


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2025, 15, 14946

Targeted synthesis of a trimethoxyphenyltetrahydropyrimidine analogue designed as a DNA intercalator: *in silico*, multi-spectroscopic, thermodynamic, and *in vitro* approaches†

 Ahmed A. Al-Karmalawy,^a Ayman Abo Elmaaty,^{cd} Galal Magdy,^e Aya Saad Radwan,^b Radwan Alnajjar,^g Moataz A. Shaldam,^h Arwa Omar Al Khatib,ⁱ Salem Salman Almuji,^j Abdullah Yahya Abdullah Alzahrani^k and Haytham O. Tawfik^{l*}

Based on the rational design of DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors and taking into consideration the main pharmacophoric features of doxorubicin (Dox) as a reference standard, we theoretically designed novel substituted tetrahydropyrimidine analogues (T_{1–35}). The designed analogues (T_{1–35}) were investigated for their inhibitory potential towards the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor using molecular docking. Interestingly, the theoretically designed analogue T₃₀ with a 3,4,5-trimethoxy phenyl side chain was found to be the superior candidate, achieving a binding score of -7.06 kcal mol⁻¹, compared with two reference standards, doxorubicin (Dox) and a co-crystal ligand (EVP). Moreover, the docked candidates (T₃₀, Dox, and EVP) were further subjected to molecular dynamics simulations for 500 ns. Furthermore, MM-GBSA calculations showed that the target candidate (T₃₀) achieved superior ΔG binding energy (-33.86 kcal mol⁻¹) compared with Dox and EVP. Moreover, T₃₀ was found to be the most promising candidate that could be conveniently synthesized based on its order in the chemical synthesis scheme. In addition, to evaluate the antiproliferative activity and scope of compound T₃₀, we requested the National Cancer Institute (NCI) to test it against nine cancer cell types. Interestingly, compound T₃₀ exhibited very strong antiproliferative activity with a mean GI₅₀ of 122% and a mean GI₅₀ of 4.10 μ M. It exhibited the highest anticancer activity towards all 59 cell lines. Moreover, the *in vitro* binding interaction of compound T₃₀ with calf thymus DNA (ctDNA) was examined using various techniques, such as spectrofluorimetry, UV-vis spectrophotometry, viscosity measurements, ionic strength measurements, and thermodynamics to confirm its mechanism of action. Investigating the intermolecular binding interaction between small compounds and DNA can provide valuable insights for designing drugs with enhanced effectiveness and improved targeted activities.

 Received 28th March 2025
 Accepted 21st April 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5ra02179k

rsc.li/rsc-advances
^aDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, The University of Mashreq, Baghdad 10023, Iraq. E-mail: akarmalawy@horus.edu.eg

^bDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Horus University-Egypt, New Damietta 34518, Egypt

^cMedicinal Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Port Said University, Port Said 42526, Egypt

^dMedicinal Chemistry Department, Clinical Pharmacy Program, East Port Said National University, Port Said 42526, Egypt

^ePharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, 33511, Egypt

^fDepartment of Pharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura National University, Gamasa, 7731168, Egypt

^gCADD Unit, Faculty of Pharmacy, Libyan International Medical University, Benghazi 16063, Libya

^hDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh 33516, Egypt

ⁱFaculty of Pharmacy, Hourani Center for Applied Scientific Research, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman, Jordan

^jDepartment of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, King Khalid University, Asir-Abha 61421, Saudi Arabia

^kDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

^lDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tanta University, Tanta, 31527, Egypt. E-mail: haytham.omar.mahmoud@pharm.tanta.edu.eg

 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra02179k>


1. Introduction

Cancer remains a leading global health challenge, claiming approximately 8 million lives annually. Alarming, projections suggest a rise of over 50% in new cancer cases in the coming years.¹ By 2030, the annual global burden of cancer is expected to reach a staggering 22 million cases.² This represents a significant increase from the current 8 million cases per year, underlining the urgent need for advancements in cancer prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. A growing concern in cancer treatment is the emergence of acquired resistance to chemotherapy in various cancer types.^{3,4} Unfortunately, many chemotherapeutic drugs can also affect healthy dividing cells, causing side effects such as nausea, a weakened immune system, anemia, and hair loss. This is because these drugs are not specific in targeting only cancer cells.^{5,6}

This critical need for improved cancer treatments underscores the urgency of developing new anticancer agents with a superior therapeutic index. Many successful anticancer drugs work by causing DNA damage in cancer cells, ultimately leading to programmed cell death (apoptosis).^{7,8} Some anticancer drugs, called DNA intercalators, work by embedding themselves between the strands of DNA. This disrupts the normal function of DNA, leading to cell cycle disruptions and eventually cell death.^{9,10} By imagining the DNA molecule as a twisted ladder, DNA intercalators are similar to tiny wedges that can squeeze between the rungs of the ladder (base pairs). These wedges disrupt the normal functioning of the DNA, ultimately leading to cell death. Intercalation is stabilized by a combination of forces, including π -electron interactions, electrostatic attractions, and hydrophobic/polar interactions.¹¹ Acridine derivatives (e.g. amsacrine),¹² anthracyclines (e.g., mitoxantrone, doxorubicin (Dox), and nogalamycin),^{13,14} are examples of FDA-approved DNA intercalators, as shown in Fig. 1.

Compounds can interact with DNA through either non-covalent or covalent interactions, with the non-covalent pattern typically being more prevalent. Non-covalent binding can be categorized into three types: intercalation, electrostatic, and groove binding.¹⁵ The molecule can undergo binding at several sites, including the minor groove and major groove, between two base pairs (full intercalation), on the exterior of the helix, and through electrostatic binding.^{15,16} Typically, there is no singular method that can offer a definitive understanding of the interaction between drugs and DNA. Therefore, it is crucial to provide effective, rapid, and economical experimental and computational methods to evaluate the interaction between DNA and other substances, with the purpose of assisting in drug design and discovery.

DNA topoisomerases are like tiny cellular machines (enzymes) that help manage the complex structure of DNA. DNA topoisomerases use energy from ATP to manipulate the molecular motion of DNA, preventing it from getting tangled.¹⁷ There are two main types of DNA topoisomerases: Type I and Type II. Notably, Type I enzymes (topoisomerase I) can make changes to the structure of a single strand of DNA, while Type II

(topoisomerase II) enzymes can modify the structure involving both strands of DNA.¹⁷

Topoisomerase II (Topo-II) is regarded as a prominent enzyme in our cells that helps manage the complex structure of DNA. It temporarily aids in cutting both strands of the DNA molecule and then sealing the cuts back up. This allows DNA to unwind and be used in important cellular processes like copying chromosomes, cell division, and making proteins.¹⁸ Topoisomerase II inhibitors can be categorized into two major classes: Topo-II poisons and Topo-II catalytic inhibitors. Topo-II poisons are characterized by their ability to enhance the rate of Topo-II/DNA complex cleavage, ultimately leading to the formation of DNA strand lesions.¹⁹ Examples of Topo-II poisons are anthracyclines (e.g., Dox¹³ and mitoxantrone¹⁴). However, Topo-II catalytic inhibitors work by specifically inhibiting the catalytic activity of Topo-II and do not change the rate of Topo-II/DNA complex cleavage.²⁰ An example of a Topo-II catalytic inhibitor is Etoposide.²¹ Hence, DNA intercalation and Topo-II inhibition are emerging as attractive and efficient tools in the fight against cancer. Their ability to target DNA makes them valuable approaches for cancer therapy.

Additionally, the pyrimidine derivatives are privileged scaffolds in medicinal chemistry with various biological activities, such as anti-microbial,^{22–24} anti-hypertensive,²⁵ anti-diabetic,^{26–28} and anticancer agents.^{29–32} Hence, in this current work, our main aim is to design and synthesize novel ethyl 6-(chloromethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-4-phenyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate derivatives as DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors.

