenes

Motivated by our previous study,¹⁶ we applied the Steady-State Isotopic Transient Kinetic Analysis (SSITKA) method^{31,32} to

verify whether the increase in the rate of propene formation after a two-step treatment of the catalyst in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ and then in C₂H₄ was due to the increase in the concentration of Mo-carbenes. In these tests carried out with untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ and its counterpart initially treated in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for 4 h and then in C₂H₄ for 4 h, we started with a non-labelled reaction feed (C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/Ar) to reach a steady-state operation (after about 20 min on stream). Hereafter, this feed was replaced by a feed containing ¹³C₂H₄ (¹³C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/He/Ar; He was used as an inert tracer). Importantly, the concentrations of ethylene and 2-butene in these feeds were the same. The replacement of ¹²C₂H₄ by ¹³C₂H₄ in the reaction feed did not lead to a change in the rate of propene formation (confirmed by on-line GC measurements). Thus, a kinetic isotope effect does not play a significant role because of the small difference in the atomic masses of ¹²C and ¹³C. The concentration of ¹³C¹²C₂H₆ increased with time on stream after switching to the labelled feed. The normalized (with respect to the steady-state signals) responses of ¹³C¹²C₂H₆ and He (inert tracer) obtained in the SSITKA tests are shown in Fig. 2. Based




Fig. 2 Normalized responses of ¹³C¹²C₂H₆ and He (inert tracer) as a function of relative time (time after the switch of non-labeled feed to ¹³C-labeled feed) obtained for (a) calcined untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ and (b) Mo/P/SiO₂ sequentially treated in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ at 50 °C for 4 h and in C₂H₄ at 50 °C for 4 h.



on the theory of the SSITKA method,^{31,32} the surface residence time (τ_p) of Mo-carbenes leading to propene was calculated from the area between the transient responses of He and $^{13}\text{C}^{12}\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ (Fig. 2, Table S1†). Their concentration (N_p) was obtained from eqn (1). It is noteworthy that the fraction (N_p divided by the total amount of Mo) of MoO_x resulting in Mo-carbenes does not exceed 0.1% (Table S1†).

$$N_p = \tau_p \times r(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6), \quad (1)$$

where $r(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6)$ is the steady-state rate of propene formation determined at the time of switching.




The N_p value determined for the untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ is more than seven times lower than that for the Mo/P/SiO₂ treated first in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ and then in C₂H₄. We used these values and the rate of propene formation over these catalysts to calculate the turnover frequency of propene formation (TOF) according to eqn (2).

$$\text{TOF} = r(\text{C}_3\text{H}_6)/N_p \quad (2)$$

No obvious difference was found (Table S1†). Thus, the catalyst treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈/C₂H₄ seems to increase the concentration of Mo-carbenes but not to create other highly reactive Mo-carbenes.

Catalyst activity in the presence of 1-C₄H₈

We also performed the metathesis reaction in the presence of 5 vol% of 1-C₄H₈ to understand if and how this olefin affects the catalyst activity. Propene, pentenes, and trace amounts of hexenes were detected as reaction products through various metathesis reactions shown in eqn (3)–(5).



The temporal changes of the rates of formation of propene, pentenes, and hexenes are shown in Fig. 3(a), (b), and (c) respectively, together with the corresponding data obtained in the test performed without co-fed 1-C₄H₈. All rates in the presence of 1-C₄H₈ gradually increased with time on stream during the first hour on reaction stream and started to decrease after about 4 h on stream. The initial rate of propene formation was about four times and the final rate (after about 10.5 h on stream) was more than two times higher than the corresponding rates determined in the absence of 1-C₄H₈. According to eqn (3)–(5), propene is formed *via* metathesis reactions of C₂H₄ with *trans*-2-C₄H₈ and *trans*-2-C₄H₈ with 1-C₄H₈. Knowing the rate of pentene formation (Fig. 3(b)), we can estimate the contribution of the butene metathesis (eqn (4)) to the formation of propene. Since the reaction gives propene and pentene in the molar ratio of 1 : 1, the rate of propene formation should be equal to the rate of pentene formation. By subtracting this value from the total rate of propene formation, we can roughly estimate the rate of propene formation through






