RSC Advances



CORRECTION

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 46264

Correction: Novel phenoxyacetic herbicides synthesized from longifolene-derived primary amine for sustainable weed management

Yanqun Huang, ^{Da} Pingping Lu, ^{Db} Hongyun Lan, ^b Daozhan Huang, ^{D*b} Yu Feng, ^b Fengguo Ya, ^b Ziqi Gao, ^b Jiaxin Wen^b and Ziqiang Zhao^b


DOI: 10.1039/d5ra90130h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Correction for 'Novel phenoxyacetic herbicides synthesized from longifolene-derived primary amine for sustainable weed management' by Yanqun Huang *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2025, **15**, 38251–38259, https://doi.org/10.1039/D5RA05630F.

The authors regret that the original article contains an error in affiliation *a*. The correct affiliation is as displayed herein.
^aSchool of Materials and Environment, Guangxi Minzu University, Nanning 530105.

The authors also regret an error in the Graphical Abstract of the original article. The correct version of the Graphical Abstract is shown below.



The authors also regret an error in Scheme 1 of the original article. The R_1 substituent of compound 6c is CH_3 instead of H. The correct reaction scheme is shown below.

^aSchool of Materials and Environment, Guangxi Minzu University, Nanning 530105, China

^bKey Laboratory of Chemistry and Engineering of Forest Products, State Ethnic Affairs Commission, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Chemistry and Engineering of Forest Products, Engineering Research Center of Low-carbon and High-quality Utilization of Forest Biomass, University of Guangxi, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi Minzu University, Nanning 530006, China. E-mail: huangdaozhan@gxmzu.edu.cn

Correction RSC Advances

Scheme 1 Synthetic route of target compounds 6a-6d (i) CH₃COOH, (HCHO)_n, reflux, 24 h; (ii) CH₃COCl, reflux, 1 h; (iii) potassium phthalimide, DMF, 2 h; (iv) N₂H₄·H₂O, NaOH, reflux, 6 h; (v) absolute alcohol, 80 °C, 1.5 h; (vi) glyphosate, deionized water, RT, 30 min.

Finally, the authors also regret an error in the description of the comparison of the ${\rm IC}_{50}$ value of compound **6d** against *Lolium multiflorum* Lam. with that of GLYP-IPAM salt appearing in lines 10–11, page 38255 of the original article. The correct version is: "The ${\rm IC}_{50}$ value of compound **6d** against *Lolium multiflorum* Lam. root growth was higher than that of GLYP-IPAM salt, but lower than GLYP-IPAM salt against *Lolium multiflorum* Lam. shoot growth."

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.