1.1. Rationale of the design

Herein, many DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors, such as Dox, share key pharmacophores that are essential for their function. These pharmacophores include a planar polyaromatic chromophore, a groove binder (a component that fits into a specific area of the DNA molecule), and a positively charged region (cationic center).^{10,33} These structural features underpin the efficacy of DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors by facilitating their interaction with designated regions of the DNA molecule. This interaction disrupts the enzyme's activity and consequently interferes with the maintenance of proper DNA topology, as the rational design is based on maintaining the main essential pharmacophores with the possibility of slight molecular modifications to pursue activity change. In this current work, we retained the main essential pharmacophores of Topo-II inhibitors and DNA intercalators with some molecular modifications for SAR studies. Moreover, we have considered the pharmacophoric nature of the parent compounds, not their exact chemical entities. Replacing the anthracene nucleus of Doxorubicin (Dox) with a tetrahydropyrimidine scaffold is a strategy rooted in medicinal chemistry and drug design, aiming to improve certain pharmacological properties, while retaining or modifying the therapeutic activity. For example, the quinone and aromatic rings in the anthracene nucleus are involved in redox cycling, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) that damage cardiac tissue.³⁴ Replacing this core with






Fig. 1 Illustration of some approved topoisomerase II (Topo-II) inhibitors and DNA intercalators.

a less redox-active scaffold-like tetrahydropyrimidine could help minimize ROS generation and reduce cardiotoxic effects. So, the anthracene nucleus of Dox was replaced by a tetrahydropyrimidine scaffold (to be inserted in between the DNA base pairs, where both act as intercalators between the DNA nucleobases regardless of the difference in size between pyrimidine and anthracene scaffolds), the $-NH_2$ group of Dox was kept in our designed compounds (to act as a cationic center that can be protonated at physiological pH to interact with the phosphate group of the DNA sugar moiety), and the groove binder of Dox was replaced by similarly acting diverse substituted phenyl motifs to be directed towards the minor groove of DNA (Fig. 2). It is worth noting that the 3,4,5-trimethoxy phenyl side chain as a groove binder can contribute to superior stability, lower RMSD, and better ΔG binding energy compared to Dox. Regarding stability, the 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl group can fit particularly well into the DNA minor groove, owing to the 1-steric compatibility (the narrow groove accommodates small, hydrophobic, and electron-rich aromatic rings), 2-hydrogen bonding potential (the methoxy groups can act as hydrogen bond acceptors), and the 3-hydrophobic interactions (groove interiors are relatively hydrophobic and the 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl non-polar surface matches this environment). Regarding RMSD, the 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl group side chain contributes to low RMSD because it locks into the groove *via* different interactions. Moreover, unlike Dox's intercalation, which can disturb the DNA geometry or flip out the bases, the

groove binding of 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl is less invasive, so the ligand can stay more stable with lower RMSD values. The binding free energy (ΔG) may be more favorable in 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl-based groove binders since methoxy groups at positions 3, 4, and 5 can interact with DNA bases and sugar-phosphate backbone oxygens *via* hydrogen bonds. The phenyl ring can make tight van der Waals contacts with the groove wall, in addition to groove environments that favor non-polar interactions. The 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl group can achieve this type of interaction, affording favorable binding free energy (ΔG). In conclusion, our designed compounds retained the main pharmacophores of Dox required for DNA intercalation and Topo-II inhibition.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. *In silico* studies

2.1.1. Molecular docking. Based on the aforementioned rational design of DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors, taking into consideration the main pharmacophoric features of Dox as a reference standard, our research team theoretically designed novel substituted tetrahydropyrimidine analogues (T_{1-35}), as shown in Scheme 1.

These analogues were designed based on their novelty in synthesis and diversity. Afterward, all of the designed analogues (T_{1-35}) were investigated for their inhibitory potential towards the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) using molecular docking. This was done to select the most






Fig. 2 The design rationale of the investigated tetrahydropyrimidine derivatives illustrates the main pharmacophores of doxorubicin as a DNA intercalator and Topo-II inhibitor.

promising analogue to be synthesized, and accordingly save effort, time, and cost in the drug discovery process. First, the active site residues were identified to be Met782, Gln778, Asp479, Lys456, Glu477, Gly478, Gly504, Leu502, Arg503, Ala817, DA12, DC11, DC14, and DG13. Moreover, both Dox and the co-crystallized inhibitor of the target receptor (EVP) were utilized as two reference standards.

Interestingly, the theoretically designed tetrahydropyrimidine analogue (T_{30}) with a 3,4,5-trimethoxy phenyl side chain was found to be the superior candidate, achieving a binding score of $-7.06 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (RMSD = 1.56 \AA). This binding score was comparable to both reference standards (Dox and EVP), which exhibited binding scores of $-7.44 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (RMSD = 1.58 \AA) and $-7.45 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (RMSD = 1.57 \AA), respectively. Additionally, the docking scores, RMSD, and binding interactions of the target novel substituted tetrahydropyrimidine analogues (T_{1-35}) are summarized in the ESI data (Table S1[†]).

The binding mode of the designed analogue (T_{30}) was highly similar to both reference standards (Dox and EVP), as shown in Fig. 3, illustrating the formation of one hydrogen bond with Met782 and one pi-hydrogen bond with DA12 through its trimethoxy phenyl side chain. Moreover, it formed two hydrogen bonds with DC11 and Ala817 through its tetrahydropyrimidine

moiety extensions at C2 and C6. Accordingly, both hydrogen and pi-hydrogen bonds were clarified to be crucial types of interactions to stabilize analogue (T_{30}) inside the binding pocket of DNA and the Topo-II target receptor. Moreover, Dox showed the formation of two hydrogen bonds with DA12 and Gln778. However, the docked co-crystallized EVP bound both DA12 and Met782 with two hydrogen bonds. These findings indicate the antagonistic potential of the designed analogue (T_{30}) towards the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3).

2.1.2. Molecular dynamics simulation. Since the docking approach does not take into account the protein's flexibility, the docked candidates (T_{30} , Dox, and EVP) were further subjected to molecular dynamics simulation for 500 ns to validate their stabilities within the active site of the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3).

2.1.2.1. RMSD analysis. RMSD was performed to judge the system's stability. The RMSD describes the deviation degree of the complex protein with respect to its initial position in a quantitative way. The three examined complexes showed moderate stability with RMSD values $<5.4 \text{ \AA}$ (Fig. 4A). Moreover, the ligand RMSD (L-RMSD) was studied to evaluate the exact behaviour of each ligand within the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) binding domain (Fig. 4B).




Fig. 3 3D Binding interactions of the superior theoretically designed tetrahydropyrimidine analogue (T₃₀), doxorubicin, and the docked co-crystallized EVP towards the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3).

Dox, and EVP candidates were represented by heat map output (ESI Fig. S1†).

The heat map of the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor-T₃₀ complex showed that the interactions of Gln778 were more prominent after 100 ns of the simulation time, and were preserved until the end of the simulation (Fig. S1,† A). Conversely, more intense behaviours were observed for Ala817, Gln789, and Asn786 interactions with Dox (Fig. S1B†). The interactions of Ala817 were more intense in the first half of the simulation time. In contrast, the interactions of Gln789 and

Asn786 were more intense in the second half of the simulation time. Additionally, the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor-EVP complex heat map showed that Asn520 and Gln778 continually contributed to the binding interactions throughout most of the simulation time (Fig. S1C†).

2.1.3. MM-GBSA calculations. MM-GBSA calculations using the thermal_mmgsa.py python script of Schrodinger³⁵ were carried out for all complexes (T₃₀, Dox, and EVP)-3QX3, and are summarized in Table 1. Notably, the target candidate (T₃₀) achieved superior ΔG binding energy ($-33.86 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$)






Fig. 4 (A) Protein RMSD and (B) ligand RMSD for the complexes (T₃₀, Dox, and EVP) of the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) binding domain as a function of simulation time (500 ns).

compared with Dox and EVP (-33.80 and -27.94 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively), indicating the more favorable binding affinity of the tetrahydropyrimidine scaffold of T₃₀ than that of the anthracene core of Dox.

2.2. Chemistry

As presented in Scheme 2, the most promising candidate (T₃₀) was conveniently synthesized through Biginelli multicomponent reaction (MCR).^{36,37} It involves the cyclocondensation of 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde **1** with ethyl 4-chloroacetoacetate **2** and *N*-methylurea **3** in reflux with absolute ethanol, in addition to citric acid and triethylorthoformate (TEOF) to remove the water molecule and the formation of the tetrahydropyrimidine (THPM) analogue T₃₀ with high yield (93%).

Elemental analysis and spectroscopic data (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, DEPTQ, HMQC, mass spectroscopy, and HPLC) of the newly synthesized compound were in agreement with the expected chemical structure, as reported in the experimental section. Generally, the ¹H NMR spectral data of the target compound showed a pair of doublet signals at δ 5.08 and 8.06 ppm, representing 4-H and 3-NH, respectively, at the THPM ring, and the disappearance of the singlet signals of proton of the starting aldehyde and the two protons of the active methylene ($-\text{CH}_2$) of ethyl 4-chloroacetoacetate **2** at around δ 9.82 and 3.41 ppm, respectively. ¹³C NMR spectra showed the presence of 4-C at the THPM ring at δ 52.59 ppm, the

disappearance of the carbonyl group of the starting aldehyde, and one of the two carbonyl groups of ethyl 4-chloroacetoacetate **2** in the expected range of δ 190–210 ppm. The conducted HRMS further assured the chemical structure of compound T₃₀ (calcd./found 399.13174/399.13194 *m/z*).