Fig. 3 Temporal changes of the rates of formation of (a) propene, (b) pentenes, and (c) hexenes determined at 50 °C over calcined Mo/P/SiO₂ by using reaction mixture C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/N₂ = 10/10/2 (the data are marked in black in all figures) and by using reaction mixture C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/1-C₄H₈/N₂ = 10/9/1/2 (the data are marked in solid blue in all figures). Open blue circles in (a) are related to the data obtained after subtracting the contribution of *trans*-2-C₄H₈ + 1-C₄H₈ metathesis in propene formation.

the metathesis of C₂H₄ with *trans*-2-C₄H₈ (Fig. 3(a), open blue circles). In comparison with the control test without co-fed 1-C₄H₈, the obtained initial rate is higher, and the induction period characterized by an increase in this rate with time on stream is shorter. This result implies that 1-C₄H₈ accelerates the formation of Mo-carbenes active in the metathesis of C₂H₄ with *trans*-2-C₄H₈.



Formation and transformation of Mo-carbenes

According to the classical Chauvin mechanism of the metathesis of ethylene with 2-butenes, the main reaction steps are described by eqn (6) and (7). The rate of propene formation is influenced by the concentration of Mo-carbenes and their intrinsic activity. These species must be formed *in situ* through the reaction of supported MoO_x with fed olefins.^{11,23,33–35}



Accordingly, the gradual increase in the rate of propene formation over untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ with time on reaction stream (Fig. 1, black symbols) can be related to the increase in the number of active Mo-carbenes. When the rate is extrapolated to zero time on stream, a non-zero value is obtained. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that there are at least two types of MoO_x species differing in their reactivity to yield Mo-carbenes. These species may differ in their molecular structure, location, and/or oxidation state of Mo. The initial activity of Mo/P/SiO₂ should be related to the presence of MoO_x species (Mo*) that quickly react with *trans*-2-C₄H₈ to form Mo-carbenes. Notably, C₂H₄ is unable to generate the latter species at 50 °C.¹⁰ Another type of MoO_x species (Mo**) is characterized by a lower reactivity to form Mo-carbenes as reflected by the slow increase in the rate of propene formation with increasing time on stream (Fig. 1, black symbols).

Apart from their formation, the desired Mo-carbenes may be involved in side reactions responsible for the decrease in the rate of propene formation over the catalyst treated initially in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ followed by C₂H₄ (Fig. 1(b) and (c)). As the latter olefin activates the catalyst treated in *trans*-2-C₄H₈, we suggest that *trans*-2-C₄H₈ may “deactivate” the active Mo-carbenes through the formation of an “inactive” complex that does not participate in the formation of propene. This complex can be a cyclic compound due to the following arguments. The cycloaddition of an olefin molecule to a carbene site, resulting in the formation of a molybdacyclobutane complex, is the first step in the metathesis catalytic cycle.^{6,36} Theoretical studies of ethylene metathesis over MoO_x/Al₂O₃ (ref. 21, 37 and 38) and MoO_x/SiO₂ (ref. 36 and 39) have shown that ethylene can react with Mo-methylidene (Mo=CH₂) to give a molybdacyclobutane complex with a trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) geometry. This intermediate can react back to Mo=CH₂ and C₂H₄ or be converted into its inactive isomer with a square pyramidal (SP) geometry (structure SP1 in Fig. 4). This rearrangement was considered to be one of the reasons for catalyst deactivation during ethylene metathesis.^{33,39} By analogy, we put forward that such undesired transformations of molybdacyclobutane complexes can also occur in the course of the ethylene – 2-butene metathesis




Fig. 4 Schematic illustration of Mo-carbene formation from Mo* and Mo** species, metathesis cycle, and the formation of “inactive” complexes (square pyramidal (SP) molybdacyclobutanes).

(structures SP2–SP4 in Fig. 4). To check this hypothesis, DFT calculations were performed.

The calculated pathways of the reaction between Mo=CH₂ and *trans*-2-C₄H₈ are presented in Fig. 5. The formation of the trisubstituted molybdacyclobutane complex 3a with a TBP geometry is endergonic, but the predicted overall activation Gibbs energy for this step is quite low (45 kJ mol⁻¹). The thermodynamically unstable intermediate 3a should rapidly decompose to the reactants in a reverse step with a very low Gibbs energy barrier of 18 kJ mol⁻¹. The isomerization of the




Fig. 5 Gibbs energy profile (kJ mol⁻¹) at 50 °C for a reaction between *syn*-Mo(vi) ethylidene species and *trans*-2-C₄H₈.