The ¹³C NMR-DEPTQ approach was used to discriminate between carbons that carried an odd number of protons (CH and CH₃) and those bearing an even number of protons or without protons (CH₂ and C_Q) by revealing signals upfield (positive) and downfield (negative), respectively, to the baseline. Compound T₃₀ showed two aliphatic peaks downfield to the baseline at 38.50 and 60.91 ppm, respectively, for the CH₂Cl and CH₂O groups, in addition to all quaternary carbons. In addition, it showed peaks above the baselines related to all CH₃ groups (three OCH₃, NCH₃, and OCH₂CH₃) and all CH groups (two benzene CH at positions 2/6 and one THPM CH at position 4).

Furthermore, the two-dimensional ¹H-¹³C HMQC (Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence) technique was used to correct and complete the ¹³C NMR peak assignments. From the ¹H-¹³C HMQC spectrum shown in Fig. 6, two separate cross-peaks can easily be seen by correlation of proton H_A at 4.99 ppm with the carbon signal at 38.49 ppm, and that of proton H_B at 5.11 ppm with the carbon signal at the same chemical shift (38.49 ppm). Based on this, the signal at 38.49 ppm could easily be assigned to the carbon atom attached to both protons (H_A and H_B) of compound T₃₀. The previous






Fig. 5 Histogram describing the binding interactions between the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) and its ligands: (A) T₃₀, (B) Dox, and (C) EVP.

conclusion was supported by the same coupling constant of both doublet signals at 4.99 and 5.11 ppm ($J = 10.0$ Hz). Although there is a third doublet signal for a proton in that

region (5.08 ppm), it was possible to conclude that it couples with the doublet signal of the NH group (8.06 ppm) because of the same coupling constant value ($J = 5.0$ Hz). Thus, we can



Table 1 MM-GBSA energies for the complexes (T₃₀, Dox, and EVP) of the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor^a

| Complex | ΔG binding | Coulomb | Covalent | H-bond | Lipo | Bind packing | Solv_GB | VdW |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|
| T ₃₀ | -33.86 | -963.07 | 6.41 | -0.43 | -10.79 | -0.39 | 1024.80 | -90.38 |
| Dox | -33.80 | -1046.22 | 5.18 | -0.50 | -13.04 | -0.51 | 1133.57 | -112.27 |
| EVP | -27.94 | -930.40 | 6.02 | -0.26 | -10.52 | -0.06 | 998.07 | -90.79 |

^a Coulomb: Coulomb energy; covalent: covalent binding energy; H-bond: hydrogen-bonding energy; lipo: lipophilic energy; Solv_GB: generalized born electrostatic solvation energy; VdW: van der Waals energy; and St. Dev.: standard deviation.

conclude that it is the proton belonging to the carbon number four at the THPM ring.

The mass spectra of the synthesized compound showed a peak corresponding to the molecular ion and its isotope. According to HPLC analysis, compound T₃₀ has a purity level greater than 98.9% (see the purity value listed for the lead compound in the experimental section and Fig. S7†).


2.3. Biological evaluation

2.3.1. Evaluation of *in vitro* antiproliferative activity by NCI. In order to determine the inhibitory effect and range of compound T₃₀, we submitted a request to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) to evaluate its efficacy against a selection of nine different types of cancer cells. NCI employs a total protein assay using sulforhodamine B (SRB), a widely recognized method noted for its high sensitivity, accuracy, and reduced vulnerability to interferences generated by the tested chemical. This is due to its independence from cellular metabolic activities.^{3,38,39} Compound T₃₀ was tested against various cancers, including blood, lung, colon, CNS, skin, ovary, renal, prostate, and breast, using a single dose (10 μ M) and five doses (GI₅₀) (0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μ M).

Compound T₃₀ exhibited significant antiproliferative activity, with mean GI% = 122% and mean GI₅₀ = 4.10 μ M. It had the highest level of effectiveness against all 59 cell lines in terms of inhibiting cancer growth. Compound T₃₀ demonstrated deadly effects in 39 instances of cancer cells, with growth inhibition percentages ranging from 101% to 196% (Fig. S8†). In addition, it exhibited remarkable activity with GI₅₀ values ranging from 1.43 to 13.5 μ M (6 of them being less than 2.00 μ M) and LC₅₀ values ranging from 7.16 to greater than 100.0 μ M (Fig. S9†).

2.3.2. *In vitro* assessment of the effectiveness against blood malignancies. Haematologic malignancies, although classified as a single cancer group, exhibit diversity and can be classified into three subtypes based on their origins: leukaemia, lymphoma, and multiple myeloma. A recent study has uncovered that haematologic cancer patients experience a decline in their quality of life due to both the blood cancer itself and the adverse effects of current medications. Consequently, there is a need for improved treatments to meet these unresolved challenges.⁴⁰ Considering this, we investigated the effectiveness of the synthesized compound T₃₀ on several cell lines associated with leukaemia (HL60 and K562), lymphoma (CCRF-CEM, MOLT-4, and SR), and multiple myeloma (RPMI8226) using one-dose and five-dose treatments. The findings are presented in Fig. 7A. Compound T₃₀ exhibited deadly effects on HL-60, MOLT-4, and SR, with growth inhibition percentages (GI%) of 121, 127, and 122, respectively. All blood cancer cell lines displayed a GI₅₀ range of 2.24–2.59 μ M. The RPMI-8226 cell line exhibited the highest sensitivity to T₃₀ among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.3. *In vitro* assessment of the effectiveness against non-small cell lung malignancies. Lung cancer is the leading cause of mortality worldwide among all types of cancer. It is categorized into two main types: small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). SCLC, which constitutes more than 85% of lung cancers, is less responsive to chemotherapy compared to the former. NSCLCs are categorized into adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or large-cell carcinoma. The synthesized hybrid compounds were subsequently evaluated against a panel of nine non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs), encompassing lung adenocarcinoma cells (A549, EK VX, HOP62, HOP92, H23, and H522), metastatic lung



Scheme 2 Synthesis of the THPM derivative T₃₀. Reagents and conditions: (i) citric acid, TEOF, absolute ethanol, and reflux 10 h.






Fig. 6 ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC NMR spectrum of compound T_{30} in the regions (^1H) 4.87–5.23 ppm and (^{13}C) 35–55 ppm.

adenocarcinoma (H322 cell line), large cell lung carcinoma (H460 cell line), and squamous carcinoma (H226 cell line). The findings are succinctly presented in Fig. 7B. Compound T_{30} exhibited a wide range of effectiveness, with a growth inhibition percentage (GI%) ranging from 54 to 176 and a GI_{50} (concentration required for 50% growth inhibition) ranging from 1.55 to 12.7 μM . The lung cancer cell that exhibits the highest sensitivity is H522, which is classified as adenocarcinoma and has a GI% of 176 (indicating deadly activity) and a GI_{50} of 1.55 μM . Conversely, the two cells that are least affected are HOP-62 and H322M. The NCI-H522 cell line was most sensitive to T_{30} among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.4. *In vitro* assessing the effectiveness against colorectal malignancies. Colon cancer is quite prevalent, with adenocarcinomas comprising around 95% of all instances. Although originating from the same histology source, each colon cancer cell line has individual and distinguishable molecular and genetic alterations.⁴¹ Consequently, we tested our drug on a group of seven colon cancer cell lines, and the outcomes are displayed in Fig. 7C. Compound T_{30} showed high effectiveness and deadly activity against all tested colon cancer cell lines, with GI% values ranging from 107 to 196 (except for KM12 with a GI% of 92). Additionally, the compound exhibited a single-digit GI_{50} value of less than 5 μM , ranging from 1.72 to 4.87. The HCT-15 cell line was the most sensitive to T_{30} among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.5. *In vitro* assessment of the effectiveness against CNS malignancies. CNS tumours are categorized into two groups: gliomas and non-gliomas. Among them, glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) brain malignancies are the most prevalent and exhibit high resistance to treatment. The resistance is associated with the diversity of the cancer cells, the repeated occurrence of resistant forms, and the strict criteria that the chemotherapy drug must fulfill to effectively penetrate the blood–brain barrier.⁴² The effectiveness and range of activity of the synthesized compound were evaluated against a group of six GBM cell lines. The results of this evaluation can be found in Fig. 7D. Compound T_{30} demonstrated significant growth