TBP molybdacyclobutane **3a** to the thermodynamically stable SP molybdacyclobutane **3b** is another possibility, requiring a higher energy barrier to overcome, but it still may proceed effectively at 50 °C. The reverse transformation of the SP isomer to the TBP one will occur slower due to a relatively high activation Gibbs energy for this step (74 kJ mol⁻¹). Therefore, the SP molybdacyclobutane **3b** can be considered as a resting state of the metathesis process, *i.e.*, the postulated “inactive” complex. The equilibrium constant for its formation from Mo=C₂H₄ and *trans*-2-C₄H₈ was estimated to be around 8.1.

Stable SP molybdacyclobutane complexes can be also formed along the productive metathesis reactions (7) and (6) (Fig. S5 and S6,† respectively). However, the calculated activation barriers for the rearrangement of the monosubstituted and disubstituted SP molybdacyclobutanes to their TBP isomers (60 and 68 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively) are lower than in the case of **3b** (74 kJ mol⁻¹) bearing three methyl substituents in the ring. Hence, for the latter the equilibrium shift towards the formation of Mo-carbenes after changing the olefin feed to ethylene will be slower. This is especially seen if compared to the monosubstituted SP molybdacyclobutane formed from ethylene and Mo=C₂H₄ (Fig. S5†). This can explain the observed increase of the metathesis activity after final ethylene treatment, in contrast to the standard pretreatment with 2-butene (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, based on the predicted activation Gibbs energies, the SP–TBP interconversion should be much faster than the formation of the active sites from MoO_x species.¹¹

Kinetic analysis of the ethylene – 2-butene metathesis

Based on the above-discussed experimental observations we developed a kinetic model considering reaction pathways responsible for the *in situ* formation of Mo-carbenes as well as for their desired reactions in the course of the metathesis of ethylene and 2-butene and side transformations into inactive intermediates (Table S2†). The obtained kinetic parameters (reaction rate and equilibrium constants) are given in Table S3.† The model was fit to all experimental data from Fig. 1 and 3 simultaneously. It describes the temporal profiles of propene formed over differently treated Mo/P/SiO₂ (Fig. 6(a) and S7(a) and (c)†). The profiles of propene and pentenes formed during




Fig. 6 Experimental and fitting data representing time-on-stream changes of (a) the propene molar fraction during metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene over calcined Mo/P/SiO₂ and over that after different treatments, (b) propene and pentene molar fractions during metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene in the presence of 5 vol% 1-C₄H₈ over calcined Mo/P/SiO₂.

the experiment performed in the presence of 5 vol% 1-C₄H₈ were also described by this model (Fig. 6(b)).

The overall comparison of the values of the key kinetic parameters estimated by DFT and data-driven kinetic modelling is presented in Table S4.† The value of equilibrium constant K_3 (see Table S3†) describing the formation of an “inactive” complex (*trans*-2-C₄H₈ + Mo=C₂H₄ ⇌ Mo=C₆H₆) was around 35.6 according to the developed kinetic model. This value can be compared with the value of 8.1 obtained from DFT calculations. The agreement is reasonable, taking into account the limited accuracy of the DFT methods and the exponential dependence of the equilibrium constant on the reaction Gibbs energy. It is worth mentioning that the ratio of the rate constants of reactions 2.1 and 2.2 (Table S2, Fig. S5 and S6†), evaluated from the overall Gibbs energy barriers (TBP–SP isomerization step is omitted), is 13, which is in qualitative accordance with the kinetic modelling results ($k_{2.1}/k_{2.2} = 4.5$, Table S3†). The conversion of Mo=C₂H₄ **1** and ethylene to Mo=CH₂ **6** and propene (Fig. S5†) is predicted by DFT to be about 8×10^3 faster, compared to the formation of the SP molybdacyclobutane **3b** from **1** and *trans*-2-butene (Fig. 5). This estimate also qualitatively agrees with the obtained kinetic parameters ($k_{2.1}/k_3 \approx 1750$, Table S3†).

Using the developed kinetic model and the obtained kinetic parameters (reaction rate and equilibrium constants) from Table S3,† we calculated the temporal profiles of the “inactive” complex and active Mo-carbenes during the metathesis reaction and various catalyst treatments (Fig. 7 and S7†). On this basis,




Fig. 7 Time-on-stream changes of the fraction of surface species (Mo-carbenes and “inactive” complex) formed from MoO_x (a) during metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene over calcined Mo/P/SiO₂, (b) during catalyst treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for 4 h followed by metathesis, (c) during catalyst treatment in *trans*-2-C₄H₈ for 4 h, then in C₂H₄ for 2 h followed by metathesis, (d) during metathesis in the presence of 5 vol% 1-C₄H₈. Fraction of surface species is determined with respect to the total amount of activated MoO_x.



a major part of MoO_x species, which are able to form Mo-carbenes *in situ*, is present in the form of the “inactive” complex under steady-state conditions. Their fraction with respect to the total amount of activated MoO_x is around 73% (Fig. 7(a)). Thus, to increase the production of propene, it is required to transform the “inactive” species to Mo-carbenes. Given the developed kinetic model, this can be achieved by reducing the partial pressure of *trans*-2-C₄H₈ to shift the equilibrium of reaction 3 (Table S2†).

The model predicts an enhancement in the rate of propene formation by a factor of 1.5 when the ratio of C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈ increases from 1 to 2 (Fig. S8(a)†). This is due to the decrease in the fraction of the “inactive” complex from 73% to 55% in favour of active Mo-carbenes (Fig. S8(b)†). To experimentally check this theoretical conclusion, we performed an additional experiment, in which untreated Mo/P/SiO₂ was initially exposed to the reaction mixture C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/Ar = 10/10/2 with a total flow of 22 mL min⁻¹ until a steady-state operation was achieved. Hereafter, this feed was replaced by a feed with more C₂H₄ and less *trans*-2-C₄H₈ (C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈/Ar = 13.3/6.7/2). The total feed flow was not changed. As predicted by the model (Fig. S8(a)†), the rate of propene formation increased (Fig. S8(c)†). The activity decreased after switching back to the original feed after 2 h. Thus, the usage of reaction feeds with C₂H₄/*trans*-2-C₄H₈ ratios above the stoichiometric one is beneficial for increasing propene production.

Conclusions

Herein, we provide novel insights into the formation and, particularly, transformation of Mo-carbenes in the metathesis of ethylene with 2-butene to propene over the Mo/P/SiO₂ catalyst. There should be at least two types of MoO_x species participating in the formation of carbenes but differing in their reactivity in this process. Along with their involvement in the metathesis cycle, Mo-carbenes can be converted into an “inactive” complex formed with the participation of 2-butene. As this side reaction reduces the steady-state concentration of Mo-carbenes, the catalyst cannot achieve its highest possible activity. The fraction of the active and “inactive” species dynamically varies and can be controlled through catalyst treatments in certain olefins or changing the ethylene/2-butene ratio in the reaction mixture. The use of high ratios is beneficial in suppressing the formation of the undesired complexes and opens the possibility for increasing the steady-state metathesis activity of the catalyst.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.†

Author contributions

Conceptualization: T. O. and E. V. K.; investigation: T. O., J. H., and M. S.; formal analysis: A. F. and J. H.; writing – original

draft: T. O., A. F., and J. H. All authors discussed the results and gave their approval for the final version.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, project OT 586/1-1) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thank Dr Jana Weiß for performing Raman measurements and Dr Stephan Bartling for performing XPS measurements. J.H. gratefully acknowledges Polish high-performance computing infrastructure PLGrid (HPC Centers: ACK Cyfronet AGH) for providing computer facilities and support within computational grant no. PLG/2024/016959.

Notes and references

- 1 N. Popoff, E. Mazoyer, J. Pelletier, R. M. Gauvin and M. Taoufik, Expanding the scope of metathesis: a survey of polyfunctional, single-site supported tungsten systems for hydrocarbon valorization, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 9035–9054.
- 2 T. Otroshchenko, G. Jiang, V. A. Kondratenko, U. Rodemerck and E. V. Kondratenko, Current status and perspectives in oxidative, non-oxidative and CO₂-mediated dehydrogenation of propane and isobutane over metal oxide catalysts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 473–527.
- 3 B. Zhang and I. E. Wachs, Identifying the Catalytic Active Site for Propylene Metathesis by Supported ReOx Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 1962–1976.
- 4 T. Otroshchenko, Q. Zhang and E. V. Kondratenko, Room-Temperature Metathesis of Ethylene with 2-Butene to Propene Over MoOx-Based Catalysts: Mixed Oxides as Perspective Support Materials, *Catal. Lett.*, 2022, **152**, 2366–2374.
- 5 P. Jean-Louis Hérisson and Y. Chauvin, Catalyse de transformation des oléfines par les complexes du tungstène. II. Télomérisation des oléfines cycliques en présence d'oléfines acycliques, *Makromol. Chem.*, 1971, **141**, 161–176.
- 6 Y. Chauvin, Olefin metathesis: The early days (Nobel lecture), *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3740–3747.
- 7 P. Zhao, L. Ye, Z. Sun, B. T. W. Lo, H. Woodcock, C. Huang, C. Tang, A. I. Kirkland, D. Mei and S. C. Edman Tsang, Entrapped Single Tungstate Site in Zeolite for Cooperative Catalysis of Olefin Metathesis with Brønsted Acid Site, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6661–6667.
- 8 K. Amakawa, J. Kröhnert, S. Wrabetz, B. Frank, F. Hemmann, C. Jäger, R. Schlögl and A. Trunschke, Active Sites in Olefin Metathesis over Supported Molybdena Catalysts, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, **7**, 4059–4065.
- 9 K. Amakawa, S. Wrabetz, J. Kröhnert, G. Tzolova-Müller, R. Schlögl and A. Trunschke, In Situ Generation of Active