inhibition (GI) against the majority of the examined glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) cell lines. The GI percentage ranged from 66% to 157%, while the GI_{50} values ranged from 2.78 to 10.9 μM . The CNS cancer cell line that is most vulnerable to compound T_{30} is SF-539, with a GI% of 157 and a GI_{50} of 2.78 μM . On the other hand, the least sensitive cell line is SNB-19, with a GI% of 66 and a GI_{50} of 10.9 μM . The SF-539 cell line was most sensitive to T_{30} among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.6. *In vitro* assessment of the effectiveness against melanoma malignancies. Melanoma is the predominant form of skin cancer and is notoriously challenging to treat.⁴³ The inefficiency of existing chemotherapeutics is caused by the presence of intratumoral and intertumoral heterogeneity in melanomas.⁴⁴ To further advance the development of new treatments for melanoma, the synthesized molecule's effectiveness and range of activity were assessed by testing it on a group of nine melanoma cell lines. The outcomes of this experiment are presented in Fig. 7E. Compound T_{30} exhibited significant growth inhibition percentages (GI%) ranging from 97 to 184, as well as GI_{50} values ranging from 1.43 to 4.02 μM , against nearly all of the nine melanoma cell lines used in the study. Notably, it showed particularly strong activity against the LOXIMVI cell line, with a GI% of 177 and a GI_{50} of 1.43 μM , as well as the MALME-3M cell line, with a GI% of 181 and a GI_{50} of 1.57 μM . The LOX IMVI cell line was the most sensitive one to T_{30} among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.7. *In vitro* assessing the effectiveness against ovarian malignancies. Ovarian cancers are known for their high mortality rates, with just 30% of patients surviving for five years and 70% experiencing a recurrence. The average duration of progression-free survival is approximately one to one and a half years.⁴⁵ Epithelial ovarian malignancies constitute around 95% of all cases of ovarian cancer,⁴⁶ despite the existence of several other kinds. Regrettably, platinum-based chemotherapeutics, known for their harshness and resistance, continue to be the standard post-operative treatment.⁴⁷ Several targeted medications are available for the treatment of ovarian cancer, such as antiangiogenic and PARP inhibitors.⁴⁸ Consequently, there is






Fig. 7 GI% and GI₅₀ of cell lines representing diverse cancer subtypes by compound T₃₀.

a pressing necessity to uncover novel therapies for ovarian cancer. We conducted an experiment where we evaluated the effectiveness of our synthesized compound on a group of seven

ovarian cancer cells. The results of this experiment can be observed in Fig. 7F. Compound T₃₀ inhibited the growth of six out of the seven ovarian cancer cell lines tested, reducing their growth by 95 to 190 percent and with a GI₅₀ range of 2.88 to 13.5 μM. Notably, it was particularly effective against the OVCAR-3 cell line, with a growth inhibition of 190 percent and a GI₅₀ of 2.88 μM. The SK-OV-3 cell line is relatively resistant to ovarian cancer, as indicated by its low susceptibility with a growth inhibition percentage (GI%) of 38. Furthermore, the GI₅₀ value for this cell line is greater than 10 μM, suggesting that a higher concentration of a particular substance is required to inhibit its growth. The OVCAR-3 cell line was most sensitive to T₃₀ among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.8. In vitro assessment of the effectiveness against renal malignancies. Renal cell carcinomas account for the majority (92%) of kidney cancer cases.⁴⁹ The prevalence of renal cell carcinoma is continuously rising. Moreover, the diverse nature of renal cell carcinomas limits the effectiveness of therapy and results in the development of resistant tumours.⁵⁰ Consequently, there is a need for novel compounds to offer alternate options to the existing anticancer drugs for the treatment of kidney cancer. The hybrid compounds that were developed were evaluated against a panel of eight renal cell carcinomas to assess their effectiveness and range. The results of this testing are shown in Fig. 7G. Compound T₃₀ demonstrated fatal action ranging from 119% to 183% against four renal cancer cell lines, and exhibited excellent growth inhibition percentages (GI%) ranging from 80% to 93% against three other renal cancer cell lines. Furthermore, it exhibited encouraging single-digit GI₅₀ readings ranging from 1.78 to 7.20 μM. The CAKI-1 cell line was most sensitive to T₃₀ among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.9. In vitro assessment of the effectiveness against prostate malignancies. Small cell prostate carcinomas (SCPC) are the most lethal and refractory to antihormonal therapy targeting androgen deprivation. Usually, their reaction to chemotherapy is short-lived, and the typical survival duration is as little as one year.⁵¹ Fig. 7H demonstrates the effectiveness of the synthesized medication against two highly aggressive cell lines, PC3 and DU145. The findings demonstrated that compound T₃₀ significantly suppressed the proliferation of DU-145 cells to a greater extent than PC-3 cells, as indicated by the GI% and GI₅₀ values, which were more than four times higher. The DU-145 cell line was the most sensitive one to T₃₀ among the investigated cell lines.

2.3.10. In vitro assessment of the effectiveness against breast malignancies. Among adult females under the age of 60, breast cancer is responsible for a considerable number of cancer-related deaths.⁵² Certain types of breast cancers exhibit positive expression of estrogen, progesterone, or Her2, whereas others do not, known as triple-negative breast cancers (TNBCs). The distinctive characteristics of TNBC render targeted and hormonal therapies ineffectual.⁵³ Moreover, TNBCs often have a high level of aggressiveness and invasiveness. Consequently, our series underwent testing using an NCI panel of breast cancer cells, which consisted of two estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cell lines (MCF7 and T47D) and four TNBC cell lines (MDA-MB-231, HS578T, BT549, and MDAMB468). The






Fig. 8 UV spectrophotometric spectra of ctDNA (97.0 μM) with increasing concentrations of compound T_{30} from 0 to 5 (0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 30.0 and 40.0) μM .

findings are presented in Fig. 7I. Compound T_{30} demonstrated fatal action against all kidney cancer cell lines, increasing their activity by 101–188%. Additionally, it showed outstanding GI_{50} findings ranging from 2.34–3.40 μM , with the MCF7 cell line being particularly responsive ($\text{GI}\% = 188$ and $\text{GI}_{50} = 2.34$ μM). The MCF-7 cell line was the most sensitive one to T_{30} among the investigated cell lines.

2.4. Spectroscopic approaches

2.4.1. DNA-binding study. The objective of this work was to obtain a comprehensive overview of how compound T_{30} binds

to ctDNA to confirm its potential as an anticancer agent, as indicated by the results of *in vitro* cytotoxic tests.

2.4.2. Study of the binding manner

2.4.2.1. UV spectrophotometric study. This study relies on the addition of increasing concentrations of compound T_{30} to ctDNA and observing the alterations in the intensity and position of the DNA's distinctive peak at 260 nm, which is associated with the π – π^* transition of the base pairs of DNA.⁵⁴ The study revealed that the intensity of the ctDNA peak increased as the concentration of compound T_{30} increased, as depicted in Fig. 8. Furthermore, the peak position exhibited a red shift towards a shorter wavelength. Consequently, based on the shift rule of




Fig. 9 Effect of different concentrations of compound T_{30} (0–50.0 μM) on the ctDNA viscosity (97.0 μM) in Tris–HCl buffer at 298 K.






Fig. 10 (A) Fluorescence emission spectra of the EB-ctDNA complex in the presence and absence of compound T₃₀ at 298 K. C (ctDNA): 97.0 μM; C (EB): 7.0 μM; C (compound T₃₀): 0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0 μM, ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}} = 525/603$ nm). (B) Fluorescence emission spectra of the RB-ctDNA complex in the presence and absence of compound T₃₀ at 298 K. C (ctDNA): 97.0 μM; C (RB): 1.27 μM; C (compound T₃₀) (0 → 5): 0, 5.0, 10.0, 20.0, 30.0, 40.0 μM, ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}/\lambda_{\text{em}} = 465/576$ nm).

the DNA distinctive absorption peak, the binding process is anticipated to occur through intercalation mode rather than groove.⁵⁵

2.4.2.2. Viscosity studies. Viscosity studies are crucial for investigating alterations in the DNA length and how it binds.⁵⁶ The traditional intercalative binding process significantly affects the viscosity of the DNA solution due to its requirement for ample space between adjacent base pairs to elongate the

double helix and accommodate micro-molecules.⁵⁷ Conversely, the impact of the electrostatic and groove binding processes on the DNA viscosity is minimal or nonexistent, as supported by many studies.^{58,59} The data presented in Fig. 9 demonstrates that the relative specific viscosity of ctDNA (η/η^0)^{1/3} varies on subsequent addition of compound T₃₀, providing evidence for the intercalation binding mode of compound T₃₀ with ctDNA.






Fig. 11 Influence of the NaCl ionic strength on the absorbance of the ctDNA-compound T_{30} complex. The conc. of compound T_{30} and ctDNA were $2.0 \mu\text{M}$ and $97.0 \mu\text{M}$, respectively. The conc. of NaCl was $0\text{--}0.07 \text{ M}$.

This finding is in line with the results obtained from the spectrophotometric investigation.

2.4.2.3. Spectrofluorimetric competitive binding interactions. Competitive binding studies were carried out utilizing Rhodamine B (RB) and Ethidium bromide (EB) fluorescent probes to investigate the binding of compound T_{30} to ctDNA. According to

reports, RB binds to the DNA's minor groove, particularly in areas rich in AT base pairs. In contrast, EB binds to DNA by intercalation.^{60,61} The fluorescence intensities of EB and RB increase when they attach to DNA.⁶² The analysis revealed that the fluorescence intensity of the EB-DNA complex decreased as the concentration of compound T_{30} increased. However, there




Fig. 12 A plot of $A_0/(A - A_0)$ versus $1/C_{\text{compound } T_{30}}$ at different temperature settings ($C_{\text{DNA}} = 97.0 \mu\text{M}$), where r is the correlation coefficient.



Table 2 Assessment of the binding constants (K_b) of the ctDNA-compound T_{30} complex and thermodynamic parameters at the studied temperatures

| T (K) | K_b (M^{-1}) | ΔS° ($J\ mol^{-1}\ K^{-1}$) | ΔH° ($kJ\ mol^{-1}$) | ΔG° ^a ($kJ\ mol^{-1}$) | ΔG° ^b ($kJ\ mol^{-1}$) |
|---------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 298 | 1.45×10^6 | 182.97 | 19.40 | -35.157 | -35.125 |
| 303 | 1.60×10^6 | | | -35.998 | -36.04 |
| 308 | 2.10×10^6 | | | -37.284 | -36.95 |

^a $\Delta G^\circ = RT \ln K_b$, in ($kJ\ mol^{-1}$) ^b $\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ$ in ($kJ\ mol^{-1}$)




Fig. 13 Van't Hoff graph for the ctDNA-compound T_{30} complex.

was no impact on the fluorescence intensity of the RB-DNA complex, which further supports the intercalation binding process (Fig. 10).

2.4.2.4. Ionic strength evaluation. The degree of electrostatic contact is substantially influenced by the ionic strength of the reaction media.⁶³ Thus, in order to assess the potential for electrostatic interaction between ctDNA and compound T_{30} , the impact of different NaCl concentrations on their binding relationship was examined. The data presented in Fig. 11 demonstrate that the absorbance of the complex with ctDNA remains constant as the concentration of NaCl increases from 0 to 0.07 M. This suggests the absence of any electrostatic interaction. Briefly, the previously revealed experimental data demonstrate an intercalation binding interaction between compound T_{30} and ct-DNA.

2.4.3. Estimation of binding affinity between compound T_{30} and ctDNA. Establishing the binding affinity of a drug to a biomacromolecule is crucial because the drug's effectiveness is directly linked to its binding affinity. The dissociation constant (K_d) or binding constant (K_b) can be utilized to approximate the strength of binding. The Benesi-Hildebrand eqn (1) can be used to calculate the binding constant (K_b) of the 1 : 1 complex formed between compound T_{30} and ctDNA:⁶⁴

$$\frac{A_0}{A - A_0} = \frac{\epsilon_{DNA}}{\epsilon_{\text{comp. } T_{30}\text{-DNA}} - \epsilon_{DNA}} + \frac{\epsilon_{DNA}}{\epsilon_{\text{comp. } T_{30}\text{-DNA}} - \epsilon_{DNA}} \times \frac{1}{K_b \cdot C_{\text{comp. } T_{30}}} \quad (1)$$

where: A and A_0 are the ctDNA absorbance with or without compound T_{30} , respectively. ϵ_{DNA} and $\epsilon_{\text{comp. } T_{30}\text{-DNA}}$ signify the molar extinction coefficients of ctDNA and the ctDNA-compound T_{30} complex, respectively. $C_{\text{compound } T_{30}}$ is the concentration of compound T_{30} .

A linear relationship was observed when plotting $1/C_{\text{compound } T_{30}}$ versus $A_0/(A - A_0)$ at various temperatures (298, 303, 308 K), as shown in Fig. 12. This outcome indicates that the resultant complex exhibits a stoichiometry of 1 : 1. Table 2 displays the various K_b values acquired for the resultant complex utilizing eqn (1). The K_b values were in the order of $10^6\ M^{-1}$, indicating that compound T_{30} has a significant affinity for binding to ctDNA. In addition, the values seem to be comparable to those of the intercalators, with a K_b of $1.4 \times 10^6\ M^{-1}$ (ref. 65) and higher than groove binders,⁶⁴ demonstrating the involvement of the intercalation binding process in the binding of ctDNA-compound T_{30} . Furthermore, when the temperature increases, the binding affinity between ctDNA and compound T_{30}



increases, suggesting that the interaction between compound T_{30} and ctDNA is an endothermic process.⁵⁴

2.4.4. Estimation of thermodynamic factors and key binding forces. The contact between small molecules and biomacromolecules involves four non-covalent binding forces: hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic, electrostatic forces, and van der Waals. Additionally, the nature of binding forces can be deduced by examining the sign and amount of entropy (ΔS°) and enthalpy (ΔH°) changes. When the values of ΔS° and ΔH° are positive, hydrophobic interaction becomes the prevailing force. However, when ΔS° is positive and ΔH° is nearly zero, electrostatic interaction becomes the primary force. Hydrogen bonding and/or van der Waals interactions are the main interaction attractions when both ΔS° and ΔH° are negative.^{66,67} The van't Hoff eqn (2) and (3) were utilized to compute the thermodynamic parameters for the binding of compound T_{30} with ctDNA, which comprised the Gibbs free energy change (ΔG°), ΔH° , and ΔS° .⁶⁷

$$\ln K_b = -\frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \quad (3)$$

where R represents a gas constant.

The graph depicted in Fig. 13 illustrates the relationship between $\ln K_b$ and $1/T$, according to Van't Hoff's equation. The plot's slope and intercept were utilized to estimate ΔH° and ΔS° , correspondingly, which are shown in Table 2. The presence of hydrophobic contacts typically leads to positive values of ΔH° and ΔS° . Since the result of ΔH° was greater than zero, it provided further confirmation that the binding process was endothermic. Furthermore, it was found that ΔG° is negative, indicating a spontaneous binding association. Therefore, the process of compound T_{30} binding with ctDNA is both endothermic and spontaneous. The main binding forces in this interaction appear to be hydrophobic interactions.

3. Conclusion

In this study, the theoretically designed novel substituted tetrahydropyrimidine analogues (T_{1-35}) were designed as promising DNA intercalators and Topo-II inhibitors. Notably, the theoretically designed analogue (T_{30}) with a 3,4,5-trimethoxy phenyl side chain was found to be the superior candidate among the investigated compounds in comparison to both reference standards, Dox and EVP. Molecular dynamics simulation revealed that compound T_{30} showed the most stable behaviour with an L-RMSD value of 6.3 Å, as a maximum fluctuation level. However, Dox and EVP fluctuated to 8 and 9 Å, respectively, indicating their less stable behaviours compared to T_{30} . Moreover, the target candidate (T_{30}) achieved superior ΔG binding energy ($-33.86 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$), which was better than that of both Dox and EVP. Furthermore, the most promising candidate (T_{30}) was synthesized and denoted as compound T_{30} . Compound T_{30} revealed very strong antiproliferative activity with mean growth = -22% and mean GI% = 122% , and showed

the greatest anticancer activity towards all 59 cell lines. We conclude that compound T_{30} is remarkably effective against non-small cell lung cancer (NCI-H522) and melanoma (LOX IMVI). Moreover, the binding interaction was investigated using several spectroscopic techniques, ionic strength studies, viscosity tests, and *in silico* investigations. The data demonstrated a strong affinity between compound T_{30} and ctDNA, indicating an intercalation binding relationship. Furthermore, the binding between compound T_{30} and ctDNA was spontaneous, and the resulting complex was mostly held together by hydrophobic interactions. In summary, this study presented comprehensive data on the characteristics of this interaction, including how the molecules connect, the strength of the binding, the specific location of the binding site, and the forces involved in the interaction. This information is highly significant for rationally designing anticancer candidates, intending to enhance their activity and selectivity.