- Sites in Olefin Metathesis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 11462–11473.
- 10 T. Otroshchenko, Q. Zhang and E. V. Kondratenko, Enhancing Propene Formation in the Metathesis of Ethylene with 2-Butene at Close to Room Temperature over MoO_x/SiO₂ through Support Promotion with P, Cl, or S, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 14159–14167.
 - 11 J. Handzlik, K. Kurlito and M. Gierada, Computational Insights into Active Site Formation during Alkene Metathesis over a MoO_x/SiO₂ Catalyst: The Role of Surface Silanols, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 13575–13590.
 - 12 K. W. Chan, D. Mance, O. V. Safonova and C. Copéret, Well-Defined Silica-Supported Tungsten(IV)–Oxo Complex: Olefin Metathesis Activity, Initiation, and Role of Brønsted Acid Sites, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 18286–18292.
 - 13 T. Hahn, U. Bentrup, M. Armbrüster, E. V. Kondratenko and D. Linke, The Enhancing Effect of Brønsted Acidity of Supported MoO_x Species on their Activity and Selectivity in Ethylene/trans-2-Butene Metathesis, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, **6**, 1664–1672.
 - 14 V. Goelden, D. Linke and E. V. Kondratenko, Investigation of the Enhancing Effect of Solid Cocatalysts on Propene Formation in Ethene/trans-2-Butene Metathesis over MoO_x/SiO₂–Al₂O₃, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 7437–7445.
 - 15 Q. Zhang, T. Otroshchenko and E. V. Kondratenko, Fundamentals and application potential of the synergy effect between ZnO and Mo/SiO₂ for propene production in the metathesis of ethylene and trans-2-butene, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **12**, 5210–5216.
 - 16 Q. Zhang, T. Xiao, C. Liu, T. Otroshchenko and E. V. Kondratenko, Performance Descriptors for Catalysts Based on Molybdenum, Tungsten, or Rhenium Oxides for Metathesis of Ethylene with 2-Butenes to Propene, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202308872.
 - 17 K. A. Vikulov, B. N. Shelimov, V. B. Kazansky and J. C. Mol, Deactivation mechanism of photoreduced and cyclopropane-treated molybdena/silica catalysts for olefin metathesis, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1994, **90**, 61–67.
 - 18 V. Mougél, K.-W. Chan, G. Siddiqi, K. Kawakita, H. Nagae, H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, O. Safonova and C. Copéret, Low Temperature Activation of Supported Metathesis Catalysts by Organosilicon Reducing Agents, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2016, **2**, 569–576.
 - 19 P. Michorczyk, A. Węgrzyniak, A. Węgrzynowicz and J. Handzlik, Simple and Efficient Way of Molybdenum Oxide-Based Catalyst Activation for Olefins Metathesis by Methane Pretreatment, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 11461–11467.
 - 20 T. Z. H. Gani, Z. J. Berkson, R. Zhu, J. H. Kang, J. R. Di Iorio, K. W. Chan, D. F. Consoli, S. K. Shaikh, C. Copéret and Y. Román-Leshkov, Promoting active site renewal in heterogeneous olefin metathesis catalysts, *Nature*, 2023, **617**, 524–528.
 - 21 J. Handzlik and J. Ogonowski, DFT study of ethene metathesis proceeding on monomeric Mo^{VI} centres of MoO₃/Al₂O₃ catalyst: The role of the molybdacyclobutane intermediate, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2002, **184**, 371–377.
 - 22 T. Otroshchenko, O. Reinsdorf, D. Linke and E. V. Kondratenko, A chemical titration method for quantification of carbenes in Mo- or W-containing catalysts for metathesis of ethylene with 2-butenes: verification and application potential, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **9**, 5660–5667.