4. Experimental

4.1. *In silico* studies

4.1.1. Molecular docking. The theoretically designed substituted tetrahydropyrimidine analogues (T_{1-35}) were subjected to a molecular docking process towards the hybrid DNA and Topo-II target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) to investigate their inhibitory potential. This was done using the MOE 2022.02 software,^{68,69} which was validated by redocking the co-crystallized EVP inhibitor inside its binding pocket. The obtained RMSD value ($<2 \text{ \AA}$) and the similar binding interactions confirmed the valid performance.⁷⁰ All designed analogues (T_{1-35}) were sketched in the working window of the MOE, and energy was minimized after partial charges optimization.⁷¹ Moreover, the target receptor (PDB ID: 3QX3) was downloaded and subjected to the preparation process by correction, 3D hydrogenation, and energy minimization steps.⁷² Then, a general docking process was carried out using a database containing the prepared designed analogues (T_{1-35}), Dox, and EVP. Finally, the docked candidates were ranked according to their binding scores and RMSD values.

4.1.2. Molecular dynamics simulation. The Desmond package of Schrödinger LLC³⁵ was used to perform the molecular dynamics simulation at 500 ns^{73} for the superior designed analogue (T_{30}), together with the two reference standards (Dox and EVP). The full compound methodology was described in the ESI data (ESI1).[†]

4.1.3. MM-GBSA calculations. The thermal_mmgbsa.py python script of Schrödinger LLC was applied to calculate the Molecular Mechanics Generalized Born Surface Area (MM-GBSA) energies.⁷⁴ The full compound methodology was described in the ESI data (SI2).[†]

4.2. Chemistry

The initial chemicals and reagents were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck Millipore, and were utilized without additional purification. The progression of the reactions was tracked using a pre-coated sheet of thin-layer chromatography obtained



from Eastman Kodak Co. The mobile phase was a mixture of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate at a ratio of 2 : 3. The melting point was determined using a Stuart SMP10 instrument and was not adjusted for any corrections. The PerkinElmer 2400 CHNS analyzer was used to conduct elemental analysis, and the results were found to be within ± 0.40 of the theoretical values. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL spectrometer using DMSO-*d*₆. The HRMS were recorded on LC/Q-TOF, 6530 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Fayoum University. Compound T₃₀ is 98.96% pure by HPLC analysis. The column used in HPLC was ZORBAX Eclipse plus C18 (150 × 4.6 mm, 3.5 μm). The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (ACN)/0.05 M KH₂PO₄ buffer pH 3.7 (30 : 70), with a flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹, and the run time was set as double the elution time. The ESI file† contains the spectrum data, as well as their interpretation.

4.2.1. The preparation of ethyl 6-(chloromethyl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (T₃₀). A mixture of ethyl 4-chloroacetate **2** (4 mmol, 658 mg), 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde **1** (2 mmol 392 mg), *N*-methyl urea **3** (4 mmol 412 mg), citric acid (2 mmol 384 mg), and TEOF (4 mmol 593 mg) was heated under reflux for 10 h in absolute ethanol. The reaction was stopped by adding 20 mL of distilled water, and the resulting liquid was subsequently chilled in an ice bath. The solid that formed was separated by filtration, and then purified through recrystallization using acetone. This process yielded the desired product, which is denoted as T₃₀. Yield (370 mg, 93%) as yellow crystal with M.p. 245 °C. HPLC: *R*_T 8.21 min (purity: 98.96%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm): 1.11 (3H, t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 3.17 (3H, s, NCH₃), 3.57 (3H, s, 4-OCH₃), 3.67 (6H, s, 3,5-diOCH₃), 4.06 (2H, q, *J* = 5.0 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 4.99 (1H, d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, CHCl), 5.08 (1H, d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, THPM 4-H), 5.11 (1H, d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, CHCl), 6.47 (2H, s, 2-H and 6-H), 8.06 (1H, d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 3-NH). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) δ (ppm): 14.48 (OCH₂CH₃), 29.38 (NCH₃), 38.49 (CH₂Cl), 52.59 (4-C of THPM), 56.23 (3,5-diOCH₃), 60.48 (4-OCH₃), 60.92 (OCH₂CH₃), 103.59 (2-C and 6-C of benzene ring), 105.71 (5-C of THPM), 137.32 (1-C of benzene ring), 138.94 (4-C of benzene ring), 148.14 (6-C of THPM), 153.38 (3-C and 5-C of benzene ring), 153.56 (2-C of THPM), 164.98 (C=OOCH₂). ¹³C NMR-DEPTQ spectrum, CH and CH₃ [positive (up)], C_Q and CH₂ [negative (down)], revealed the following signals at δ: 14.49 (OCH₂CH₃ ↑), 29.39 (NCH₃ ↑), 38.50 (CH₂Cl ↓), 52.59 (THPM 4-CH ↑), 56.24 (3,5-diOCH₃ ↑), 60.48 (4-OCH₃ ↑), 60.91 (OCH₂CH₃ ↓), 103.59 (2-CH and 6-CH of benzene ring ↑), 105.70 (5-C_Q of THPM ↓), 137.32 (1-C_Q of benzene ring ↓), 138.95 (4-C_Q of benzene ring ↓), 148.15 (6-C_Q of THPM ↓), 153.38 (3-C_Q and 5-C_Q of benzene ring ↓), 153.55 (C=O of THPM ↓), 164.99 (C=OOCH₂ ↓). HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: Calcd./Found 399.13174/399.13194 (C₁₈H₂₄³⁵ClN₂O₆, [M + H]⁺), 401.12879/401.12784 (C₁₈H₂₄³⁷ClN₂O₆, [M + H+2]⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₂₃ClN₂O₆: C, 54.21; H, 5.81; N, 7.02. Found: C, 53.99; H, 5.80; N, 6.97.

4.3. Biological evaluation

4.3.1. *In vitro* antitumor screening against 59 cancer cell lines. The anticancer test was conducted on 59 human tumour

cell lines derived from nine different tissues under the compound methodology of the Drug Evaluation Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD.^{3,38,39} All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. The SRB assay protocol at 10 μM was employed and approved by the United States NCI to distinguish cell lethality from cell GI.

4.4. DNA-binding study

4.4.1. Reagents and materials. Tris HCl, RB, EB, and ctDNA were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). A tris-HCl buffer solution (0.05 M) was made by dissolving 0.78 grams of tris-HCl in 100.0 mL of distilled water to get the desired final volume. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.4 using HCl solution (1 M). The ctDNA stock solution was obtained by dissolving 0.01 grams of ctDNA in Tris-HCl buffer, and bringing the total volume with the buffer to 50.0 mL. The solution was subsequently stored at a temperature of 4 °C, away from light, for a maximum duration of 5 days. Prior to conducting the studies, the ctDNA solution was subjected to sonication to confirm the uniformity of the solution. The ctDNA solution exhibited an absorbance ratio (*A*₂₆₀/*A*₂₈₀) of 1.92 (above 1.8),⁶⁷ suggesting that the ctDNA was devoid of proteins. The concentration of the final ctDNA solution was determined using the extinction coefficient (6600 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) of a single nucleotide at 260 nm (*T* = 298 K).^{4,75}

A stock solution of compound T₃₀ with a conc. of 1.0 × 10⁻³ M was obtained by dissolving it in a solution of DMSO/methanol. Additionally, RB with a concentration of 2.0 × 10⁻³ M and EB with a concentration of 1.2 × 10⁻³ M were prepared in ethanol, and stored in a dark environment at a temperature of 4 °C.

4.4.2. UV spectrophotometric measurements. The UV spectra were obtained by varying the concentrations of compound T₃₀ in the range of 0 to 40.0 μM, while maintaining a constant ctDNA concentration of 97.0 μM. The experiments were conducted at 3 various temperature settings (298, 303, and 313 K) to investigate the binding constants, and the temperature effect on the interaction between compound T₃₀ and ctDNA. In addition, the investigation of ionic strength involved determining the values of absorbance of the ctDNA-compound T₃₀ mixture. The mixture consisted of 97.0 μM of ctDNA, 2.0 μM of compound T₃₀, and NaCl solution with concentrations ranging from 0 to 0.07 M. The measurements were taken at a temperature of 298 K.

4.4.3. Competitive binding spectrofluorimetric studies. The spectra of various mixture solutions containing ctDNA (97.0 μM) and fluorescent probes, specifically RB (1.27 μM) and EB (7.0 μM), acting as groove and intercalation binding probes respectively, were obtained using an Agilent Technologies Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrometer equipped with a Xenon flash lamp. The measurements were conducted both in the absence and presence of compound T₃₀. The emission fluorescence spectra for EB and RB were measured at 603 nm and 576 nm, after being excited at 525 nm and 465 nm, respectively.



4.4.4. Viscosity measurements. Oswald's viscometer was employed to measure viscosity at a constant temperature of 298 K. The concentration of ctDNA in the Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH = 7.4) was 97.0 μM , while the concentrations of compound T_{30} ranged from 0 to 40.0 μM . The flow times of the ctDNA solutions, both alone and when mixed with compound T_{30} solutions, were examined in triplicate utilizing a digital timer. The equation ($\eta = (t - t_0)/t_0$) was employed to compute the viscosity values. In this equation, (t) signifies the ctDNA solutions' flow time, and (t_0) symbolizes the Tris-HCl buffer flow time.⁶⁷ The data were used to compute the relative specific viscosity (η/η_0)^{1/3}, where η and η_0 represent the specific viscosities of ctDNA with or without compound T_{30} , respectively.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its ESI material.†

Author contributions

Conceptualization and supervision: Ahmed A. Al-Karmalawy; data curation and visualization: Haytham O. Tawfik, Ayman Abo Elmaaty, Galal Magdy, Aya Saad Radwan, Radwan Alnajjar, Moataz A. Shaldam, and Ahmed A. Al-Karmalawy; methodology: Haytham O. Tawfik, Galal Magdy, Aya Saad Radwan, Radwan Alnajjar, Moataz A. Shaldam, Salem Salman Almuji, Abdullah Yahya Abdullah Alzahrani, and Ahmed A. Al-Karmalawy; Writing-review & editing: Haytham O. Tawfik, Ayman Abo Elmaaty, Galal Magdy, Aya Saad Radwan, Radwan Alnajjar, Moataz A. Shaldam, Arwa Omar Al Khatib, Salem Salman Almuji, Abdullah Yahya Abdullah Alzahrani, Ahmed A. Al-Karmalawy. All authors revised and approved the final submitted version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through the large Group Research Project under grant number (RGP2/630/46).

References

- 1 F. Bray, *et al.*, The ever-increasing importance of cancer as a leading cause of premature death worldwide, *Cancer*, 2021, **127**(16), 3029–3030.
- 2 F. Bray, *et al.*, Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008–2030): a population-based study, *Lancet Oncol.*, 2012, **13**(8), 790–801.
- 3 H. O. Tawfik, *et al.*, Rationale design of novel substituted 1,3,5-triazine candidates as dual IDH1(R132H)/IDH2(R140Q) inhibitors with high selectivity against acute myeloid leukemia: *In vitro* and *in vivo* preclinical investigations, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2024, **149**, 107483.
- 4 R. S. Al Taher, *et al.*, Correlation Between ImageJ and Conventional Manual Scoring Methods for Programmed Death-Ligand 1 Immuno-Histochemically Stained Sections, *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.*, 2024, **23**, 15330338241242635.
- 5 S. Shaaban, *et al.*, Repurposed organoselenium tethered amidic acids as apoptosis inducers in melanoma cancer *via* P53, BAX, caspases-3, 6, 8, 9, BCL-2, MMP2, and MMP9 modulations, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**(26), 18576–18587.
- 6 A. A. Gaber, *et al.*, Multi-target rational design and synthesis of novel diphenyl-tethered pyrazolopyrimidines targeting EGFR and topoisomerase II with potential DNA intercalation and apoptosis induction, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2024, **145**, 107223.
- 7 T. Helleday, *et al.*, DNA repair pathways as targets for cancer therapy, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2008, **8**(3), 193–204.
- 8 T. A. El-Masry, *et al.*, Therapeutic efficiency of Tamoxifen/Orlistat nanocrystals against solid ehrlich carcinoma *via* targeting TXNIP/HIF1- α /MMP-9/P27 and BAX/Bcl2/P53 signaling pathways, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2024, **180**, 117429.
- 9 R. Ducray, *et al.*, Novel 3-alkoxy-1H-pyrazolo [3, 4-d] pyrimidines as EGFR and erbb2 receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2008, **18**(3), 959–962.
- 10 M. M. Khalifa, *et al.*, Topo II inhibition and DNA intercalation by new phthalazine-based derivatives as potent anticancer agents: design, synthesis, anti-proliferative, docking, and *in vivo* studies, *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **37**(1), 299–314.
- 11 N. J. Wheate, *et al.*, DNA intercalators in cancer therapy: organic and inorganic drugs and their spectroscopic tools of analysis, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **7**(6), 627–648.
- 12 I. H. Eissa, *et al.*, Diphenylurea derivatives for combating methicillin-and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **130**, 73–85.
- 13 L. F. Liu, DNA topoisomerase poisons as antitumor drugs, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 1989, **58**(1), 351–375.
- 14 T. D. Shenkenberg and D. D. Von Hoff, Mitoxantrone: a new anticancer drug with significant clinical activity, *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 1986, **105**(1), 67–81.
- 15 H. Nawaz, *et al.*, Electrochemical DNA biosensor for the study of ciprofloxacin–DNA interaction, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2006, **354**(1), 28–34.
- 16 M. Alqaraleha, *et al.*, Evaluation of the antiproliferative activity and molecular docking of selected branched fatty acids, *J. Med. Pharm. Chem. Res.*, 2024, **6**, 1340–1353.
- 17 K. B. Loboda, *et al.*, Design and synthesis of 3, 5-substituted 1, 2, 4-oxadiazoles as catalytic inhibitors of human DNA topoisomerase II α , *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2020, **99**, 103828.
- 18 E. M. Abbass, *et al.*, Design, efficient synthesis, docking studies, and anticancer evaluation of new quinoxalines as potential intercalative Topo II inhibitors and apoptosis inducers, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2020, **104**, 104255.
- 19 I. H. Eissa, A. M. El-Naggar and M. A. El-Hashash, Design, synthesis, molecular modeling and biological evaluation of