 - 23 S. Lwin and I. E. Wachs, Olefin Metathesis by Supported Metal Oxide Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 2505–2520.
 - 24 A. Chakrabarti and I. E. Wachs, Molecular Structure–Reactivity Relationships for Olefin Metathesis by Al₂O₃-Supported Surface MoO_x Sites, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 949–959.
 - 25 E. M. Oliveira, M. Rogero, E. C. Ferreira and J. A. Gomes Neto, Simultaneous determination of phosphite and phosphate in fertilizers by Raman spectroscopy, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **246**, 119025.
 - 26 I. I. Abu and K. J. Smith, The effect of cobalt addition to bulk MoP and Ni₂P catalysts for the hydrodesulfurization of 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene, *J. Catal.*, 2006, **241**, 356–366.
 - 27 I. C. ten Have, E. Valle, A. Gallo, J. L. Snider, M. S. Duyar and T. F. Jaramillo, Development of Molybdenum Phosphide Catalysts for Higher Alcohol Synthesis from Syngas by Exploiting Support and Promoter Effects, *Energy Technol.*, 2019, **7**, 1801102.
 - 28 J. Kibsgaard and T. F. Jaramillo, Molybdenum Phosphosulfide: An Active, Acid-Stable, Earth-Abundant Catalyst for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14433–14437.
 - 29 Y. Maki, K. Sato, A. Isobe, N. Iwasa, S. Fujita, M. Shimokawabe and N. Takezawa, Structures of H₃PO₄/SiO₂ catalysts and catalytic performance in the hydration of ethene, *Appl. Catal., A*, 1998, **170**, 269–275.
 - 30 Y.-K. Park, S. J. Kim, N. You, J. Cho, S. J. Lee, J. H. Lee and J.-K. Jeon, MoO₃/SiO₂ catalysts for double bond migration of 2-butene, *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 2011, **17**, 186–190.
 - 31 M. Jabłońska, An Application of Steady-state Isotopic-transient Kinetic Analysis (SSITKA) in DeNO_x Process, *ChemCatChem*, 2021, **13**, 818–827.
 - 32 S. L. Shannon and J. G. Goodwin, Characterization of Catalytic Surfaces by Isotopic-Transient Kinetics during Steady-State Reaction, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **95**, 677–695.
 - 33 C. Copéret, Z. J. Berkson, K. W. Chan, J. de Jesus Silva, C. P. Gordon, M. Pucino and P. A. Zhizhko, Olefin metathesis: what have we learned about homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts from surface organometallic chemistry?, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 3092–3115.
 - 34 K. Yamamoto, K. W. Chan, V. Mougél, H. Nagae, H. Tsurugi, O. V. Safonova, K. Mashima and C. Copéret, Silica-supported isolated molybdenum di-oxo species: formation and activation with organosilicon agent for olefin metathesis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 3989–3992.
 - 35 M. Myradova, A. Węgrzynowicz, A. Węgrzyniak, M. Gierada, P. Jodłowski, J. Łojewska, J. Handzlik and P. Michorczyk, Tuning the metathesis performance of a molybdenum oxide-based catalyst by silica support acidity modulation and high temperature pretreatment, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **12**, 2134–2145.



- 36 J. Handzlik, Theoretical Investigations of Isolated Mo(VI) and Mo(IV) Centers of a Molybdena-Silica Catalyst for Olefin Metathesis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 9337–9348.
- 37 J. Handzlik and P. Sautet, Active sites of olefin metathesis on molybdena-alumina system: A periodic DFT study, *J. Catal.*, 2008, **256**, 1–14.
- 38 J. Handzlik, J. Ogonowski and R. Tokarz-Sobieraj, Dependence of metathesis activity of Mo-methylidene sites on their location on (100) γ -Al₂O₃—a theoretical study, *Catal. Today*, 2005, **101**, 163–173.
- 39 J. Handzlik, Metathesis Activity and Properties of Mo-Alkylidene Sites Differently Located on Silica. A Density Functional Theory Study, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 20794–20804.