- novel 1H-pyrazolo [3, 4-*b*] pyridine derivatives as potential anticancer agents, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2016, **67**, 43–56.
- 20 M. S. Alesawy, *et al.*, Design and discovery of new 1, 2, 4-triazolo [4, 3-*c*] quinazolines as potential DNA intercalators and topoisomerase II inhibitors, *Arch. Pharm.*, 2021, **354**(3), 2000237.
- 21 E. Baldwin and N. Osheroff, Etoposide, topoisomerase II and cancer, *Curr. Med. Chem.:Anti-Cancer Agents*, 2005, **5**(4), 363–372.
- 22 M. M. Mohamed, *et al.*, Design, synthesis of new pyrimidine derivatives as anticancer and antimicrobial agents, *Synth. Commun.*, 2017, **47**(16), 1441–1457.
- 23 S. Suryawanshi, *et al.*, Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of aryl pyrimidine derivatives as potential leishmanicidal agents, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **23**(18), 5235–5238.
- 24 P. Liu, *et al.*, Design and synthesis of novel pyrimidine derivatives as potent antitubercular agents, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **163**, 169–182.
- 25 A. M. Farghaly, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, and antihypertensive activity of new pyrimidine derivatives endowing new pharmacophores, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **28**, 360–379.
- 26 H. W. Lee, *et al.*, Molecular design, synthesis, and hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic activities of novel pyrimidine derivatives having thiazolidinedione, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **40**(9), 862–874.
- 27 Y. Fang, *et al.*, Design and synthesis of novel pyrimido [5, 4-*d*] pyrimidine derivatives as GPR119 agonist for treatment of type 2 diabetes, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **26**(14), 4080–4087.
- 28 A. Mobinikhaledi, B. Asghari and M. Jabbarpour, Design and synthesis of new benzimidazole and pyrimidine derivatives as α -glucosidase inhibitor, *J. Pharm. Res.*, 2015, **14**(3), 723.
- 29 V. N. Madia, *et al.*, Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of new pyrimidine derivatives as anticancer agents, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**(3), 771.
- 30 D. A. Ibrahim and A. M. El-Metwally, Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of novel pyrimidine derivatives as CDK2 inhibitors, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **45**(3), 1158–1166.
- 31 L. H. Amin, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, anticancer evaluation and docking studies of new pyrimidine derivatives as potent thymidylate synthase inhibitors, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 103159.
- 32 E. M. Mohi El-Deen, *et al.*, Novel pyridothienopyrimidine derivatives: design, synthesis and biological evaluation as antimicrobial and anticancer agents, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**(3), 803.
- 33 M. M. Hammoud, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and SAR studies of novel cyclopentaquinoline derivatives as DNA intercalators, topoisomerase II inhibitors, and apoptotic inducers, *New J. Chem.*, 2022, **46**(23), 11422–11436.
- 34 A. Narezkina, H. K. Narayan and A. E. Zemljic-Harpf, Molecular mechanisms of anthracycline cardiovascular toxicity, *Clin. Sci.*, 2021, **135**(10), 1311–1332.
- 35 M.-D. I. Tools, *Schrödinger*, New York, NY, USA, 2017.
- 36 M. H. El-Hamamsy, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, and molecular docking study of new monastrol analogues as kinesin spindle protein inhibitors, *Arch. Pharm.*, 2020, **353**(8), 2000060.
- 37 H. O. Tawfik, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, and bioactivity of dihydropyrimidine derivatives as kinesin spindle protein inhibitors, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **27**(23), 115126.
- 38 H. A. Elsebaie, *et al.*, Novel 4-(2-arylidenehydrazineyl) thienopyrimidine derivatives as anticancer EGFR inhibitors: design, synthesis, biological evaluation, kinome selectivity and *in silico* insights, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2023, **140**, 106799.
- 39 H. O. Tawfik, *et al.*, Discovery of new carbonic anhydrase IX inhibitors as anticancer agents by toning the hydrophobic and hydrophilic rims of the active site to encounter the dual-tail approach, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **232**, 114190.
- 40 P. Allart-Vorelli, *et al.*, Haematological cancer and quality of life: a systematic literature review, *Blood cancer J.*, 2015, **5**(4), e305.
- 41 A. V. Kudryavtseva, *et al.*, Important molecular genetic markers of colorectal cancer, *Oncotarget*, 2016, **7**(33), 53959.
- 42 A. Shergalis, *et al.*, Current challenges and opportunities in treating glioblastoma, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 2018, **70**(3), 412–445.
- 43 H. Tsao, *et al.*, Melanoma: from mutations to medicine, *Genes Dev.*, 2012, **26**(11), 1131–1155.
- 44 T. M. Grzywa, W. Paskal and P. K. Włodarski, Intratumor and intertumor heterogeneity in melanoma, *Transl. Oncol.*, 2017, **10**(6), 956–975.
- 45 B. A. Goff, Advanced ovarian cancer: what should be the standard of care?, *J. Gynecol. Oncol.*, 2013, **24**(1), 83–91.
- 46 A. Desai, *et al.*, Epithelial ovarian cancer: An overview, *World J. Transl. Med.*, 2014, **3**(1), 1.
- 47 H. J. Lim and W. Ledger, Targeted therapy in ovarian cancer, *Women's Health*, 2016, **12**(3), 363–378.
- 48 T. Grunewald and J. A. Ledermann, Targeted therapies for ovarian cancer, *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol.*, 2017, **41**, 139–152.
- 49 L. Dirven and M. J. Taphoorn, *Epidemiology of Central Nervous System Metastases*, Central nervous system metastases, 2020: pp. 3–14.
- 50 A. T. Beksac, *et al.*, Heterogeneity in renal cell carcinoma, in *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*, Elsevier, 2017.
- 51 V. Tzelepi, *et al.*, Modeling a lethal prostate cancer variant with small-cell carcinoma features, *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2012, **18**(3), 666–677.
- 52 T. J. Key, P. K. Verkasalo and E. Banks, Epidemiology of breast cancer, *Lancet Oncol.*, 2001, **2**(3), 133–140.
- 53 K. J. Chavez, S. V. Garimella and S. Lipkowitz, Triple negative breast cancer cell lines: one tool in the search for better treatment of triple negative breast cancer, *Breast Dis.*, 2010, **32**(1–2), 35.
- 54 K.-Y. Chen, *et al.*, Exploring the binding interaction of calf thymus DNA with lapatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor: multi-spectroscopic techniques combined with molecular docking, *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 2019, **37**(3), 576–583.



- 55 M. Sirajuddin, S. Ali and A. Badshah, Drug–DNA interactions and their study by UV-Visible, fluorescence spectroscopies and cyclic voltametry, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2013, **124**, 1–19.
- 56 R. Palchadhuri and P. J. Hergenrother, DNA as a target for anticancer compounds: methods to determine the mode of binding and the mechanism of action, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2007, **18**(6), 497–503.
- 57 J. Saucier, B. Festy and J.-B. Le Pecq, The change of the torsion of the DNA helix caused by intercalation: II—measurement of the relative change of torsion induced by various intercalating drugs, *Biochimie*, 1971, **53**(9), 973–980.
- 58 E. Grueso, *et al.*, Thermodynamic and structural study of phenanthroline derivative ruthenium complex/DNA interactions: probing partial intercalation and binding properties, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2012, **106**(1), 1–9.
- 59 S. Mahadevan and M. Palaniandavar, Spectroscopic and voltammetric studies on copper complexes of 2, 9-dimethyl-1, 10-phenanthrolines bound to calf thymus DNA, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**(4), 693–700.
- 60 A. H. Hegde, S. Prashanth and J. Seetharamappa, Interaction of antioxidant flavonoids with calf thymus DNA analyzed by spectroscopic and electrochemical methods, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2012, **63**, 40–46.
- 61 M. M. Islam, *et al.*, Binding of DNA with Rhodamine B: Spectroscopic and molecular modeling studies, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2013, **99**(2), 412–422.
- 62 J. Li and C. Dong, Study on the interaction of morphine chloride with deoxyribonucleic acid by fluorescence method, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2009, **71**(5), 1938–1943.
- 63 D. Sahoo, P. Bhattacharya and S. Chakravorti, Quest for mode of binding of 2-(4-(dimethylamino) styryl)-1-methylpyridinium iodide with calf thymus DNA, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**(5), 2044–2050.
- 64 J.-H. Shi, *et al.*, Characterization of interaction of calf thymus DNA with gefitinib: spectroscopic methods and molecular docking, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2015, **147**, 47–55.
- 65 J. Olmsted III and D. R. Kearns, Mechanism of ethidium bromide fluorescence enhancement on binding to nucleic acids, *Biochemistry*, 1977, **16**(16), 3647–3654.
- 66 P. D. Ross and S. Subramanian, Thermodynamics of protein association reactions: forces contributing to stability, *Biochemistry*, 1981, **20**(11), 3096–3102.
- 67 J.-H. Shi, *et al.*, Binding interaction between sorafenib and calf thymus DNA: spectroscopic methodology, viscosity measurement and molecular docking, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **136**, 443–450.
- 68 M. A. Aziz, *et al.*, Design, Synthesis, Biological Evaluation, 2D-QSAR Modeling, and Molecular Docking Studies of Novel 1H-3-Indolyl Derivatives as Significant Antioxidants, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, **22**(19), 10396.
- 69 C. Inc, *Molecular Operating Environment (MOE)*, Chemical Computing Group Inc, 2016, p. 1010.
- 70 M. M. Hammoud, *et al.*, Synthesis, structural characterization, DFT calculations, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics simulations of a novel ferrocene derivative to unravel its potential antitumor activity, *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, 2022, 1–18.
- 71 A. Belal, *et al.*, Design, synthesis and molecular docking of new fused 1H-pyrroles, pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines and pyrrolo[3,2-e][1, 4]diazepine derivatives as potent EGFR/CDK2 inhibitors, *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.*, 2022, **37**(1), 1884–1902.
- 72 M. A. Salem, *et al.*, Potential Valorization of Edible Nuts By-Products: Exploring the Immune-Modulatory and Antioxidants Effects of Selected Nut Shells Extracts in Relation to Their Metabolic Profiles, *Antioxidants*, 2022, **11**(3), 462.
- 73 K. I. Eissa, *et al.*, Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of thienopyrimidine derivatives as multifunctional agents against Alzheimer's disease, *Drug Dev. Res.*, 2023, **84**(5), 937–961.
- 74 D. Elebeedy, *et al.*, In vitro and computational insights revealing the potential inhibitory effect of Tanshinone IIA against influenza A virus, *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 2022, **141**, 105149.
- 75 Y. Rahman, *et al.*, Unravelling the interaction of pirenzepine, a gastrointestinal disorder drug, with calf thymus DNA: An *in vitro* and molecular modelling study, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2017, **625**, 1–12.

